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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider the semilinear elliptic problem $-\Delta u=a(x)|u|^{p-2} u+\lambda b(x)|u|^{q-2} u$ in a bounded domain $\Omega$ with Neumann boundary condition. We show the existence infinitely many solutions by applying critical point theory with a suitable decomposition of the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Also we prove the $C^{\alpha}$ regularity of the solutions.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$ be an open bounded domain with smooth boundary. We consider the following semilinear elliptic problem

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta u & =\lambda a(x)|u|^{p-2} u+\mu b(x)|u|^{q-2} u \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.1}\\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} & =0 \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}
$$

where $1<p<2<q<2^{*}=2 n /(n-2), \lambda, \mu$ are positive real parameters and $a, b: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are functions satisfying the following hypotheses:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(H_{1}\right) a \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \text { and } \int_{\Omega} a(x) \mathrm{d} x \neq 0 \\
& \left(H_{1}^{\prime}\right) a \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \text { and } \alpha:=\inf _{x \in \Omega} a(x)>0 \\
& \left(H_{2}\right) b \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \text { and } \beta:=\inf _{x \in \Omega} b(x)>0 \\
& \left(H_{2}^{\prime}\right) b \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \text { and } \int_{\Omega} b(x) \mathrm{d} x \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the work of Ambrosetti et al. [3], semilinear elliptic problems with concave-convex nonlinearities have been investigated widely. We refer to $[1,2,9,12,10]$ for more concaveconvex problems with Dirichlet boundary conditions. In [3], the authors studied the existence of solutions of the following problem

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta u & =|u|^{p-2} u+\lambda|u|^{q-2} u \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.2}\\
u & =0 \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}
$$

and proved that there exists $\lambda^{*}>0$ such that for all $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$ there exist sequences of solutions $\left\{u_{n}\right\},\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ such that $I\left(u_{n}\right)<0$ and $I\left(v_{n}\right)>0$. The authors also studied the existence of positive solutions and proved that there exists $\Lambda>0$ such that the problem (1.2) has at least two positive solutions for $\lambda<\Lambda$, at least one positive solution for $\lambda=\Lambda$ and no positive solution for $\lambda>\Lambda$. In [8], De Figueiredo et al. extended these previous results to a problem
with variable coefficients whose prototype is

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta u & =a(x)|u|^{p-2} u+\lambda b(x)|u|^{q-2} u \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.3}\\
u & =0 \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}
$$

The function $b(x)$ is assumed to be non-negative and $a(x)$ may change sign. Recently in [15], Quoirin and Umezu considered a problem similar to (1.1) and studied the existence of positive solutions. However the authors did not discuss the existence of infinitely many solutions. We refer to $[5,11,16]$ where the existence of infinitely many solutions are studied for some major concave-convex problems with nonlinear boundary conditions. In these papers, the left hand side of the problems involve $-\Delta u+u$, which corresponds to the term $(1 / 2) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}$ in the energy functional. The map $\left.u \mapsto\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right)^{( } 1 / 2\right)$, defines a norm in the space $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. In this paper, we consider (1.1), which does not involve the extra term $u$. This makes the problem more challenging and the methods in $[5,11,16]$ for studying the existence of solutions are not applicable to (1.1). We use some ideas from [10] and consider a suitable decomposition of the space $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. To the best of our knowledge the existence of infinitely many solutions of (1.1) is not yet addressed. In this paper we address this aspect of (1.1) and prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right)$ hold and $1<p<2<q<2^{*}$. Then there exists $\Lambda>0$ such that for all $\lambda \in(0, \Lambda)$ and $\mu>0$ there exists an unbounded sequence of solutions $\left(u_{n}\right)$ of (1.1) such that $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 1.2. Assume that $\left(H_{1}^{\prime}\right),\left(H_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ hold and $1<p<2<q<2^{*}$. Then for all $\lambda>0$ and $\mu>0$ there exists a sequence of solutions $\left(v_{n}\right)$ of $(1.1)$ such that $I\left(v_{n}\right)<0$ and $I\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we prove some preliminary results required for the proof of the main theorems. In Section 3, we give the proofs of the Theorems 1 and 2 . We also prove some regularity results for the solution. More precisely, we prove that the $H^{1}(\Omega)$ solutions of (1.1) are Holder continuous. This is discussed in Section 4.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $E=W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ be the usual Sobolev space with the norm given by

$$
\|u\|_{1,2}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Definition 2.1. We say a function $u \in E$ a weak solution of (1.1) if for every $\varphi \in E$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{p-2} u \varphi \mathrm{~d} x-\mu \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{q-2} u \varphi \mathrm{~d} x=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $u \in E$ we define the energy functional for problem (1.1) by

$$
I(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{\mu}{q} \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

Since $1<p<2<q<2^{*}$ we have that $I \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ and the critical points of $I$ are the weak
solutions of (1.1). Hence the existence of solutions of (1.1) is equivalent to the existence of critical points of $I$.

We consider the following decomposition of the space $E$ (see [10]) which is crucial in the proof of the main results.

Lemma 2.1. (i) Let $X=\left\{u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega): \int_{\partial \Omega} u \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{x}=0\right\}$. Then the poincare's inequality holds in $X$, that is, there exists a constant $C=C(n, \Omega)$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}, \text { for any } u \in X .
$$

(ii) For any $u \in E$ there exists a unique $t_{u} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u^{\perp} \in X$ such that $u=t_{u}+u^{\perp}$, that is, $E=\langle 1\rangle \oplus X$ and for any $u, v \in E(\Omega)$

$$
\langle u, v\rangle=t_{u} \cdot t_{v}+\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v
$$

defines an inner product in $E$. Moreover, the corresponding norm is equivalent to the usual norm in $E$.

Next we prove a technical lemma which we need in the proof of Theorem 1.2.
Lemma 2.2. Assume that $\left(H_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ holds and $p>1$. Then there exists $\gamma>0$ such that for any $u=t_{u}+u^{\perp} \in\langle 1\rangle \oplus X$ with $\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq \gamma\left|t_{u}\right|$, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} a(x)\left|t_{u}+u^{\perp}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \geq \frac{\left|t_{u}\right|^{p}}{2} \int_{\Omega} a(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

Proof. Arguing by contradiction, we suppose that there exists a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ such that $\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{\left|t_{u}\right|}{n}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} a(x)\left|t_{u_{n}}+u_{n}^{\perp}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{x}<\frac{\left|t_{u_{n}}\right|^{q}}{2} \int_{\Omega} a(x) \mathrm{d} x \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now set $v_{n}:=u_{n}^{\perp} / t_{u_{n}}$, then $v_{n} \in X$ and by our assumption $\left\|\nabla v_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since, the Poincare's inequality holds in $X, v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $X$. Also $X$ is continuously embedded in $L^{q}(\Omega)$, hence $v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{q}(\Omega)$. Consequently, we have $v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on $\Omega$. Now dividing both sides of (2.5) by $\left|t_{u_{n}}\right|$ and using Lebesgue theorem we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} a(x) \mathrm{d} x<0
$$

which contradicts that $a(x)>0$ on $\Omega$.

## 3. Proofs of the main results

The following abstract results on existence of critical points will be used.
Theorem 3.1. Let $E$ be an infinite dimensional Banach space and, $I \in C^{1}(E, R)$ be even and $I(0)=0$. If $E=V \oplus X$, where $V$ finite dimensional, and I satisfies
$(P S)$ any sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ for which $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ possesses a convergent subsequence,
( $I_{1}$ ) there are constants $r, \alpha>0$ such that $\left.I\right|_{\partial B_{r} \cap X} \geq \alpha$, and
( $I_{2}$ ) for each finite dimensional subspace $\bar{E} \subset E$, there is an $R=R(\bar{E})$ such that $I \leq 0$ on $E \backslash B_{R(\bar{E})}$,
then I possesses an unbounded sequence of critical values.
Theorem 3.2. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $I \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies
$\left(I_{1}\right)$ there exists an admissible representation $V$ of $G$, a compact lie group, such that $X=\oplus_{j \in A} X(j)$ with $A=\mathbb{N}$ or $A=\mathbb{Z}$ and $X(j) \cong V$ for every $j \in A$. The space $X$ is then a Banach space with isometric linear $G$-action. The functional $I: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is invariant under this action: $I(g u)=I(u)$ for $g \in G$ and $u \in X$,
( $I_{2}$ ) for every $k \geq k_{0}$ there exists $R_{k}>0$ such that $I(u) \geq 0$ for every $u \in X_{k}:=\oplus_{j \geq k} X(j)$ with $\|u\|=R_{k}$,
( $\left.I_{3}\right) b_{k}:=\inf _{u \in B_{k}} I(u) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ where $B_{k}:=\left\{u \in X_{k}:\|u\| \leq R_{k}\right\}$,
( $I_{4}$ ) for every $k \geq 1$ there exists $r_{k} \in\left(0, R_{k}\right)$ and $d_{k}<0$ such that $I(u) \leq d_{k}$ for every $u \in X^{k}:=\oplus_{j \leq k} X(j)$ with $\|u\|=r_{k}$, and
( $I_{5}$ ) every sequence $u_{n} \in X_{-n}^{n}:=\oplus_{j=-n}^{n} X(j)$ with $I\left(u_{n}\right)<0$ bounded and $\left(\left.I\right|_{X_{-n}^{n}}\right)^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ has a subsequence which converges to a critical point of $I$.
Then for each $k \geq k_{0}$, I has a critical value $c_{k} \in\left[b_{k}, d_{k}\right]$, hence $c_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
For the proof of Theorem 3.1 we refer to [14, Theorem 9.12] and for the proof of Theorem 3.2 we refer to [6, Theorem 2].
3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $E=\langle 1\rangle \oplus X$ where $X$ is given by Lemma 2.1. We show that $I$ satisfies hypotheses of Theorem 3.1. Clearly $I(0)=0$ and $I$ is even.

Let $u \in E$ then there exists $t_{u}$ and $u^{\perp} \in X$ such that $u=t_{u}+u^{\perp}$. By using the embeddings $L^{p} \hookrightarrow X, L^{q} \hookrightarrow X$ and since Poincares inequality holds in $X$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\left(u^{\perp}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{\perp}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\Omega} a(x)\left|u^{\perp}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{\mu}{q} \int_{\Omega} b(x)\left|u^{\perp}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{\perp}\right\|^{2}-\lambda c_{1}\left\|u^{\perp}\right\|^{p}-\mu c_{2}\left\|u^{\perp}\right\|^{q} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \rho^{2}-\lambda c_{1} \rho^{p}-\mu c_{2} \rho^{q} \\
& =\rho^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\lambda c_{1} \rho^{p-2}-\mu c_{2} \rho^{q-2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\rho=\left(1 /\left(8 \mu c_{2}\right)\right)^{1 /(q-2)}$. There exists $\Lambda>0$ such that for $\lambda \in(0, \Lambda)$ we have $\lambda c_{1} \rho^{p-2} \leq 1 / 8$ and

$$
I\left(u^{\perp}\right) \geq \frac{\rho^{2}}{4}:=\alpha
$$

for all $u \in X$ with $\|u\|=\rho$. This shows that $I$ satisfies $\left(I_{1}\right)$.
Let $\bar{E} \subset E$ be any finite dimensional subspace of $E$ and let $u \in \bar{E} \backslash\{0\}$. Let $t>0$. Since, $\bar{E}$ is finite dimensional and all norms in finite dimensional spaces are equivalent, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(t u) & =\frac{t^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{\lambda t^{p}}{p} \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{p}-\frac{\mu t^{q}}{q} \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{q} \\
& \leq \frac{t^{2}}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\lambda c_{1} t^{p}\|u\|^{p}-\mu c_{2} t^{q}\|u\|^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $q>2$ and $b>0$, we get $I(t u) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\left(I_{2}\right)$ holds.
Next, we show that $I$ satisfies the Palais-smale condition (PS). Let $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset E$ be a sequence and $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c, I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since the operators $A, B: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
A(u)=\int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \text { and } B(u)=\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

are weakly continuous and their derivatives $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$ are compact it is sufficient to show that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $E$. Suppose by contradiction, there exists a subsequence denoted by $\left(u_{n}\right)$ with $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Set $v_{n}:=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|$. Then $\left\|v_{n}\right\|=1$ for all $n$. Hence up to subsequence $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $E$ and $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ and $L^{q}(\Omega)$. By simple calculations we get

$$
I\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2} I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}=\lambda\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right) \int_{\Omega} a(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}+\mu\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \int_{\Omega} b(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} .
$$

Thus we can write

$$
\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{q} \leq c+c_{1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}
$$

Also since $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}=\frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\Omega} a(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}+\frac{\mu}{q} \int_{\Omega} b(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}+c+o_{n}(1)
$$

Thus $\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} \leq o_{n}(1)+c_{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p-2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $v_{n}=t_{v_{n}}+v_{n}^{\perp}$, then $v_{n}^{\perp} \rightarrow 0$ in $X$. Also up to a subsequence $t_{v_{n}} \rightarrow t_{v}$ in $\mathbb{R}$ and

$$
\left|t_{v}\right|=\left\|t_{v}\right\|=\|v\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{n}\right\|=1 .
$$

Hence $v_{n} \rightarrow 1$ strongly in $E$. Now from $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{1-q} I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) t_{v_{n}}=o_{n}(1)$ we get

$$
\left.\left.\left|\int_{\Omega} b(x)\right| v_{n}\right|^{q-2} v_{n} t_{v_{n}}\left|\leq \frac{\lambda}{\mu \|\left.\left|u_{n}\right|\right|^{q-p}} \int_{\Omega} a(x)\right| v_{n}\right|^{p-1}\left|t_{v_{n}}\right|+o_{n}(1) .
$$

Since $q>p$ and $v_{n} \rightarrow 1$ strongly in $E$ the right hand side tends to 0 . Hence by Lebesgue theorem we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} b(x)=0
$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $E$. That is $I$ satisfies $(P S)$ condition. Hence by Theorem 3.1 problem (1.1) has an unbounded sequence of solutions such that $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. We show that $I$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2. Consider the Neumann eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta u & =\lambda u \text { in } \Omega \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} & =0 \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Then applying the theory of compact self-adjoint operators, one gets that there exists a sequence of eigenvalues $\lambda_{j} \rightarrow \infty$ and the corresponding eigenfunctions $\left\{e_{j}\right\}$ form an orthonor-
mal basis of $X$ (see $[4,7]$ ). Moreover, the eigenvalues has the following characterization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j}=\max _{u \in \operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{j}\right\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x}{\int_{\Omega} u^{2} \mathrm{~d} x} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $E=\langle 1\rangle \oplus \oplus_{j \geq 1} X(j)$, where $X(j)=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{j}\right\}$. Since $I$ is even, hypothesis $\left(I_{1}\right)$ is satisfied taking $G=\mathbb{Z} / 2$ and $V=\mathbb{R}$. For $\left(I_{2}\right)$ we set

$$
\delta_{k}:=\sup _{X_{k} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}}{\|u\|} .
$$

Then by Rellich's embedding theorem, $\delta_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence for $u \in X_{k}$ using the embedding $L^{p} \hookrightarrow X$, we obtain

$$
I(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{\perp}\right|^{2}-\frac{\lambda c_{1}}{p}\left(\delta_{k}\right)^{p}\|u\|^{p}-\frac{\mu c_{2}}{q}\|u\|^{q}=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{\lambda c_{1}}{p}\left(\delta_{k}\right)^{p}\|u\|^{p}-\frac{\mu c_{2}}{q}\|u\|^{q} .
$$

Since $q>2$ choosing $R>0$ small enough we have $\mu c_{2}\|u\|^{q} / q \leq\|u\|^{2} / 4$ if $\|u\| \leq R$. Thus

$$
I(u) \geq \frac{1}{4}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{\lambda c_{1}}{p}\left(\delta_{k}\right)^{p}\|u\|^{p} .
$$

Let $R_{k}=\left(4 \lambda c_{1}\left(\delta_{k}\right)^{p} / p\right)^{1 /(2-p)}$. Then $R_{k} \rightarrow 0$. Hence one can find $k_{0}$ such that $R_{k} \leq R$ for $k \geq k_{0}$. Now for $u \in X_{k}$ with $\|u\|=R_{k}$ we obtain

$$
I(u) \geq \frac{1}{4}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{\lambda c_{1}}{p}\left(\delta_{k}\right)^{p}\|u\|^{p}=0 .
$$

That is ( $I_{2}$ ) holds. Since $B_{k}$ is weakly compact and $I$ is weakly lower semicontinous ( $I_{3}$ ) follows from $R_{k} \rightarrow 0$. Next we show ( $I_{4}$ ) in two cases.

Case 1: $\left\|\nabla u^{\perp}\right\| \leq \gamma\left|t_{u}\right|$.
Let $\|u\|=r$. Then $\|u\|^{2}=t_{u}^{2}+\left\|\nabla u^{\perp}\right\|_{2}^{2} \Longrightarrow t_{u} \geq \frac{r}{\sqrt{1+\gamma^{2}}}$. Now using Lemma 2.2 we get

$$
I(u) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+c_{3}\|u\|^{q}-\frac{\left|t_{u}\right|^{p}}{2} \int_{\Omega} a(x) \mathrm{d} x \leq r^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+c_{3} r^{q-2}-\frac{1}{2} c_{4}\left(1+\gamma^{2}\right)^{-p / 2} r^{p-2}\right)
$$

Since $1<p<2, r^{p-2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. Thus choosing $r_{k}$ small enough we get $(1 / 2)+$ $c_{3} r^{q-2}-\left(c_{4} / 2\right)\left(1+\gamma^{2}\right)^{-p / 2} r^{p-2} \leq-1 / 2$. Hence

$$
I(u) \leq-\frac{r_{k}^{2}}{2}:=d_{k}<0
$$

Case 2: $\left\|\nabla u^{\perp}\right\|>\gamma\left|t_{u}\right|$.
In this case for $\|u\|=r$ we have $r^{2}=t_{u}^{2}+\left\|\nabla u^{\perp}\right\|_{2}^{2} \Longrightarrow\left\|\nabla u^{\perp}\right\|_{2}>\beta^{-1} r$, where $\beta=$ $\sqrt{1+\gamma^{-2}}$. Now since $X^{k}$ is finite dimensional, we have $\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq c\|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}$. Hence using (3.6) we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} \geq c_{3}\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \geq c_{k}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{\perp}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \geq c_{k} \beta^{-p} r^{p}
$$

for some $c_{k}>0$ depending on $k$. Thus we have

$$
I(u) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+c_{3}\|u\|^{q}-\frac{\alpha}{p} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} \leq \frac{r^{2}}{2}+c_{3} r^{q}-c_{k} \beta^{-p} r^{p} .
$$

Again similar to Case 1 we can find $r_{k}>0$ small enough so that

$$
I(u) \leq-\frac{r_{k}^{2}}{2}=d_{k}<0
$$

Hence $\left(I_{4}\right)$ holds. Finally the Palais-smale condition $I_{5}$ can be shown as in the proof of part (a).

## 4. $\boldsymbol{C}^{\alpha}$ regularity of solutions

In this section we show that the solutions of (1.1) are in $C^{\alpha}(\Omega)$. We follow the method used in [11, Lemma 4.2] to prove our result. We prove the following.

Proposition 4.1. Let $1<p<2<q<2^{*}$. Then any $H^{1}(\Omega)$ solution $u$ of $(1.1)$ is in $C^{\alpha}(\Omega)$ and satisfies

$$
\|u\|_{C^{\alpha}(\Omega)} \leq C\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{p-1}+\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{q-1}\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ be any solution of (1.1). We first show that $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. We use a bootstrap argument. Let $v>1$ be a parameter to be chosen later. Multiplying both sides of (1.1) by $|u|^{\nu-1} u$, and integrating we obtain

$$
v \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}|u|^{v-1}=\lambda \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{p+v-1}+\mu \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{q+v-1} .
$$

Since, $a, b \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}|u|^{\nu-1} \leq c\left(\|u\|_{\nu+p-1}^{v+p-1}+\|u\|_{v+q-1}^{v+q-1}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the identity

$$
\left|\nabla\left(|u|^{\frac{v+1}{2}}\right)\right|^{2}=\frac{(v+1)^{2}}{4}|\nabla u|^{2}|u|^{\nu-1},
$$

and putting $w=|u|^{\frac{v+1}{2}}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4 v}{(v+1)^{2}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla w|^{2} \leq c\left(\|u\|_{v+p-1}^{v+p-1}+\|u\|_{v+q-1}^{v+q-1}\right) . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality we can assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
q-p=\frac{2}{n-2} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, set $q_{1}$ such that $p+2 /(n-2)<q_{1}<2 n /(n-2)$ and $q<q_{1}$. Let $p_{1}=q_{1}-2 /(n-2)$. Then $p<p_{1}<(n-1) /(n-2)$. Then (4.7) and (4.8) are satisfied with $p_{1}, q_{1}$.

The Sobolev embedding shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|w\|_{\frac{2 n}{n-2}}^{2} \leq c\|w\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.8) and (4.10) and using $w=|u|^{\frac{v+1}{2}}$ we get
$\|u\|_{\frac{(v+1) n}{n-2}}^{v+1} \leq \frac{(v+1)^{2}}{4 v} c\left(\|u\|_{v+p-1}^{v+p-1}+\|u\|_{v+q-1}^{v+q-1}\right)+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{\nu+1} \leq v c\left(\|u\|_{\nu+p-1}^{\nu+p-1}+\|u\|_{v+q-1}^{v+q-1}+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{\nu+1}\right)$.
Now using the Holder's inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|u\|_{v+p-1}^{\nu+p-1} \leq|\Omega|^{\frac{q-p}{v+q-1}}\|u\|_{v+q-1}^{\nu+p-1} \leq c\|u\|_{v+q-1}^{v+p-1}, \\
& \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\nu+1} \leq|\Omega|^{\frac{q-2}{v+q-1}}\|u\|_{v+q-1}^{\nu+1} \leq c\|u\|_{\nu+q-1}^{\nu+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $c>0$. Thus we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\frac{(v+1) n}{n-2}}^{v+1} \leq v c\left(\|u\|_{v+q-1}^{v+p-1}+\|u\|_{v+q-1}^{v+q-1}+\|u\|_{v+q-1}^{v+1}\right) . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now define the sequences $a_{k}, b_{k}$ by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
b_{1}:=2 r, b_{k}:=\left(b_{k-1}-p+2\right) r, r:=\frac{n-1}{n-2},  \tag{4.12}\\
a_{k}:=\left(b_{k-1}-p+2\right) \frac{n}{n-2}=\frac{n}{n-1} b_{k} .
\end{array}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{k}=r^{k}+(2-p) r \frac{r^{k}-1}{r-1}, \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exist constants $c, C>$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c r^{k} \leq b_{k} \leq C r^{k} . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $r>1, a_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ and $b_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Putting $v=b_{k}-p+1$ in (4.11) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{a_{k+1}}^{b_{k}-p+2} \leq c b_{k}\left(\|u\|_{b_{k}+\frac{2}{n-2}}^{b_{k}}+\|u\|_{b_{k}+\frac{2}{n-2}}^{b_{k}+\frac{2}{n-2}}+\|u\|_{b_{k}+\frac{2}{n-2}}^{b_{k}-p+2}\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used (4.9). Since $b_{k}$ is increasing it holds that $b_{k} \geq 2(n-1) /(n-2)$. This implies

$$
b_{k}+\frac{2}{n-2} \leq a_{k}
$$

Let $\delta_{k}$ be such that $1 / \delta_{k}=1-\left(\left(b_{k}+2 /(n-2)\right) / a_{k}\right)$. Then $1 / \delta_{k} \rightarrow 1 / n$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Using this and the Holder inequality implies

$$
\|u\|_{b_{k}+\frac{2}{n-2}}^{b_{k}+\frac{2}{n-2}} \leq|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{\sigma_{k}}}\|u\|_{a_{k}}^{b_{k}+\frac{2}{n-2}} \leq c\|u\|_{a_{k}}^{b_{k}+\frac{2}{n-2}} .
$$

By a similar calculation we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|u\|_{b_{k}+\frac{2}{n-2}}^{b_{k}} \leq c\|u\|_{a_{k}}^{b_{k}}, \\
& \|u\|_{b_{k}+\frac{2}{n-2}}^{b_{k}-p+2} \leq c\|u\|_{a_{k}}^{b_{k}-p+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting this in (4.16) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{a_{k+1}}^{b_{k}-p+2} \leq c b_{k}\left(\|u\|_{a_{k}}^{b_{k}+\frac{2}{n-2}}+\|u\|_{a_{k}}^{b_{k}}+\|u\|_{a_{k}}^{b_{k}-p+2}\right) . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $\theta_{k}:=\max \left\{\|u\|_{a_{k}}, 1\right\}$. Then (4.17) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{k+1}^{b_{k}-p+2} \leq c b_{k} \theta_{k}^{b_{k}+\frac{2}{n-2}} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\alpha_{k}=\left(c b_{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{b_{k}-p+2}}, \beta_{k}=\frac{b_{k}+\frac{2}{n-2}}{b_{k}-p+2} .
$$

Then (4.18) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{k+1} \leq \alpha_{k} \theta_{k}^{\beta_{k}} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now repeated use of (4.19) produces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{k} \leq \alpha_{k-1} \alpha_{k-2}^{\beta_{k-1}} \alpha_{k-3}^{\beta_{k-1} \beta_{k-2}} \cdots \alpha_{1}^{\beta_{k-1} \cdots \beta_{2}} \theta_{1}^{\beta_{k-1} \cdots \beta_{2}} . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We wish to show that $\theta_{k} \leq c$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For this we first show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_{k}<\infty . \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.14) there exists a constant $c>0$ such that $b_{k}-p+2 \geq c r^{k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$$
q-p=2 /(n-2), \beta_{k}=1+\frac{q-2}{b_{k}-p+2}
$$

(4.21) follows from the fact that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q-2}{b_{k}-p+2}<\infty
$$

Put $\sigma=\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_{k}$. Then $1<\sigma<\infty$. Since $\beta_{k}>1$, it follows that

$$
\beta_{k-1} \cdots \beta_{i} \leq \sigma \text { for } i \leq k-1 .
$$

Since $\alpha_{k}>1$, we can write

$$
\alpha_{k-1} \alpha_{k-2}^{\beta_{k-1}} \alpha_{k-3}^{\beta_{k-1} \beta_{k-2}} \cdots \alpha_{1}^{\beta_{k-1} \cdots \beta_{2}} \leq\left(\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{k-1}\right)^{\sigma} .
$$

Next, we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{k}<\infty . \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.15) and the definition of $\alpha_{k}$, we get

$$
\log \left(\prod_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_{k}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \log \alpha_{k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log \alpha_{k}<\infty .
$$

Hence (4.22) holds. Thus there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\theta_{k} \leq c \theta_{1}^{\sigma}, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Taking $k \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq c \theta_{1}^{\sigma}<\infty .
$$

Thus $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.
Now using [13, Proposition 3.6] we obtain $u \in C^{\alpha}(\Omega)$ and $u$ satisfies

$$
\|u\|_{C^{\alpha}(\Omega)} \leq C\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}}+\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{p-1}+\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{q-1}\right) .
$$
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