

# RADON-FOURIER TRANSFORMS ON SYMMETRIC SPACES AND RELATED GROUP REPRESENTATIONS<sup>1</sup>

BY S. HELGASON

Communicated by G. D. Mostow, May 7, 1965

In §2 we announce some results in continuation of [10], connected with the Radon transform. §1 deals with tools which also apply to more general questions and §§2–3 contain some applications to group representations. A more detailed exposition of §2 appears in Proceedings of the U. S.-Japan Seminar in Differential Geometry, Kyoto, June, 1965.

**1. Radial components of differential operators.** Let  $V$  be a manifold,  $v$  a point in  $V$  and  $V_v$  the tangent space to  $V$  at  $v$ . Let  $G$  be a Lie transformation group of  $V$ . A  $C^\infty$  function  $f$  on an open subset of  $V$  is called locally invariant if  $Xf=0$  for each vector field  $X$  on  $V$  induced by the action of  $G$ .

Suppose now  $W$  is a submanifold of  $V$  satisfying the following transversality condition:

$$(T) \quad \text{For each } w \in W, V_w = W_w + (G \cdot w)_w \quad (\text{direct sum}).$$

If  $f$  is a function on a subset of  $V$  its restriction to  $W$  will be denoted  $\bar{f}$ .

**LEMMA 1.1.** *Let  $D$  be a differential operator on  $V$ . Then there exists a unique differential operator  $\Delta(D)$  on  $W$  such that*

$$(Df)^- = \Delta(D)\bar{f}$$

for each locally invariant  $f$ .

The operator  $\Delta(D)$  is called the *radial component* of  $D$ . Many special cases have been considered (see e.g. [1, §2], [4, §5], [5, §3], [7, §7], [8, Chapter IV, §§3–5]).

Suppose now  $dv$  (resp.  $dw$ ) is a positive measure on  $V$  (resp.  $W$ ) which on any coordinate neighborhood is a nonzero multiple of the Lebesgue measure. Assume  $dg$  is a bi-invariant Haar measure on  $G$ . Given  $u \in C_c^\infty(G \times W)$  there exists [7, Theorem 1] a unique  $f_u \in C_c^\infty(G \cdot W)$  such that

$$\int_{G \times W} F(g \cdot w)u(g, w) dg dw = \int_V F(v)f_u(v) dv \quad (F \in C_c^\infty(G \cdot W)).$$

Let  $\phi_u \in C_c^\infty(W)$  denote the function  $w \rightarrow \int u(g, w) dg$ .

<sup>1</sup> Work supported in part by the National Science Foundation, NSF GP-2600.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Suppose  $G$  leaves  $dv$  invariant. Let  $T$  be a  $G$ -invariant distribution on  $G \cdot W$ . Then there exists a unique distribution  $\bar{T}$  on  $W$  such that*

$$\bar{T}(\phi_u) = T(f_u), \quad u \in C_c^\infty(G \times W).$$

*If  $D$  is a  $G$ -invariant differential operator on  $V$  then*

$$(DT)^- = \Delta(D)\bar{T}.$$

The proof is partly suggested by the special case considered in [7, §9]. See also [12, §4].

**2. The Radon transform and conical distributions.** Let  $G$  be a connected semisimple Lie group, assumed imbedded in its simply connected complexification. Let  $K$  be a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$  and  $X$  the symmetric space  $G/K$ . Let  $G = KAN$  be an Iwasawa decomposition of  $G$  ( $A$  abelian,  $N$  nilpotent) and let  $M$  and  $M'$ , respectively, denote the centralizer and normalizer of  $A$  in  $K$ . The space  $\Xi$  of all horocycles  $\xi$  in  $X$  can be identified with  $G/MN$  [10, §3]. Let  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(\Xi)$  denote the algebras of  $G$ -invariant differential operators on  $X$  and  $\Xi$ , respectively; let  $S(A)$  denote the symmetric algebra over the vector space  $A$  and  $I(A)$  the set of elements in  $S(A)$  which are invariant under the Weyl group  $W = M'/M$ . There are isomorphisms  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  onto  $I(A)$  [6, p. 260], [9, p. 432] and  $\hat{\Gamma}$  of  $\mathcal{D}(\Xi)$  onto  $S(A)$  [10, p. 676].

The Radon transform  $f \rightarrow \check{f}$  ( $f \in C_c^\infty(X)$ ) and its dual  $\phi \rightarrow \check{\phi}$  ( $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Xi)$ ) are defined by

$$\check{f}(\xi) = \int_{\xi} f(x) dm(x), \quad \check{\phi}(x) = \int_{\check{x}} \phi(\xi) d\mu(\xi) \quad (x \in X, \xi \in \Xi)$$

where  $dm$  is the measure on  $\xi$  induced by the canonical Riemannian structure of  $X$ ,  $\check{x}$  is the set of horocycles passing through  $x$  and  $d\mu$  is the measure on  $\check{x}$  invariant under the isotropy subgroup of  $G$  at  $x$ , satisfying  $\mu(\check{x}) = 1$ . The easily proved relation

$$(1) \quad \int_X f(x) \check{\phi}(x) dx = \int_{\Xi} f(\xi) \phi(\xi) d\xi \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(X), \phi \in C_c^\infty(\Xi))$$

$dx$  and  $d\xi$  being  $G$ -invariant measures on  $X$  and  $\Xi$ , respectively, suggests immediately how to extend the integral transforms above to distributions.

Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the Lie algebras of  $G$  and  $A$ , respectively, and  $\mathfrak{A}^*$

the dual space of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $\lambda \rightarrow c(\lambda)$  be the function on  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  giving the Plancherel measure  $|c(\lambda)|^{-2}d\lambda$  for the  $K$ -invariant functions on  $X$  (Harish-Chandra [6, p. 612]). Let  $j$  be the operator on rapidly decreasing functions on  $A$  which under the Fourier transform on  $A$  corresponds to multiplication by  $c^{-1}$ . Let  $\rho$  denote the sum (with multiplicity) of the restricted roots on  $\mathfrak{A}$  which are positive in the ordering given by  $N$ . Let  $e^\rho$  denote the function on  $\mathfrak{Z}$  defined by  $e^\rho(kaMN) = \exp[\rho(\log a)]$  ( $k \in K, a \in A$ ). Viewing  $\mathfrak{Z}$  as a fibre bundle with base  $K/M$ , fibre  $A$  [10, p. 675] we define the operator  $\Lambda$  on suitable functions  $\phi$  on  $\mathfrak{Z}$  by  $(e^\rho \Lambda \phi)|_F = j((e^\rho \phi)|_F)$ , where  $|_F$  denotes restriction to any fibre  $F$ . Similarly, the complex conjugate of  $c^{-1}$  determines an operator  $\bar{\Lambda}$ . By means of the Plancherel formula mentioned one proves (cf. [11, §6]).

**THEOREM 2.1.** *There exist constants  $c, c' > 0$  such that*

$$(2) \quad \int_X |f(x)|^2 dx = c' \int_{\mathfrak{Z}} |\Lambda \hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi,$$

$$(3) \quad f = c(\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \hat{f})^\vee$$

for all  $f \in C_c^\infty(X)$ .

If all Cartan subgroups of  $G$  are conjugate, the operators  $j$  and  $\Lambda$  are differential operators ( $c^{-1}$  is a polynomial). Considering  $j\bar{j}$  is an element in  $I(A)$  we put  $\square = \Gamma^{-1}(j\bar{j}) \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ . Then (3) can be written in the form

$$f = c \square ((\hat{f})^\vee), \quad f \in C_c^\infty(X),$$

which is more convenient for applications [10, §7]. For the case when  $G$  is complex a formula closely related to (3) was given by Gelfand-Graev [2, §5.5].

Let  $x_0$  and  $\xi_0$  denote the origins in  $X$  and  $\mathfrak{Z}$ , respectively. The space  $B = K/M$  can be viewed as the set of Weyl chambers emanating from  $x_0$  in  $X$ . If  $\xi = ka \cdot \xi_0$  ( $k \in K, a \in A$ ) we say that the Weyl chamber  $kM$  is *normal* to  $\xi$  and that  $a$  is the *complex distance* from  $x_0$  to  $\xi$ . If  $x \in X, b \in B$  let  $\xi(x, b)$  be the horocycle with normal  $b$  passing through  $x$ , and let  $A(x, b)$  denote the complex distance from  $x_0$  to  $\xi(x, b)$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** *For  $f \in C_c^\infty(X)$  define the Fourier transform  $\bar{f}$  by*

$$\bar{f}(\lambda, b) = \int_X f(x) \exp[(-i\lambda + \rho)(A(x, b))] dx \quad (\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}^*, b \in B).$$

*Then*

$$(4) \quad f(x) = \int_{\mathfrak{A} \times X_B} \bar{f}(\lambda, b) \exp(i\lambda + \rho(A(x, b))) |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda db$$

$$\int_X |f(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\mathfrak{A} \times X_B} |\bar{f}(\lambda, b)|^2 |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda db,$$

where  $db$  is a suitably normalized  $K$ -invariant measure on  $B$ .

REMARKS. (i) In view of the analogy between horocycles in  $X$  and hyperplanes in  $R^n$  formula (4) corresponds exactly to the Fourier inversion formula in  $R^n$  when written in polar coordinate form.

(ii) If  $f$  is a  $K$ -invariant function on  $X$ , Theorem 2.2 reduces to Harish-Chandra's Plancherel formula [6, p. 612]. Nevertheless, Theorem 2.2 can be derived from Harish-Chandra's formula.

(iii) A "plane wave" on  $X$  is by definition a function on  $X$  which is constant on each member of a family of parallel horocycles. Writing (4) in the form

$$(4') \quad f(x) = \int_B f_b(x) db$$

we get a continuous decomposition of  $f$  into plane waves. On the other hand, if we write (4) in the form

$$(4'') \quad f(x) = \int_{\mathfrak{A}^*} f_\lambda(x) |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda$$

we obtain a decomposition of  $f$  into simultaneous eigenfunctions of all  $D \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ .

We now define for  $\Xi$  the analogs of the spherical functions on  $X$ .

DEFINITION. A distribution (resp.  $C^\infty$  function) on  $\Xi = G/MN$  is called *conical* if it is (1)  $MN$ -invariant; (2) eigendistribution (resp. eigenfunction) of each  $D \in \mathcal{D}(\Xi)$ .

Let  $\xi_0 = MN$ ,  $\xi^* = m^*MN$ , where  $m^*$  is any element in  $M'$  such that the automorphism  $a \rightarrow m^*am^{*-1}$  of  $A$  maps  $\rho$  into  $-\rho$ . By the Bruhat lemma,  $\Xi$  will consist of finitely many  $MNA$ -orbits; exactly one, namely  $\Xi^* = MNA \cdot \xi^*$ , has maximum dimension and given  $\xi \in \Xi^*$  there exists a unique element  $a(\xi) \in A$  such that  $\xi \in MNa(\xi) \cdot \xi^*$  [10, p. 673]. Using Theorem 1.2 we find:

THEOREM 2.3. *Let  $T$  be a conical distribution on  $\Xi$ . Then there exists  $a\psi \in C^\infty(\Xi^*)$  such that  $T = \psi$  on  $\Xi^*$  and a linear function  $\mu: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that*

$$(5) \quad \psi(\xi) = \psi(\xi^*) \exp[\mu(\log a(\xi))] \quad (\xi \in \Xi^*).$$

In general  $\psi$  is singular on the lower-dimensional  $MNA$ -orbits. However, we have:

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $\mu: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a linear function and let  $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{E}^*)$  be defined by (5). Then  $\psi$  is locally integrable on  $\mathfrak{E}$  if and only if*

$$(6) \quad \operatorname{Re} (\langle \alpha, \mu + \rho \rangle) > 0 \quad (\operatorname{Re} = \text{real part})$$

for each restricted root  $\alpha > 0$ ; here  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the inner product on  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  induced by the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . If (6) is satisfied then  $\psi$ , as a distribution on  $\mathfrak{E}$ , is a conical distribution.

**THEOREM 2.5.** *The conical functions on  $\mathfrak{E}$  are precisely the functions  $\psi$  given by (5) where for each restricted root  $\alpha > 0$ ,*

$$(7) \quad \frac{\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} \text{ is an integer } \geq 0.$$

**DEFINITION.** A representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  on a vector space  $E$  will be called (1) *spherical* if there exists a nonzero vector in  $E$  fixed by  $\pi(K)$ ; (2) *conical* if there exists a nonzero vector in  $E$  fixed by  $\pi(MN)$ .

The correspondence between spherical functions on  $X$  and spherical representations is well known. In order to describe the analogous situation for  $\mathfrak{E}$ , for an arbitrary function  $\phi$  on  $\mathfrak{E}$ , let  $E_\phi$  denote the vector space spanned by the  $G$ -translates of  $\phi$  and let  $\pi_\phi$  denote the natural representation of  $G$  on  $E_\phi$ .

**THEOREM 2.6.** *The mapping  $\psi \rightarrow \pi_\psi$  maps the set of conical functions on  $\mathfrak{E}$  onto the set of finite-dimensional, irreducible conical representations of  $G$ . The mapping is one-to-one if we identify proportional conical functions and identify equivalent representations. Also*

$$\psi(g \cdot \xi_0) = (\pi_\psi(g^{-1})e, e'),$$

where  $e$  and  $e'$ , respectively, are contained in the highest weight spaces of  $\pi_\psi$  and of its contragredient representation. Finally,  $\mu$  in (5) is the highest weight of  $\pi_\psi$ .

**COROLLARY 2.7.** *Let  $\pi$  be a finite-dimensional irreducible representation of  $G$ . Then  $\pi$  is spherical if and only if it is conical.*

The highest weights of these representations are therefore characterized by (7). Compare Sugiura [13], where the highest weights of the spherical representations are determined.

**3. The case of a complex  $G$ .** If  $G$  is complex,  $M$  is a torus and some of the results of §2 can be improved. Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a Cartan subalgebra

of  $\mathfrak{G}$  containing  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $H$  the corresponding analytic subgroup of  $G$ . Now we assume  $G$  simply connected.

Let  $\mathcal{D}(G/N)$  denote the algebra of all  $G$ -invariant differential operators on  $G/N$ . Let  $\nu_0, \nu^* \in G/N$  be constructed similarly as  $\xi_0$  and  $\xi^*$  in §2. Then §1 applies to the submanifold  $W = H \cdot \nu^*$  of  $V = NH \cdot \nu^*$  and for each differential operator  $D$  on  $G/N$ ,  $\Delta(D)$  is defined and can be viewed as a differential operator on  $H$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *The mapping  $D \rightarrow \Delta(D)$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{D}(G/N)$  onto the (real) symmetric algebra  $S(\mathfrak{G})$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{D}(G/N)$  is commutative.*

As a consequence one finds that the  $N$ -invariant eigenfunctions  $f \in C^\infty(G/N)$  of all  $D \in \mathcal{D}(G/N)$  have a representation analogous to (5) in terms of the characters of  $H$ . Let  $E_f$  denote the vector space spanned by the  $G$ -translates of  $f$  and let  $\pi_f$  be the natural representation of  $G$  on  $E_f$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** *The mapping  $f \rightarrow \pi_f$  is a one-to-one mapping of the set of  $N$ -invariant holomorphic eigenfunctions of all  $D \in \mathcal{D}(G/N)$  (proportional  $f$  identified) onto the set of all finite-dimensional<sup>2</sup> irreducible holomorphic representations of  $G$  (equivalent representations identified). Moreover*

$$f(g \cdot \nu_0) = (\pi_f(g^{-1})\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}'),$$

where  $\mathbf{e}$  and  $\mathbf{e}'$ , respectively, are contained in the highest weight spaces of  $\pi_f$  and of its contragredient representation.

#### REFERENCES

1. F. A. Berezin, *Laplace operators on semisimple Lie groups*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. **6** (1957), 371–463; Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **21** (1962), 239–299.
2. I. M. Gelfand and M. I. Graev, *The geometry of homogeneous spaces, group representations in homogeneous spaces and questions in integral geometry related to them*. I, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. **8** (1959), 321–390; Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **37** (1964), 351–429.
3. R. Godement, *A theory of spherical functions*. I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1952), 496–556.
4. Harish-Chandra, *The characters of semisimple Lie groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1956), 98–163.
5. ———, *Differential operators on a semisimple Lie algebra*, Amer. J. Math. **79** (1957), 87–120.
6. ———, *Spherical functions on a semisimple Lie group*. I, II, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 241–310, 553–613.

<sup>2</sup> Compare the problem indicated in [3, p. 553].

7. ———, *Invariant distributions on Lie algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **86** (1964), 271–309.
8. S. Helgason, *Differential operators on homogeneous spaces*, Acta Math. **102** (1959), 239–299.
9. ———, *Differential geometry and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1962.
10. ———, *Duality and Radon transform for symmetric spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963), 667–692.
11. ———, *A duality in integral geometry; some generalizations of the Radon transform*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1964), 435–446.
12. P-D. Methée, *Sur les distributions invariantes dans le groupe des rotations de Lorentz*, Comment. Math. Helv. **28** (1954), 225–269.
13. M. Sugiura, *Representations of compact groups realized by spherical functions on symmetric spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad. **38** (3) (1962), 111–113.

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY