

ON SOME GAP THEOREMS FOR EULER'S METHOD
OF SUMMATION OF SERIES

BY YOSHITOMO OKADA

Hardy and Littlewood* have proved the following theorem:

For a given series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n_k}$, ($a_{n_k} \neq 0$), let θ be a fixed constant such that

$$\frac{n_{k+1}}{n_k} \geq \theta > 1, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

If this series be summable by Abel's method of summation to the sum s , then this series is convergent and its sum is s .

Obreschkoff† obtained also a similar result for Cesàro's method. We shall now study these results for Euler's method.

We shall begin with the following theorem:

THEOREM 1.‡ *Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ be a given series summable by Euler's method, that is, if $s_0 = 0$, $s_n = a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_{n-1}$, ($n \geq 1$),*

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \left\{ s_0 + ns_1 + \frac{n(n-1)}{2!} s_2 + \dots + s_n \right\} = s$$

exists; and for two given increasing sequences $\{n_k\}$, $\{n'_k\}$, ($n_k < n'_k$), of integers and for a given number α , ($1 \leq \alpha < 2$), let

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} a_\nu &= 0, \text{ for } n_k < \nu < n'_k, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots), \\ a_n &= O(\alpha^n). \end{aligned}$$

If $\eta'_k / \eta_k \geq (1 + \eta) / (1 - \eta)$, ($k = 1, 2, \dots$), for a positive number η such that

$$(1 + \eta) \log(1 + \eta) + (1 - \eta) \log(1 - \eta) - 2 \log \alpha > 0,$$

then

$$(3) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n_k} a_\nu = s.$$

* Hardy and Littlewood, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, (2), vol. 25 (1926).

† Obreschkoff, Tôhoku Mathematical Journal, vol. 32 (1930).

‡ If, in this theorem, (1) holds uniformly and O of (2) is independent of z when each a_n is a function of z , then (3) also holds uniformly.

PROOF. To prove this, we can consider that all $n'_k - n_k - 1$ are even. Then putting

$$n_k + \frac{n'_k - n_k - 1}{2} + 1 = m$$

we have

$$a_{m-1} = a_{m-2} = \cdots = a_{n_k+1} = 0,$$

$$a_m = a_{m+1} = \cdots = a_{n'_k-1} = 0.$$

Hence, if we put

$$s_n^t = \frac{1}{2^n} \left\{ s_0 + n s_1 + \frac{n(n-1)}{2!} s_2 + \cdots + s_n \right\},$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} s'_{2m} - s_m &= \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \left\{ s_0 + 2m s_1 + \frac{2m(2m-1)}{2!} s_2 + \cdots + s_{2m} \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \left\{ s_m + 2m s_m + \frac{2m(2m-1)}{2!} s_m + \cdots + s_m \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \left\{ - (a_0 + \cdots + a_{n_k}) - 2m(a_1 + \cdots + a_{n_k}) \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{2m(2m-1)}{2!} (a_2 + \cdots + a_{n_k}) - \cdots \\ &\quad - \frac{2m(2m-1) \cdots (2m - n_k + 1)}{n_k!} a_{n_k} \\ &\quad + \frac{2m(2m-1) \cdots (2m - n'_k)}{(n'_k + 1)!} a_{n_k} + \cdots \\ &\quad \left. + (a_{n_k} + \cdots + a_{2m-1}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since from (2) we can find a positive constant M such that $|a_n| < M e^{n \log \alpha}$, ($n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |s'_{2m} - s_m| &< 2M \frac{e^{2m \log \alpha}}{2^{2m}} (n_k + 1)^2 \frac{2m(2m-1) \cdots (2m - n_k + 1)}{n_k!} \\ &< 4M \frac{e^{2m \log \alpha}}{2^{2m}} \frac{2m \Gamma(2m)}{\Gamma(m + \lambda) \Gamma(m - \lambda)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = m - n_k$.

Let us now put

$$f(m) = \frac{e^{2m \log \alpha}}{2^{2m}} \frac{2m\Gamma(2m)}{\Gamma(m-\lambda)\Gamma(m+\lambda)},$$

or

$$f(m) = \frac{e^{2m \log \alpha}}{2^{2m}} \frac{2m\Gamma(2m)}{\Gamma(m(1-\delta))\Gamma(m(1+\delta))},$$

where $\lambda = m\delta$, ($0 < \delta = (n'_k - n_k + 1)/(n'_k + n_k + 1) < 1$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \log f(m) &= 2m \log \alpha - 2m \log 2 + \log(2m) \\ &\quad + (2m - \frac{1}{2}) \log(2m) - 2m + O(1) \\ &\quad - \{m(1-\delta) - \frac{1}{2}\} \log((1-\delta)m) + (1-\delta)m + O(1) \\ &\quad - \{m(1+\delta) - \frac{1}{2}\} \log((1+\delta)m) + (1+\delta)m + O(1) \\ &= -m\phi(\delta) + \frac{1}{2} \log m + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\phi(\delta) = (1+\delta) \log(1+\delta) + (1-\delta) \log(1-\delta) - 2 \log \alpha.$$

For a fixed number η_0 , ($1 > \eta_0 \geq 0$), such that $\phi(\eta_0) = 0$, any number η such that $1 > \eta > \eta_0$ gives $\phi(\eta) > 0$. When η is so fixed, it follows from (1) that

$$\lim s_m = \lim s'_{2m} = s \quad \text{for } 1 > \delta > \eta.$$

On the other hand, from $n'_k/n_k \geq (1+\eta)/(1-\eta)$ we have

$$\frac{n'_k - n_k + 1}{n'_k + n_k + 1} > \eta.$$

Consequently $1 > \delta > \eta$ since

$$\delta = (n'_k - n_k + 1)/(n'_k + n_k + 1).$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_k} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} s_m = s.$$

Thus our theorem is completely proved.

REMARK. From Theorem I and Knopp's* theorem follows immediately Ostrowski's theorem:†

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be a power series whose radius of convergence is 1. If

$$a_\nu = 0 \quad \text{for } n_k < \nu < n'_k,$$

and

$$\frac{n'_k}{n_k} > 1 + \theta, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots),$$

θ being a positive constant, then the partial sums s_{n_k} of this series converge uniformly in a full neighbourhood of every regular point of the function of (z) on the unit circle.

THEOREM 2. Let $\sum a_n$ be a given series summable by Euler's method to the sum s , and for two given increasing sequences $\{n_k\}$, $\{n'_k\}$, $(n_k < n'_k)$, of positive integers and for $p \geq -1$ let

$$\begin{aligned} a_\nu &= 0 \quad \text{for } n_k < \nu < n'_k, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots), \\ a_n &= O(n^p). \end{aligned}$$

If

$$\frac{n'_k}{n_k} \geq 1 + c \left(\frac{3}{2} + p \right) \left(\frac{\log n_k}{n_k} \right)^{1/2}$$

holds for any given number c greater than 2, and for all sufficiently great integers k , then we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n_k} a_\nu = s.$$

PROOF. From the assumption we can take c' and q such that

$$\frac{n'_k - n_k}{n_k} \geq c' \left(\frac{3}{2} + p + q \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\log n_k}{n_k} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (c' > 2, q > 0).$$

Therefore

* Knopp, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, vol. 15 (1922).

† Zygmund (*Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 6 (1931)) proved Ostrowski's theorem similarly for the Borel method of summation.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n'_k - n_k}{n'_k + n_k} &\cong c' \left(\frac{3}{2} + p + q \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\log n_k}{n_k} \right)^{1/2} \\ &: \left(c' \left(\frac{3}{2} + p + q \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\log n_k}{n_k} \right)^{1/2} + 2 \right) \\ &\cong \left(\frac{3}{2} + p + q \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\log n_k}{n_k} \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

for all sufficiently great integers k . Hence from

$$\delta = \frac{n'_k - n_k + 1}{n'_k + n_k + 1} > \frac{n'_k - n_k}{n'_k + n_k}$$

we get

$$\delta^2 \cong \left(\frac{3}{2} + p + q \right) \frac{\log m}{m}, \quad \left(m = \frac{n'_k + n_k + 1}{2} \right).$$

Consequently, from

$$(1 + \delta) \log(1 + \delta) + (1 - \delta) \log(1 - \delta) > \delta^2,$$

we have

$$(1 + \delta) \log(1 + \delta) + (1 - \delta) \log(1 - \delta) > \left(\frac{3}{2} + p + q \right) \frac{\log m}{m},$$

whence as in the proof of Theorem I we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |s'_{2m} - s_m| &< Mm \frac{(2m)^p}{2^{2m}} \frac{\Gamma(2m)}{\Gamma(m(1-\delta))\Gamma(m(1+\delta))} \\ &< M' \exp \left[m \left\{ \left(\frac{3}{2} + p \right) \frac{\log m}{m} - (1 + \delta) \log(1 + \delta) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - (1 - \delta) \log(1 - \delta) \right\} + O(1) \right] \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad (m \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned}$$

M, M' being constants. Therefore

$$\lim s_{n_k} = \lim s_m = s.$$