

THE CRITICAL NEUMANN PROBLEM FOR SEMILINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS WITH THE HARDY POTENTIAL

J. CHABROWSKI

Department of Mathematics, University of Queensland
St. Lucia 4072, Qld, Australia

(Submitted by: Juan L. Vazquez)

Abstract. We investigate the solvability of the Neumann problem (1.1) involving the critical Sobolev nonlinearity with an indefinite weight function and the Hardy potential. We prove that there exists $\lambda^* > 0$ such that for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$, problem (1.1) admits at least two distinct solutions.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we investigate the solvability of the nonlinear Neumann problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - \lambda \frac{u}{|x|^2} = Q(x)|u|^{2^*-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad u > 0 \text{ on } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$, $N \geq 3$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open bounded set with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Throughout this paper we assume that $Q(x)$ is a continuous function on $\bar{\Omega}$ changing sign and such that

$$(Q) \quad \int_{\Omega} Q(x) dx < 0.$$

We always assume, with the exception of Section 4, that $0 \in \partial\Omega$ and that $|\{x \in \bar{\Omega}; Q(x) = 0\}| = 0$. Here $|A|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. We look for solutions of problem (1.1) as critical points of the variational functional

$$J_{\lambda}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|Du|^2 - \lambda \frac{u^2}{|x|^2}) dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} Q(x)|u|^{2^*} dx$$

Accepted for publication: February 2008.

AMS Subject Classifications: 35B33, 35J20, 35J65.

for $u \in H^1(\Omega)$. Here $H^1(\Omega)$ is the Sobolev space equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) dx.$$

We point out here that if $Q(x) > 0$ on Ω , then problem (1.1) does not have a solution. Indeed, testing (1.1) with constant functions we get

$$-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{u}{|x|^2} dx = \int_{\Omega} Q(x) u^{2^*-1} dx,$$

which is a contradiction. On the other hand, if (1.1) has a solution $u \in H^1(\Omega)$, then testing this equation with $(u^{2^*-1} + \epsilon)^{-1}$ we get

$$-(2^*-1) \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2 u^{2^*-2}}{(u^{2^*-1} + \epsilon)^2} dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2 (u^{2^*-1} + \epsilon)} dx = \int_{\Omega} Q(x) \frac{u^{2^*-1}}{u^{2^*-1} + \epsilon} dx.$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} Q(x) dx < 0.$$

These two remarks justify our assumption **(Q)**. If Q changes sign and satisfies **(Q)**, then the variational functional J_{λ} has a mountain - pass structure. In this case we are able to show the existence of at least two distinct solutions. However, if $Q(x) < 0$ on $\bar{\Omega}$, then J_{λ} is coercive. In this case we can show the existence of a solution which is a global minimizer. This will be shown in Section 5. The term $\frac{u}{|x|^2}$ is related to the Hardy inequality: if $0 \in \Omega$, then

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx$$

for every $u \in H_{\circ}^1(\Omega)$, where $\bar{\mu} = \frac{(N-2)^2}{4}$ is an optimal constant. In this paper we need the $H^1(\Omega)$ -version of this inequality, which will be formulated in Section 2.

Problems involving the Hardy potential and the critical nonlinearity have an extensive literature in the case of the Dirichlet problem. The seminal paper by Brezis and Nirenberg [11] has inspired research on elliptic equations with the critical Sobolev exponents. It appears that [31] has been the first paper dealing simultaneously with both the Hardy potential and the critical Sobolev exponent. This paper extends the results of [11] to the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - \mu \frac{u}{|x|^2} & = u^{2^*-1} + \lambda u \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u & = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, u > 0 \text{ on } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $0 < \lambda_1(\mu)$ denotes the first eigenvalue of the operator $-\Delta - \frac{\mu}{|x|^2}$, then this problem has a solution in $H^1_\circ(\Omega)$ if $\mu \leq \bar{\mu} - 1$ and $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1(\mu)$. Since then a number of results have been obtained, in particular for the p -Laplacian [2], [3], [41] [13], and problems involving the Sobolev - Hardy potentials [1], [15], [16], [26], [27], [25],[32], [34].

Problem (1.1) with $\lambda = 0$ and under the assumption **(Q)** was considered in [17], where the existence of a solution was established. In the case $-\lambda > 0$ problem (1.1) was studied in [18], however with $0 \in \Omega$. In this paper the existence of a solution was proved under the assumption $Q(x) > 0$ on $\bar{\Omega}$. An analogous problem to (1.1), however without the Hardy potential and with $Q(x) > 0$ on $\bar{\Omega}$, that is,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - \lambda u = Q(x)|u|^{2^*-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

was studied in [19]. The authors of [19], using a topological linking, proved the existence of a sign changing solution.

Throughout this paper we denote strong convergence by “ \rightarrow ” and weak convergence by “ \rightharpoonup ”. The norms in the Lebesgue space $L^p(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, are denoted by $\|\cdot\|_p$.

Let $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1 functional on a Banach space X . We recall that a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is a Palais- Smale sequence for ϕ at level c (a $(PS)_c$ sequence for short) if $\phi(x_n) \rightarrow c$ and $\phi'(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ in X^* . Finally, we say that the functional ϕ satisfies the Palais -Smale condition at level c ($(PS)_c$ condition for short) if each $(PS)_c$ sequence is relatively compact in X .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we obtain the existence of the first solution through a local minimization, the Ekeland variational principle and P.L. Lions concentration - compactness principle. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the existence of a second solution. We find the energy level of a variational functional below which the Palais - Smale condition holds. Our approach is based on P.L. Lions' concentration-compactness principle [35]. This is used to find a second solution through the mountain-pass principle. Proposition 3.1 shows the important role of the shape of the coefficient $Q(x)$ and the mean curvature of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ in the verification of the Palais-Smale condition. In Section 4 we discuss the case $0 \in \Omega$. The important ingredients here are local estimates of a positive solution around the singular point 0 presented in Lemma 5. Theorems 1, 2, 3 and 4 are the main results of this paper.

2. LOCAL MINIMIZATION

The first solution will be obtained as a local minimizer of the functional J_λ . In this paper we shall use the following version of the Hardy inequality (see [12]): for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $C(\epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\mu}} + \epsilon\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + C(\epsilon) \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx \quad (2.1)$$

for every $u \in H^1(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $0 < \lambda < \bar{\mu}$. Suppose that $\{u_n\} \subset H^1(\Omega)$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence for the functional J_λ . Then $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Arguing by contradiction, assume that $\|u_n\|$ is unbounded and we may assume that $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$. We put $v_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|}$. We may assume that $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in $H^1(\Omega)$ and by the Sobolev embedding theorem $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ for $2 \leq p < 2^*$. Since $J'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla v_n \nabla \phi - \lambda \frac{v_n \phi}{|x|^2}) dx = \|u_n\|^{2^*-2} \int_{\Omega} Q(x) |v_n|^{2^*-2} v_n \phi dx + o(1)$$

for every $\phi \in H^1(\Omega)$. From this we deduce that

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} Q(x) |v_n|^{2^*-2} v_n \phi dx = \int_{\Omega} Q(x) |v|^{2^*-2} v \phi dx$$

for every $\phi \in H^1(\Omega)$. Since $Q(x) = 0$ on a set of measure 0, we get that $v = 0$ a.e. on Ω . Using the fact that $\{u_n\}$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence, we can write the following two relations:

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_n|^2 - \lambda \frac{v_n^2}{|x|^2}) dx = \frac{\|u_n\|^{2^*-2}}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} Q(x) |v_n|^{2^*} dx + o(1)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_n|^2 - \lambda \frac{v_n^2}{|x|^2}) dx = \|u_n\|^{2^*-2} \int_{\Omega} Q(x) |v_n|^{2^*} dx + o(1).$$

This yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_n|^2 - \lambda \frac{v_n^2}{|x|^2}) dx = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|^{2^*-2} \int_{\Omega} Q(x) |v_n|^{2^*} dx = 0.$$

Since $\frac{\lambda}{\mu} < 1$, we can choose $\epsilon > 0$ so that $\lambda(\frac{1}{\mu} + \epsilon) < 1$. Then from (2.1) and (2.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^2 dx &= \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{v_n^2}{|x|^2} dx + o(1) \leq \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu} + \lambda\epsilon\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^2 dx \\ &+ \lambda C(\epsilon) \int_{\Omega} v_n^2 dx + o(1) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu} + \lambda\epsilon\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^2 dx + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

From this we derive that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^2 dx = 0.$$

Consequently, $v_n \rightarrow 0$ in $H^1(\Omega)$ and this contradicts the fact that $\|v_n\| = 1$ for each n . \square

We shall use the decomposition $H^1(\Omega) = \text{span } 1 \times V$, where $V = \{v \in H^1(\Omega); \int_{\Omega} v dx = 0\}$. Having this decomposition we can define an equivalent norm on $H^1(\Omega)$ by

$$\|u\|_V^2 = \|\nabla v\|_2^2 + t^2.$$

We need the following qualitative statement (see [9]):

(S) There exists a number $\eta > 0$ such that for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $v \in V$ the inequality

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \eta |t|$$

implies

$$\int_{\Omega} Q(x) |t + v(x)|^{2^*} dx \leq \frac{|t|^{2^*}}{2} \int_{\Omega} Q(x) dx.$$

This follows from the continuity of the embedding of V into $L^{2^*}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.2. *There exist constants $\lambda^* > 0$, $\beta > 0$ and $\rho > 0$ such that for every $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$ we have*

$$J_{\lambda}(u) \geq \beta \text{ for } \|u\|_V = \rho \text{ and } \inf_{\|u\|_V \leq \rho} J_{\lambda}(u) < 0.$$

Proof. We distinguish two cases: (i) $\|\nabla v\|_2 \leq \eta |t|$ and (ii) $\|\nabla v\|_2 > \eta |t|$, where $\eta > 0$ is a constant from statement (S). Let

$$\alpha = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} Q(x) dx.$$

Then these two cases lead to the following estimate

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq \min \left(\frac{\eta^2 \rho^2}{4(1+\eta^2)^2}, \frac{\alpha \rho^{2^*}}{(1+\eta^2)^{\frac{2^*}{2}}} \right) - \lambda \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx$$

for $\|u\|_V = \rho$ (for details see [20] or [9]). By the Hardy inequality (see (2.1)) we get

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq \min \left(\frac{\eta^2 \rho^2}{4(1+\eta^2)^2}, \frac{\alpha \rho^{2^*}}{(1+\eta^2)^{\frac{2^*}{2}}} \right) - \lambda C \rho^2$$

for $\|u\|_V = \rho$ and some constant $C > 0$ independent of ρ . We now choose a constant $\lambda^* > 0$ such that

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \min \left(\frac{\eta^2 \rho^2}{4(1+\eta^2)^2}, \frac{\alpha \rho^{2^*}}{(1+\eta^2)^{\frac{2^*}{2}}} \right)$$

for $\|u\|_V = \rho$ and $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$. To prove the second assertion we note that for $t > 0$ sufficiently small we have

$$J_\lambda(t) = -\frac{t^2}{2} \int_\Omega \frac{dx}{|x|^2} - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \int_\Omega Q(x) dx < 0.$$

Hence, $a_\lambda := \inf_{\|u\|_V \leq \rho} J_\lambda(u) < 0$. \square

From now on, we always assume that $0 < \lambda < \lambda^* \leq \bar{\mu}$. We now establish the existence of a minimizer of J_λ over the ball $\{u; \|u\|_V \leq \rho\}$. In the proof we shall use P.L. Lions' concentration - compactness principle which involves the best Sobolev constant S and the Hardy - Sobolev constant $S_{-\lambda}$. We recall that the constant S is defined by

$$S = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx; u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} dx = 1 \right\},$$

where $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the Sobolev space obtained as the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{D^{1,2}}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

The best Sobolev constant S is achieved by

$$U(x) = \frac{c_N}{(1+|x|^2)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}},$$

where $c_N > 0$ is a constant depending on N . The function U , called an instanton, satisfies the equation

$$-\Delta U = U^{2^*-1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

We also have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U|^{2^*} dx = S^{\frac{N}{2}}.$$

The constant $S_{-\lambda}$ is defined by

$$S_{-\lambda} = \inf_{u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) - \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 - \frac{\lambda u^2}{|x|^2}) dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}}$$

for $0 < \lambda < \bar{\mu}$. It is known [39] that $S_{-\lambda}$ is attained by the function

$$V_\lambda(x) = \frac{(4N(\bar{\mu} - \lambda)(N - 2))^{\frac{N-2}{4}}}{\left(|x|^{\frac{\lambda'}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}}} + |x|^{\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}}} \right)^{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}}},$$

where $\bar{\lambda} = \sqrt{\bar{\mu}} + \sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \lambda}$ and $\lambda' = \sqrt{\bar{\mu}} - \sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \lambda}$. For $\epsilon > 0$ we put

$$V_{\lambda,\epsilon}(x) = \frac{(4N(\bar{\mu} - \lambda)(N - 2))^{\frac{N-2}{4}} \epsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{\left(\epsilon^2 |x|^{\frac{\lambda'}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}}} + |x|^{\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}}} \right)^{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}}}.$$

The function $V_{\lambda,\epsilon}$ is a solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - \lambda \frac{u}{|x|^2} = |u|^{2^*-2}u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N - \{0\}, \\ u(x) \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

In paper [39] it was shown that

$$S_{-\lambda} = S \left(1 - \frac{4\lambda}{(N - 2)^2} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}}.$$

Theorem 2.3. *For every $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$, problem (1.1) admits a solution.*

Proof. By the Ekeland variational principle [24] there exists $\{u_n\} \subset H^1(\Omega)$ such that $J_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow a_\lambda$ and $J'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$. Since $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $H^1(\Omega)$ we may assume up to a subsequence that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_\lambda$ in $H^1(\Omega)$. By the P.L. Lions' concentration - compactness principle [35] there exist at most countable set J , a set of distinct points $\{x_j\} \subset \bar{\Omega} - \{0\}$, $j \in J$, and positive numbers $\nu_j, \mu_j, j \in J, \nu_0, \mu_0$ and λ_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} |u_n|^{2^*} dx &\rightharpoonup d\nu = |u|^{2^*} dx + \sum_{j \in J} \nu_j \delta_{x_j} + \nu_0 \delta_0, \\ |\nabla u_n|^2 dx &\rightharpoonup d\mu \geq |\nabla u|^2 dx + \sum_{j \in J} \mu_j \delta_{x_j} + \mu_0 \delta_0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{u_n^2}{|x|^2} dx \rightharpoonup d\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + \lambda_0 \delta_0$$

in the sense of measure. Moreover, numbers ν_j , μ_j , μ_0 , ν_0 and λ_0 satisfy the following inequalities

$$S\nu_j^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq \mu_j \quad \text{if } x_j \in \Omega, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\frac{S}{2^{\frac{2}{N}}}\nu_j^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq \mu_j \quad \text{if } x_j \in \partial\Omega \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\frac{S_{-\lambda}}{2^{\frac{2}{N}}}\nu_0^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq \mu_0 - \lambda\lambda_0. \quad (2.5)$$

Testing $J'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ with a family of functions φ_δ , $\delta > 0$, concentrating at x_j (or 0) as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we derive

$$\mu_j \leq Q(x_j)\nu_j, \quad j \in J \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\mu_0 \leq Q(0)\nu_0 + \lambda\lambda_0. \quad (2.7)$$

Inequality (2.6) implies that $\{u_n\}$ can only concentrate at points x_j where $Q(x_j) > 0$. If $\nu_j > 0$, then in the case $x_j \in \partial\Omega$, (2.4) and (2.6) imply that

$$\frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2Q(x_j)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \leq \nu_j. \quad (2.8)$$

If $x_j \in \Omega$, then (2.3) and (2.6) yield

$$\frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{Q(x_j)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \leq \nu_j. \quad (2.9)$$

Similarly, if $Q(0) > 0$ and $\nu_0 > 0$, then by (2.5) and (2.7) we obtain

$$\frac{S_{-\lambda}^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2Q(0)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \leq \nu_0. \quad (2.10)$$

If $Q(0) \leq 0$, then (2.7) yields $\mu_0 \leq \lambda\lambda_0$. Hence by (2.5) we have $\nu_0 = 0$. So (2.5) and (2.7) imply that $\mu_0 = \lambda\lambda_0$. On the other hand by Lemma 2.1 we have $\lambda_0 \leq \frac{\mu_0}{\bar{\mu}}$. Hence, if $\lambda_0 > 0$, then $\bar{\mu} \leq \lambda$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $\lambda_0 = \mu_0 = 0$ and there is no concentration at 0 if $Q(0) \leq 0$. We now consider

$$a_\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(u_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[J_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle \right] \quad (2.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega} Q(x)|u_n|^{2^*} dx = \frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega} Q(x)|u|^{2^*} dx \\
 &+ \sum_{j \in J, Q(x_j) > 0} Q(x_j)\nu_j + \nu_0 Q(0).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1(\Omega)$, we have $u \in \{v; \|v\|_V \leq r\}$. On the other hand, u satisfies equation (1.1), so

$$J_{\lambda}(u) = \frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega} Q(x)|u|^{2^*} dx = \frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda \frac{u^2}{|x|^2}) dx.$$

Thus, equality (2.11) implies that

$$a_{\lambda} \geq a_{\lambda} + \sum_{j \in J, Q(x_j) > 0} Q(x_j)\nu_j + Q(0)\nu_0.$$

This obviously implies that $\nu_j = 0$ for each $j \in J$ and $\nu_0 = 0$. In a similar way we show that $\mu_j = 0$ for $j \in J$ and $\mu_0 = 0$. Finally, from (2.5) we deduce that $\lambda_0 = 0$. This shows that up to a subsequence, $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $H^1(\Omega)$. Since $|u|$ is also a minimizer, we may assume by the strong maximum principle that $u > 0$ on Ω . □

3. EXISTENCE OF A SECOND SOLUTION

We obtain a second solution to problem (1.1) using the mountain - pass principle [7]. If Q is positive somewhere on $\partial\Omega$, then we can define

$$0 < Q_M = \max_{x \in \Omega} Q(x) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < Q_m = \max_{x \in \partial\Omega} Q(x).$$

From now on the positive solution obtained in Theorem 2.3 will be denoted by u_{λ} . The Palais - Smale condition will be expressed in terms of Q_m and Q_M .

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that Q is positive somewhere on $\partial\Omega$ and let $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$. If $u = 0$ and $u = u_{\lambda}$ are the unique critical points of J_{λ} , then the $(PS)_c$ condition holds for*

$$c < \bar{c} := J_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda}) + \min \left(\frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ_M^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ_m^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \frac{S_{-\lambda}^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ(0)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} \right).$$

Proof. Let $\{u_n\} \subset H^1(\Omega)$ be a $(PS)_c$ - sequence. By Lemma 2.1 $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\Omega)$. We may assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1(\Omega)$ and $L^2(\Omega, \frac{1}{|x|^2} dx)$

and moreover $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ for $2 \leq p < 2^*$. By the concentration - compactness principle there exist points $x_j \in \bar{\Omega}$, $j \in J$, and positive numbers μ_j , ν_j , $j \in J$, λ_0 , μ_0 and ν_0 such that relations (2.3) – (2.7) are satisfied. Moreover, the index set J is at most countable. At points with possible concentration inequalities (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10) hold and there is no concentration at points belonging to the set $\{x \in \bar{\Omega}; Q(x) \leq 0\}$. We put $v_n = u_n - u$. By the Brezis - Lieb lemma [10] we have

$$\int_{\Omega} Q(x)|u_n|^{2^*} dx = \int_{\Omega} Q(x)|u|^{2^*} dx + \int_{\Omega} |v_n|^{2^*} dx + o(1). \quad (3.1)$$

By the weak convergence of $\{u_n\}$ in $H^1(\Omega)$ and $L^2(\Omega, \frac{1}{|x|^2} dx)$ we also have

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_n^2}{|x|^2} dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{v_n^2}{|x|^2} dx + o(1) \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^2 dx + o(1). \quad (3.3)$$

Relations $J_{\lambda}(u_n) = c + o(1)$ and $J'_{\lambda}(u_n) = o(1)$ combined with (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) yield

$$J_{\lambda}(u) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_n|^2 - \lambda \frac{v_n^2}{|x|^2}) dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} Q(x)|v_n|^{2^*} dx = c + o(1) \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} - Q(x)|u|^{2^*}) dx + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_n|^2 - \lambda \frac{v_n^2}{|x|^2} - Q(x)|v_n|^{2^*}) dx = o(1).$$

Since $\langle J'_{\lambda}(u), u \rangle = 0$, we deduce from the last equation that

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_n|^2 - \lambda \frac{v_n^2}{|x|^2}) dx = \int_{\Omega} Q(x)|v_n|^{2^*} dx + o(1).$$

It then follows from (3.4) that

$$J_{\lambda}(u) + \frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega} Q(x)|v_n|^{2^*} dx = c + o(1).$$

Since 0 and u_{λ} are the only critical points of J_{λ} we must have either $u = 0$ or $u = u_{\lambda}$. At points of $\bar{\Omega}$, where a concentration occur, we have either (2.8) or (2.9) or (2.10). We then get either

$$\min \left(\frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ_M^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ_m^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \frac{S_{-\lambda}^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ(0)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} \right) \leq c$$

or

$$J_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \min \left(\frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ_M^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ_m^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}_{-\lambda}}{2NQ(0)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} \right) \leq c.$$

Both cases imply that $\lambda_0 = \mu_0 = \nu_0 = 0$ and $\mu_j = \nu_j = 0$ for $j \in J$. □

We set

$$S_\infty = \min \left(\frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ_M^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ_m^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}_{-\lambda}}{2NQ(0)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} \right).$$

We now establish the existence of a second solution in three cases.

Case I: $S_\infty = \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ_m^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}$. Let $Q_m = Q(y)$ for some $y \in \partial\Omega$, $y \neq 0$. We define a function $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\varphi = 1$ on $B(y, \frac{r}{2})$, $\varphi = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N - B(y, r)$ and $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ on \mathbb{R}^N . The radius r is chosen so that $Q(x) > 0$ on $B(y, r) \cap \bar{\Omega}$ and $0 \notin B(y, r)$. We put $U_{\epsilon,y} = \epsilon^{-\frac{(N-2)}{2}} U(\frac{x-y}{\epsilon})$ and $v_\epsilon = \varphi U_{\epsilon,y}$. If $H(y)$ denotes the mean curvature of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ at y , then the following estimates hold (see [4], [5], [40])

$$\frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla U_{\epsilon,y}|^2 dx}{\left(\int_\Omega U_{\epsilon,y}^{2^*} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} \leq \begin{cases} \frac{S}{2^{\frac{N}{2}}} - A_N H(y) \epsilon \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} + O(\epsilon) & \text{if } N = 3, \\ \frac{S}{2^{\frac{N}{2}}} - A_N H(y) \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2 \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}) & \text{if } N = 4, \\ \frac{S}{2^{\frac{N}{2}}} - A_N H(y) \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2) & \text{if } N \geq 5, \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

where $A_N > 0$ is a constant depending on N . Since

$$\int_{(|x-y| \geq r) \cap \Omega} U_{\epsilon,y}^{2^*} dx = O(\epsilon^N) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{(|x-y| \geq r) \cap \Omega} |\nabla U_{\epsilon,y}|^2 dx = O(\epsilon^{N-2}),$$

it is clear that (3.5) holds with $U_{\epsilon,y}$ replaced by v_ϵ .

Proposition 3.2. *Let $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$ and $N \geq 3$. Suppose that Q is positive somewhere on $\partial\Omega$, $Q(y) = Q_m$, with $y \neq 0$, $H(y) > 0$ and that*

$$|Q(x) - Q(y)| = o(|x - y|) \quad \text{for } x \text{ near } y. \quad (3.6)$$

If $S_\infty = \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ_m^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}$, then

$$\max_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(u_\lambda + tv_\epsilon) < J_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ_m^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}$$

for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small.

Proof. We use some ideas from the proof of Lemma 2.5 from paper [36]. To estimate $J_\lambda(u_\lambda + tv_\epsilon)$ we use the following inequality: given $q > 2$ and $\kappa \in (1, q - 1)$ we can find a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(s + t)^q \geq s^q + t^q + qs^{q-1}t + qst^{q-1} - Ct^\kappa s^{q-\kappa} \quad (3.7)$$

for every $s, t \geq 0$. Applying this to the term $\int_\Omega Q^+ |u_\lambda + tv_\epsilon|^{2^*} dx$ with $q = 2^*$ and $\kappa = \frac{N+1}{N-2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_\lambda + tv_\epsilon) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\lambda|^2 dx + \frac{t^2}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla v_\epsilon|^2 dx + t \int_\Omega \nabla v_\epsilon \nabla u_\lambda dx \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_\Omega \frac{u_\lambda^2}{|x|^2} dx - \frac{\lambda t^2}{2} \int_\Omega \frac{v_\epsilon^2}{|x|^2} dx - t\lambda \int_\Omega \frac{u_\lambda v_\epsilon}{|x|^2} dx \\ &\quad - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \int_\Omega Q^+ v_\epsilon^{2^*} dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_\Omega Q^+ u_\lambda^{2^*} dx - t \int_\Omega Q^+ u_\lambda^{2^*-1} v_\epsilon dx \\ &\quad + Ct^{\frac{N+1}{N-2}} \int_\Omega Q^+ u_\lambda^{\frac{N-1}{N-2}} v_\epsilon^{\frac{N+1}{N-2}} dx \\ &\quad - t^{2^*-1} \int_\Omega Q^+ u_\lambda v_\epsilon^{2^*-1} dx + \frac{1}{2^*} \int_\Omega Q^- u_\lambda^{2^*} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since u_λ is a weak solution of (1.1) this inequality can be simplified to

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_\lambda + tv_\epsilon) &\leq J_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \frac{t^2}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla v_\epsilon|^2 dx - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \int_\Omega Q v_\epsilon^{2^*} dx \\ &\quad + Ct^{\frac{N+1}{N-2}} \int_\Omega Q^+ u_\lambda^{\frac{N-1}{N-2}} v_\epsilon^{\frac{N+1}{N-2}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

By straightforward calculations we get

$$\int_\Omega Q^+ u_\lambda^{\frac{N-1}{N-2}} v_\epsilon^{\frac{N+1}{N-2}} dx \leq C \int_{|x-y| \leq r} \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{N+1}{2}}}{(\epsilon^2 + |x-y|^2)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} dx \leq C \epsilon^{\frac{N-1}{2}}.$$

So we get the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_\lambda + tv_\epsilon) &\leq J_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \frac{t^2}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla v_\epsilon|^2 dx - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \int_\Omega Q v_\epsilon^{2^*} dx + Ct^{\frac{N+1}{N-2}} \epsilon^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \\ &:= J_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \Psi_\epsilon(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

It is clear that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Psi_\epsilon(t) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_\epsilon(t) = -\infty$. Therefore there exists $t_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\Psi_\epsilon(t_\epsilon) = \max_{t \geq 0} \Psi_\epsilon(t).$$

We also have by Lemma 2.2 that

$$0 < \beta \leq \max_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(u_\lambda + tv_\epsilon) \leq J_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \Psi_\epsilon(t_\epsilon) < \Psi_\epsilon(t_\epsilon). \tag{3.9}$$

We now show that there exists $0 < T_1 < T_2$ such that $T_1 \leq t_\epsilon \leq T_2$ for every $\epsilon > 0$ small enough. Inequality (3.9) shows that $t_\epsilon \not\rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. So we have $T_1 \leq t_\epsilon$ for some $T_1 > 0$. Assume that $t_{\epsilon_n} \rightarrow \infty$ for some sequence $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. Then $\Psi'_{\epsilon_n}(t_{\epsilon_n}) = 0$, that is,

$$t_{\epsilon_n} \int_\Omega |\nabla v_{\epsilon_n}|^2 dx - t_{\epsilon_n}^{2^*-1} \int_\Omega Qv_{\epsilon_n}^{2^*} dx + C \frac{N+1}{N-2} t_{\epsilon_n}^{\frac{3}{N-2}} \epsilon_n^{\frac{N-1}{2}} = 0.$$

From this we derive

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla v_{\epsilon_n}|^2 dx = t_{\epsilon_n}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} \int_\Omega Qv_{\epsilon_n}^{2^*} dx + C \frac{N+1}{N-2} t_{\epsilon_n}^{\frac{5-N}{N-2}} \epsilon_n^{\frac{N-1}{2}}.$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega Qv_{\epsilon_n}^{2^*} dx > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega |\nabla v_{\epsilon_n}|^2 dx > 0,$$

the above identity cannot be satisfied for large n . Therefore, there exists a constant $T_2 > 0$ such that $t_\epsilon \leq T_2$ for small $\epsilon > 0$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(u_\lambda + tv_\epsilon) &\leq J_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \Psi_\epsilon(t_\epsilon) \leq J_\lambda(u_\lambda) \\ &+ \frac{1}{N} \frac{\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla v_\epsilon|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\left(\int_\Omega Q(x)v_\epsilon^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} + CT_2^{\frac{3}{N-2}} \epsilon^{\frac{N-1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption (3.6) we have expansion

$$\int_\Omega Qv_\epsilon^{2^*} dx = Q_m \int_\Omega v_\epsilon^{2^*} dx + o(\epsilon).$$

The last two relations combined with (3.5) give

$$\max_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(u_\lambda + tv_\epsilon) < J_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ_m^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}$$

for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough. □

To apply the mountain-pass principle, we choose $w \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that $\|w\| > \rho$ and $J_\lambda(w) < 0$ and let $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], H^1(\Omega)) : \gamma(0) = u_\lambda, \gamma(1) = w\}$ and put

$$\beta \leq c_\lambda = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} J_\lambda(\gamma(t)).$$

Lemma 2.2 and Propositions 3.1, 3.2 allow us to formulate the following existence result:

Theorem 3.3. *Let $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$, $N \geq 3$. Suppose that Q is positive somewhere on $\partial\Omega$ and that $Q_m = Q(y)$ with $y \in \partial\Omega$, $y \neq 0$, $H(y) > 0$. Moreover, we assume that (3.6) holds. If $S_\infty = \frac{S_{-\lambda}^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ_m^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}$, then for every $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$ problem (1.1) has at least two distinct solutions.*

Proof. Arguing by contradiction, assume that 0 and u_λ are the unique critical points of J_λ . Applying the mountain-pass principle, we obtain a critical point v different than 0 and u_λ , which gives a contradiction. The fact that we can take v to be positive on Ω , follows from Theorem 10 in [9]. \square

Case II: $S_\infty = \frac{S_{-\lambda}^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ(0)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}$. In this case we obviously assume that $Q(0) > 0$.

Let $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\varphi(x) = 1$ on $B(0, \frac{r}{2})$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N - B(0, r)$. The radius is chosen so that $Q(x) > 0$ for $x \in B(0, r) \cap \bar{\Omega}$. We use the family of functions $V_{\lambda, \epsilon}$ and put $w_\epsilon = \varphi(x)V_{\lambda, \epsilon}(x)$. We put

$$E_\lambda(u) = \frac{\int_\Omega (|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda \frac{u^2}{|x|^2}) dx}{\left(\int_\Omega |u|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}}.$$

It is known that

$$E_\lambda(V_{\lambda, \epsilon}) < \frac{S_{-\lambda}}{2^{\frac{N}{2}}} - C_1 \epsilon^{\frac{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}-\lambda}} + o(\epsilon^{\frac{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}-\lambda}}) \tag{3.10}$$

for $N \geq 5$, $\lambda < \bar{\mu} - 1$ and $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. For computational details we refer the reader to paper [30]. We need the following estimate.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $N \geq 4$ and $1 < q < \frac{N}{3}$. Then*

$$\left(\int_\Omega w_\epsilon^{\frac{4q}{N-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\epsilon^{\left(\frac{N}{q}-2\right) \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}-\lambda}} + \epsilon^2 \right) \tag{3.11}$$

for $0 < \lambda < \bar{\mu}$, where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of ϵ .

Proof. We put $r(\epsilon) = \frac{r}{\epsilon^{\frac{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}-\lambda}}}$. Changing variables $x = y \epsilon^{\frac{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}-\lambda}}$, we get

$$\int_\Omega w_\epsilon^{\frac{4q}{N-2}} dx \leq c_N \int_{|y| < r(\epsilon)} \frac{\epsilon^{2q+N \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}-\lambda}}}{\left(\epsilon^{2+\frac{\lambda'}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}-\lambda}} |y|^{\frac{\lambda'}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}}} + \epsilon^{\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}-\lambda}} |y|^{\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}}} \right)^{2q}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= c_N \epsilon^{(N-2q)\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu-\lambda}}} \int_{|y|<r(\epsilon)} \frac{dy}{\left(|y|^{\frac{\lambda'}{\sqrt{\mu}}} + |y|^{\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\mu}}}\right)^{2q}} \\
 &= c_N \epsilon^{(N-2q)\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu-\lambda}}} \left[\int_{|y|<r_\circ} \frac{dy}{\left(|y|^{\frac{\lambda'}{\sqrt{\mu}}} + |y|^{\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\mu}}}\right)^{2q}} + \int_{r_\circ<|y|<r(\epsilon)} \frac{dy}{\left(|y|^{\frac{\lambda'}{\sqrt{\mu}}} + |y|^{\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\mu}}}\right)^{2q}} \right] \\
 &= c_N \epsilon^{(N-2q)\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu-\lambda}}} (I_1 + I_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here $r_\circ > 0$ is a fixed small number. Since $q < \frac{N}{3} < \frac{N\sqrt{\mu}}{2\lambda'}$, we have

$$I_1 \leq \omega_N \int_0^{r_\circ} \frac{s^{N-1}}{s^{\frac{2q\lambda'}{\sqrt{\mu}}}} ds = \omega_N \frac{r_\circ^{N-\frac{2q\lambda'}{\sqrt{\mu}}}}{N-\frac{2q\lambda'}{\sqrt{\mu}}} := a.$$

We now estimate the integral I_2 :

$$I_2 \leq \omega_N \int_{r_\circ}^{r(\epsilon)} \frac{s^{N-1}}{s^{\frac{2q\bar{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\mu}}}} ds \leq \omega_N \frac{r^{N-\frac{2q\bar{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\mu}}} \epsilon^{-(N-\frac{2q\bar{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\mu}})\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu-\lambda}}}}{N-\frac{2q\bar{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\mu}}} := b \epsilon^{-(N-\frac{2q\bar{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\mu}})\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu-\lambda}}}.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\Omega} w_\epsilon^{\frac{4q}{N-2}} dx \leq c_N \epsilon^{(N-2q)\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu-\lambda}}} \left(a + b \epsilon^{-(N-\frac{2q\bar{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\mu}})\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu-\lambda}}} \right) = a c_N \epsilon^{(N-2q)\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu-\lambda}}} + b \epsilon^{2q}$$

and estimate (3.11) readily follows. □

We aim to show that the values of the integral $J_\lambda(u_\lambda + tw_\epsilon)$, $t \geq 0$, are below the level $\frac{S_{-\lambda}^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ(0)\frac{N-2}{2}}$. In a similar situation in Proposition 3.2, the instanton $U_{\epsilon,y}$ was centered at point $y \neq 0$ and we used the fact that u_λ was bounded in a small ball $B(y, r)$. In the present situation we only know that $C^1(\bar{\Omega}) - \{0\}$ and u_λ is possibly unbounded around 0. To overcome this difficulty, we prove the higher integrability property of u_λ .

Lemma 3.5. *If*

$$(*) \quad \frac{\lambda(p+2)}{4} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} + 1 \right) < 1,$$

then $u_\lambda \in L^p(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $L > 0$ and $p > 2$ and put $u = u_\lambda$ and $u_L = \min(u, L)$. We test equation (1.1) with $\varphi = uu_L^{p-2}$. We get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 u_L^{p-2} dx + (p-2) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u_L u_L^{p-3} u dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\Omega} Q(x)|u|^{2^*-2}u^2u_L^{p-2} dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2u_L^{p-2}}{|x|^2} dx \\
&\leq Q_M \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*-2}u^2u_L^{p-2} dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2u_L^{p-2}}{|x|^2} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

We rewrite this inequality as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 u_L^{p-2} dx + (p-2) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_L|^2 u_L^{p-2} dx \quad (3.12) \\
&\leq Q_M \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*-2} u^2 u_L^{p-2} dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2 u_L^{p-2}}{|x|^2} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

We now observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(uu_L^{\frac{p}{2}-1})|^2 dx &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 u_L^{p-2} dx + \frac{(p-2)^2}{4} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 u_L^{p-4} u^2 dx \\
&\quad + (p-2) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u_L u_L^{p-3} u dx \quad (3.13) \\
&= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 u_L^{p-2} dx + \frac{p^2-4}{4} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_L|^2 u_L^{p-2} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.12) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 u_L^{p-2} dx + \frac{p^2-4}{4} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_L|^2 u_L^{p-2} dx \\
&\leq \frac{p+2}{4} Q_M \int_{\Omega} u^{2^*-2} u^2 u_L^{p-2} dx + \frac{\lambda(p+2)}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2 u_L^{p-2}}{|x|^2} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

This combined with (3.13), gives

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(uu_L^{\frac{p}{2}-1})|^2 dx \leq \frac{(p+2)}{4} Q_M \int_{\Omega} u^{2^*-2} u^2 u_L^{p-2} dx + \frac{\lambda(p+2)}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2 u_L^{p-2}}{|x|^2} dx.$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 with $\epsilon = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(uu_L^{\frac{p}{2}-1})|^2 dx \leq \frac{p+2}{4} Q_M \int_{\Omega} u^{2^*-2} u^2 u_L^{p-2} dx \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda(p+2)}{4} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\mu}} + 1\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(uu_L^{\frac{p}{2}-1})|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda(p+2)}{4} C(1) \int_{\Omega} u^2 u_L^{p-2} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $d = \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(p+2)}{4} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\mu}} + 1\right)\right)$. Then we have

$$d \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(uu_L^{\frac{p}{2}-1})|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} u^2 u_L^{p-2} dx \right) \quad (3.14)$$

$$\leq \frac{p+2}{4} Q_M \int_{\Omega} u^{2^*-2} u^2 u_L^{p-2} dx + \left(\frac{\lambda(p+2)}{4} C(1) + 1\right) \int_{\Omega} u^2 u_L^{p-2} dx.$$

We choose a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\frac{p+2}{4} Q_M \left(\int_{u \geq K} u^{2^*} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{N}} \leq \frac{dS}{2}.$$

Using the Sobolev and Hölder inequalities, we derive from (3.14) that

$$\frac{dS}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{2^*p}{2}} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq \left(\frac{p+2}{4} Q_M K^{2^*-2} + \frac{\lambda(p+2)}{4} C(1) + 1\right) \int_{\Omega} u^2 u_L^{p-2} dx.$$

Letting $L \rightarrow \infty$ and then iterating the resulting inequality, starting from $p = 2^*$, the assertion follows as long as λ and p satisfy (*). \square

A similar result for the Dirichlet problem can be found in paper [37].

Proposition 3.6. *Let $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$ and $N > 5$. Suppose that*

$$|Q(x) - Q(0)| = o(|x|^{\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu}-\lambda}}) \tag{3.15}$$

for x close to 0. If $S_{\infty} = \frac{S_{-\lambda}^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ(0)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}$, then

$$\max_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda} + tw_{\epsilon}) < J_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda}) + \frac{S_{-\lambda}^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ(0)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}.$$

Proof. We apply inequality (3.7) with $q = 2^*$ and $\kappa = 2$. Applying this to the integral $\int_{\Omega} Q^+ |u_{\lambda} + tw_{\epsilon}|^{2^*} dx$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda} + tw_{\epsilon}) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\lambda}|^2 dx + \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_{\epsilon}|^2 dx + t \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{\lambda} \nabla w_{\epsilon} dx \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{\lambda}^2}{|x|^2} dx - \frac{\lambda t^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{w_{\epsilon}^2}{|x|^2} dx - \lambda t \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{\lambda} w_{\epsilon}}{|x|^2} dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} Q^+ u_{\lambda}^{2^*} dx - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} w_{\epsilon}^{2^*} dx - t \int_{\Omega} Q^+ u_{\lambda}^{2^*-1} w_{\epsilon} dx \\ &\quad - t^{2^*-1} \int_{\Omega} Q^+ u_{\lambda} w_{\epsilon}^{2^*-1} dx + Ct^{\frac{4}{N-2}} \int_{\Omega} Q^+ u_{\lambda}^2 w_{\epsilon}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} dx + \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} Q^- u_{\lambda}^{2^*} dx \\ &\leq J_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda}) + \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_{\epsilon}|^2 dx - \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{w_{\epsilon}^2}{|x|^2} dx - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} Q w_{\epsilon}^{2^*} dx \\ &\quad + Ct^{\frac{4}{N-2}} \int_{\Omega} Q^+ u_{\lambda}^2 w_{\epsilon}^{\frac{4}{N-2}} dx = J_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda}) + \tilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon}(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\Psi}_\epsilon(t) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\Psi}_\epsilon(t) = -\infty$, there exists $t_\epsilon > 0$ such that $\tilde{\Psi}_\epsilon(t_\epsilon) = \max_{t \geq 0} \tilde{\Psi}_\epsilon(t)$. As in the proof of Proposition 3.2 we show that there exist constants $0 < T_1 < T_2$ such that $T_1 < t_\epsilon < T_2$ for ϵ small. We now fix $1 < q < \frac{N}{3}$. By taking λ^* , smaller if necessary, it then follows from Lemma 3.5 that $u_\lambda \in L^{\frac{2q}{q-1}}(\Omega)$. Using Lemmas 3.5 and 5 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} Q u_\lambda^2 w_\epsilon^{\frac{4}{N-2}} dx &\leq Q_M \left(\int_{\Omega} u_\lambda^{\frac{2q}{q-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \left(\int_{\Omega} w_\epsilon^{\frac{4q}{N-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq C_1 \left(\epsilon^{\left(\frac{N}{q}-2\right) \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu-\lambda}}} + \epsilon^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

We may assume that $\lambda^* \leq \frac{3}{4}\bar{\mu}$ by taking λ^* smaller if necessary. This allows us to use estimate (3.10). Indeed, we have $\frac{N}{q} - 2 > 1$ and $2 > \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu-\lambda}}$. The desired estimate follows from (3.15), (3.17) and (3.10). \square

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose that assumptions of Proposition 3.6 hold. Then problem (1.1) admits two distinct solutions.*

As in Theorem 3.3 the second solution is obtained using the mountain - pass principle.

Case III: $S_\infty = \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ_M^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}$. Let $x_M \in \Omega$ be such that $Q_M = Q(x_M)$. We choose $r > 0$ so that $Q(x) > 0$ on $\bar{B}(x_M, r) \subset \Omega$. Let a function $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that $\varphi(x) = 1$ on $B(x_M, \frac{r}{2})$, $\varphi(x) = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N - B(x_M, r)$ and $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1$ on \mathbb{R}^N . We put $z_\epsilon = \varphi U_{\epsilon, x_M}$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$ and $N > 5$. Suppose that*

$$|Q(x) - Q(x_M)| = o(|x - x_M|^2) \quad (3.18)$$

for x close to x_M . If $S_\infty = \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ_M^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}$, then problem (1.1) admits two distinct solutions.

Proof. To apply the mountain - pass principle we must show that

$$\max_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(u_\lambda + tz_\epsilon) < J_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ_M^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}. \quad (3.19)$$

Applying inequality (3.7) with $q = 2^*$ and $\kappa = \frac{N+1}{N-2}$, we obtain the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_\lambda(u_\lambda + tz_\epsilon) &\leq J_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \frac{t^2}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla z_\epsilon|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda t^2}{2} \int_\Omega \frac{z_\epsilon^2}{|x|^2} dx - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \int_\Omega Q z_\epsilon^{2^*} dx \\
 &\quad + Ct^{\frac{N+1}{N-2}} \int_\Omega Q u_\lambda^{\frac{N-1}{N-2}} z_\epsilon^{\frac{N+1}{N-2}} dx := J_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \bar{\Psi}_\epsilon(t).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.20}$$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \bar{\Psi}_\epsilon(t) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\Psi}_\epsilon(t) = -\infty$, there exists $t_\epsilon > 0$ such that $\bar{\Psi}_\epsilon(t_\epsilon) = \max_{t \geq 0} \bar{\Psi}_\epsilon(t)$. As in the proof of Proposition 3.2 we show that there exist constants $0 < T_1 < T_2$ such that $T_1 < t_\epsilon < T_2$ for small ϵ . For the integral $\int_\Omega Q u_\lambda^{\frac{N-1}{N-2}} z_\epsilon^{\frac{N+1}{N-2}} dx$, we have the estimate

$$\int_\Omega Q u_\lambda^{\frac{N-1}{N-2}} z_\epsilon^{\frac{N+1}{N-2}} dx \leq C \epsilon^{\frac{N-1}{2}},
 \tag{3.21}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant. Since

$$\int_\Omega \frac{z_\epsilon^2}{|x|^2} dx \geq c_1 \epsilon^2
 \tag{3.22}$$

for $N \geq 5$, where $c_1 > 0$ is a constant independent of ϵ , we derive from (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22) that

$$J_\lambda(u_\lambda + tz_\epsilon) \leq J_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \frac{1}{N} \frac{\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla z_\epsilon|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\left(\int_\Omega Q z_\epsilon^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} + CT_2^{\frac{N+1}{N-2}} \epsilon^{\frac{N-1}{2}} - c_1 \epsilon^2.$$

Since

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla z_\epsilon|^2 dx = S^{\frac{N}{2}} + O(\epsilon^{N-2}), \quad \int_\Omega z_\epsilon^{2^*} dx = S^{\frac{N}{2}} + O(\epsilon^N)$$

and

$$\int_\Omega Q z_\epsilon^{2^*} dx = Q_M \int_\Omega z_\epsilon^{2^*} dx + o(\epsilon^2),$$

estimate (3.19) follows. □

Remark 3.9. If $Q_m = Q(0)$ and 0 is the only point on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ where Q_m is attained, then

$$S_\infty = S_\infty = \min \left(\frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ_M^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ_m^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \frac{S_{-\lambda}^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ(0)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} \right)$$

$$= \min \left(\frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ_M^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \frac{S_{-\lambda}^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ(0)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} \right).$$

Therefore, for the existence of a second solution we only consider cases II and III.

4. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS IN THE CASE $0 \in \Omega$

We now consider problem (1.1) when $0 \in \Omega$. In this case, inequality (2.1) (see Lemma 2.1 in [18]) as well as Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, continues to hold. Repeating the argument of Theorem 2.3 we can show the existence of a local minimizer of J_λ , which is again denoted by u_λ . Inspection of the proof of Proposition 3.1, leads to the following form of the Palais - Smale condition:

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that Q is positive somewhere on $\partial\Omega$. If $u = 0$ and $u = u_\lambda$ are the only critical points of J_λ , the the $(PS)_c$ condition holds for*

$$c < c^* = J_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \min \left(\frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ_M^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ_m^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \frac{S_{-\lambda}^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ(0)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} \right).$$

We put

$$S_\infty^* := \min \left(\frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ_M^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ_m^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \frac{S_{-\lambda}^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ(0)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} \right).$$

We restrict ourselves to the case

$$S_\infty^* = \frac{S_{-\lambda}^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ(0)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}.$$

The remaining cases are the same as cases I and II (with $Q_M = Q(x_M)$, $x_M \neq 0$) of Section 3.

Let ϕ be a cut - off function with $\text{supp } \phi \subset \overline{B(0, r)} \subset \Omega$ from Section 3. We put $w_\epsilon = \phi V_{\lambda, \epsilon}$. We assume that $Q(x) > 0$ for $x \in \overline{B(0, r)}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $0 \in \Omega$. If $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ is a positive solution of (1.1), then there exists a ball $B(0, \rho_0) \subset \Omega$ such that*

$$a|x|^{-\lambda'} \leq u(x) \leq b|x|^{-\lambda'}$$

for $x \in B(0, \rho_0) - \{0\}$, where $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ are constants.

The proof of this estimate is analogous to that of Theorem 1.1 in [29] (see also [18]). We give an outline of the proof in Section 5.

Lemma 4.3. *There exist constants $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{\lambda} w_{\epsilon}^{2^*-1} dx \geq c_1 \epsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{\lambda}^{\frac{N-1}{N-2}} w_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N+1}{N-2}} dx \leq c_2 \epsilon^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \tag{4.2}$$

for small $\epsilon > 0$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.2 that

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{\lambda} w_{\epsilon}^{2^*-1} dx \geq ac_N \int_{|x| \leq r} \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{N+2}{2}}}{|x|^{-\lambda'} \left(\epsilon^2 |x|^{\frac{\lambda'}{\sqrt{\mu}}} + |x|^{\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\mu}}} \right)^{\frac{N+2}{2}}} dx.$$

By the change of variables $x = y\epsilon^{\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu}-\lambda}}$ we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{\lambda} w_{\epsilon}^{2^*-1} dx \geq ac_N \int_{|x| \leq r(\epsilon)} \frac{\epsilon^{k(N)}}{|y|^{\lambda'} \left(|y|^{\frac{\lambda'}{\sqrt{\mu}}} + |y|^{\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\mu}}} \right)^{\frac{N+2}{2}}} dy,$$

where

$$k(N) = \frac{N+2}{2} - \lambda' \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu}-\lambda} + N \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{\mu}-\lambda} - \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\mu}-\lambda} \frac{N+2}{2} = \frac{N-2}{2}$$

and estimate (4.1) follows. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u_{\lambda}^{\frac{N-1}{N-2}} w_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N+1}{N-2}} dx &\leq bc_N \int_{|x| \leq r(\epsilon)} \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{N-1}{2}}}{|x|^{\lambda' \frac{N-1}{N-2}} \left(|x|^{\frac{\lambda'}{\sqrt{\mu}}} + |x|^{\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\mu}}} \right)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} dx \\ &= bc_N \epsilon^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \left(\int_{|x| \leq r_0} \frac{1}{|x|^{\lambda' \frac{N-1}{N-2}} \left(|x|^{\frac{\lambda'}{\sqrt{\mu}}} + |x|^{\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\mu}}} \right)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{r_0 \leq |x| \leq r(\epsilon)} \frac{1}{|x|^{\lambda' \frac{N-1}{N-2}} \left(|x|^{\frac{\lambda'}{\sqrt{\mu}}} + |x|^{\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\mu}}} \right)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} dx \right) \\ &= bc_2 c_N (I_1 + I_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $r_o > 0$ is fixed. We note that $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} r(\epsilon) = \infty$. We now estimate I_1 and I_2 . We have

$$I_1 \leq \omega_N \int_0^{r_o} \frac{s^{N-1}}{s^{\lambda' \frac{N-1}{N-2}} \left(s^{\frac{\lambda'}{\mu}} + s^{\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\mu}}} \right)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} ds \leq \omega_N \int_0^{r_o} s^{N-1-\lambda' \frac{N-1}{N-2} - \frac{\lambda'}{\sqrt{\mu}} \frac{N+1}{2}} ds.$$

Since $N - \lambda' \frac{N-1}{N-2} - \frac{\lambda'}{\sqrt{\mu}} \frac{N+1}{2} = N - \lambda' \frac{2N}{N-2} > 0$, we see that $I_2 < \infty$. For the integral, we have the following estimate

$$I_2 \leq \omega_N \int_{r_o}^{r(\epsilon)} s^{N-1-\lambda' \frac{N-1}{N-2} - \bar{\lambda} \frac{N+1}{N-2}} ds.$$

We now observe that $N - \lambda' \frac{N-1}{N-2} - \bar{\lambda} \frac{N+1}{N-2} < 0$, so $I_2 < \infty$ and estimate (4.2) follows. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$ and $N > 5$. Suppose that*

$$|Q(x) - Q(0)| = o(|x|^{\frac{N-1}{2}}) \quad (4.3)$$

for x close to 0. If $\bar{S}_\infty = \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ(0)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}$, then problem (1.1) admits two distinct solutions.

Proof. We start by proving that

$$\max_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(u_\lambda + tw_\epsilon) < J_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ(0)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}. \quad (4.4)$$

We apply inequality (3.7) with $q = 2^*$ and $\kappa = \frac{N+1}{N-2}$. Using Lemma 4.3 we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_\lambda + tw_\epsilon) &\leq J_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \frac{t^2}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla w_\epsilon|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda t^2}{2} \int_\Omega \frac{w_\epsilon^2}{|x|^2} dx - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \int_\Omega Q w_\epsilon^{2^*} dx \\ &\quad - c_1 t^{2^*-1} \epsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}} + c_2 t^{\frac{N+1}{N-2}} \epsilon^{\frac{N-1}{2}} = J_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \tilde{\Phi}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{\Phi}(t_\epsilon) = \max_{t \geq 0} \tilde{\Phi}(t)$, where $t_\epsilon > 0$. As in the proof of Proposition 3.2 we can show that there exist constants $0 < T_1 < T_2$ such that $T_1 < t_\epsilon < T_2$ for small $\epsilon > 0$. Hence, we have

$$J_\lambda(u_\lambda + tw_\epsilon) \leq J_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \frac{1}{N} \frac{\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla w_\epsilon|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\left(\int_\Omega Q w_\epsilon^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} - c_1 T_1^{2^*-1} \epsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}} + c_2 T_2^{\frac{N+1}{N-2}} \epsilon^{\frac{N-1}{2}}.$$

Since

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_{\epsilon}|^2 dx = S_{-\lambda}^{\frac{N}{2}} + O(\epsilon^{N-2}), \quad \int_{\Omega} w_{\epsilon}^{2^*} dx = S_{-\lambda}^{\frac{N}{2}} + O(\epsilon^N)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} Qw_{\epsilon}^{2^*} dx = Q(0) \int_{\Omega} w_{\epsilon}^{2^*} dx + o(\epsilon^{\frac{N-1}{2}})$$

estimate (4.4) follows. An application of the mountain - pass principle completes the proof. \square

5. FINAL REMARKS

1. We give here some comments about the proof of Lemma 4.2. First we observe that Lemma 3.4 remains valid if $0 \in \bar{\Omega}$ and for a more general problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - \lambda \frac{u}{|x|^2} = f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ and $f : \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function satisfying

$$|f(x, t)| \leq C(|t| + |t|^{2^*-1}) \tag{5.2}$$

for all $(x, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$, where $C > 0$ is a constant. If (*) holds and $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ is a solution of problem (5.1), then $u \in L^p(\Omega)$. Obviously u does not have to be positive on Ω . Repeating the argument from [29], we can show that if $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ is a solution of (5.1), then there exist a small ball $B(0, \rho) \subset \Omega$ and a constant $b > 0$ such that

$$|u(x)| \leq b|x|^{-(\sqrt{\mu}-\sqrt{\mu-\lambda})} \tag{5.3}$$

for $x \in B(0, \rho) - \{0\}$. This estimate was established for a Dirichlet problem in [29] for equation (5.1) with f satisfying (5.2). Since (5.3) is a local property of a solution around the interior point 0 of Ω , the approach presented in [29] also remains true for solutions of problem (5.1). We only need the higher integrability property of solutions of (5.1), which is guaranteed by the first comment. If $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ is a positive solution, then lower local estimate of u follows from Proposition 2.2 in [23].

2. The existence results for problem (1.1) remain true, under assumption (Q) for the case without the Hardy potential, that is,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - \lambda u = Q(x)|u|^{2^*-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, u > 0 \text{ on } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda > 0$. In this situation, the Palais - Smale condition for the corresponding variational functional holds for

$$c < \min \left(\frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ_M^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ_m^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} \right) := S_\infty.$$

Solutions of this problem are continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$, so we do not need Lemmas 3.4, 3.5. For the existence of a second solution we need only consider two cases: $S_\infty = \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{NQ_M^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}$ and $S_\infty = \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2NQ_m^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}$.

3. Suppose that $Q(x) < 0$ on $\bar{\Omega}$ and put $Q^* = \inf_{\bar{\Omega}} -Q(x) > 0$. Then the functional J_λ is coercive on $H^1(\Omega)$. Indeed, by inequality (2.1) with $\epsilon = 1$, we get

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{\mu} + 1 \right) \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \lambda C(1) \int_{\Omega} u^2 + Q^* \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} dx.$$

Applying the Young inequality, we get for $\delta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{\mu} + 1 \right) \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ &\quad + (Q^* - \lambda \delta C(1)) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} dx - \lambda C(1) \delta^{-\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}} |\Omega|. \end{aligned}$$

We now choose λ^* and δ so that

$$a_1 := 1 - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{\mu} + 1 \right) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b_1 := Q^* - \lambda \delta C(1) > 0$$

for $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$. Then

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{a_1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + b_1 \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} dx - C_1(\delta, \lambda).$$

We now observe that $J_\lambda(t) < 0$ for $t > 0$ small. So $A_\lambda := \inf_{u \in H^1(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u) < 0$. By the Ekeland variational principle, there exists $\{u_n\} \subset H^1(\Omega)$ such that $J_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow A_\lambda$ and $J'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$. This sequence is bounded and we may assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1(\Omega)$. Since $Q(x) < 0$ on Ω there are no concentration points of $\{u_n\}$, so $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $H^1(\Omega)$. Therefore problem (1.1) has a solution which is a global minimizer of J_λ .

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Abdellaoui and I. Peral, *Some results for semilinear elliptic equations with critical potential*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, 132 (2002), 1–24.

- [2] B. Abdellaoui and I. Peral, *Existence and nonexistence results for quasilinear elliptic equations involving the p -Laplacian with critical potential*, *Annali di Matematica*, 182 (2003), 247–270.
- [3] B. Abdellaoui, E. Colorado, and I. Peral, *Some critical quasilinear elliptic problems with mixed Dirichlet - Neumann boundary conditions: Relation with Sobolev and Hardy - Sobolev optimal constants*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* (2006).
- [4] Adimurthi and G. Mancini, *The Neumann problem for elliptic equations with critical nonlinearity*, A tribute in honour of G. Prodi, *Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, (1991), 9–25.
- [5] Adimurthi and G. Mancini, *Effect of geometry and topology of the boundary in critical Neumann problem*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 456 (1994), 1–18.
- [6] A. Ambrosetti, J. Garcia Azorero and I. Peral, *Elliptic variational problems in \mathbb{R}^N with critical growth*, *J. Diff. Equations*, 108 (2000), 10–32.
- [7] A. Ambrosetti and H.P. Rabinowitz, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 14 (1973), 349–381.
- [8] Garcia Azorero and I. Peral, *Some results about the existence of a second positive solution in quasilinear critical problems*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 43 (1994), 941–957.
- [9] H. Berestycki, I. Capuzzo - Dolcetta and L. Nirenberg, *Variational methods for indefinite superlinear homogeneous elliptic problems*, *NoDEA*, 2 (1995), 553–572.
- [10] H. Brezis and E. Lieb, *A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 88 (1983), 486–490.
- [11] H. Brézis and L. Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, *Commun. Pure and Appl. Mathematics*, XXXVI (1983), 437–477.
- [12] Daomin Cao and J. Chabrowski, *Critical Neumann problem with competing Hardy potentials*, *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, 20 (2007), 309–338.
- [13] Yuping Cao and Donsheng Kang, *Solutions of a quasilinear elliptic problem involving a critical Sobolev exponents and multiple Hardy-type terms*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* (2006) online doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.12.005
- [14] Daomin Cao and Pigong Han, *A note on the positive energy solutions for elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent*, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 16 (2003), 1105–1113.
- [15] Daomin Cao and Shuangjie Peng, *Asymptotic behavior for elliptic problems with singular coefficient and nearly critical Sobolev growth*, *Annali di Matematica* (2005), DOI 10.1007/s10231-005-0150-z.
- [16] Daomin Cao and Shuangjie Peng, *A note on the sign changing solutions to elliptic problems with critical Sobolev and Hardy terms*, *J. Diff. Equations*, 193 (2003), 424–434.
- [17] J. Chabrowski, *On the nonlinear Neumann problem with indefinite weight and Sobolev critical nonlinearity*, *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci.*, 50 (2002), 323–33.
- [18] J. Chabrowski, *On the nonlinear Neumann problem involving critical Sobolev exponent and Hardy potential*, *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, 17 (2004), 195–227.
- [19] J. Chabrowski and Shusen Yan, *On the nonlinear Neumann problem at resonance with critical Sobolev nonlinearity*, *Colloq. Math.*, 94 (2002), 141–150.
- [20] J. Chabrowski and E. Tonkes, *On the nonlinear Neumann problem with critical and supercritical nonlinearities*, *Dissertationes Mathematicae* 47, Warszawa 2003.

- [21] J. Chabrowski and M. Willem, *Least energy solutions of a critical Neumann problem with weight*, Calc. Var., 15 (2002), 421–431.
- [22] Jianqing Chen, *Multiple positive solutions for a class of nonlinear elliptic equations*, J. Math. Anal., 295 (2004), 341–354.
- [23] Jianqing Chen, *Exact local behavior of positive solutions for a semilinear elliptic equation with Hardy term*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 132 (2004), 3225–3229.
- [24] I. Ekeland, *On the variational principle*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 47 (1974), 324–353.
- [25] A. Ferrero and F. Gazzola, *Existence of solutions for singular critical growth semilinear elliptic equations*, J. Diff. Equations, 177 (2001), 494–522.
- [26] Wenliang Gao and Shuangjie Peng, *An elliptic equation with combined critical Sobolev - Hardy terms*, Nonlinear Anal., (2005), online doi:10.1016/j.na.2005.10.035
- [27] N. Ghoussoub and C. Yuan, *Multiple solutions for quasi-linear pdes involving the critical Sobolev and Hardy exponents*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 352 (2000), 5703–5743.
- [28] N. Ghoussoub and X.S. Kang, *Hardy - Sobolev critical elliptic equations with boundary singularities*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Analyse non linéaire, 21 (2004) 767-793.
- [29] Pigong Han, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions to semilinear elliptic equations with Hardy potential*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., (2006), 1–8.
- [30] Pigong Han and Zhaoxia Liu, *Positive solutions for elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents and Hardy terms with Neumann boundary conditions*, Nonlinear Anal. 55 (2003), 167–186.
- [31] E. Jannelli, *The role played by space dimension in elliptic critical problems*, J. Diff. Equations 156 (1999), 407–426.
- [32] Dongsheng Kang and Yinbin Deng, *Multiple solutions for inhomogeneous elliptic problems involving critical Sobolev - Hardy exponents*, Nonlinear Anal., 60 (2005), 729–753.
- [33] Dongsheng Kang and Shuangjie Peng, *Existence of solutions for elliptic equations with critical Sobolev exponent*, Nonlinear Anal., 56 (2004), 1151–1164.
- [34] Dongsheng Kang and Shuangjie Peng, *Existence of solutions for elliptic problems with critical Sobolev - Hardy exponents*, Israel J. Math., 143 (2004), 281–297.
- [35] P.L. Lions, *The concentration - compactness principle in calculus of variations. The limit case I*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, 1 (1985), 145–201.
- [36] João C.N. Pádua, Elves A.B. Silva and Sérgio H.M. Soares, *Positive solutions of critical semilinear problems involving a sublinear term at the origin*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 55 (2006), 1091–1111.
- [37] D. Smets, *Nonlinear Schrödinger equations with Hardy potential and critical nonlinearities*, Trans. Am. Math.Soc., 357 (2004), 2009–2938.
- [38] G. Talenti, *Best constant in Sobolev inequality*, Ann. di Mat. Pura. Appl., 90 (1976), 353–372.
- [39] S. Terracini, *On positive entire solutions to a class of equations with a singular coefficient and critical exponent*, Advances in Diff. Equations, 1 (1996), 241–264.
- [40] X.J Wang, *Neumann problems of semilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent*, J. Diff. Equations, 93 (1991), 283–310.
- [41] Beujin Xuan, *Multiple solutions to p -Laplacian equation with singularity and cylindrical symmetry*, Nonlinear Anal., 55 (2003), 217–232.