

$$N = \frac{1}{m_1} \left[ \sum_{\sigma=0}^{(m_1-1)(p_2-2)} P(0, 1, \dots, p_2-2)^{m_1-1} \sigma + \psi \right],$$

where  $P(0, 1, \dots, p_2-2)^{m_1-1} \sigma$  stands for the number of partitions of  $\sigma$  in  $(m_1-1)$ 's by the numbers  $0, 1, \dots, p_2-2$ ; and  $\psi$  is a determinate function of  $p_2$  and  $m_1$ .

SPRINGFIELD, MO.,  
December, 1905.

### A DEFINITION OF QUATERNIONS BY INDEPENDENT POSTULATES.\*

BY MISS R. L. CARSTENS.

(Read before the American Mathematical Society, February 24, 1906.)

#### § 1. *Quaternions with respect to a Domain D.*†

THE usual theory relates to quaternions  $a_1 + a_2i + a_3j + a_4k$  in which the coefficients  $a_i$  range independently over all real numbers or else over all complex numbers, and the units have the following multiplication table :

	1	<i>i</i>	<i>j</i>	<i>k</i>
1	1	<i>i</i>	<i>j</i>	<i>k</i>
<i>i</i>	<i>i</i>	-1	<i>k</i>	- <i>j</i>
<i>j</i>	<i>j</i>	- <i>k</i>	-1	<i>i</i>
<i>k</i>	<i>k</i>	<i>j</i>	- <i>i</i>	-1

These conditions give the real quaternion system and the octonion system.‡ As an obvious generalization, the coefficients may range independently over all the elements of any domain  $D$ .

\*See Dickson, "On hypercomplex number systems," *Transactions Amer. Math. Society*, vol. 6 (1905).

† A domain consists of any class of elements.

‡ Octonions may be considered as quaternions with complex coefficients.

§ 2. *The Postulates.*

A set of four ordered elements  $a = [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4]$  of  $D$  will be called a quaternion  $a$ . The symbol  $a = [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4]$  employed is purely positional, without functional connotation. Its definition implies that  $a = b$  if and only if  $a_1 = b_1, a_2 = b_2, a_3 = b_3, a_4 = b_4$ .

*Postulate I.* If  $a$  and  $b$  are any two quaternions, then  $s = [a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, a_3 + b_3, a_4 + b_4]$  is a quaternion.

*Definition.* Addition of quaternions is defined by  $a \oplus b = s$ .

*Postulate II.*  $0 = [0, 0, 0, 0]$  is a quaternion.

*Postulate III.* If  $0$  is a quaternion, then to any quaternion  $a$  corresponds a quaternion  $a'$  such that  $a \oplus a' = 0$ .

*Theorem 1.* Quaternions form a commutative group under addition.

*Postulate IV.*  $a$  and  $b$  being any two quaternions, then  $a \oplus b = p = [p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4]$  is a quaternion, where

$$p_1 = a_1b_1 - a_2b_2 - a_3b_3 - a_4b_4, \quad p_3 = a_1b_3 - a_2b_4 + a_3b_1 + a_4b_2$$

$$p_2 = a_1b_2 + a_2b_1 + a_3b_4 - a_4b_3, \quad p_4 = a_1b_4 + a_2b_3 - a_3b_2 + a_4b_1,$$

if the  $p_i$ 's are in  $D$ .

*Definition.* The product of two quaternions is defined by  $a \otimes b = p$ .

*Theorem 2.* Multiplication is not commutative.

*Theorem 3.* Multiplication is distributive (right and left).

*Theorem 4.* Multiplication is associative.

To make quaternions four dimensional we add a fifth postulate :

*Postulate V.* If  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4$  are elements of  $D$  such that  $\tau_1a_1 + \tau_2a_2 + \tau_3a_3 + \tau_4a_4 = 0$  for every quaternion  $a$ , then  $\tau_1 = 0, \tau_2 = 0, \tau_3 = 0, \tau_4 = 0$ .

*Theorem 5.* There exist four quaternions  $\epsilon_i = [a_{i1}, a_{i2}, a_{i3}, a_{i4}]$  such that  $|a_{ij}| \neq 0$ .

§ 3. *Identification with Ordinary Quaternions.*

The quaternion system as thus defined is holoedrically isomorphic with the quaternions of Hamilton, the coefficients belonging to the same domain  $D$ .

The quaternions  $e_1 = [1, 0, 0, 0], e_2 = [0, 1, 0, 0], e_3 = [0, 0, 1, 0], e_4 = [0, 0, 0, 1]$  form a four dimensional system since

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$$

By postulate IV and the definition of multiplication, these quaternions  $e$  have the multiplication table

	$e_1$	$e_2$	$e_3$	$e_4$
$e_1$	$e_1$	$e_2$	$e_3$	$e_4$
$e_2$	$e_2$	$-e_1$	$e_4$	$-e_3$
$e_3$	$e_3$	$-e_4$	$-e_1$	$e_2$
$e_4$	$e_4$	$e_3$	$-e_2$	$-e_1$

which, apart from symbolism, is the same as the table of § 1.

#### § 4. *On the Independence of the Postulates.*

If  $D$  is a domain admitting addition and subtraction, postulates II and III are redundant.

Aside from this case, postulates I-V are independent as shown by the following systems :

(I) Elements  $0$ ,  $[\pm 1, 0, 0, 0]$ ,  $[0, \pm 1, 0, 0]$ ,  $[0, 0, \pm 1, 0]$ ,  $[0, 0, 0, \pm 1]$ .

(II)  $D$  is the domain of positive integers.

(III) Set (II) with  $0$  added.

(IV)  $D$  is the domain of complex numbers, the  $a_i$  being pure imaginaries.

(V)  $a_1$  arbitrary ; other  $a$ 's =  $0$ .

THE UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO,

BOULDER, COLO.,

*February, 1906.*