# OPTIMAL HARDY-TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR SCHRÖDINGER FORMS

## MASAYOSHI TAKEDA

(Received April 14, 2022, revised August 31, 2022)

## Abstract

We give a method to construct a critical Schrödinger form from the subcritical Schrödinger form by subtracting a suitable positive potential. The method enables us to obtain optimal Hardy-type inequalities.

#### Contents

1.	Introduction	761
2.	Extended Schrödinger spaces	764
3.	Probabilistic representation of Schrödinger semigroups	765
4.	Criticality and Hardy-type inequalities	767
Ref	erences	775

## 1. Introduction

In [6], Devyver, Fraas and Pinchover give a method for obtaining *optimal* Hardy weights for second-order non-negative elliptic operators on non-compact Riemannian manifolds, in particular, they show that the criticality of Schrödinger forms is related to the *critical* Hardy weights. In [20] we give a method to construct a critical Schrödinger form from a transient Dirichlet form by subtracting a suitable positive potential. In other words, we give a method to construct critical Hardy weights for a transient Dirichlet form by applying the idea in [6]. In this paper, we will consider subcritical Schrödinger forms. As an application, we obtain a method to construct critical Hardy weights for Schrödinger forms. Moreover, we discuss the optimality of Hardy weights in the sense of [6], a stronger notion than the criticality, and give a condition for the critical Hardy weights being optimal ones.

Let *E* be a locally compact separable metric space and *m* a positive Radon measure on *E* with full topological support. Let  $X = (P_x, X_t, \zeta)$  be an *m*-symmetric Hunt process. We assume that *X* is irreducible and resolvent doubly Feller, in addition, that *X* generates a regular Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$  on  $L^2(E; m)$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$  the totality of local Kato measures (Definition 3.1 (1)). For a singed local Kato measure such that the positive (resp. negative) part  $\mu^+$  (resp.  $\mu^-$ ) of  $\mu$  belongs to

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 31C25; Secondary 26D15, 31C05.

 $\mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$  ( $\mu \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X) - \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$  in notation), we define a symmetric form by

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u,u) = \mathcal{E}(u,u) + \int_{E} u^{2} d\mu, \ u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_{0}(E).$$

The regularity of  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$  implies that a measure in  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$  is Radon (Remark 3.2) and the form  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E))$  is well-defined. In the sequel, for a symmetric bilinear form  $(a, \mathcal{D}(a))$  we simply write a(u) for a(u, u).

We suppose that  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E))$  is positive semi-definite:

(1) 
$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u) \ge 0 \left( \longleftrightarrow \int_{E} u^{2} d\mu^{-} \le \mathcal{E}^{\mu^{+}}(u) \right), \ u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_{0}(E).$$

Applying results in [1], we prove in [20] that  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E))$  is closable in  $L^2(E; m)$ . We denote the closure  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$  and call it *Schrödinger form* with potential  $\mu$ . By the Radonness of  $\mu^+$ , we see that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap L^2(E; \mu^+) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu})$  and

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u) = \mathcal{E}(u) + \int_{E} \widetilde{u}^{2} d\mu, \ u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap L^{2}(E; \mu^{+}).$$

Here  $\tilde{u}$  is a quasi-continuous version of u. In this paper, we always assume that every function u is represented by its quasi-continuous version if it admits.

The  $L^2$ -semigroup  $T_t^{\mu}$  generated by  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$  is expressed by Feynman-Kac semigroup ([20, Theorem 4.2]): For a bounded Borel function f in  $L^2(E; m)$ 

(2) 
$$T_t^{\mu} f(x) = p_t^{\mu} f(x) \left( := E_x \left( e^{-A_t^{\mu}} f(X_t) \right) \right), \text{ m-a.e. } x.$$

Here  $A_t^{\mu} = A_t^{\mu^+} - A_t^{\mu^-}$  and  $A_t^{\mu^+}$  (resp.  $A_t^{\mu^-}$ ) is the positive continuous additive functional with Revuz measure  $\mu^+$  (resp.  $\mu^-$ ). We suppose that  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$  is *subcritical*, that is, there exists the Green function  $R^{\mu}(x, y)$  such that for a positive Borel function f

$$\int_0^\infty p_t^\mu f(x)dt = \int_E R^\mu(x,y)f(y)dm(y), \ \forall x \in E.$$

Let  $\mathcal{K}^{\mu}_{loc}(X)$  be the set of local Kato measures such that for any compact set  $K \subset E$ 

(3) 
$$R^{\mu}(1_{K}\nu)u(x) = \int_{E} R^{\mu}(x,y)1_{K}(y)d\nu(y) \in L^{\infty}(E;m).$$

For a non-trivial measure  $\nu$  in  $\mathcal{K}^{\mu}_{loc}(X)$  define measures  $\nu^{\mu}$  and  $\mu^{\nu}$  by

(4) 
$$\nu^{\mu} = \frac{\nu}{R^{\mu}\nu}$$

and

(5) 
$$\mu^{\nu} = \mu - \nu^{\mu}.$$

We will show in Corollary 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 below that  $\mu^{\nu}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}(X) - \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$ and  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E))$  is still positive semi-definite

(6) 
$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(u) = \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u) - \int_{E} u^{2} d\nu^{\mu} \ge 0, \ u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_{0}(E).$$

In other words, the measure  $\nu^{\mu}$  is a *Hardy weight* for  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$ . As remarked above,  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E))$  is closable and its closure defines a new Schrödinger form  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}))$ .

Let C be the totality of compact sets of E. We then obtain the following main result in this paper: If a non-trivial positive measure  $\nu$  in  $\mathcal{K}^{\mu}_{loc}(X)$  satisfies that

(7) 
$$\sup_{K\in\mathcal{C}}\iint_{K\times K^c} R^{\mu}(x,y)\,\nu(dx)\nu(dy) < \infty,$$

then  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}))$  turns out to be a *critical* Schrödinger form. Here  $K^c$  is the complement of K. More precisely, the function  $R^{\mu}\nu$  is a ground state of  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}))$ , that is,  $R^{\mu}\nu$  belongs to the *extended Schrödinger space*  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}})$  of  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}))$  (see Section 2 for the definition of the extended Schrödinger space) and  $\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(R^{\mu}\nu) = 0$ . As a corollary, we see that  $\nu^{\mu}$  is a *critical* Hardy weight for  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$  in the sense that there exists no non-trivial positive function  $\psi$  such that

(8) 
$$\int_{E} u^{2} d\left(\nu^{\mu} + \psi m\right) \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u), \ u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_{0}(E).$$

In particular, if  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$  is transient and  $\mu \equiv 0$ , then every  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$  satisfies (3) by replacing  $R^{\mu}(x, y)$  with the 0-resolvent R(x, y) of X. Indeed, since  $1_{K}\nu$  is Green-tight,  $1_{K}\nu \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}(X)$  (Definition 3.1 (2)), the condition (3) is derived from [3, Proposition 2.2]. As a result, for any  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}$  the next Hardy-type inequality follows:

(9) 
$$\int_{E} u^{2} \frac{dv}{Rv} \leq \mathcal{E}(u), \ u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_{0}(E).$$

The inequality (9) is proved in Fitzsimmons [7] (see also [2]). Moreover, we see that if the measure  $\nu/R\mu$  is a critical Hardy weight for the Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$  if  $\nu$  satisfies (7) obtained by replacing  $R^{\mu}(x, y)$  with R(x, y).

As stated above, the function  $R^{\mu}\nu$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}})$  under the condition (7). Lemma 4.3 below tells us that  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu})$  is included in  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}})$  and  $R^{\mu}\nu$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu})$  in general. If  $\nu$  satisfies the stronger condition than (7),

$$\iint_{E\times E} R^{\mu}(x,y)\nu(dx)\nu(dy) < \infty,$$

i.e., v is of finite energy with respect to  $R^{\mu}$ , then  $R^{\mu}v$  belongs to  $L^{2}(E; v^{\mu})$  because

$$\int_E (R^\mu \nu)^2 d\nu^\mu = \int_E R^\mu \nu d\nu = \iint_{E \times E} R^\mu(x, y) \nu(dx) \nu(dy) < \infty.$$

Moreover,  $R^{\mu}\nu$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_{e}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu})$  by Lemma 4.8 below. Hence,  $\mathcal{E}^{\mu}(R^{\mu}\nu)$  is finite and thus

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(R^{\mu}\nu) = 0 \longleftrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{E}^{\mu}(R^{\mu}\nu)}{\int_{E}(R^{\mu}\nu)^{2}d\nu^{\mu}} = 1.$$

Noting that by (6)

(10) 
$$\inf_{u\in D_{\epsilon}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu})}\frac{\mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u)}{\int_{E}u^{2}d\nu^{\mu}}\geq 1,$$

we see  $R^{\mu}v$  is a minimizer for the left hand side of (10). In this case, the Schrödinger form  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}))$  is said to be *positive-critical* ([6, Definition 4.8]).

On the other hand, if v is not of finite energy,

M. TAKEDA

(11) 
$$\iint_{E\times E} R^{\mu}(x,y)\nu(dx)\nu(dy) = \infty,$$

then  $R^{\mu}\nu$  does not belong to  $L^2(E; \nu^{\mu})$  and  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}))$  is *null-critical* in the sense of [6].

The measure  $v^{\mu}$  is called *optimal at infinity* if for any  $K \in C$ 

$$\lambda \int_{E} u^{2} d\nu^{\mu} \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u), \ u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_{0}(K^{c}),$$

then  $\lambda \leq 1$ . We see from [12, Corollary 3.4] (or [14, Theorem 3]) that if for any  $K \in C$ 

$$\iint_{K\times E} R^{\mu}(x,y)\nu(dx)\nu(dy) < \infty,$$

i.e.,  $R^{\mu}v$  is locally integrable, then the null-criticality implies the optimality at infinity. In generally, if for any  $K \in C$ 

(12) 
$$\iint_{K^c \times E} R^{\mu}(x, y) \nu(dx) \nu(dy) = \infty,$$

then the optimality at infinity holds. Devyver, Fraas and Pinchover [6], where they call a Hardy-type inequality *optimal* if a Hardy weight is critical, null-critical and optimal at infinity. Noting that (12) implies (11), we can conclude that if a measure  $\nu$  satisfies (3), (7) and (12), then the measure  $\nu^{\mu}$  is an optimal Hardy-weight for  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$  in the sense of [6].

## 2. Extended Schrödinger spaces

Let *E* be a locally compact separable metric space and *m* a positive Radon measure on *E* with full topological support. Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$  be a regular Dirichlet form on  $L^2(E; m)$  (c.f. [9, p.6]). We denote by  $u \in \mathcal{D}_{loc}(\mathcal{E})$  if for any relatively compact open set *D* there exists a function  $v \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$  such that u = v *m*-a.e. on *D*. We assume that  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$  is irreducible (c.f. [9, p.40, p.55]).

We call a positive Borel measure  $\mu$  on *E* smooth if it satisfies

(i)  $\mu$  charges no set of zero capacity,

(ii) there exists an increasing sequence  $\{F_n\}$  of closed sets such that

- a)  $\mu(F_n) < \infty, \ n = 1, 2, ...,$
- b)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{Cap}(K \setminus F_n) = 0$  for any compact set *K*.

We denote by S the totality of smooth measures.

For a signed smooth Radon measure  $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^- \in S - S$  define a symmetric form on  $L^2(E;m)$  by

(13) 
$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u,v) = \mathcal{E}(u,v) + \int_{E} uv d\mu, \quad u,v \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_{0}(E).$$

We assume that  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E))$  is positive semi-definite:

(14) 
$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u) \ge 0 \left( \longleftrightarrow \int_{E} u^{2} d\mu^{-} \le \mathcal{E}^{\mu^{+}}(u) \right), \ u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_{0}(E).$$

When  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E))$  is closable, we denote by  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$  its closure and call it *Schrödinger form* with potential  $\mu$ .

A densely defined, closed, positive semi-definite symmetric bilinear form  $(a, \mathcal{D}(a))$  is said to be *positive preserving* if for  $u \in \mathcal{D}(a)$ , |u| belongs to  $\mathcal{D}(a)$  and  $a(|u|) \leq a(u)$ . It follow from [5, Lemma 1.3.4] that the form  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$  is positive preserving because  $\mathcal{E}^{\mu}(|u|) \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u)$ for  $u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(\mathcal{E})$ . As a result, we see from [17, Proposition 2] that  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$  has the *Fatou property*, i.e., if  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu})$  satisfies  $\sup_n \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u_n) < \infty$  and  $u_n \to u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu})$  m-a.e., then  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u_n) \geq \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u)$ . Hence, following [16], we can define a space  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu})$  in the way similar to the extended Dirichlet space: An *m*-measurable function *u* with  $|u| < \infty$ *m*-a.e. is said to be in  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu})$  if there exists an  $\mathcal{E}^{\mu}$ -Cauchy sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu})$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} u_n = u$  *m*-a.e. We call  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu})$  the *extended Schrödinger space* of  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$  and the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  an *approximating sequence* of *u*. For  $u \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu})$  and an approximating sequence  $\{u_n\}$  of *u*, we define

(15) 
$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u_n).$$

We define the criticality and subcriticality of Schrödinger forms in the way similar to the recurrence and transience of Dirichlet forms.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$  be a positive semi-definite Schrödinger form.

(1)  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$  is said to be *subcritical* if there exists a bounded function g in  $L^{1}(E; m)$  strictly positive *m*-a.e. such that

(16) 
$$\int_{E} |u|gdm \le \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u)}, \ u \in \mathcal{D}_{e}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu})$$

(2)  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$  is said to be *critical* if there exists a function  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{D}_{e}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu})$  strictly positive *m*-a.e. such that  $\mathcal{E}^{\mu}(\phi) = 0$ . The function  $\phi$  is said to be the *ground state*.

Define the operator  $G^{\mu}$  by

$$G^{\mu}f(x) = \int_0^\infty T_t^{\mu}f(x)dt \ (\le +\infty)$$

for a positive function f. Here  $T_t^{\mu}$  is the  $L^2$ -semigroup on  $L^2(E; m)$  generated by  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$ .

**Lemma 2.2** ([20, Lemma 2.3]). Let g be the function in Definition 2.1 (1). Then  $G^{\mu}g$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_{e}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu})$ .

REMARK 2.3. It is recently proved in [15, Theorem A.3] that if the semigroup  $T_t^{\mu}$  is expressed using a density  $p_t^{\mu}(x, y)$ ,  $T_t^{\mu}f(x) = \int_E p_t^{\mu}(x, y)f(y)dm(y)$ , then  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$  is subcritical or critical.

REMARK 2.4. We see from the inequality (16) that if  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$  is subcritical, then  $(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}), \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(\cdot, \cdot))$  is a Hilbert space.

### 3. Probabilistic representation of Schrödinger semigroups

Let  $X = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}, \{P_x\}_{x\in E}, \{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}, \zeta)$  be the symmetric Hunt process generated by  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ , where  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$  is the augmented filtration and  $\zeta$  is the lifetime of X. Denote by  $\{p_t\}_{t\geq 0}$  and  $\{R_\alpha\}_{\alpha\geq 0}$  the semigroup and resolvent of X:

$$p_t f(x) = E_x(f(X_t)), \quad R_\alpha f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} p_t f(x) dt.$$

Then  $p_t f(x) = T_t f(x)$  *m*-a.e.,  $R_{\alpha} f(x) = \int_0^{\infty} T_t f(x) dt$  *m*-a.e., where  $T_t$  is the  $L^2$ -semigroup on  $L^2(E;m)$  generated by  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ . In the sequel, we assume that X satisfies, in addition, the next condition:

**Feller Property** (F). For each t > 0,  $p_t(C_{\infty}(E)) \subset C_{\infty}(E)$  and for each  $f \in C_{\infty}(E)$  and  $x \in E$ ,  $\lim_{t\to 0} p_t f(x) = f(x)$ , where  $C_{\infty}(E)$  is the space of continuous functions on E vanishing at infinity.

**Resolvent Strong Feller Property (RSF)**. For each  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $R_{\alpha}(\mathcal{B}_b(E)) \subset C_b(E)$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_b(E)$ (resp.  $C_b(E)$ ) is the space of bounded Borel (resp. continuous) functions on *E*.

Following [11], a Hunt process is said to be *resolvent doubly Feller* if it enjoys both the Feller property and resolvent strong Feller property. We see from (**RSF**) that the resolvent kernel  $R_{\alpha}(x, dy)$  of X has a non-negative jointly measurable density  $R_{\alpha}(x, y)$  with respect to *m*: For  $x \in E$  and  $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$ 

$$R_{\alpha}f(x) = \int_{E} R_{\alpha}(x, y)f(y)m(dy).$$

Moreover,  $R_{\alpha}(x, y)$  is  $\alpha$ -excessive in x and in y ([9, Lemma 4.2.4]). We simply write R(x, y) for  $R_0(x, y)$ (:=  $\lim_{\alpha \to 0} R_{\alpha}(x, y)$ ). For a measure  $\mu$ , we define the  $\alpha$ -potential of  $\mu$  by

$$R_{\alpha}\mu(x) = \int_{E} R_{\alpha}(x, y)\mu(dy), \ \alpha \ge 0.$$

Let  $S_{00}$  be the set of positive Borel measures  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$  and  $R_1\mu$  is bounded. We call a Borel measure  $\mu$  on *E* smooth measure in the strict sense if there exists a sequence  $\{E_n\}$  of Borel sets increasing to *E* such that for each n,  $1_{E_n}\mu \in S_{00}$  and for any  $x \in E$ 

$$P_x(\lim_{n\to\infty}\sigma_{E\setminus E_n}\geq\zeta)=1,$$

where  $\sigma_{E \setminus E_n}$  is the first hitting time of  $E \setminus E_n$ . We denote by  $S^1$  the set of smooth measures in the strict sense.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $\mu \in S^1$ .

(1)  $\mu$  is said to be in the *Kato class* of *X* ( $\mathcal{K}(X)$  in abbreviation) if

$$\lim_{\alpha\to\infty}\|R_{\alpha}\mu\|_{\infty}=0.$$

 $\mu$  is said to be in the *local Kato class* ( $\mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$  in abbreviation) if for any compact set K,  $1_K \cdot \mu$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ . (2) Suppose that X is transient. A measure  $\mu$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{K}_{\infty}(X)$  if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a compact set  $K = K(\epsilon)$ 

$$\sup_{x\in E}\int_{K^c}R(x,y)\mu(dy)<\epsilon.$$

 $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{K}_{\infty}(X)$  is called *Green-tight*.

REMARK 3.2. It is known in [19, Theorem 3.1] that for a measure  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  and  $\alpha > 0$ 

(17) 
$$\int_{E} u^{2} d\mu \leq ||R_{\alpha}\mu||_{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(u), \ u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}).$$

By the regularity of  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$  and the inequality (17), a measure  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is Radon, and so is a measure  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$ . As a result,  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap L^2(E; \mu^+) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu})$  and

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u) = \mathcal{E}(u) + \int_{E} u^{2} d\mu, \ u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap L^{2}(E; \mu^{+}).$$

If  $\mu \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}(X)$ , then  $||R\mu||_{\infty} < \infty$  by [3, Proposition 2.2] and [11, Lemma 4.1], and the equation (17) is meaningful for  $\alpha = 0$ :

(18) 
$$\int_{E} u^{2} d\mu \leq ||R\mu||_{\infty} \mathcal{E}(u), \ u \in \mathcal{D}_{e}(\mathcal{E}).$$

We denote by  $A_t^{\mu}$  the PCAF corresponding to  $\mu \in S^1$ .

**Theorem 3.3** ([20, Theorem 4.2]). Let  $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^- \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X) - \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$ . If  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(\mathcal{E}))$  is positive semi-definite, then it is closable. Moreover, the semigroup  $T_t^{\mu}$  generated by the closure  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$  is expressed as

$$T_t^{\mu} f(x) = p_t^{\mu} f(x) = E_x \left( e^{-A_t^{\mu}} f(X_t) \right) \ m\text{-}a.e.$$

REMARK 3.4. By [9, Theorem 4.2.4], the transition semigroup  $p_t$  of X is expressed using transition probability density  $p_t(x, y)$ , as a result,  $T_t^{\mu}$  is also expressed by a kernel  $p_t^{\mu}(x, y)$  by Theorem 3.3. Hence, as discussed in Remark 2.3,  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$  is either critical or subcritical.

#### 4. Criticality and Hardy-type inequalities

We maintain the setting in Section 3 and fix a measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X) - \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$ . Though this section, we assume that  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$  is positive semi-definite and subcritical. By the subcriticality of  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$ ,  $(\mathcal{D}_{e}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}), \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(\cdot, \cdot))$  becomes a Hilbert space. The  $\alpha$ -order resolvent kernel  $\{R^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x, y)\}_{\alpha>0}$  of  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$  can be constructed in the same manner as [9, Lemma 4.2.4] and the Green kernel, i.e., 0-order resolvent kernel  $R^{\mu}(x, y)$  is defined by  $R^{\mu}(x, y) = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} R^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x, y)$ . The potential of a positive measure  $\nu$  is defined by

$$R^{\mu}\nu(x) = \int_{E} R^{\mu}(x, y)\nu(dy).$$

**Lemma 4.1.** Let v be a non-trivial positive measure in  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$ . Then for any compact set K

$$\inf_{x\in K} R^{\mu}\nu(x) > 0.$$

Proof. For any compact set *K*, take a relatively compact domain *G* such that  $K \subset G$  and  $\nu(G) > 0$ . Consider the subprocess  $X^{\mu^+} = (\{P_x^{\mu^+}\}_{x \in E}, \{X_t\}_{t \ge 0}, \zeta)$  defined by

$$P_x^{\mu^+}(B;t<\zeta)=\int_{B\cap\{t<\zeta\}}e^{-A_t^{\mu^+}}dP_x, \ B\in\mathfrak{F}_t.$$

Then  $X^{\mu^+}$  has Properties (**F**) and (**RSF**) by [13, Corollary 6.1], and so the part process  $X^{\mu^+,G}$  of  $X^{\mu^+}$  on *G* has Property (**RSF**) by [13, Theorem 3.1]. Furthermore,  $X^{\mu^+,G}$  is irreducible

because G is a domain.

Since the measure  $v^G$ , the restriction of v to G, is in the Green-tight Kato class of  $X^{\mu^+,G}$ ,  $v^G \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}(X^{\mu^+,G})$ ,  $R^{\mu^+,G}v(=R^{\mu^+,G}v^G)$  is bounded by [3, Proposition 2.4] on G. Moreover it is continuous on G. Indeed, by Property (**RSF**) of  $X^{\mu^+,G}$ ,  $R^{\mu^+,G}_{\alpha}(R^{\mu^+,G}v) \in C_b(G)$  and  $||R^{\mu^+,G}_{\alpha}v||_{\infty} \to 0$  as  $\alpha \to \infty$  because of  $v^G \in \mathcal{K}(X^{\mu,G})$ . Hence,  $R^{\mu^+,G}v \in C_b(G)$  because the resolvent equation implies

$$||R^{\mu^+,G}\nu - \alpha R^{\mu^+,G}_{\alpha}(R^{\mu^+,G}\nu)||_{\infty} = ||R^{\mu^+,G}_{\alpha}\nu||_{\infty} \to 0, \ \alpha \to \infty.$$

By the irreducibility and  $\nu(G) > 0$ ,  $R^{\mu^+,G}\nu(x) > 0$  for each  $x \in E$ , and thus  $\inf_{x \in K} R^{\mu^+,G}\nu(x) > 0$ . On account of  $R^{\mu}\nu(x) \ge R^{\mu^+,G}\nu(x)$ , we have this lemma.

By Lemma 4.1, we have the next corollary.

**Corollary 4.2.** For a non-trivial positive measure  $v \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$ , the measure  $v/R^{\mu}v$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$ .

We define the subclass  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}^{\mu}(X)$  of  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$  by

$$\mathcal{K}_{loc}^{\mu}(X) = \{ \nu \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X) \mid \text{For any } K \subset \mathcal{C}, \ \|R^{\mu}(1_{K}\nu)\|_{\infty} < \infty \},\$$

where *C* is the totality of compact sets of *E*. If  $\mu = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{K}^{\mu}_{loc}(X)$  equals  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$  because  $1_{K}\nu \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}(X)$  and  $||\mathcal{R}(1_{K}\nu)||_{\infty} < \infty$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** Let v be a non-trivial measure in  $\mathcal{K}^{\mu}_{loc}(X)$ . Then

$$\int_E \phi^2 \frac{d\nu}{R^{\mu}\nu} \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(\phi), \ \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E).$$

Proof. Let  $\{K_n\}$  be a increasing sequence of compact sets such that  $K_n \subset \mathring{K}_{n+1}$  and  $K_n \uparrow E$ . We fix the sequence  $\{K_n\}$ . For  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , define  $\mu_n^{\epsilon} = \mu^+ - \epsilon \mu_n^-$ , where  $\mu_n^-(\cdot) := \mu^-(K_n \cap \cdot)$ . The positive semi-definiteness of  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E))$  implies that

$$\epsilon \int_E \phi^2 d\mu_n^- \le \epsilon \, \mathcal{E}^{\mu^+}(\phi),$$

and

(19) 
$$(1-\epsilon) \mathcal{E}^{\mu^{+}}(\phi) \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mu^{+}}(\phi) - \epsilon \int_{E} \phi^{2} d\mu_{n}^{-} = \mathcal{E}^{\mu_{n}^{\epsilon}}(\phi) \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mu^{+}}(\phi),$$

which implies

(20) 
$$\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^{\epsilon}}) = \mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^+})(\subset \mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E})).$$

Let  $\nu_m = \nu(\cdot \cap K_m)$ . We may suppose that  $\nu_1$  is non-trivial and  $R^{\mu_n^{\epsilon}}\nu_1(x)$  is bounded below by a positive constant on each compact set  $K \subset E$ . Noting  $\nu_m \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}(X)$ , we see from (18) and (19) that

$$\int_{E} |\phi| d\nu_{m} \leq \nu(K_{m})^{1/2} \left( \int_{E} \phi^{2} d\nu_{m} \right)^{1/2} \leq \nu(K_{m})^{1/2} ||R\nu_{m}||_{\infty}^{1/2} \cdot \mathcal{E}(\phi)^{1/2}$$
$$\leq C \mathcal{E}^{\mu^{+}}(\phi)^{1/2} \leq C' \mathcal{E}^{\mu_{n}^{\epsilon}}(\phi)^{1/2}.$$

Hence  $R^{\mu_n^{\epsilon}} v_m$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^{\epsilon}})$  and

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^{\epsilon}}(R^{\mu_n^{\epsilon}}\nu_m,\phi) = \int_E \phi \, d\nu_m = \int_E R^{\mu_n^{\epsilon}}\nu_m \cdot \phi \frac{d\nu_m}{R^{\mu_n^{\epsilon}}\nu_m}$$

which implies

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^{\epsilon}-\nu_m/R^{\mu_n^{\epsilon}}\nu_m}(R^{\mu_n^{\epsilon}}\nu_m,\phi)=0, \ \phi\in\mathcal{D}(E)\cap C_0(E)$$

Note that  $R^{\mu_n^{\epsilon}}v_m$  is in  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E})$  by (20) and in  $L^{\infty}(E,m)$  by  $R^{\mu_n^{\epsilon}}v_m \leq R^{\mu}v_m$ . Moreover, it is bounded below by a positive constant on each compact set by Lemma 4.1. We then see from Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6 below that

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^{\epsilon}-\nu_m/R^{\mu_n^{\epsilon}}\nu_m}(\phi) \ge 0, \ \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E),$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^{\epsilon}}(\phi) - \int_E \phi^2 \frac{d\nu_m}{R^{\mu}\nu} \ge \mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^{\epsilon}}(\phi) - \int_E \phi^2 \frac{d\nu_m}{R^{\mu_n^{\epsilon}}\nu_m} = \mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^{\epsilon} - \nu_m/R^{\mu_n^{\epsilon}}\nu_m}(\phi) \ge 0.$$

Since

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^{\epsilon}}(\phi) - \int_E \phi^2 \frac{d\nu_m}{R^{\mu}\nu} \xrightarrow{m \to \infty} \mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^{\epsilon}}(\phi) - \int_E \phi^2 \frac{d\nu}{R^{\mu}\nu} \\ \xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 1} \mathcal{E}^{\mu_n^{1}}(\phi) - \int_E \phi^2 \frac{d\nu}{R^{\mu}\nu} \\ \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(\phi) - \int_E \phi^2 \frac{d\nu}{R^{\mu}\nu},$$

we have this lemma.

Lemma 4.3 leads us to an extension of the inequality (17).

**Corollary 4.4.** It holds that

$$\int_{E} \phi^{2} d\nu \leq \| \mathcal{R}^{\mu} \nu \|_{\infty} \, \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(\phi), \ \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_{0}(E).$$

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $u \in D_e(\mathcal{E}) \cap L^{\infty}(E; m)$  is bounded below by a positive constant on each compact set. Then  $\varphi/u$  belongs to  $D(\mathcal{E})$  for any  $\varphi \in D(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$ .

Proof. Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$  and s suppose that  $u \ge c > 0$  on  $\operatorname{supp}[\varphi]$ . Let  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$  be an approximating sequence of u. We may  $\operatorname{suppose \ sup}_n ||u_n||_{\infty} \le ||u||_{\infty}$ Then since by [9, Theorem 1.4.2 (ii)]

$$\mathcal{E}(u_n\varphi)^{1/2} \leq ||u_n||_{\infty}\mathcal{E}(\varphi)^{1/2} + ||\varphi||_{\infty}\mathcal{E}(u_n)^{1/2},$$

we have  $\sup_n \mathcal{E}(u_n \varphi) < \infty$ . On account of [18, 1.6.1'],  $u\varphi$  is in  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E})$  and so in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$  because  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}) \cap L^2(E;m) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ .

Since for  $(x, y) \in \text{supp}[\varphi] \times \text{supp}[\varphi]$ 

$$\left|\frac{\varphi(x)}{u(x)}\right| \le c^{-1}|\varphi(x)|$$
$$\left|\frac{\varphi(x)}{u(x)} - \frac{\varphi(y)}{u(y)}\right| \le 2c^{-1}|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| + c^{-2}|u(x)\varphi(x) - u(y)\varphi(y)|$$

we have this lemma by the same argument as in the proof of [9, Theorem 6.3.2].

[8, Theorem 10.2] yields the next lemma.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let  $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^- \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X) - \mathcal{K}(X)$  and  $u \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}) \cap L^{\infty}(E;m)$  be a function bounded below by a positive constant on each compact. If u satisfies  $\mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u, \varphi) = 0$  for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$ , then  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$  is positive semi-definite.

Proof. The function u is a generalized eigenfunction corresponding to the generalized eigenvalue 0 in [8, Definition 9.1]. Note that by Lemma 4.5,  $\varphi/u$  is a bounded function in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu})$  with compact support. Then, applying [8, Theorem 10.2], we have

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu}(\varphi) = \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u(\varphi/u)) = \int_{E \times E} u(x)u(y)d\Gamma(\varphi/u) \ge 0,$$

where  $\Gamma(\varphi/u)$  is the positive measure on  $E \times E$  defined in [8, Subsection 3.2].

**Lemma 4.7.** Let  $v \in \mathcal{K}^{\mu}_{loc}(X)$  and  $v_m = v(\cdot \cap K_m)$ . Then  $\mathbb{R}^{\mu}v_m$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu})$  for any m.

Proof. Since for  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$ 

$$\int_{E} |\phi| d\nu_{m} \le \nu(K_{m})^{1/2} \left( \int_{E} \phi^{2} d\nu_{m} \right)^{1/2} \le \mu(K_{m})^{1/2} ||R^{\mu} \nu_{m}||_{\infty}^{1/2} \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(\phi)^{1/2}$$

by Corollary 4.4 and  $||R^{\mu}\nu_m||_{\infty} < \infty$  by  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}^{\mu}_{loc}(X)$ , we have this lemma.

**Lemma 4.8.** If  $v \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}^{\mu}(X)$  is of finite energy with respect to  $\mathbb{R}^{\mu}(x, y)$ ,

(21) 
$$\iint_{E\times E} R^{\mu}(x,y)v(dx)v(dy) < \infty$$

then  $R^{\mu}v$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_{e}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu})$ .

Proof. Since  $R^{\mu}\nu_m \in D_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}) \uparrow R^{\mu}\nu(x)$  for any  $x \in E$  as  $m \to \infty$  and

$$\sup_{m} \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(R^{\mu}v_{m}) = \sup_{m} \int_{E} R^{\mu}v_{m}dv_{m} = \sup_{m} \iint_{K_{m}\times K_{m}} R^{\mu}(x,y)v(dx)v(dy)$$
$$\leq \iint_{E\times E} R^{\mu}(x,y)v(dx)v(dy) < \infty.$$

By Banach-Saks Theorem (cf.[4, Theorem A.4.1]) there exists a subsequence  $\{K_{m_l}\} \subset \{K_m\}$  such that

$$\frac{R^{\mu}\nu_{m_1} + R^{\mu}\nu_{m_2} + \dots + R^{\mu}\nu_{m_l}}{l} = R^{\mu} \left(\frac{(1_{K_{m_1}} + 1_{K_{m_2}} \dots + 1_{K_{m_l}})}{l}\nu\right) \longrightarrow R^{\mu}\nu$$

with  $\mathcal{E}^{\mu}$ -strongly, and thus Lemma 4.7 implies this lemma.

For 
$$\mu \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X) - \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$$
 and  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}^{\mu}(X)$ , define  
(22)  $\nu^{\mu} = \frac{\nu}{R^{\mu}\nu}, \qquad \mu^{\nu} = \mu - \nu^{\mu}.$ 

Then  $\mu^{\nu}$  is in  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}(X) - \mathcal{K}_{loc}(X)$  by Corollary 4.2 and  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E))$  is positive semidefinite by Lemma 4.3. Hence by [20, Theorem 4.2] we can define the Schrödinger form with potential  $\mu^{\nu}$ , the closure  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}))$  of  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E))$  and its extended Schrödinger space  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}})$ .

**Lemma 4.9.** If  $u \in D_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^+})$ , then

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(u) = \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u) - \int_{E} u^{2} d\nu^{\mu}.$$

Proof. Noting  $u \in D_e(\mathcal{E})$ , there exists an  $\mathcal{E}^{\mu^+}$ -Cauchy sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset D(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$ such that  $u_n \to u$  q.e. Since  $\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(u) \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u) \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mu^+}(u), u \in D(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E), \{u_n\}$  is also an approximating sequence of u in  $D_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu})$  and  $D_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}})$ . In particular, u is in  $D_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}) \subset D_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}})$ , and thus  $u \in L^2(E; \nu^{\mu})$  by Lemma 4.3. Hence we have

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(u) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(u_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u_n) - \int_E u_n^2 d\nu^{\mu} \right) = \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u) - \int_E u^2 d\nu^{\mu}.$$

Lemma 4.10. It holds that

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(R^{\mu}\nu_m) = \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(R^{\mu}\nu_m) - \int_E (R^{\mu}\nu_m)^2 d\nu^{\mu}.$$

Proof. Let  $\{\epsilon_n\}$  be a positive sequence such that  $\epsilon_n \uparrow 1$  as  $n \to \infty$  and denote by  $\mu'_n$  the measure  $\mu_n^{\epsilon_n}$  defined in Lemma 4.3. Put  $u_n = R^{\mu'_n} v_m$ . Then  $u_n$  is in  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^+})$  as shown in the proof of Lemma 4.3. Since

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u_n) \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mu'_n}(u_n) = \int_E u_n d\nu_m \leq \int_E R^{\mu} \nu_m d\nu_m < \infty,$$

There exists a subsequence  $\{u_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{u_n\}$  such that

$$v_k := \frac{u_{n_1} + u_{n_2} + \dots + u_{n_k}}{k} \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^+})$$

is an approximating sequence of  $R^{\mu}v_m$  in  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu})$  and  $v_k(x) \uparrow R^{\mu}v_m(x)$  for any  $x \in E$ .

Noting that  $\{v_k\}$  is also an approximating sequence of  $R^{\mu}v_m$  in  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}})$ , we have by Lemma 4.9

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(R^{\mu}\nu_m) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(v_k) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \left( \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(v_k) - \int_E v_k^2 dv^{\mu} \right) = \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(R^{\mu}\nu_m) - \int_E (R^{\mu}\nu_m)^2 dv^{\mu}. \quad \Box$$

Let  $\mathcal{K}_C^{\mu}$  be the set of measures in  $\mathcal{K}_{loc}^{\mu}(X)$  satisfying (7). For  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}_C^{\mu}$  there exists a sequence  $\{K_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \subset C$  such that  $K_m \uparrow E$  and

(23) 
$$\sup_{m} \iint_{E \times E} R^{\mu}(x, y) v_{m}(dx) v_{m}^{c}(dy) < \infty,$$

where  $\nu_m^c(A) = \nu(K_m^c \cap A)$ . If a measures  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}^{\mu}(X)$  of finite energy with respect to  $\mathbb{R}^{\mu}$ , then it satisfies (23).

**Lemma 4.11.** If  $v \in \mathcal{K}_{C}^{\mu}$ , then  $R^{\mu}v$  is in  $\mathcal{D}_{e}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}})$ .

Proof. For  $\nu \in \mathcal{K}_C^{\mu}$ 

$$\int_{E} R^{\mu} v_{m} dv = \int_{E} R^{\mu} v_{m} dv_{m} + \int_{E} R^{\mu} v_{m} dv_{m}^{c} < \infty$$

because

$$\int_E R^\mu \nu_m d\nu_m = \mathcal{E}^\mu (R^\mu \nu_m) < \infty$$

by Lemma 4.7.

By Lemma 4.10 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(R^{\mu}\nu_{m}) &= \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(R^{\mu}\nu_{m}) - \int_{E} (R^{\mu}\nu_{m})^{2} d\nu^{\mu} \\ &= \int_{E} R^{\mu}\nu_{m} d\nu_{m} - \int_{E} (R^{\mu}\nu_{m})^{2} d\nu^{\mu} \\ &= \int_{E} R^{\mu}\nu_{m} d\nu - \int_{E} R^{\mu}\nu_{m} d\nu_{m}^{c} - \int_{E} \frac{(R^{\mu}\nu_{m})^{2}}{R^{\mu}\nu_{m} + R^{\mu}\nu_{m}^{c}} d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side equals

(24) 
$$\int_{E} \left( \frac{R^{\mu} \nu_{m} (R^{\mu} \nu_{m} + R^{\mu} \nu_{m}^{c}) - (R^{\mu} \nu_{m})^{2}}{R^{\mu} \nu_{m} + R^{\mu} \nu_{m}^{c}} \right) d\nu - \int_{E} R^{\mu} \nu_{m} d\nu_{m}^{c}$$
$$= \int_{E} \frac{R^{\mu} \nu_{m} R^{\mu} \nu_{m}^{c}}{R^{\mu} \nu_{m} + R^{\mu} \nu_{m}^{c}} d\nu - \int_{E} R^{\mu} \nu_{m} d\nu_{m}^{c}$$
$$= \int_{E} \frac{R^{\mu} \nu_{m} R^{\mu} \nu_{m}^{c}}{R^{\mu} \nu_{m} + R^{\mu} \nu_{m}^{c}} d\nu_{m} + \int_{E} \left( \frac{R^{\mu} \nu_{m} R^{\mu} \nu_{m}^{c}}{R^{\mu} \nu_{m} + R^{\mu} \nu_{m}^{c}} - R^{\mu} \nu_{m} \right) d\nu_{m}^{c}.$$

Since

$$\frac{R^{\mu}\nu_m R^{\mu}\nu_m^c}{R^{\mu}\nu_m + R^{\mu}\nu_m^c} \le R^{\mu}\nu_m^c, \quad \frac{R^{\mu}\nu_m R^{\mu}\nu_m^c}{R^{\mu}\nu_m + R^{\mu}\nu_m^c} \le R^{\mu}\nu_m,$$

the right hand side of (24) is less than or equal to  $\int_E R^{\mu} v_m^c dv_m$ . Therefore, we see from (23) that

$$\sup_{m} \mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(R^{\mu}v_{m}) \leq \sup_{m} \int_{E} R^{\mu}v_{m}^{c}dv_{m} < \infty.$$

Since  $R^{\mu}\nu_m \rightarrow R^{\mu}\nu$ , this lemma follows from Lemma 4.7.

The next lemma is obtained in the same argument as in [20, Lemma 5.3].

**Lemma 4.12.** For  $v \in \mathcal{K}_C^{\mu}$ 

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(R^{\mu}\nu,\varphi)=0, \ \varphi\in\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})\cap C_0(E).$$

Proof. Since  $\sup_m \mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\vee}}(R^{\mu}\nu_m) < \infty$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{K_{m_i}\} \subset \{K_m\}$  such that

$$R^{\mu}\left(\frac{(1_{K_{m_1}}+1_{K_{m_2}}\cdots+1_{K_{m_l}})}{l}\nu\right)\longrightarrow R^{\mu}\nu$$

 $\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}$ -strongly.

Let  $\phi_l := (1_{K_{m_1}} + 1_{K_{m_2}} \cdots + 1_{K_{m_l}})/l$ . For a fixed  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$  we can assume  $\operatorname{supp}[\varphi] \subset K_{m_1}$ . By the same argument as in Lemma 4.10, we have

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(R^{\mu}(\phi_{l}\nu)+\varphi)=\mathcal{E}^{\mu}(R^{\mu}(\phi_{l}\nu)+\varphi)-\int_{E}(R^{\mu}(\phi_{l}\nu)+\varphi)^{2}d\nu^{\mu},$$

and thus

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(R^{\mu}(\phi_{l}\nu),\varphi) = \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(R^{\mu}(\phi_{l}\nu),\varphi) - \int_{E} R^{\mu}(\phi_{l}\nu)\varphi d\nu^{\mu}.$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(R^{\mu}\nu,\varphi) = \lim_{l \to \infty} \mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(R^{\mu}(\phi_{l}\nu),\varphi)$$
$$= \lim_{l \to \infty} \left( \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(R^{\mu}(\phi_{l}\nu),\varphi) - \int_{E} R^{\mu}(\phi_{l}\nu)\varphi d\nu^{\mu} \right).$$

Note that  $R^{\mu}(\phi_l \nu) \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu})$  by Lemma 4.7. Then since

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(R^{\mu}(\phi_l \nu), \varphi) = \lim_{l \to \infty} \int_E \varphi \phi_l d\nu = \int_E \varphi d\nu$$

and by the monotone convergence theorem

$$\lim_{l\to\infty}\int_E R^{\mu}(\phi_l \nu)\varphi d\nu^{\mu} = \int_E R^{\mu}\nu \cdot \varphi \frac{d\nu}{R^{\mu}\nu} = \int_E \varphi d\nu,$$

we have this lemma.

The next theorem is an extension of [20, Theorem 5.4].

**Theorem 4.13.** If  $v \in \mathcal{K}_{C}^{\mu}$ , then  $R^{\mu}v$  is a ground state of  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}))$ , consequently,  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}))$  is critical.

Proof. Since  $R^{\mu}\nu$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}})$ , there exists a sequence  $\{\varphi_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$  such that  $\varphi_n$  converges  $\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}$ -strongly to  $R^{\mu}\nu$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(R^{\mu}\nu) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(R^{\mu}\nu,\varphi_n) = 0$$

by Lamma 4.12.

**Corollary 4.14.** There exists no non-trivial positive function  $\psi$  such that

(25) 
$$\int_{E} u^{2} d\left(\gamma^{\mu} + \psi m\right) \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u, u), \ u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_{0}(E).$$

Proof. If (25) holds, then

$$\int_E u^2 \psi dm \le \mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\vee}}(u) = 0, \ u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E).$$

Since  $R^{\mu}v$  is in  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}})$ , there exists an approximating sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$ . We then have

$$\int_{E} (R^{\mu} \nu)^{2} \psi dm \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{E} u_{n}^{2} \psi dm \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(u_{n}) = \mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(R^{\mu} \nu) = 0,$$

and so  $\psi = 0$  *m*-a.e. because  $R^{\mu}v > 0$  by the irreducibility of *X*.

Corollary 4.14 tells us that  $v^{\mu}$  is a *critical* Hardy weight for  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$  ([6], [10]).

A Hardy weight  $v^{\mu}$  is called *optimal at infinity* if for any  $K \in C$ 

$$\lambda \int_{E} u^{2} d\nu^{\mu} \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u), \ u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_{0}(K^{c}),$$

then  $\lambda \leq 1$ .

**Lemma 4.15.** If  $v \in \mathcal{K}_C^{\mu}$  satisfies that

(26) 
$$\iint_{K^c \times E} R^{\mu}(x, y) \nu(dx) \nu(dy) = \infty \text{ for any } K \in C,$$

then  $v^{\mu}$  is optimal at infinity.

Proof. Denote  $h = R^{\mu}\nu$ . Since *h* is a ground state of  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}))$  by Theorem 4.13, *h* is  $p_t^{\mu^{\nu}}$ -invariant,  $p_t^{\mu^{\nu}}h = h$ , where  $p_t^{\mu^{\nu}}$  is the semigroup associated with  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}))$ . Denote by  $(\mathcal{E}^h, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^h))$  the Dirichlet form generated by *h*-transform of  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}))$ :

$$\mathcal{E}^{h}(u) = \mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(uh), \ u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{h}) = \{u \mid uh \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}})\}$$

Since *h* is in  $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\vee}})$ , there exists a sequence  $\{h_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$  such that  $0 \le h_n \uparrow h$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\vee}}(h-h_n) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Then  $\{g_n := h_n/h\}$  is an approximating sequence of  $1 \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathcal{E}^h)$ .

Suppose that there exist  $F \in C$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for any  $u \in D(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(F^c)$ 

(27) 
$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu}(u) \ge (1+\epsilon) \int_{F^c} u^2 d\nu^{\mu}, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(F^c).$$

Let  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  be relatively compact open set such that  $F \subset G_1 \subset \overline{G}_1 \subset G_2 \subset \overline{G}_2 \subset E$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a function in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(E)$  such that  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ ,  $\varphi(x) = 1$  on  $x \in \overline{G}_1$  and  $\operatorname{supp}[\varphi] \subset G_2$ . Put  $\psi = (1 - \varphi)$ . Then  $h_n \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_0(F^c)$ , and so by (27)

(28) 
$$\epsilon \int_{E} (h_n \psi)^2 \frac{d\nu}{h} \leq \mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(h_n \psi).$$

Then we have by [9, Theorem 1.4.2 (ii)]

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}(h_n\psi) = \mathcal{E}^h(\frac{h_n}{h}\psi) \le 2\left(\mathcal{E}^h(h_n/h) + \mathcal{E}^h(\psi)\right),$$

and so

$$\sup_{n} \int_{E} (h_{n}\psi)^{2} \frac{d\nu}{h} \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon} \left( \sup_{n} \mathcal{E}^{h}(h_{n}/h) + \mathcal{E}^{h}(\psi) \right) < \infty$$

on account of (28). Hence

$$\int_{\overline{G}_2^c} h d\nu = \int_{\overline{G}_2^c} \lim_{n \to \infty} (h_n \psi)^2 \frac{d\nu}{h} \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_E (h_n \psi)^2 \frac{d\nu}{h} < \infty,$$

and thus

$$\iint_{\overline{G}_2^c \times E} R^{\mu}(x, y) d\nu(x) d\nu(y) = \int_{\overline{G}_2^c} h d\nu < \infty,$$

which is contradictory to (26).

If  $v \in \mathcal{K}_C^{\mu}$  satisfies the inequality (26), then the ground state  $R^{\mu}v$  of  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{\nu}}))$  does not belong to  $L^2(E; \mu^{\nu})$  and so  $v^{\mu}$  is a null-critical Hardy weight for  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$ . Therefore, we have

**Theorem 4.16.** If  $v \in \mathcal{K}^{\mu}_{C}$  satisfies

$$\iint_{K^c\times E} R^{\mu}(x,y)\nu(dx)\nu(dy) = \infty \ for \ any \ K\in C,$$

then the measure  $v^{\mu}$  defined in (22) is a optimal Hardy weight for  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}))$ .

REMARK 4.17. The measure  $v(dx) := |x|^{-(d+\alpha)/2} dx$  satisfies (26) with respect to the Green kernel  $|x - y|^{\alpha - d}$ ,  $\alpha < d$ , the 0-resolvent of the symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process because  $(|y|^{\alpha - d} * |y|^{-(d+\alpha)/2})(x) = C|x|^{(\alpha - d)/2}$  and  $|x|^{(\alpha - d)/2} \cdot |x|^{-(d+\alpha)/2} = |x|^{-d}$ ; however v satisfies (23) ([20, Example 5.6]). Hence v is an optimal Hardy weight for the Dirichlet form of symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author would like to thank the referee for a useful comment on Lemma 4.15.

#### References

- S. Albeverio and Z-M. Ma: Perturbation of Dirichlet forms-lower semiboundedness, closability, and form cores, J. Funct. Anal. 99 (1991), 332–356.
- [2] J. Cao, A. Grigor'yan and L. Liu: Hardy's inequality and Green function on metric measure spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 281 (2021), Paper No. 109020, 78 pp.
- [3] Z.-Q. Chen: Gaugeability and conditional gaugeability, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 354 (2002), 4639–4679.
- [4] Z.-Q. Chen and M. Fukushima: Symmetric Markov Processes, Time Change, and Boundary Theory, London Math. Soc. Monographs Series 35, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2012.
- [5] E.B. Davies: Heat Kernels and Spectral Theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [6] B. Devyver, M. Fraas and Y. Pinchover: Optimal Hardy weight for second-order elliptic operator: an answer to a problem of Agmon, J. Funct. Anal. 266 (2014), 4422–4489.
- [7] P.J. Fitzsimmons: Hardy's inequality for Dirichlet forms, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 250 (2000), 548–560.
- [8] R.L. Frank, D. Lenz and D. Wingert: Intrinsic metrics for non-local symmetric Dirichlet forms and applications to spectral theory, J. Funct. Anal. 266 (2014), 4765–4808.
- [9] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima and M. Takeda: Dirichlet Forms and Symmetric Markov Processes, 2nd ed., Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2011.
- [10] M. Keller, Y. Pinchover and F. Pogorzelski: Criticality theory for Schrödinger operators on graphs, J. Spectr. Theory 10 (2020), 73–114.
- [11] D. Kim and K. Kuwae: Analytic characterizations of gaugeability for generalized Feynman-Kac functionals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 369 (2017), 4545–4596.
- [12] H. Kovarik and Y. Pinchover: On minimal decay at infinity of Hardy-weights, Commun. Contemp. Math. 22 (2020), Paper No. 1950046, 18 pp.
- [13] M. Kurniawaty, K. Kuwae and K. Tsuchida: On the doubly Feller property of resolvent, Kyoto J. Math. 57 (2017), 637–654.
- [14] Y. Miura: Optimal Hardy inequalities for Schrödinger operators based on symmetric stable processes, J. Theoret. Probab. 36 (2023), 134–166.
- [15] M. Schmidt: (Weak) Hardy and Poincaré inequalities and criticality theory, Dirichlet forms and related topics, Springer Proc. Math. Stat. 394 Springer, Singapore, 2022, 421–460.
- [16] B. Schmuland: Extended Dirichlet spaces, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. 21 (1999), 146–152.
- [17] B. Schmuland: Positivity preserving forms have the Fatou property, Potential Anal. 10 (1999), 373–378.
- [18] M. Silverstein: Symmetric Markov processes, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 426, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.
- [19] P. Stollmann and J. Voigt: Perturbation of Dirichlet forms by measures, Potential Anal. 5 (1996), 109–138.

[20] M. Takeda and T. Uemura: Criticality of Schrödinger forms and recurrence of Dirichlet forms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 376 (2023), 4145–4171.

> Department of Mathematics Kansai University Yamatecho, Suita, 564–8680 Japan e-mail: mtakeda@kansai-u.ac.jp