

DIFFERENCES OF MEANS

BY O. SHISHA AND B. MOND

Communicated by J. B. Diaz, December 12, 1966

1. Let q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n be positive numbers with $\sum_{k=1}^n q_k = 1$. For every sequence (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) with all $x_k > 0$ and for every real r , consider the *mean of order r* , $M_r(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, defined as $(\sum_{k=1}^n q_k x_k^r)^{1/r}$ if $r \neq 0$, and as $\prod_{k=1}^n x_k^{q_k}$ if $r = 0$. For given positive x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , it is known (see, e.g. [3, p. 17], or [11, p. 26]) that $M_r(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is strictly increasing with r (except when $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$), and consequently if $r < s$, then

$$(1) \quad 1 \leq M_s(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) / M_r(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n),$$

$$(2) \quad 0 \leq M_s(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - M_r(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

2. A natural question to ask is, whether one can give *upper bounds* for the right hand sides of (1) and (2) under, say, the hypothesis that $A \leq x_j \leq B, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where A and B are constants ($0 < A < B$). Such an upper bound for the ratio in (1) was given by Cargo and Shisha in [4], a paper which served as a motivation and starting point of a considerable amount of further work by various authors.

3. The main purpose of the present note is to give an upper bound for the difference in (2) under the restriction on the x_j stated in §2. As applications, we shall obtain a number of inequalities, including "complements" to the classical inequalities of Cauchy and Hölder. Full proofs omitted here are to be found in [15].

4. In this section, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n are fixed (though arbitrary) positive numbers with $\sum_{k=1}^n q_k = 1$, and for every sequence (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) with all $x_k > 0$ and every real $r, M_r(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is as in §1.

THEOREM 1. *Let r, s, A, B be given reals ($0 < A < B, r < s$), and let I denote the n -dimensional cube $\{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) : A \leq x_k \leq B, k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then throughout I ,*

$$(3) \quad M_s(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - M_r(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq \Delta,$$

where Δ is

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & [\theta B^s + (1 - \theta) A^s]^{1/s} - [\theta B^r + (1 - \theta) A^r]^{1/r} && \text{if } rs \neq 0, \\ & [\theta B^s + (1 - \theta) A^s]^{1/s} - B^\theta A^{1-\theta} && \text{if } r = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B^\theta A^{1-\theta} - [\theta B^r + (1 - \theta) A^r]^{1/r} \quad \text{if } s = 0.$$

θ is defined as follows. Let

$$h(x) \equiv x^{1/s} - (ax + b)^{1/r} \text{ with } a = \frac{B^r - A^r}{B^s - A^s},$$

$$b = \frac{B^s A^r - B^r A^s}{B^s - A^s}, \quad \text{if } rs \neq 0,$$

$$h(x) \equiv x^{1/s} - A(B/A)^{(x-A^s)/(B^s-A^s)} \quad \text{if } r = 0,$$

and

$$h(x) \equiv -x^{1/r} + A(B/A)^{(x-A^r)/(B^r-A^r)} \quad \text{if } s = 0.$$

Let J denote the open interval joining A^s to B^s if $s \neq 0$, and let $J = (B^r, A^r)$ if $s = 0$. There is an $x^* \in J$ such that $h(x) < h(x^*)$ for every $x (\in J, \neq x^*)$. (Observe that if $rs \neq 0$, then $ax + b > 0$ at the end points of J and, therefore, throughout J .) We set

$$\theta = (x^* - A^s)/(B^s - A^s) \quad \text{if } s \neq 0,$$

and

$$\theta = (x^* - A^r)/(B^r - A^r) \quad \text{if } s = 0.$$

Equality in (3) for a point $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in I$ holds if and only if there exists a subsequence (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_p) of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ such that $\sum_{m=1}^p q_{k_m} = \theta$, $x_{k_m} = B$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots, p$), and $x_k = A$ for every k distinct from all k_m . Finally, if $s \geq 1$, then x^* is the unique solution of $h'(x) = 0$ in J .

5. Here is an outline of a proof of Theorem 1. Suppose $rs \neq 0$. Then [12] throughout (A, B) ,

$$r(x^r - ax^s - b) > 0.$$

Let (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) be a point of I . Then

$$r \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^n q_k x_k^r \right) - a \left(\sum_{k=1}^n q_k x_k^s \right) - b \right] \geq 0,$$

and so,

$$M_s(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - M_r(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n q_k x_k^s \right)^{1/s} - \left[a \left(\sum_{k=1}^n q_k x_k^s \right) + b \right]^{1/r}$$

$$= h \left(\sum_{k=1}^n q_k x_k^s \right).$$

One shows that there is a unique point in the closure of J where $h(x)$ attains its maximum there, and this point, x^* , belongs to J . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} M_s(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - M_r(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &\leq h(x^*) \\ &= h(\theta B^s + (1 - \theta)A^s) \\ &= [\theta B^s + (1 - \theta)A^s]^{1/s} - [\theta B^r + (1 - \theta)A^r]^{1/r} = \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

In case $s \geq 1$, one can also prove the theorem by the method used in [4] to obtain an upper bound for the right hand side of (1). Namely, suppose $n > 1$, $r \neq 0$, and set $F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \equiv M_s(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - M_r(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$; one shows that a point of I where F attains its maximum in I must be a vertex of I . Thus, the last difference is bounded in I by $\max\{[xB^s + (1-x)A^s]^{1/s} - [xB^r + (1-x)A^r]^{1/r} : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ which equals Δ .

REMARK. If $r \neq 0$, $s \geq 1$, then θ of Theorem 1 is the unique solution in $(0, 1)$ of

$$\frac{\gamma^s - 1}{s} [x(\gamma^s - 1) + 1]^{(1/s)-1} - \frac{\gamma^r - 1}{r} [x(\gamma^r - 1) + 1]^{(1/r)-1} = 0$$

($\gamma = B/A$).

6. Here are two examples.

EXAMPLE 1. Let q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n be positive numbers with $\sum_{k=1}^n q_k = 1$, let $0 < A < B$, and set $\gamma = B/A$. Let $A \leq x_k \leq B$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. By Theorem 1 and by the preceding Remark,

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n q_k x_k^2\right)^{1/2} - \sum_{k=1}^n q_k x_k \leq [\theta B^2 + (1 - \theta)A^2]^{1/2} - [\theta B + (1 - \theta)A],$$

where θ is the unique solution in $(0, 1)$ of

$$\frac{1}{2}(\gamma^2 - 1)[x(\gamma^2 - 1) + 1]^{-1/2} - (\gamma - 1) = 0.$$

A short calculation yields

$$(5) \quad \left(\sum_{k=1}^n q_k x_k^2\right)^{1/2} - \sum_{k=1}^n q_k x_k \leq \frac{(B - A)^2}{4(B + A)}.$$

Equality holds in (5) if and only if there exists a subsequence (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_p) of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ such that

$$\sum_{m=1}^p q_{k_m} = \frac{B + 3A}{4(B + A)} (= \theta), \quad x_{k_m} = B (m = 1, 2, \dots, p),$$

and $x_k = A$ for every k distinct from all k_m .

EXAMPLE 2. Let $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n, A, B, \gamma, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ be as in Example 1. By Theorem 1 and by the last Remark,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n q_k x_k - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n q_k / x_k \right)^{-1} \leq \theta B + (1 - \theta)A - [\theta B^{-1} + (1 - \theta)A^{-1}]^{-1},$$

where θ is the unique solution in $(0, 1)$ of

$$\gamma - 1 + (\gamma^{-1} - 1)[x(\gamma^{-1} - 1) + 1]^{-2} = 0.$$

Solving for θ and substituting in the last inequality, one gets

$$(6) \quad \left(\sum_{k=1}^n q_k x_k \right) - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n q_k / x_k \right)^{-1} \leq (B^{1/2} - A^{1/2})^2.$$

Equality holds in (6) if and only if there exists a subsequence (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_p) of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ such that $\sum_{m=1}^p q_{k_m} = (1 + \gamma^{-1/2})^{-1}$, $x_{k_m} = B$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots, p$), and $x_k = A$ for every k distinct from all k_m .

(6) can be obtained directly. For $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have,

$$x_k - (A + B) + ABx_k^{-1} = (x_k - A)(x_k - B)x_k^{-1} \leq 0,$$

$$q_k x_k \leq (A + B)q_k - ABq_k x_k^{-1}.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{k=1}^n q_k x_k \right) - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n q_k x_k^{-1} \right)^{-1} \\ & \leq A + B - AB \left(\sum_{k=1}^n q_k x_k^{-1} \right) - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n q_k x_k^{-1} \right)^{-1} \\ & = A + B - \left[\left\{ AB \sum_{k=1}^n q_k x_k^{-1} \right\}^{1/2} - \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n q_k x_k^{-1} \right\}^{-1/2} \right]^2 - 2(AB)^{1/2} \\ & \leq A + B - 2(AB)^{1/2} \\ & = (B^{1/2} - A^{1/2})^2. \end{aligned}$$

One can also derive from this proof the necessary and sufficient condition given above for equality in (6). (Compare the method of this proof with Diaz and Metcalf [9, §2, Remark 3].)

7. Let $0 < m_1 \leq a_j \leq M_1, 0 < m_2 \leq b_j \leq M_2, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, n \geq 1, m_1 m_2 < M_1 M_2$, and let $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$ be real numbers $\neq 0$. Set $q_j = a_j b_j \xi_j^2 / \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k \xi_k^2, x_j = a_j / b_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Observe that

$$0 < m_1 / M_2 \leq x_j \leq M_1 / m_2 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

By (6),

$$(7) \quad \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^2 \xi_j^2 \right) / \sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j \xi_j^2 \right] - \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j \xi_j^2 \right) / \sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2 \xi_j^2 \right] \geq [(M_1/m_2)^{1/2} - (m_1/M_2)^{1/2}]^2.$$

One obtains also a necessary and sufficient condition for equality in (7). Since the left hand side of (7) is ≥ 0 by Cauchy's inequality, (7) may be considered a "complementary" inequality to Cauchy's. Taking $\xi_1 = \xi_2 = \dots = \xi_n = 1$, we obtain

$$\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^2 \right) / \sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j \right] - \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j \right) / \sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2 \right] \leq [(M_1/m_2)^{1/2} - (m_1/M_2)^{1/2}]^2.$$

8. We give now a complement to Hölder's inequality.

THEOREM 2. *Let $p > 1$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $0 < A < B$, and let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$ be positive numbers with $A \leq a_j^{1/q} / b_j^{1/p} \leq B$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Set $\gamma = B/A$. Then*

$$(8) \quad 0 \leq \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^p \right) / \sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j \right]^{1/p} - \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j \right) / \sum_{j=1}^n b_j^q \right]^{1/q} \leq [\theta B^p + (1 - \theta) A^p]^{1/p} - [\theta B^{-q} + (1 - \theta) A^{-q}]^{-1/q},$$

where θ is the unique solution in $(0, 1)$ of

$$q(\gamma^p - 1)[x(\gamma^p - 1) + 1]^{-1/q} + p(\gamma^{-q} - 1)[x(\gamma^{-q} - 1) + 1]^{-(1/q)-1} = 0.$$

Equality on the right in (8) holds if and only if there exists a subsequence (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_t) of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ such that $(\sum_{m=1}^t a_{k_m} b_{k_m}) / \sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j = \theta$, $a_{k_m}^{1/q} / b_{k_m}^{1/p} = B$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots, t$), and $a_k^{1/q} / b_k^{1/p} = A$ for every k distinct from all k_m . Equality on the left in (8) holds if and only if all the ratios $a_j^{1/q} / b_j^{1/p}$ are equal.

Indeed, if we take in Theorem 1, $r = -q$, $s = p$, $q_j = a_j b_j / \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k$, $x_j = a_j^{1/q} / b_j^{1/p}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$), we have by (3),

$$(9) \quad 0 \leq M_p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - M_{-q}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq \Delta.$$

Equality on the left holds if and only if all the x_j are equal. The difference in (9) equals the middle member of (8). The number Δ , by (4) and by the Remark in §5, is the right hand member of (8). The necessary and sufficient condition in Theorem 2 for equality on the right in (8) follows, too, from Theorem 1. The inequality on the left in (8) is, of course, just Hölder's inequality, and the condition given

for equality there, is just the familiar condition for equality in Hölder's inequality.

9. A number of matrix inequalities follow from Theorems 1 and 2. For these inequalities the reader is referred to [15]. For corresponding Hilbert space in inequalities, see [14].

REFERENCES

1. E. F. Beckenbach, *On the inequality of Kantorovich*, Amer. Math. Monthly **71** (1964), 606-619.
2. ———, *A "workshop" on Minkowski's inequality*, Inequalities: Proceedings of a Symposium, O. Shisha, ed., Academic Press, New York, 1967.
3. E. F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, *Inequalities*, 2nd rev. printing, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1965.
4. G. T. Cargo and O. Shisha, *Bounds on ratios of means*, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards **66B** (1962), 169-170.
5. J. B. Diaz, A. J. Goldman and F. T. Metcalf, *Equivalence of certain inequalities complementing those of Cauchy-Schwarz and Hölder*, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards **68B** (1964), 147-149.
6. J. B. Diaz and F. T. Metcalf, *Stronger forms of a class of inequalities of G. Pólya-G. Szegő, and L. V. Kantorovich*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1963), 415-418.
7. ———, *Complementary inequalities I: Inequalities complementary to Cauchy's inequality for sums of real number*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **9** (1964), 59-74.
8. ———, *Inequalities complementary to Cauchy's inequality for sums of real numbers*, Inequalities: Proceedings of a Symposium, O. Shisha, ed., Academic Press, New York, 1967.
9. ———, *Complementary inequalities III: Inequalities complementary to Schwarz's inequality in Hilbert space*, Math. Ann. **162** (1965), 120-139.
10. A. J. Goldman, *A generalization of Rennie's inequality*, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards **68B** (1964), 59-63.
11. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1952.
12. A. W. Marshall and I. Olkin, *Reversal of the Lyapunov, Hölder, and Minkowski inequalities and other extensions of the Kantorovich inequality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **8** (1964), 503-514.
13. B. Mond and O. Shisha, *Ratios of means and applications*, Inequalities: Proceedings of a Symposium, O. Shisha, ed., Academic Press, New York, 1967.
14. B. Mond and O. Shisha, *A difference inequality for operators in Hilbert space*, Blanch Anniversary Volume, B. Mond, ed., Aerospace Research Laboratories, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1967.
15. O. Shisha and B. Mond, *Bounds on differences of means*, Inequalities: Proceedings of a Symposium, O. Shisha, ed., Academic Press, New York, 1967.

AEROSPACE RESEARCH LABORATORIES
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB, OHIO