

## THE CRITICAL VALUES OF $L$ -FUNCTIONS OF CM-BASE CHANGE FOR HILBERT MODULAR FORMS

CRISTIAN VIRDOL

**Abstract:** In this paper we generalize some results, obtained by Shimura, on the critical values of  $L$ -functions of  $l$ -adic representations attached to quadratic CM-base change of Hilbert modular forms twisted by finite order characters, to the case of the critical values of  $L$ -functions of arbitrary base change to CM-number fields of  $l$ -adic representations attached to Hilbert modular forms twisted by some finite-dimensional representations.

**Keywords:** critical values, CM-fields, Hilbert modular forms.

### 1. Introduction

For  $F$  a totally real number field, let  $J_F$  be the set of infinite places of  $F$ , and let  $\Gamma_F := \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$ . Let  $f$  be a normalized Hecke eigenform of  $\text{GL}(2)/F$  of weight  $k = (k(\tau))_{\tau \in J_F}$ , where all  $k(\tau)$  have the same parity and  $k(\tau) \geq 2$ . We denote by  $\Pi$  the cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\text{GL}(2)/F$  generated by  $f$ . In this paper we assume that  $\Pi$  is non-CM. We denote by  $\rho_\Pi$  the  $l$ -adic representation attached to  $\Pi$ , for some prime number  $l$  (by fixing an isomorphism  $\iota : \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$  one can regard  $\rho_\Pi$  as a complex-valued representation). Define  $k_0 = \max\{k(\tau) \mid \tau \in J_F\}$  and  $k^0 = \min\{k(\tau) \mid \tau \in J_F\}$ . Any integer  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $(k_0 - k^0)/2 < m < (k_0 + k^0)/2$  is called a critical point for  $f$  or  $\Pi$ . Throughout this paper we write  $a \sim b$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  if  $b \neq 0$  and  $a/b \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

In this article we prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume  $k(\tau) \geq 3$  for all  $\tau \in J_F$ , and  $k(\tau) \pmod 2$  is independent of  $\tau$ . Let  $M$  be a finite CM-extension of  $F$ , and let  $\Gamma_M := \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/M)$ . Assume that  $\chi$  is a continuous complex-valued abelian representation of  $\Gamma_M$ , and that  $\phi$  is a continuous complex-valued representation of  $\Gamma_M$  satisfying the following property:  $K := \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^{\ker \phi}$  is a  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r$ -extension of a CM-number field for some non-negative*

integer  $r$ . Let  $\psi = \phi \otimes \chi$ . Then

$$L\left(m, \nu\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_M} \otimes \psi\right) \sim \pi^{(m+1-k_0)[M:\mathbb{Q}] \dim \psi} \langle f, f \rangle^{\frac{[M:F]}{2} \dim \psi},$$

for any integer  $m$  satisfying

$$(k_0 + 1)/2 \leq m < (k_0 + k^0)/2.$$

Theorem 1.1 is a generalization of Theorem 5.7 of [S1] (i.e. Proposition 2.1 below; the inner product  $\langle f, f \rangle$  is normalized as in §2 below).

**2. Known results**

Consider  $F$  a totally real number field and let  $J_F$  be the set of infinite places of  $F$ . If  $\Pi$  is a cuspidal automorphic representation (discrete series at infinity) of weight  $k = (k(\tau))_{\tau \in J_F}$  of  $\mathrm{GL}(2)/F$ , where all  $k(\tau)$  have the same parity and all  $k(\tau) \geq 2$ , let  $k_0 = \max\{k(\tau) | \tau \in J_F\}$  and  $k^0 = \min\{k(\tau) | \tau \in J_F\}$ . Let  $O$  be the coefficient ring of  $\Pi$  (i.e.  $O$  is the ring of integers of the field generated over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by the eigenvalues  $a_{\varphi}$  defined by  $T_{\varphi}f = a_{\varphi}f$ , where  $T_{\varphi}$  is the Hecke operator at  $\varphi$ , and  $\varphi$  runs over the prime ideals of  $F$ ), and let  $\lambda$  be a prime ideal of  $O$  above some rational prime  $l$ . Then there exists ([T]) a  $\lambda$ -adic representation

$$\rho_{\Pi} := \rho_{\Pi, \lambda} : \Gamma_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(O_{\lambda}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l),$$

which satisfies  $L(s, \nu\rho_{\Pi, \lambda}) = L\left(s - \frac{(k_0-1)}{2}, \Pi\right) = L\left(s - \frac{(k_0-1)}{2}, f\right)$ , where  $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$  is a specific isomorphism (the above equality of  $L$ -functions is up to finitely many Euler factors), and the representation  $\rho_{\Pi}$  is unramified outside the primes dividing  $\mathfrak{n}l$ . Because the line of convergence of  $L(s, \Pi)$  is  $\mathrm{Re}(s)=1$ , we get that the line of convergence of  $L(s, \rho_{\Pi, \lambda})$  is  $\mathrm{Re}(s) = (k_0 + 1)/2$ . Here  $f$  is the normalized Hecke eigenform of  $\mathrm{GL}(2)/F$  of weight  $k$  corresponding to  $\Pi$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}$  is the level of  $\Pi$ . We define

$$\langle f, f \rangle = \pi^{\sum_{\tau \in J_F} k(\tau)} \int_{Z_{\infty+} \mathrm{GL}_2(F) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} f(x) \overline{f(x)} dx$$

where  $Z_{\infty+} \simeq \mathbb{R}_+^{\times}$  is the connected component of the center of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ , and the measure is normalized such that  $\mathrm{vol}(Z_{\infty+} \mathrm{GL}_2(F) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)) = 1$ .

We know (by Proposition 5.2 and Theorem 5.7 of [S1]; we actually use the fact that  $L(s, \nu\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_M} \otimes \psi) = L(s, \nu\rho_{\Pi} \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_{\Gamma_M}^{\Gamma_F} \psi)$ ) in order to reduce Proposition 2.1 below to a particular case of Theorem 5.7 of [S1] where a convolution of two cuspidal automorphic representations (one non-CM, and the other CM) of  $\mathrm{GL}(2)/F$  was considered; we remark that  $\mathrm{Ind}_{\Gamma_M}^{\Gamma_F} \psi$  corresponds to a CM cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}(2)/F$  of weight 1).

**Proposition 2.1.** *Assume  $k(\tau) \geq 2$  for all  $\tau \in J_F$  and  $k(\tau) \pmod 2$  is independent of  $\tau$ . Let  $M$  be a quadratic CM-extension of  $F$ , and let  $\psi$  be a continuous one-dimensional representation of  $\Gamma_M$ . Then*

$$L\left(m, \nu\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_M} \otimes \psi\right) \sim \pi^{(m+1-k_0)[M:\mathbb{Q}]} \langle f, f \rangle$$

for any integer  $m$  satisfying

$$(k_0 + 1)/2 \leq m < (k_0 + k^0)/2.$$

### 3. The proof of Theorem 1.1 for $\psi$ a character

We fix a non-CM cuspidal automorphic representation  $\Pi$  of  $GL(2)/F$  as in Theorem 1.1, and let  $M/F$  be a finite CM-extension. In this section we assume that  $\psi$  is an arbitrary one-dimensional continuous representation of  $\Gamma_M$  and prove Theorem 1.1 in this case.

We know the following result (Theorem 1.1 of [V1], or Theorem 2.1 of [V2], or Theorem A of [BGGT]):

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\Pi$  be a cuspidal automorphic representation of weight  $k = (k(\tau))_{\tau \in J_F}$  of  $GL(2)/F$ , where all  $k(\tau)$  have the same parity and all  $k(\tau) \geq 2$ . Let  $F'$  be a totally real extension of  $F$ . Then there exists a totally real Galois extension  $F''$  of  $F'$ , such that  $\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_{F''}}$  is cuspidal automorphic i.e. there exists a cuspidal automorphic representation  $\Pi''$  of weight  $k''$  of  $GL(2)/F''$  such that  $\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_{F''}} \cong \rho_{\Pi''}$ .*

We denote by  $F'$  the maximal totally real subfield of  $M$ ; hence  $M$  is a quadratic CM-extension of  $F'$ . Then from Theorem 3.1 we know that we can find a totally real Galois extension  $F''$  of  $F'$ , and a cuspidal automorphic representation  $\Pi''$  of  $GL(2)/F''$  such that  $\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_{F''}} \cong \rho_{\Pi''}$ . Because  $\Pi$  is non-CM, we get that  $\Pi''$  is non-CM.

From Theorem 15.10 of [CR] we know that there exist some subfields  $M_i \subseteq MF''$  such that  $M \subseteq M_i$  and  $\text{Gal}(MF''/M_i)$  are solvable, and some integers  $n_i$ , such that the trivial representation

$$1_M : \text{Gal}(MF''/M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times,$$

can be written as

$$1_M = \sum_{i=1}^u n_i \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(MF''/M_i)}^{\text{Gal}(MF''/M)} 1_{M_i},$$

(an equality in the character ring of  $\text{Gal}(MF''/M)$ ), where  $1_{M_i} : \text{Gal}(MF''/M_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  is the trivial representation. In particular we have  $1 = \sum_{i=1}^u n_i [M_i : M]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \iota\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_M} \otimes \psi) &= \prod_{i=1}^u L(s, \iota\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_M} \otimes \text{Ind}_{\Gamma_{M_i}}^{\Gamma_M} 1_{M_i} \otimes \psi)^{n_i} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^u L(s, \text{Ind}_{\Gamma_{M_i}}^{\Gamma_M} (\iota\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_{M_i}}) \otimes \psi)^{n_i} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^u L(s, \iota\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_{M_i}} \otimes \psi|_{\Gamma_{M_i}})^{n_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_{F''}}$  is cuspidal automorphic and  $MF''$  is a quadratic extension of  $F''$  we get that  $\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_{MF''}}$  is cuspidal automorphic, and because  $\text{Gal}(MF''/M_i)$  is solvable, one gets easily (see §4 of [V4]) that  $\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_{M_i}}$  is cuspidal automorphic.

Hence the function  $L(s, \iota\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_M} \otimes \psi)$  has a meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane and satisfies a functional equation because each function  $L(s, \iota\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_{M_i}} \otimes \psi|_{\Gamma_{M_i}})$  has a meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane and satisfies a functional equation. Moreover, since each function  $L(s, \iota\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_{M_i}} \otimes \psi|_{\Gamma_{M_i}})$  has no poles or zeros for  $\text{Re}(s) \geq (k_0 + 1)/2$  (see Proposition 5.2 of [S1] and Proposition 4.16 of [S2]), we get that the function  $L(s, \iota\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_M} \otimes \psi)$  has no poles or zeros for  $\text{Re}(s) \geq (k_0 + 1)/2$ . Thus for any integer  $m$  satisfying

$$k_0 + 1 \leq m,$$

we get the identity

$$L\left(m, \iota\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_M} \otimes \psi\right) = \prod_{i=1}^u L\left(m, \iota\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_{M_i}} \otimes \psi|_{\Gamma_{M_i}}\right)^{n_i}.$$

Let  $F_i$  be the maximal totally real subfield of  $M_i$ . Since  $\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_{M_i}}$  is cuspidal automorphic and  $M_i/F_i$  is quadratic, one can prove easily that  $\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_{F_i}}$  is cuspidal automorphic (see Lemma 1.3 of [BGHT]), so  $\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_{F_i}} \cong \rho_{\Pi_i}$  for some cuspidal automorphic representation  $\Pi_i$  of  $\text{GL}(2)/F_i$ . We denote by  $f_i$  the normalized Hecke eigenform of  $\text{GL}(2)/F_i$  associated to  $\Pi_i$ . Then  $f_i$  has weight  $k_i = (k_i(\tau))_{\tau \in J_{F_i}}$ , where  $J_{F_i}$  is the set of infinite places of  $F_i$ , and  $k_i(\tau) = k(\tau|F)$  for any  $\tau \in J_{F_i}$ .

Now from Proposition 2.1 we get that

$$L\left(m, \iota\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_{M_i}} \otimes \psi|_{\Gamma_{M_i}}\right) \sim \pi^{(m+1-k_0)[M_i:\mathbb{Q}]} \langle f_i, f_i \rangle,$$

for any integer  $m$  satisfying

$$(k_0 + 1)/2 \leq m < (k_0 + k^0)/2.$$

But we know that (see the paragraph just before Remark 5.1 of [V3])

$$\langle f_i, f_i \rangle \sim \langle f, f \rangle^{[F_i:F]},$$

and using the fact that  $1 = \sum_{i=1}^u n_i [M_i : M]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L\left(m, \iota\rho_{\Pi}|_{\Gamma_M} \otimes \psi\right) &\sim \pi^{\sum_{i=1}^u (m+1-k_0)[M_i:\mathbb{Q}]n_i} \prod_{i=1}^u \langle f_i, f_i \rangle^{n_i} \\ &\sim \pi^{\sum_{i=1}^u (m+1-k_0)[M_i:\mathbb{Q}]n_i} \langle f, f \rangle^{\sum_{i=1}^u [F_i:F]n_i} \\ &\sim \pi^{(m+1-k_0)[M:\mathbb{Q}]} \langle f, f \rangle^{\frac{[M:F]}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

for any integer  $m$  satisfying

$$(k_0 + 1)/2 \leq m < (k_0 + k^0)/2,$$

which proves Theorem 1.1 for  $\psi$  a one-dimensional representation. ■

**4. The proof of Theorem 1.1 for general  $\psi$**

Let  $\psi = \phi \otimes \chi$  be a finite-dimensional representation of  $\Gamma_M$  as in Theorem 1.1. We denote by  $M'$  the maximal CM-subfield of  $K := \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^{\ker \phi}$  which contains  $M$ . Applying the conditions in Theorem 1.1, we see that  $K$  is a  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r$ -extension of  $M'$  for some  $r$ , and  $\chi$  is a direct sum of one-dimensional representations.

From the beginning of §15 of [CR] we know that there exist some subfields  $E_j \subseteq K$  such that  $M \subseteq E_j$  and  $\text{Gal}(K/E_j)$  are solvable (actually we don't use this solvability), and some integers  $m_j$ , such that the representation

$$\phi : \text{Gal}(K/M) \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C}),$$

can be written as

$$[K : M]\phi = \sum_{j=1}^v m_j \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(K/E_j)}^{\text{Gal}(K/M)} 1_{E_j},$$

where  $1_{E_j} : \text{Gal}(K/E_j) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  is the trivial representation. In particular we have  $[K : M] \dim \phi = \sum_{j=1}^v m_j [E_j : M]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \iota\rho_\Pi|_{\Gamma_M} \otimes \phi)^{[K:M]} &= \prod_{j=1}^v L(s, \iota\rho_\Pi|_{\Gamma_M} \otimes \text{Ind}_{\Gamma_{E_j}}^{\Gamma_M} 1_{E_j})^{m_j} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^v L(s, \text{Ind}_{\Gamma_{E_j}}^{\Gamma_M} (\iota\rho_\Pi|_{\Gamma_{E_j}}))^{m_j} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^v L(s, \iota\rho_\Pi|_{\Gamma_{E_j}})^{m_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $M_j := E_j \cap M'$ . Then  $E_j$  is a  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r_j}$ -extension of  $M_j$  for some  $r_j$  (this is true because from the fact that  $K$  is a  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r$ -extension of  $M'$ , we get that  $E_j M'$  is a  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r_j}$ -extension of  $M'$  for some  $r_j$ , and hence  $E_j$  is a  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r_j}$ -extension of  $M_j = E_j \cap M'$ ). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \iota\rho_\Pi|_{\Gamma_M} \otimes \phi)^{[K:M]} &= \prod_{j=1}^v L(s, \iota\rho_\Pi|_{\Gamma_{E_j}})^{m_j} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^v \prod_{\phi_j : \text{Gal}(E_j/M_j) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times} L(s, \iota\rho_\Pi|_{\Gamma_{M_j}} \otimes \phi_j)^{m_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Also one has

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \iota\rho_\Pi|_{\Gamma_M} \otimes \psi)^{[K:M]} &= L(s, \iota\rho_\Pi|_{\Gamma_M} \otimes \phi \otimes \chi) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^v \prod_{\phi_j : \text{Gal}(E_j/M_j) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times} L(s, \iota\rho_\Pi|_{\Gamma_{M_j}} \otimes \phi_j \otimes \chi|_{\Gamma_{M_j}})^{m_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the function  $L(s, \nu\rho_\Pi|_{\Gamma_M} \otimes \psi)^{[K:M]}$  has a meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane and satisfies a functional equation because from §3 we know that each function  $L(s, \nu\rho_\Pi|_{\Gamma_{M_j}} \otimes \phi_j \otimes \chi|_{\Gamma_{M_j}})$  has a meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane and satisfies a functional equation. Also, since each function  $L(s, \nu\rho_\Pi|_{\Gamma_{M_j}} \otimes \phi_j \otimes \chi|_{\Gamma_{M_j}})$  has no poles or zeros for  $\text{Re}(s) \geq (k_0 + 1)/2$ , we get that the function  $L(s, \nu\rho_\Pi|_{\Gamma_M} \otimes \psi)$  has no poles or zeros for  $\text{Re}(s) \geq (k_0 + 1)/2$ . Thus for any integer  $m$  satisfying

$$k_0 + 1 \leq m,$$

we get the identity

$$L\left(m, \nu\rho_\Pi|_{\Gamma_M} \otimes \psi\right)^{[K:M]} = \prod_{j=1}^v \prod_{\phi_j: \text{Gal}(E_j/M_j) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times} L\left(m, \nu\rho_\Pi|_{\Gamma_{M_j}} \otimes \phi_j \otimes \chi|_{\Gamma_{M_j}}\right)^{m_j}.$$

From §3 we know that

$$L\left(m, \nu\rho_\Pi|_{\Gamma_{M_j}} \otimes \phi_j \otimes \chi|_{\Gamma_{M_j}}\right) \sim \pi^{(m+1-k_0)[M_j:\mathbb{Q}] \dim \chi \langle f, f \rangle} \frac{[M_j:F]}{2} \dim \chi,$$

for any integer  $m$  satisfying

$$(k_0 + 1)/2 \leq m < (k_0 + k^0)/2.$$

Hence from  $[K : M] \dim \phi = \sum_{j=1}^v m_j [E_j : M]$  we get that

$$\begin{aligned} L\left(m, \nu\rho_\Pi|_{\Gamma_M} \otimes \psi\right)^{[K:M]} &= \prod_{j=1}^v \prod_{\phi_j: \text{Gal}(E_j/M_j) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times} L\left(m, \nu\rho_\Pi|_{\Gamma_{M_j}} \otimes \phi_j \otimes \chi|_{\Gamma_{M_j}}\right)^{m_j} \\ &\sim \pi^{\sum_{j=1}^v (m+1-k_0)[E_j:\mathbb{Q}] m_j \dim \chi \langle f, f \rangle} \sum_{j=1}^v \frac{[E_j:F]}{2} m_j \dim \chi \\ &\sim \pi^{(m+1-k_0)[K:\mathbb{Q}] \dim \psi \langle f, f \rangle} \frac{[K:F]}{2} \dim \psi, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$L\left(m, \nu\rho_\Pi|_{\Gamma_M} \otimes \psi\right) \sim \pi^{(m+1-k_0)[M:\mathbb{Q}] \dim \psi \langle f, f \rangle} \frac{[M:F]}{2} \dim \psi,$$

for any integer  $m$  satisfying

$$(k_0 + 1)/2 \leq m < (k_0 + k^0)/2.$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1. ■

### References

[BGGT] T. Barnet-Lamb, T. Gee, D. Geraghty, R. Taylor, *Potential automorphy and change of weight*, preprint.  
 [BGHT] T. Barnet-Lamb, D. Geraghty, M. Harris, R. Taylor, *A family of Calabi-Yau varieties and potential automorphy II*, P.R.I.M.S. **47** (2011), 29–98.

- [CR] C.W. Curtis, I. Reiner, *Methods of Representation Theory*, Vol. I, Wiley, New York, 1981.
- [S1] G. Shimura, *Algebraic relations between critical values of zeta functions and inner products*, Amer. J. Math. **104** (1983), 253–285.
- [S2] G. Shimura, *The special values of the zeta functions associated with Hilbert modular forms*, Duke Math. J. **45** (1978), 637–679.
- [T] R. Taylor, *On Galois representations associated to Hilbert modular forms*, Invent. Math. **98** (1989), 265–280.
- [V1] C. Virdol, *Non-solvable base change for Hilbert modular forms and zeta functions of twisted quaternionic Shimura varieties*, Annales de la Faculte des Sciences de Toulouse **19** (2010), no. 3-4, 831–848.
- [V2] C. Virdol, *On the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for abelian varieties attached to Hilbert modular forms*, Journal of Number Theory **131** (2011), no. 4, 681–684.
- [V3] C. Virdol, *On the critical values of  $L$ -functions of tensor product of base change for Hilbert modular forms*, Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University **49** (2009), no. 2, 347–357.
- [V4] C. Virdol, *Tate classes and poles of  $L$ -functions of twisted quaternionic Shimura surfaces*, Journal of Number Theory **123** (2007), no. 2, 315–328.

**Address:** Cristian Virdol: Department of Mathematics, Yonsei University, South Korea.

**E-mail:** virdol@yonsei.ac.kr

**Received:** 17 August 2011; **revised:** 2 October 2012

