

GENERALIZED UNITARY OPERATORS¹

BY FUMI-YUKI MAEDA

Communicated by Maurice Heins, March 10, 1965

1. Let C be the complex field and Γ be the unit circle $\{\lambda \in C: |\lambda| = 1\}$. For a non-negative integer m or for $m = \infty$, let $C^m(\Gamma)$ be the space of all m -times continuously differentiable functions on Γ . (Here we consider Γ as a C^∞ -manifold in the natural way. Thus, any $f \in C^m(\Gamma)$ can be identified with an m -times continuously differentiable periodic function $f(\theta)$ of a real variable θ with period 2π .) $C^m(\Gamma)$ is an algebra as well as a Banach space if m is finite, a Fréchet space if $m = \infty$, with the usual sup-norms for derivatives.

We shall say that a mapping γ of Γ into C is a C^m -curve if γ can be extended onto a neighborhood V of Γ (the extended map will also be denoted by γ) in such a way that it is one-to-one on V and γ and γ^{-1} are both m -times continuously differentiable (as functions in two variables) on V and $\gamma(V)$ respectively.

Let E be a Hausdorff locally convex space over C such that the space $\mathcal{L}(E)$ of all continuous linear operators on E endowed with the bounded convergence topology is quasi-complete.

2. $C^m(\gamma)$ -operators.

DEFINITION. Let γ be a C^m -curve. $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ is called a $C^m(\gamma)$ -operator if there exists a continuous algebra homomorphism W of $C^m(\Gamma)$ into $\mathcal{L}(E)$ such that $W(1) = I$ and $W(\gamma) = T$. If γ is the identity map: $\gamma(\theta) = e^{i\theta}$, then a $C^m(\gamma)$ -operator is called a C^m -unitary operator. (Cf. Kantrovtz' approach in [1].)

THEOREM 1. *If T is a $C^m(\gamma)$ -operator, then $\text{Sp}(T) \subseteq \gamma(\Gamma)$.*²

If H is a Hilbert space, $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is a C^0 -unitary operator if and only if it is similar to a unitary operator on H . In this sense, C^m -unitary operators on E generalize the notion of unitary operators on a Hilbert space.

The homomorphism W in the above definition is uniquely determined by T and γ . Thus, we call W the $C^m(\gamma)$ -representation for T . The uniqueness can be derived from the following approximation theorem: *Given a C^m -curve γ , let λ_0 be a point inside the Jordan curve*

¹ This research was supported by the U. S. Army Research Office (Durham, North Carolina) under Contract No. DA-31-124-ARO(D)288.

² $\text{Sp}(T)$ is the spectrum of T in Waelbroeck's sense. See [2] for the definition.

$\gamma(\Gamma)$. Then the set $\{(P \circ \gamma)/(\gamma - \lambda_0)^n; P: \text{polynomial in one complex variable, } n: \text{integer } \geq 0\}$ is dense in $C^m(\Gamma)$.

THEOREM 2. Let T be a $C^m(\gamma)$ -operator for a C^m -curve γ and let W be the $C^m(\gamma)$ -representation for T .

(i) If $A \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ commutes with T , then A commutes with each $W(f)$, $f \in C^m(\Gamma)$.

(ii) If F is a closed subspace of E left invariant under T and $(\lambda_0 I - T)^{-1}$ for some λ_0 inside of $\gamma(\Gamma)$, then it is left invariant under any $W(f)$, $f \in C^m(\Gamma)$.

3. Characterization theorem. We recall ([2] and [4]) that $S \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ with compact spectrum is called a C^m -scalar operator if there exists a continuous homomorphism U of the topological algebra³ $C^m \equiv C^m(R^2) \equiv C^m(C)$ into $\mathcal{L}(E)$ such that $U(1) = I$ and $U(\lambda) = S$.⁴ In this case, the support of U is contained in $\text{Sp}(S)$.

Now, we consider the following statements concerning $S \in \mathcal{L}(E)$, depending on m and a C^m -curve γ :

I $_{\gamma}(m)$: S is a $C^m(\gamma)$ -operator.

II $_{\gamma}(m)$: S is a C^m -scalar operator such that $\text{Sp}(S) \subseteq \gamma(\Gamma)$.

III (m) : $S^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ and for each continuous semi-norm q on $\mathcal{L}(E)$, there exist a non-negative integer m_q ($=m$, if m is finite) and $M_q > 0$ such that

$$(1) \quad q(S^k) \leq M_q |k|^{m_q} \quad \text{for all } k = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

(Cf. [1].)

IV $_{\gamma}(m)$: $\text{Sp}(S) \subseteq \gamma(\Gamma)$ and for each continuous semi-norm q on $\mathcal{L}(E)$, there exist a non-negative integer m_q ($=m$, if m is finite) and $M'_q > 0$ such that

$$(2) \quad q(R_{\lambda}) \leq M'_q d_{\lambda}^{-m_q-1} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \text{ with } 0 < d_{\lambda} < 1,$$

where $R_{\lambda} = (\lambda I - S)^{-1}$ for $\lambda \notin \text{Sp}(S)$ and $d_{\lambda} = \text{dis}(\lambda, \text{Sp}(S))$. (Cf. [6].)

When γ is the identity map, we omit the subscript γ in the notations I $_{\gamma}(m)$, II $_{\gamma}(m)$ and IV $_{\gamma}(m)$; in particular,

I (m) : S is a C^m -unitary operator.

THEOREM 3 (THE CHARACTERISATION THEOREM).

(i) I $(m) \Rightarrow$ II $(m) \Rightarrow$ III $(m) \Rightarrow$ IV $(m) \Rightarrow$ I $(m+2)$. In particular, I (∞) , II (∞) , III (∞) and IV (∞) are mutually equivalent.

(ii) I $_{\gamma}(m) \Rightarrow$ II $_{\gamma}(m) \Rightarrow$ IV $_{\gamma}(m) \Rightarrow$ I $_{\gamma}(m+2)$.⁵

³ C^m is the space of all m -times continuously differential functions on $R^2 = C$. The topology in it is defined by sup. of derivatives on compact sets.

⁴ λ denotes the identity function $f(\lambda) \equiv \lambda$.

⁵ In the implication IV $_{\gamma}(m) \Rightarrow$ I $_{\gamma}(m+2)$, we are assuming that γ is a C^{m+2} -curve.

In particular, $I_\gamma(\infty)$, $II_\gamma(\infty)$ and $IV_\gamma(\infty)$ are mutually equivalent.

4. Here, we shall give indications of proofs of Theorem 3, (i). The proofs of (ii) are similar but more complicated.

$I(m) \Rightarrow II(m)$: If W is the $C^m(e^{i\theta})$ -representation for S , then we define $U(\phi) = W(\phi(e^{i\theta}))$ for $\phi \in C^m$. Then U is a C^m -representation for S .

$II(m) \Rightarrow III(m)$: Since $S^k = U(\lambda^k)$, we obtain (1) evaluating the norms of λ^k on neighborhoods of Γ and using the continuity of U .

$III(m) \Rightarrow IV(m)$: If $|\lambda| < 1$, then $R_\lambda = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k S^{-(k+1)}$; if $|\lambda| > 1$, then $R_\lambda = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-(k+1)} S^k$. Hence, (2) follows from (1).

$IV(m) \Rightarrow I(m+2)$: For $f \in C^{m+2}(\Gamma)$, we define

$$W(f) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) [R_{(1+\epsilon)e^{i\theta}} - R_{(1-\epsilon)e^{i\theta}}] e^{i\theta} d\theta \right\}.$$

By a method due to Tillmann ([5] and [6]), we see that the right-hand side is well-defined and that W is the $C^{m+2}(e^{i\theta})$ -representation for S .

5. Corollary and examples.

COROLLARY. *If S_i ($i=1, 2$) is a C^{m_i} -unitary operator and if S_1 and S_2 commute, then $S_1 S_2$ is a $C^{m_1+m_2+2}$ -unitary operator.*

This is a consequence of Theorem 2, Theorem 3 and the corollary to Proposition 3.1 of [3].

EXAMPLES. Let $\mathcal{S}(R^n)$ be the Fréchet space of rapidly decreasing functions on R^n . $[\mathcal{S}(R^n)]'$ is the space of tempered distributions. Let $E = \mathcal{S}(R^n)$ or $[\mathcal{S}(R^n)]'$. The translations $\tau_\alpha: [\tau_\alpha f](x) = f(x+\alpha)$ are C^∞ -unitary operators on E ; the Fourier transform is a C^2 -unitary operator on E .

REFERENCES

1. S. Kantrovitz, *Classification of operators by means of the operational calculus*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
2. F.-Y. Maeda, *Generalized spectral operators on locally convex spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 13 (1963), 177-192.
3. ———, *Function of generalized scalar operators*, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A-I 26 (1962), 71-76.
4. ———, *On spectral representations of generalized spectral operators*, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A-I 27 (1963), 137-149.
5. H. G. Tillmann, *Darstellung vektorwertiger Distributionen durch holomorphe Funktionen*, Math. Ann. 151 (1963), 286-295.
6. ———, *Eine Erweiterung des Funktionalkalküls für lineare Operatoren*, Math. Ann. 151 (1963), 424-430.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AND

HIROSHIMA UNIVERSITY, HIROSHIMA, JAPAN