Vortex equation and reflexive sheaves

Indranil Biswas and Matthias Stemmler

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research,
Homi Bhabha Road, Bombay 400005, India
indranil@math.tifr.res.in
stemmler@math.tifr.res.in

Abstract

It is known that given a stable holomorphic pair (E, ϕ) , where E is a holomorphic vector bundle on a compact Kähler manifold X and ϕ is a holomorphic section of E, the vector bundle E admits a Hermitian metric solving the vortex equation. We generalize this to pairs (\mathcal{E}, ϕ) , where \mathcal{E} is a reflexive sheaf on X.

1 Introduction

The Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence states that a holomorphic vector bundle E on a compact Kähler manifold (X, ω) admits a Hermitian–Einstein metric if and only if it is polystable in the sense of Mumford–Takemoto. In [5, 6, 9, 10], Bradlow and García–Prada established a generalization of this correspondence to the context of holomorphic pairs, consisting of a holomorphic vector bundle E and a global holomorphic section ϕ which is not identically equal to zero. Instead of Hermitian–Einstein metrics, they

e-print archive: http://lanl.arXiv.org/abs/1111.6517v1

considered Hermitian metrics on E satisfying the so-called τ -vortex equation, which additionally depends on the section ϕ and a real parameter τ . This equation can be seen as a dimensional reduction of the Hermitian–Einstein equation for an SU(2)-equivariant vector bundle on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$, where SU(2) acts trivially on X and in the standard way on \mathbb{P}^1 [11]. The notion of a stable vector bundle on X extends to the notion of τ -stable holomorphic pairs. Using the dimensional reduction procedure it was shown that a τ -stable holomorphic pair admits a Hermitian metric satisfying the τ -vortex equation.

In [3], Bando and Siu generalized the notion of Hermitian–Einstein metrics to reflexive sheaves \mathcal{E} by considering a special class of Hermitian metrics on the locally free part of \mathcal{E} called admissible metrics. They proved that every stable reflexive sheaf on a compact Kähler manifold admits an admissible Hermitian–Einstein metric. This work of Bando and Siu has turned out to be extremely useful testified by its numerous applications.

Our aim here is to unify these two generalizations to obtain an analogous existence theorem for pairs consisting of a reflexive sheaf and a global section. This answers a question of Tian and Yang (see Remark 1 in [12] after [12, Theorem 4.6]).

We prove the following (see Theorem 13 and Corollary 17):

Theorem 1. Let (\mathcal{E}, ϕ) be a reflexive sheaf pair on a compact Kähler manifold (X, ω) , and let τ be a real number. Let $S \subset X$ be the singular set for \mathcal{E} , and

$$\widehat{\tau} = \frac{\tau \operatorname{vol}(X)}{4\pi}.$$

Then (\mathcal{E}, ϕ) is $\widehat{\tau}$ -polystable if and only if there exists an admissible Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{E}|_{X\setminus S}$ satisfying the τ -vortex equation.

In [4] a corresponding result for Higgs sheaves was established.

2 Preparations and statement of the theorem

Let (X, ω) be a compact connected Kähler manifold of complex dimension n, and let \mathcal{E} be a torsion-free coherent analytic sheaf on X. We recall a few standard definitions.

Definition 2.

(1) The degree of \mathcal{E} (with respect to ω) is defined in terms of cohomology classes as follows:

$$\deg(\mathcal{E}) := \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \left(c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cup [\omega]^{n-1} \right) \cap [X] \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = c_1(\det \mathcal{E})$ is the first Chern class of \mathcal{E} , defined using the determinant line bundle $\det \mathcal{E}$ of \mathcal{E} , and $[\omega] \in H^2(X,\mathbb{R})$ is the cohomology class of ω .

- (2) The singular set for \mathcal{E} is the closed analytic subset of X outside which \mathcal{E} is locally free.
- (3) If $rank(\mathcal{E}) > 0$, the *slope* of \mathcal{E} is defined to be the ratio

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}) := \frac{\deg(\mathcal{E})}{\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{E})}.$$

Here, $rank(\mathcal{E})$ denotes the rank of \mathcal{E} outside its singular set.

Definition 3.

(1) \mathcal{E} is said to be *stable* (with respect to ω) if

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}') < \mu(\mathcal{E})$$

holds for every coherent analytic subsheaf \mathcal{E}' of \mathcal{E} with $0 < \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{E}') < \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{E})$.

(2) \mathcal{E} is said to be *polystable* if \mathcal{E} is a direct sum

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{E}_m$$

of stable subsheaves with the same slope $\mu(\mathcal{E}_i) = \mu(\mathcal{E})$ for all i.

For later use, we also explain how this relates to group actions. Let G be a compact Lie group acting holomorphically on X and preserving the Kähler form ω . Then there is a G-invariant version of the above definition. Namely, let \mathcal{E} be G-equivariant, meaning \mathcal{E} is equipped with a lift of the action of G on X to \mathcal{E} .

Definition 4. \mathcal{E} is said to be *G-invariantly stable* (with respect to ω) if

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}') < \mu(\mathcal{E})$$

holds for every G-invariant coherent analytic subsheaf \mathcal{E}' of \mathcal{E} with $0 < \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{E}') < \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{E})$.

Now let E be a holomorphic vector bundle on X.

Definition 5. A Hermitian metric h on E is called a *Hermitian–Einstein metric* (with respect to ω) if

$$\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega}F_h = \lambda \operatorname{id}_E$$
 for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

where Λ_{ω} is the adjoint of forming the wedge product with ω , F_h is the curvature of the Chern connection for h and id_E is the identity automorphism of E.

There is a correspondence between stability and Hermitian–Einstein metrics known as the Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence, which was proved by Donaldson [7,8] and Uhlenbeck and Yau [13,14]. We recall it:

Theorem 6. A holomorphic vector bundle E on X admits a Hermitian–Einstein metric if and only if E is polystable.

In [3], Bando and Siu established a Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence for the more general situation of reflexive sheaves.

Let \mathcal{E} be a torsion-free coherent analytic sheaf on X. Let $S \subset X$ be the singular set for \mathcal{E} . So S is a closed complex analytic subset of X of codimension at least 2. We recall the definition of Hermitian–Einstein metrics for sheaves.

Definition 7. A Hermitian metric h on the holomorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{E}|_{X\backslash S}$ is called *admissible* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (A1) The Chern curvature F_h of h is square-integrable.
- (A2) The contracted Chern curvature $\Lambda_{\omega}F_h$ is bounded.

Using a heat equation approach, Bando and Siu proved the following version of the Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence.

Theorem 8 ([3], Theorem 3). A reflexive sheaf \mathcal{E} on a compact Kähler manifold admits an admissible Hermitian–Einstein metric if and only if \mathcal{E} is polystable.

In what follows, we will be concerned with what we call sheaf pairs.

Definition 9. A sheaf pair on X is a pair (\mathcal{E}, ϕ) , where

- \mathcal{E} is a coherent analytic sheaf on X, and
- $\phi \in H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \setminus \{0\}$ is a global section of \mathcal{E} (so ϕ is not identically zero).

A sheaf pair (\mathcal{E}, ϕ) is called *torsion-free* (respectively, *reflexive*) if \mathcal{E} is a torsion-free (respectively, reflexive) sheaf on X. If \mathcal{E} is locally free, then (\mathcal{E}, ϕ) is called a *holomorphic pair*.

In [6], Bradlow introduced a notion of stability for holomorphic pairs (see also [11]) depending on a real parameter τ ; the definition extends to the set-up of torsion-free sheaf pairs.

Definition 10. Let τ be a real number. A torsion-free sheaf pair (\mathcal{E}, ϕ) is called τ -stable (with respect to ω) if the following conditions are satisfied.

- $\mu(\mathcal{E}') < \tau$ for every coherent analytic subsheaf \mathcal{E}' of \mathcal{E} with rank $(\mathcal{E}') > 0$.
- $\mu(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}') > \tau$ for every coherent analytic subsheaf \mathcal{E}' of \mathcal{E} with $0 < \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{E}') < \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\phi \in H^0(X, \mathcal{E}')$.

A torsion-free sheaf pair (\mathcal{E}, ϕ) is called τ -polystable if it is either τ -stable or \mathcal{E} decomposes as a direct sum

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}' \oplus \mathcal{E}''$$

of coherent analytic subsheaves such that $\phi \in H^0(X, \mathcal{E}')$, the sheaf pair (\mathcal{E}', ϕ) is τ -stable and the sheaf \mathcal{E}'' is polystable with slope $\mu(\mathcal{E}'') = \tau$.

In the case of holomorphic pairs, the appropriate replacement for a Hermitian–Einstein metric is a metric satisfying the so-called vortex equation, which also depends on a real parameter τ and has been studied by Bradlow [5,6] and García–Prada [9,10].

Definition 11. Let τ be a real number. Given a holomorphic pair (E, ϕ) , a Hermitian metric h on E is said to satisfy the τ -vortex equation if

$$\Lambda_{\omega} F_h - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \phi \circ \phi^* + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \tau \operatorname{id}_E = 0,$$

where ϕ is regarded as a homomorphism from the trivial holomorphic Hermitian line bundle on X to E and ϕ^* denotes the adjoint of ϕ with respect to h.

The following theorem is proved in [11] (see [11, Theorem 4.33]).

Theorem 12. Let (E, ϕ) be a holomorphic pair on a compact Kähler manifold (X, ω) , and let τ be a real number. If (E, ϕ) is $\widehat{\tau}$ -stable, where

$$\widehat{\tau} = \frac{\tau \operatorname{vol}(X)}{4\pi},$$

then there exists a Hermitian metric on E satisfying the τ -vortex equation. Here, vol(X) denotes the volume of X with respect to ω .

We will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 13. Let (\mathcal{E}, ϕ) be a reflexive sheaf pair on a compact Kähler manifold (X, ω) , and let τ be a real number. Let $S \subset X$ be the singular set for \mathcal{E} , and define

$$\widehat{\tau} = \frac{\tau \operatorname{vol}(X)}{4\pi}.$$

If (\mathcal{E}, ϕ) is $\widehat{\tau}$ -stable, then there exists an admissible Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{E}|_{X \setminus S}$ satisfying the τ -vortex equation.

Remark 14. If $\phi = 0$, then the τ -vortex equation imposes the constraint that $\hat{\tau} = \mu(\mathcal{E})$. However, given a stable reflexive sheaf \mathcal{E} , the pair $(\mathcal{E}, 0)$ is τ' -stable for all $\tau' = \mu(\mathcal{E}) + \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, implying that the above constraint is violated. This accounts for the condition $\phi \neq 0$ in the definition of sheaf pairs.

The rest of this note will be concerned with the proof of Theorem 13. We first apply the technique of dimensional reduction to obtain an SU(2)-invariantly stable reflexive sheaf on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Then we show that the admissible Hermitian–Einstein metric obtained by Theorem 8 yields an admissible Hermitian metric satisfying the vortex equation.

3 Proof of Theorem 13

Suppose we are in the situation of Theorem 13. First we apply the technique of dimensional reduction for sheaf pairs, which was developed in [11].

Consider the compact complex manifold $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$, where \mathbb{P}^1 is the complex projective line. Let

$$p\,:\, X\times \mathbb{P}^1\, \longrightarrow\, X \quad \text{and} \quad q\,:\, X\times \mathbb{P}^1\, \longrightarrow\, \mathbb{P}^1$$

be the natural projections. Let SU(2) act on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ by acting trivially on X and in the standard way on \mathbb{P}^1 , by regarding \mathbb{P}^1 as $(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^* = SU(2)/U(1)$.

According to [11] (see [11, Proof of Theorem 4.9]), the sheaf pair (\mathcal{E}, ϕ) defines an SU(2)-equivariant coherent analytic sheaf \mathcal{F} on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ given as an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow p^* \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow q^* \mathcal{O}(2) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathcal{O}(2) = T\mathbb{P}^1$ is the line bundle of degree 2 on \mathbb{P}^1 (see also [1, Theorem 1.1]). To see how the section ϕ is involved, note that extensions of the form (3.1) are parametrized by

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1}(q^* \mathcal{O}(2), p^* \mathcal{E}).$$

Since $q^*\mathcal{O}(2)$ is locally free, this group is isomorphic to $H^1(X \times \mathbb{P}^1, p^*\mathcal{E} \otimes q^*\mathcal{O}(-2))$, which is isomorphic to $H^0(X, \mathcal{E})$ (cf. [11, Proof of Theorem 4.9]).

Since \mathcal{E} is in particular a torsion-free sheaf on X, the complex analytic subset S in Theorem 13 is of codimension at least 2. Being an extension of $p^*\mathcal{E}$ by the locally free sheaf $q^*\mathcal{O}(2)$, the sheaf \mathcal{F} is locally isomorphic to the direct sum $p^*\mathcal{E} \oplus q^*\mathcal{O}(2)$. Therefore, \mathcal{F} is locally free on $p^{-1}(X \setminus S) = (X \setminus S) \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Also, since the projection p is a flat morphism, \mathcal{F} is a reflexive sheaf on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Denote by E and F the holomorphic vector bundles corresponding to the locally free sheaves $\mathcal{E}|_{X\backslash S}$ and $\mathcal{F}|_{(X\backslash S)\times \mathbb{P}^1}$, respectively. Note that in the \mathcal{C}^{∞} category, we have an isomorphism

$$F \simeq p^*E \oplus q^*\mathcal{O}(2).$$

There is a relation between the τ -stability of the pair (\mathcal{E}, ϕ) and the stability of \mathcal{F} with respect to a special Kähler metric on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ encoding the parameter τ . More precisely, for every positive real number σ consider the Kähler form

$$\Omega_{\sigma} := p^*\omega \oplus \sigma q^*\omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}$$

on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$, where $\omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ is the normalized Fubini–Study Kähler form on \mathbb{P}^1 , such that $\int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} = 1$. Then we have the following theorem [2, Theorem 4.21] (see also [11, Theorem 4.9]):

Theorem 15. Let (\mathcal{E}, ϕ) be a sheaf pair on a compact Kähler manifold (X, ω) . Let \mathcal{F} be the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ -equivariant coherent analytic sheaf on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ determined by (\mathcal{E}, ϕ) as the extension (3.1), and let the numbers σ and τ be related by

$$\sigma = \frac{2\operatorname{vol}(X)}{(\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{E}) + 1)\tau - \deg(\mathcal{E})}.$$
(3.2)

Then (\mathcal{E}, ϕ) is τ -stable if and only if $\sigma > 0$ and \mathcal{F} is SU(2)-invariantly stable with respect to Ω_{σ} .

Consequently, in the situation of Theorem 13, we know that \mathcal{F} is SU(2)-invariantly stable with respect to Ω_{σ} , where σ is determined from τ by (3.2). As in [9, Theorem 6], it follows that \mathcal{F} is polystable with respect to Ω_{σ} . By Theorem 8, there is an admissible Hermitian–Einstein metric \widetilde{h} on the holomorphic vector bundle F with respect to Ω_{σ} . By pulling back \widetilde{h} by each element of SU(2) and averaging over the group using the Haar measure on the compact group SU(2), we can assume that \widetilde{h} is an SU(2)-invariant Hermitian–Einstein metric, cf. [9, proof of Theorem 5]. As in [11, Proposition 3.2], according to the \mathcal{C}^{∞} decomposition

$$F \simeq p^* E \oplus q^* \mathcal{O}(2) \tag{3.3}$$

of vector bundles on $(X \setminus S) \times \mathbb{P}^1$, the metric \widetilde{h} decomposes as

$$\widetilde{h} = p^*h \oplus q^*h',$$

where h is a Hermitian metric on the holomorphic vector bundle E on $X \setminus S$, and h' is an SU(2)-invariant Hermitian metric on the holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{O}(2)$ on \mathbb{P}^1 . In order to complete the proof of the theorem, we have to show that h is admissible and satisfies the τ -vortex equation. The latter follows as in [11, Proposition 3.11].

Proposition 16. Let the numbers σ and $\hat{\tau}$ be related by

$$\sigma = \frac{2\operatorname{vol}(X)}{(\operatorname{rank}(E) + 1)\,\widehat{\tau} - \deg E},$$

where

$$\widehat{\tau} = \frac{\tau \operatorname{vol}(X)}{4\pi}.$$

Then in the situation considered above, the metric h on E satisfies the τ -vortex equation if and only if \tilde{h} is a Hermitian–Einstein metric on F with respect to Ω_{σ} .

We repeat some details of the argument so that we can explain how it also yields the admissibility of h. By [11, Proposition 3.5], the Chern connection $D_{\tilde{h}}$ for the Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle (F, \tilde{h}) can be written according to the decomposition (3.3) as

$$D_{\widetilde{h}} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{p^*h} & \beta \\ -\beta^* & D_{q^*h'} \end{pmatrix},$$

where D_{p^*h} and $D_{q^*h'}$ are the Chern connections for p^*h and q^*h' , respectively, β is a 1-form with values in $\text{Hom}(q^*\mathcal{O}(2), p^*E)$, and β^* is the adjoint of β with respect to \widetilde{h} . In fact,

$$\beta = p^* \phi \otimes q^* \alpha,$$

where $\alpha \in \Omega^{0,1}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(-2))$ is an SU(2)-invariant form which is unique up to a multiplicative constant. The curvature of $D_{\widetilde{h}}$ can then be written as

$$F_{\tilde{h}} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{p^*h} - \beta \wedge \beta^* & \partial \beta \\ -\bar{\partial}\beta^* & F_{q^*h'} - \beta^* \wedge \beta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.4}$$

where ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ denote the components of the induced connection on $\operatorname{Hom}(q^*\mathcal{O}(2), p^*E)$. If α is chosen such that $\alpha \wedge \alpha^* = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sigma \omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}$, then we have

$$\beta \wedge \beta^* = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \, \sigma p^*(\phi \circ \phi^*) \otimes q^* \omega_{\mathbb{P}^1},$$
$$\beta^* \wedge \beta = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \, \sigma p^*(\phi^* \circ \phi) \otimes q^* \omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}.$$

The Hermitian–Einstein equation for h,

$$\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\Omega_{\sigma}}F_{\widetilde{h}} = \lambda \operatorname{id}_{F},$$

can then be translated into the equations

$$\Lambda_{\omega} F_h - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \phi \circ \phi^* + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \tau \operatorname{id}_E = 0, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\phi^* \circ \phi - \frac{4\pi\sqrt{-1}}{\sigma} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\tau = 0,$$
 (3.6)

and (3.5) is the vortex equation for (E, h).

We shall check the admissibility of h. Condition (A2) in Definition 7 follows immediately from (3.5) and (3.6). In fact, (3.6) implies that the function

$$\phi^* \circ \phi = \operatorname{tr}(\phi^* \circ \phi) = \operatorname{tr}(\phi \circ \phi^*) = |\phi|_h^2$$

is constant, where tr denotes the trace and $\phi^* \circ \phi$ and $\phi \circ \phi^*$ are regarded as endomorphisms of \mathcal{O}_X and E, respectively. Consequently, the norm of $\phi \circ \phi^*$ is

$$|\phi \circ \phi^*|_h^2 = \operatorname{tr}(\phi \circ \phi^* \circ (\phi \circ \phi^*)^*) = |\phi|_h^4$$

and therefore it is also constant. Now (3.5) implies the boundedness of $|\Lambda_{\omega}F_{h}|_{h}$.

Condition (A1) follows in the same way from the admissibility of h: Since $F_{\tilde{h}}$ is square-integrable, we know by (3.4) that $F_{p^*h} - \beta \wedge \beta^*$ and $F_{q^*h'} - \beta^* \wedge \beta$ are square-integrable. Since $F_{q^*h'}$ has no singularities and the norms of $\beta \wedge \beta^*$ and $\beta^* \wedge \beta$ coincide, it follows that F_{p^*h} , and hence F_h , is square-integrable. This shows the admissibility of h, and completes the proof of Theorem 13.

It is straight-forward to check that if a reflexive sheaf pair (\mathcal{E}, ϕ) on (X, ω) has an admissible Hermitian metric satisfying the τ -vortex equation, then (\mathcal{E}, ϕ) is $\widehat{\tau}$ -polystable. Therefore, Theorem 13 has the following corollary.

Corollary 17. Let (\mathcal{E}, ϕ) be a reflexive sheaf pair on a compact Kähler manifold (X, ω) , and let τ be a real number. Let $S \subset X$ be the singular set for \mathcal{E} , and

$$\widehat{\tau} = \frac{\tau \operatorname{vol}(X)}{4\pi}.$$

Then (\mathcal{E}, ϕ) is $\widehat{\tau}$ -polystable if and only if there exists an admissible Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{E}|_{X \setminus S}$ satisfying the τ -vortex equation.

References

- [1] L. Álvarez-Cónsul and O. García—Prada, Dimensional reduction, $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ -equivariant bundles and stable holomorphic chains, Int. J. Math. **12** (2001), 159–201.
- [2] L. Álvarez-Cónsul and O. García-Prada, Dimensional reduction and quiver bundles, J. Reine Angew. Math. **556** (2003), 1–46.

- [3] S. Bando and Y.-T. Siu, Stable sheaves and Einstein-Hermitian metrics, eds. T. Mabuchi et al., Geometry and analysis on complex manifolds. Festschrift for Professor S. Kobayashi's 60th Birthday, 39–50, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1994.
- [4] I. Biswas and G. Schumacher, Yang-Mills equation for stable Higgs sheaves, Int. J. Math. **20** (2009), 541–556.
- [5] S.B. Bradlow, Vortices in holomorphic line bundles over closed Kähler manifolds, Comm. Math. Phys. 135 (1990), 1–17.
- [6] S.B. Bradlow, Special metrics and stability for holomorphic bundles with global sections, J. Differ. Geom. 33 (1991), 169–213.
- [7] S.K. Donaldson, Anti self-dual Yang-Mills connections over complex algebraic surfaces and stable vector bundles, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **50** (1985), 1–26.
- [8] S.K. Donaldson, Infinite determinants, stable bundles and curvature, Duke Math. J. 54 (1987), 231–247.
- [9] O. García-Prada, Invariant connections and vortices, Comm. Math. Phys. 156 (1993), 527-546.
- [10] O. García-Prada, A direct existence proof for the vortex equation over a compact Riemann surface, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 26 (1994), 88–96.
- [11] O. García-Prada, Dimensional reduction of stable bundles, vortices and stable pairs, Int. J. Math. 5 (1994), 1–52.
- [12] G. Tian and B. Yang, Compactification of the moduli spaces of vortices and coupled vortices, J. Reine Angew. Math. 553 (2002), 17–41.
- [13] K. Uhlenbeck and S.T. Yau, On the existence of Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections in stable vector bundles, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 39 (1986), 257–293.
- [14] K. Uhlenbeck and S.T. Yau, A note on our previous paper: On the existence of Hermitian Yang–Mills connections in stable vector bundles, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 42 (1989), 703–707.