

# ON THE POLYNOMIAL OF THE BEST APPROXIMATION TO A GIVEN CONTINUOUS FUNCTION\*

BY J. SHOHAT (JACQUES CHOKHATE)

1. *A Theorem on Minimizing Polynomials.* Let  $f(x)$  and  $p(x)$  be defined on a finite interval  $(a, b)$ ;  $f(x)$  is bounded and integrable,  $p(x)$  is integrable and not negative.

THEOREM I.† If there exist two numbers  $\alpha, \beta$  such that  $a \leq \alpha < \beta \leq b$ , and such that

$$\int_c^d p(x) dx > 0$$

whenever  $\alpha \leq c < d \leq \beta$ , then there exists one and only one polynomial of degree  $\leq n$  minimizing the integral

$$I_{nk} = \int_a^b p(x) |f(x) - U_{nk}(x)|^k dx,$$

where

$$U_{nk}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n u_{ik} x^i,$$

provided that  $k > 1$ . If  $k = 1$ , the proof of existence applies without change; and the approximating polynomial is unique, if  $f(x)$  is continuous on  $(a, b)$ , and if

$$\int_c^d p(x) dx > 0$$

whenever  $a \leq c < d \leq b$ .

The proof may be organized as follows.

\* Presented to the Society, December 26, 1924. The author wishes to acknowledge with appreciation many helpful suggestions made by Professor D. Jackson in connection with this paper.

† Cf. G. Pólya, *Sur un algorithme . . .*, COMPTES RENDUS, vol. 157 (1913), pp. 840-843; D. Jackson, *On functions of closest approximation*, TRANSACTIONS OF THIS SOCIETY, vol. 22 (1921), pp. 117-128, *Note on a class of polynomials of approximation*, *ibid.*, vol. 22 (1921), pp. 320-326, *A generalized problem in weighted approximation*, *ibid.*, vol. 26 (1924), pp. 133-154, *Note on the convergence of weighted trigonometric series*, this BULLETIN, vol. 29 (1923), pp. 259-263.

2. *Existence of a Minimizing Polynomial.* The value of  $n$  being regarded as fixed, take

$$(1) \quad \delta = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{8n^2}.$$

For  $y \pm \delta$  belonging to  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , we have

$$(2) \quad \int_y^{y+\delta} p(x) dx, \quad \int_{y-\delta}^y p(x) dx \geq h(\delta) = h_n,$$

since these integrals are continuous and positive functions of  $y$ ;  $h_n$  depends on  $n$  only. For an arbitrarily large  $A > 0$  we can find, according to Kirchberger,\* certain  $K_i$  such that *any one* of the inequalities  $|u_{ik}| > K_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) implies

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \max |U_{nk}(x)| \text{ in } (\alpha, \beta) &= |U_{nk}(\xi)| = M > 2\Phi + 2\sqrt[k]{A/h_n}, \\ \Phi &= \max |f(x)| \text{ in } (a, b), \quad \alpha \leq \xi \leq \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Using Markoff's theorem† and (1), we find, for  $|x - \xi| \leq \delta$ ,

$$|U_{nk}(x) - U_{nk}(\xi)| \leq |x - \xi| \cdot \frac{2n^2 M}{\beta - \alpha} \leq \frac{M}{4},$$

$$|U_n(x)| > \frac{M}{2} > \Phi + \sqrt[k]{A/h_n},$$

$$(4) \quad |f(x) - U_{nk}(x)| > \sqrt[k]{A/h_n},$$

whence it follows that  $I_{nk} > A$ , since at least one of the intervals  $(\xi - \delta, \xi)$ ,  $(\xi, \xi + \delta)$  belongs to  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . Therefore we must take  $|u_{ik}| \leq K_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), which proves the existence of a minimizing polynomial. We use the notation

$$(5) \quad M_{nk} = \min I_{nk} = \int_a^b p(x) |f(x) - P_{nk}(x)|^k dx.$$

---

\* *Ueber Tchebycheffsche Annäherungsmethoden*, Dissertation, Göttingen, 1902.

† Cf., e. g., M. Riesz, *Eine trigonometrische Interpolationsformel*, ..., JAHRESBERICHT DER DEUTSCHEN MATHEMATIKER - VEREINIGUNG, vol. 23 (1914), pp. 354-368; pp. 359-360.

3. *Uniqueness of the Solution.* Case I:  $k > 1$ . It can be easily shown that

$$(6) \quad |\tfrac{1}{2}(x+y)|^k < \tfrac{1}{2}(|x|^k + |y|^k)$$

if  $k > 1$  and  $x \neq y$ . Assuming the existence of two non-identical solutions  $\varphi_1(x) = f(x) - P'_{nk}(x)$ ,  $\varphi_2(x) = f(x) - P''_{nk}(x)$ , we get, using in (6) the function  $\varphi_3(x) = \tfrac{1}{2}[\varphi_1(x) + \varphi_2(x)]$ ,

$$(7) \quad \int_a^b p(x) |\varphi_3(x)|^k dx < \tfrac{1}{2} M_{nk} + \tfrac{1}{2} M_{nk} = M_{nk},$$

which is impossible.

Case II:  $k = 1$ . Proceeding as above, we get the impossible inequality (7), unless  $\varphi_1(x)\varphi_2(x) \geq 0$  for  $a \leq x \leq b$ . Evidently every function of the type

$$(8) \quad \varphi_3(x) = h\varphi_1(x) + l\varphi_2(x) = \varphi_1(x) + l\psi(x),$$

where  $\psi(x) = \varphi_2(x) - \varphi_1(x)$ ,  $h, l > 0$ ,  $h + l = 1$ , is also a solution. Inasmuch as  $\varphi_1(x)$  and  $\varphi_2(x)$  can never have opposite signs, all roots of  $\varphi_3(x)$  are the roots common to  $\varphi_1(x)$  and  $\varphi_2(x)$ , or, what is the same, to  $\varphi_1(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$ . Since  $\psi(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq n$ , we conclude:

*If there exist two solutions  $\varphi_1(x)$ ,  $\varphi_2(x)$ , there exists necessarily a third one  $\varphi_3(x)$ , which has not more than  $n$  roots in  $(a, b)$ . We shall prove that the last conclusion leads to a contradiction.\**

Let the zeros of  $\varphi_3(x)$  in  $(a, b)$  be

$$(9) \quad x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_m \quad (m \leq n).$$

We have necessarily  $m > 0$ ; otherwise the function  $\varphi(x) = \varphi_3(x) + \eta$  with a properly chosen  $\eta$  gives  $I_{nk} < M_{nk}$ . Consider now two groups of the roots (9):

$$z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{m'}; \quad y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{m''} \quad (m' + m'' = m),$$

where the  $z$ 's are the roots, if any, at which  $\varphi_3(x)$  changes sign, and the  $y$ 's are those at which it does not. Form the polynomial

---

\* In the case that  $f(x) \equiv x^{n+1}$ , it was established in my Thesis that the number of roots is  $n + 1$ .

$$(10) \quad \prod(x) = \pm \prod_{i=1}^{m'} (x - z_i) \quad (\prod(x) = 1 \text{ for } m' = 0),$$

where the sign  $\pm$  is chosen so that  $\prod(x) \varphi_s(x) \geq 0$  in  $(a, b)$ . Draw the curve  $y = |\prod(x)|$  and the line  $y = \varepsilon$  with  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small. Project the points of intersection on the  $x$ -axis. Thus we get a set of points  $Z$ , made up of  $m'$  intervals

$$(11) \quad (z_i - \delta'_i, z_i + \delta'_i) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m'),$$

and a complementary set  $K = b - a - Z$ , such that

$$(12) \quad \varepsilon = \max |\prod(x)| \text{ on } Z = \min |\prod(x)| \text{ on } K.$$

It is evident that for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small all maxima points of the curve and all  $y$ 's are outside  $Z$ , and

$$(13) \quad \int_K p(x) dx > 3 \int_Z p(x) dx.$$

The numbers  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta'_i, \delta''_i$  being fixed, we take  $\delta > 0$  so small that the set of points

$$(14) \quad Y: y_j - \delta \leq x \leq y_j + \delta \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, m'')$$

is outside  $Z$ , and

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_Y p(x) dx &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2M} \left( \int_K p(x) dx - \int_Z p(x) dx \right), \\ \int_Y p(x) dx &< \int_Z p(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M$  is the maximum of  $|\prod(x)|$  in  $(a, b)$ . Since  $\varphi_s(x)$  is continuous and has no zeros in

$$(16) \quad K' = b - a - Z - Y = K - Y,$$

we have

$$(17) \quad |\varphi_s(x)| \geq h' > 0 \text{ on } K'.$$

We form now the function

$$(18) \quad \varphi(x) = \varphi_s(x) - \eta \prod(x), \quad 0 < \eta < h'/M,$$

and observe that

$$(19) \quad \begin{cases} |\varphi(x)| = |g_3(x) - \eta \prod(x)| & \text{on } K', \\ |\varphi(x)| \leq |g_3(x) + \eta \prod(x)| & \text{on } Z + Y, \end{cases}$$

whence, by combination with (12), (13), and (15), we get the desired contradiction:

$$\int_a^b p(x) |\varphi(x)| dx < \int_a^b p(x) |g_3(x)| dx = M_{n1}.$$

The existence proof gives incidentally the following corollary.

COROLLARY. *To an arbitrarily large  $A > 0$  there correspond certain  $K_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) such that any one of the inequalities  $|u_i| > K_i$  implies that*

$$\int_a^b p(x) \left| f(x) - \sum_{i=0}^n u_i x^i \right|^k dx > A,$$

where  $P(x)$  and  $f(x)$  have the properties specified, and  $k \geq 1$ .

4. *Tchebychef Approximating Polynomials.* THEOREM II. *Let  $f(x)$  be continuous in  $(a, b)$ ,  $p(x) \geq 0$ , and*

$$\int_a^\beta p(x) dx > 0$$

whenever  $a \leq \alpha < \beta \leq b$ . Then, for  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , the minimizing polynomial  $P_{nk}(x)$  tends uniformly to the polynomial  $T_n(f)$ , of degree  $\leq n$ , which gives the closest approximation to  $f(x)$  in the interval  $(a, b)$ , in the sense of Tchebychef.

The proof is very similar to that given by G. Pólya, loc. cit. We assume, without loss of generality,

$$(20) \quad \int_a^b p(x) dx = 1,$$

replacing, if necessary,  $p(x)$  by  $cp(x)$ ,  $c > 0$  being properly chosen. We have evidently, taking  $k > 1$ ,

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} M_{nk} &= \min I_{nk} < E_n^k, \\ E_n &= \max |f(x) - T_n(f)| \text{ for } a \leq x \leq b. \end{aligned}$$

If  $a < \alpha < \beta < b$ , and  $|f(x) - P_{nk}(x)|$  attains its minimum in  $(\alpha, \beta)$  at  $x = z$ , then we get, using (21),

$$|P_{nk}(z)| < \frac{E_n}{\sqrt[k]{\int_a^b p(x) dx}} + \Phi < \frac{E_n}{\int_a^b p(x) dx} + \Phi, \quad (22)$$

$$\Phi = \max |f(x)| \text{ in } (a, b).$$

We form now  $n + 1$  partial intervals  $(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$  all belonging to  $(a, b)$  and separated by segments of a certain length  $\delta$ , and we use (22). *Since the right-hand member in (22) does not depend on  $k$ , we can follow the reasoning of G. Pólya and prove (a) the coefficients in  $P_{nk}(x)$ , considered as functions of  $k$ , are bounded, so that the sequence  $(P_{nk})$  admits one or several limiting polynomials; (b) all these limiting polynomials coincide with the polynomial of best approximation  $T_n(f)$ , which is known to be unique.*

COROLLARY. *The polynomial minimizing the integral*

$$\int_a^b p(x) |x^n + \dots|^k dx$$

*tends for  $k \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly to*

$$\frac{1}{B} \cos(n \arccos y), \quad y = \frac{2x - a - b}{b - a},$$

*for every  $p(x)$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem II, where  $B (= 2^{2n-1}/(b-a)^n)$  is the coefficient of  $x^n$  in  $\cos(n \arccos y)$ .*

In fact,  $x^n - (1/B) \cos(n \arccos y)$  is the polynomial of degree  $\leq n - 1$  giving the best approximation to  $x^n$  in  $(a, b)$ .

The results given above hold if we replace polynomials by trigonometric sums, provided  $p(x)$  and  $f(x)$  are periodic functions.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO