

# THE RADIUS OF UNIVALENCE OF THE ERROR FUNCTION

BY ERWIN KREYSZIG AND JOHN TODD

Communicated by Richard Bellman, July 29, 1958

We shall determine the radius of univalence of the error function

$$\operatorname{erf} z = \int_0^z e^{-t^2} dt,$$

that is, the radius of the largest open circular disk,  $|z| < \rho$ , in which  $\operatorname{erf} z$  is schlicht. Some lower bounds for  $\rho$  have been obtained previously, namely:

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} [(\pi^2 + 1)^{1/2} - 1] \right\}^{1/2} = 1.07 \dots, \quad [\text{Nehari, 1}],$$
$$(\pi/2)^{1/2} = 1.25 \dots, \quad [\text{Rogozin, 2}],$$

the largest positive root  $R$ , of  $x - \arctan x = \pi$ , where  $x = (4R^4 - 1)^{1/2}$ ;  $R = 1.51 \dots$ , [Reade, 3]. These bounds were obtained by different, rather general methods. Our methods are based on special properties of  $\operatorname{erf} z$ , and were suggested by a detailed study of actual numerical values of  $\operatorname{erf} z$ , which were computed on the IBM 704 at the National Bureau of Standards by E. Brauer and J. C. Gager.

**THEOREM.** *The radius of univalence of  $\operatorname{erf} z$  is the minimum distance from the origin of points, not on the  $x$ -axis, for which  $\operatorname{erf} z$  is real.*

Two proofs of this are given, one depending on the properties of the maps of  $|z| = r$ , and the other on the properties of the curves in the  $z$ -plane on which  $\arg \operatorname{erf} z$  is constant.

Our proofs have a constructive character and can be used to obtain bounds for  $\rho$ . With a small amount of hand calculation we find

$$1.5666 < \rho < 1.5858.$$

If we make use of the results of the elaborate calculations already referred to, we find that a plausible, seven decimal value of  $\rho$  is 1.5748376.

*Added in proof*, October 10, 1958. We have now shown that the situation is quite different if we use another normalization: the radius of univalence of  $E(z) = \exp z^2 \operatorname{erf} z$  is 0.92413887 . . . .

## REFERENCES

1. Z. Nehari, *The Schwarzian derivative and schlicht functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 55 (1949) pp. 545-551.

2. V. S. Rogozin, *Two sufficient conditions for the univalence of a mapping*, Rostov Gos. Univ. Uč. Zap. Fiz.-Mat. Fak. vol. 32 (1955) pp. 135-137.
3. M. O. Reade, *A radius of univalence for  $\int_0^1 e^{-t^2} dt$* , Preliminary report, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. Abstract 63-3-372.

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY AND  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

## FUNCTIONS WHOSE PARTIAL DERIVATIVES ARE MEASURES

BY WENDELL H. FLEMING

Communicated by W. S. Massey, July 16, 1958

Let  $x$  denote a generic point of euclidean  $N$ -space  $R^N (N \geq 2)$ . We consider the space  $\mathfrak{F}$  of all summable functions  $f(x)$  such that the gradient  $\text{grad } f$  (in the distribution theory sense) is a totally finite measure.  $I(f)$  denotes the total variation of the vector measure  $\text{grad } f$ . In case  $\text{grad } f$  is a function  $F$  we have

$$I(f) = \int_{R^N} |F(x)| dx.$$

We write  $H_k$  for Hausdorff  $k$ -measure; and  $\text{fr } E$  for the frontier of a set  $E$ .  $\text{Fr } E$  is *rectifiable* if it is the Lipschitzian image of a compact subset of  $R^{N-1}$ .

One ought to be able to determine the primitive  $f$  with greater precision than  $\text{grad } f$ , at least in certain cases. Our main result is that indeed  $f$  can be determined up to  $H_{N-1}$ -measure 0 in two (quite opposed) cases: (1)  $\text{grad } f$  is a function; (2) the range of  $f$  is a discrete set, which we may take to be the integers. More precisely, let  $\mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2$  be the sets of those  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$  satisfying (1) and (2) respectively. Let  $\mathfrak{F}_{01}$  be the set of all Lipschitzian functions  $f$  with compact support. Let  $\mathfrak{F}_{02}$  be the set of all functions  $f$  with the following property: there exist a closed oriented  $(N-1)$ -polyhedron  $A$  and a Lipschitzian mapping  $g(w)$  from  $A$  into  $R^N$  such that, for every  $x \in g(A)$ ,  $f(x)$  is the degree of the mapping  $g$  at  $x$ , and  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x \notin g(A)$ . Write  $J(w)$  for the Jacobian vector of  $g(w)$ , wherever it exists. Let  $Q$  denote the set of points  $x \in g(A)$  at which there is a nonunique tangent; more precisely, we say that  $x \in Q$  if there exist  $w, w' \in A$  such that: (1)  $g$  is