## FULLY INVARIANT $\tau_M$ -LIFTING MODULES

Y. Talebi and T. Amoozegar

Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran
talebi@umz.ac.ir
t.amoozegar@umz.ac.ir

ABSTRACT. Let  $\tau_M$  be any preradical for  $\sigma[M]$  and N any module in  $\sigma[M]$ . A module N is called  $\tau_M$ -lifting if for every submodule K of N, there is a decomposition  $K = A \oplus B$ , such that A is a direct summand of N and  $B \subseteq \tau_M(N)$ . We call N is (strongly)  $FI-\tau_M$ -lifting if for every fully invariant submodule K of N, there is a decomposition  $K = A \oplus B$ , such that A is a (fully invariant) direct summand of N and  $B \subseteq \tau_M(N)$ . The class of  $FI-\tau_M$ -lifting modules properly contains the class of  $\tau_M$ -lifting modules and the class of strongly  $FI-\tau_M$ -lifting modules. In this paper we investigate whether the class of (strongly)  $FI-\tau_M$ -lifting modules are closed under particular class of submodules, direct summands and direct sums.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper R will denote an arbitrary associative ring with identity and all modules will be unitary right R-modules. Let  $M \in \text{Mod-}R$ . By  $\sigma[M]$  we mean the full subcategory of Mod-R whose objects are submodules of M-generated modules. For any module M,  $\tau_M$  will denote a preradical in  $\sigma[M]$ . We say that A is a  $\tau_M$ -coessential submodule of B in N if  $B/A \subseteq \tau_M(N/A)$ . Like in [2], a submodule  $K \subseteq N$  is called  $\tau_M$ -supplement (weak  $\tau_M$ -supplement) provided there exists some  $U \subseteq N$  such that U + K = N and  $U \cap K \subseteq \tau_M(K)(U \cap K \subseteq \tau_M(N))$ . M is called  $\tau_M$ -supplemented (weakly  $\tau_M$ -supplemented) if each of its submodules has a  $\tau_M$ -supplement (weak  $\tau_M$ -supplement) in M. M is called amply  $\tau_M$ -supplemented, if for all submodules K and L of N with K + L = N, K contains a  $\tau_M$ -supplement of L in N. A submodule A of N is said to be  $\tau_M$ -coclosed in N if it has no proper  $\tau_M$ -coessential submodule in N. According to [2] and [12], a module N is called  $\tau_M$ -lifting if for every submodule K of N, there is a decomposition  $K = A \oplus B$ , such that A is a direct summand of N and  $B \subseteq \tau_M(N)$ . Recall that a submodule K of M is called fully invariant (denoted by  $K \subseteq M$ ) if  $\lambda(K) \subseteq K$  for all  $\lambda \in End_R(M)$ . We mainly study (strongly) FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting modules in  $\sigma[M]$  in this paper. We call

N is (strongly) FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting if for every fully invariant submodule K of N, there

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 16D90, 16D99.

Key words and phrases.  $\tau_M$ -Supplement submodules,  $\tau_M$ -Lifting modules, FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting modules.

is a decomposition  $K=A\oplus B$ , such that A is a (fully invariant) direct summand of N and  $B\subseteq \tau_M(N)$ . In Section 1, we show that  $\operatorname{FI-}\tau_M$ -lifting modules are closed under finite direct sums. We prove that if module  $R_R$  is  $\operatorname{FI-}\tau_M$ -lifting then R/I has a projective  $\tau_M$ -cover for every two sided ideal I of R. In Section 2, We show that a direct summand of a strongly  $\operatorname{FI-}\tau_M$ -lifting module is strongly  $\operatorname{FI-}\tau_M$ -lifting and that a finite direct sum of copies of a strongly  $\operatorname{FI-}\tau_M$ -lifting module is strongly  $\operatorname{FI-}\tau_M$ -lifting.

## 2. FI- $\tau_M$ -LIFTING MODULES

**Lemma 2.1.** Let X be a  $\tau_M$ -supplement submodule of N and  $K \subseteq X$ . Then X/K is a  $\tau_M$ -supplement submodule of N/K.

*Proof.* See [4, 10.12(3)].

# Lemma 2.2. Let M be a module. Then:

- (1) Any sum or intersection of fully invariant submodules of M is again a fully invariant submodule of M (in fact the fully invariant submodules form a complete modular sublattice of the lattice of submodules of M).
- (2) If  $X \subseteq Y \subseteq M$  such that Y is a fully invariant submodule of M and X is a fully invariant submodule of Y, then X is a fully invariant submodule of M.
- (3) If  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i$  and S is a fully invariant submodule of M, then  $S = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \pi_i(S) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (X_i \cap S)$ , where  $\pi_i$  is the i-th projection homomorphism of M.
- (4) If  $X \subseteq Y \subseteq M$  such that X is a fully invariant submodule of M and Y/X is a fully invariant submodule of M/X, then Y is a fully invariant submodule of M.

*Proof.* (1), (2), (3) See [3, Lemma 1.1]. (4) Let  $f: M \to M$  be a homomorphism. Then  $f(X) \subseteq X$ . Now, consider the homomorphism  $g: M/X \to M/X$  defined by  $g(m+X) = f(m) + X, (m \in M)$ . Then  $g(Y/X) \subseteq Y/X$ . Clearly, g(Y/X) = (f(Y) + X)/X. Therefore  $f(Y) \subseteq Y$ .

We note that if  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$  and N is a fully invariant submodule of M, then  $N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (N \cap M_i)$  and  $N \cap M_i$  is a fully invariant submodule of  $M_i$ .

# **Lemma 2.3.** Let $N \in \sigma[M]$ . The following are equivalent:

- (1) For every submodule K of N, there is a decomposition  $K = A \oplus B$ , such that A is a direct summand of N and  $B \subseteq \tau_M(N)$ ;
- (2) For every submodule K of N, there is a direct summand A of N such that  $A \subseteq K$  and  $K/A \subseteq \tau_M(N/A)$ ;
- (3) For every submodule K of N, there is a decomposition  $N = A \oplus B$  such that  $A \subseteq K$  and  $B \cap K \subseteq \tau_M(N)$ .

Proof. See [12, Lemma 3.1].  $\Box$ 

A module  $N \in \sigma[M]$  is called  $\tau_M$ -lifting if it satisfies one of the equivalent conditions of Lemma 2.3.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $N \in \sigma[M]$ . The following are equivalent:

- (1) For every fully invariant submodule K of N, there is a decomposition  $K = A \oplus B$ , such that A is a direct summand of N and  $B \subseteq \tau_M(N)$ ;
- (2) For every fully invariant submodule K of N, there is a direct summand A of N such that  $A \subseteq K$  and  $K/A \subseteq \tau_M(N/A)$ .
- (3) For every fully invariant submodule K of N, there is a decomposition  $N = A \oplus B$  such that  $A \subseteq K$  and  $B \cap K \subseteq \tau_M(N)$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let K be a fully invariant submodule of N. By hypothesis, there exists a direct summand A of N and  $B \subseteq \tau_M(N)$  such that  $K = A \oplus B$ . Now  $N = A \oplus A'$  for some submodule A' of N. Consider the natural epimorphism  $\pi: N \to N/A$ . Then  $\pi(B) = (B+A)/A = K/A \subseteq \tau_M(N/A)$ . Therefore N is FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting module.

- $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  By [12, Lemma 3.1].
- $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let K be a fully invariant submodule of N. By hypothesis, there is a decomposition  $N = A \oplus B$  such that  $A \subseteq K$  and  $B \cap K \subseteq \tau_M(N)$ . Therefore  $K = A \oplus (K \cap B)$ , as required.

A module  $N \in \sigma[M]$  is called  $\tau_M$ -FI-lifting if it satisfies one of the equivalent conditions of Proposition 2.4.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} N_i$  be a direct sum of  $\tau_M$ -FI-lifting modules. Then N is  $\tau_M$ -FI-lifting.

Proof. Let  $K \subseteq N$ . Then  $K = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (K \cap N_i)$  and  $K \cap N_i$  is a fully invariant submodule of  $N_i$ . As each  $N_i$  is  $\tau_M$ -FI-lifting we have  $K \cap N_i = A_i \oplus B_i$  where  $A_i$  is a direct summand of  $N_i$  and  $B_i \subseteq \tau_M(N_i)$ . Put  $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i$  and  $B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n B_i$ . Then  $K = A \oplus B$  where A is a direct summand of N and  $B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n B_i \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \tau_M(N_i) = \tau_M(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i) = \tau_M(N)$ .

Corollary 2.6. If N is a finite direct sum of  $\tau_M$ -lifting modules, then N is  $\tau_M$ -FI-lifting.

Let  $N \in \sigma[M]$ . We call an epimorphism  $f: P \to N$  a projective  $\tau_M$ -cover of N in  $\sigma[M]$  if P is projective in  $\sigma[M]$  and  $Ker(f) \subseteq \tau_M(P)$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** Let P be a projective module. If P is FI-lifting then P/A has a projective  $\tau_M$ -cover for every fully invariant submodule A of P.

*Proof.* Suppose P is a projective FI-lifting module and A is a fully invariant submodule of P. Then  $A = X \oplus S$  where X is a direct summand of P and  $S \subseteq \tau_M(P)$ . Suppose  $P = X \oplus Y$ . As  $S \subseteq \tau_M(P)$ ,  $(X + S)/X \subseteq (X + \tau_M(P))/X \subseteq \tau_M(P/X)$ . Hence the natural map  $f : P/X \to P/(X + S) = P/A$  is a projective  $\tau_M$ -cover.  $\square$ 

Corollary 2.8. Suppose R is a ring. If module  $R_R$  is FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting then R/I has a projective  $\tau_M$ -cover for every two sided ideal I of R.

**Proposition 2.9.** Let N be a FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting module. Then every fully invariant submodule of  $N/\tau_M(N)$  is a direct summand.

*Proof.* Let  $K/\tau_M(N)$  be a fully invariant submodule of  $N/\tau_M(N)$ . Then K is fully invariant submodule by Lemma 2.2. By hypothesis, there is a decomposition  $N = N_1 \oplus N_2$  such that  $N_1 \subseteq K$  and  $K \cap N_2 \subseteq \tau_M(N)$ . Thus  $N/\tau_M(N) = (K/\tau_M(N)) \oplus ((N_2 + \tau_M(N))/\tau_M(N))$ , as required.

П

### 3. Strongly FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting Modules

In this section we define strongly  $\text{FI-}\tau_M$ -lifting modules. This class of modules is properly contained in the class of  $\text{FI-}\tau_M$ -lifting modules; but there is no containment relation between the class of strongly  $\text{FI-}\tau_M$ -lifting modules and the class of lifting modules. We show that a direct summand of a strongly  $\text{FI-}\tau_M$ -lifting module is strongly  $\text{FI-}\tau_M$ -lifting and that a finite direct sum of copies of a strongly  $\text{FI-}\tau_M$ -lifting module is strongly  $\text{FI-}\tau_M$ -lifting.

As in Proposition 2.4 we can prove the following.

# **Proposition 3.1.** Let $N \in \sigma[M]$ . The following are equivalent:

- (1) For every fully invariant submodule K of N, there is a decomposition  $K = A \oplus B$ , such that A is a fully invariant direct summand of N and  $B \subseteq \tau_M(N)$ ;
- (2) For every fully invariant submodule K of N, there is a fully invariant direct summand A of N such that  $A \subseteq K$  and  $K/A \subseteq \tau_M(N/A)$ .

A module  $N \in \sigma[M]$  is called *strongly FI-\tau\_M-lifting* if it satisfies one of the equivalent conditions of Proposition 3.1.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let N be an FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting with  $\tau_M(N) = 0$ . Then every fully invariant submodule (in particular N) is strongly FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting module.

*Proof.* Let K be a fully invariant submodule of N. Suppose A is fully invariant in K. Then A is fully invariant in N also (see Lemma 2.2). As N is FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting,  $A = B \oplus S$  where B is a direct summand of N and  $S \subseteq \tau_M(N)$  (see Proposition 2.4). Since  $\tau_M(N) = 0$ , S = 0 and so A is a direct summand of N and hence of K. Thus K is strongly FI-lifting.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 3.3.** A direct summand of a strongly FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting module is strongly FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting.

Proof. Let  $N = X \oplus Y$  be a strongly FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting module. Assume that  $S_1 \unlhd X$ . Then there exists  $S_2 \unlhd Y$  such that  $S_1 \oplus S_2 \unlhd M$  [5, Lemma 1.11]. Since N is a strongly FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting,  $S_1 \oplus S_2 = B \oplus S$  where  $S \subseteq \tau_M(N)$  and B is a fully invariant direct summand of N. But  $B \unlhd N$  implies that  $B = (X \cap B) \oplus (Y \cap B)$  and  $X \cap B$  is fully invariant in X. Also  $X \cap B$  is a direct summand of N. We have  $S_1 = \pi_X(B) + \pi_X(S) = (X \cap B) + \pi_X(S)$  where  $\pi_X : N \to X$  is the projection along Y. As  $S \subseteq \tau_M(N), \pi_X(S) \subseteq \tau_M(X)$ . By Proposition 3.1, X is a strongly FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting module.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} N_i$  and let  $N_i \subseteq M$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ . Then N is strongly FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting if and only if  $N_i$  is strongly FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting, for all  $1 \le i \le n$ .

*Proof.* If N is strongly FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting then each  $N_i$  is so, by Proposition 3.4.

Conversely, suppose  $N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i$  where each  $N_i$  is strongly  $\operatorname{FI-}\tau_M$ -lifting and fully invariant in N. Let  $K \unlhd N$ . Then  $K = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (K \cap N_i)$  and  $(K \cap N_i) \unlhd N_i$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . As  $N_i$  is strongly  $\operatorname{FI-}\tau_M$ -lifting,  $K \cap N_i = B_i \oplus S_i$  where  $B_i$  is a fully invariant direct summand of  $N_i$  and  $S_i \subseteq \tau_M(N_i)$  (see Proposition 3.1). Put  $B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n B_i$  and  $S = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S_i$ . Then  $K = B \oplus S$  where B is a direct summand of N and  $S \subseteq \tau_M(N)$ . As  $B_i \unlhd N_i$  and  $N_i \unlhd N$ ,  $B_i \unlhd N$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Hence  $B \unlhd N$ . Therefore N is strongly  $\operatorname{FI-}\tau_M$ -lifting.

**Theorem 3.5.** Suppose K is a strongly FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting module and  $N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i$  where each  $N_i \simeq K$ . Then N is a strongly FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting module.

*Proof.* There exist isomorphisms  $f_i: N_1 \to N_i$  for  $i=2,\cdots,n$ . If A is a fully invariant submodule of N, then it is easy to see that  $A=A_1 \oplus f_2(A_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus f_n(A_1)$  where  $A_1=N_1 \cap A$ .

As  $N_1$  is strongly FI- $\tau_M$ -Lifting and  $A_1$  is a fully invariant submodule of  $N_1$ , we have  $A_1 = L_1 \oplus S_1$  where  $L_1$  is a fully invariant submodule of  $N_1$  and  $S_1 \subseteq \tau_M(M_1)$  (see Proposition 3.1). Put  $L := L_1 \oplus f_2(L_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus f_n(L_1)$  and  $S := S_1 \oplus f_2(S_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus f_n(S_1)$ . Then  $A = L \oplus S$ , L is a fully invariant direct summand of N and  $S \subseteq \tau_M(N)$ . Hence N is strongly FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting.

From Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 3.5 we get the following.

Corollary 3.6. Suppose R is a ring and  $R_R$  is strongly FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting. Then any finitely generated projective R-module is strongly FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting.

**Proposition 3.7.** Let N be a strongly FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting module and X a fully invariant submodule of N. If X is indecomposable, then X is strongly FI- $\tau_M$ -lifting.

Proof. Let  $K \subseteq X$  then  $K \subseteq N$ . Since N is strongly  $\operatorname{FI-}\tau_M$ -lifting module,  $K = B \oplus S$  where  $S \subseteq \tau_M(N)$  and B is a fully invariant direct summand of N. Hence  $N = B \oplus C$  for some submodule C of N. Since  $X \subseteq N$ , then  $X = B \oplus (C \cap X)$ . But X is indecomposable, therefore X = B and X is direct summand of N. By Theorem 3.3, X is strongly  $\operatorname{FI-}\tau_M$ -lifting.

#### References

- F.W. Anderson, K.R. Fuller: Rings and Categories of Modules Springer-Verlog, New York, 1992.
- [2] K. Al-Takhman, C. Lomp and R. Wisbaure, τ-complemented and τ-supplemented modules, Algebra and Discrete Mathematics, 3(2006), 1-15.
- [3] G.F. Birkenmeier, B.J. Muller, S.T. Rizvi: Modules in which every fully invariant submodule is essential in a direct summand, Comm. Algebra, 30(2002), 1395-1415.
- [4] J. Clark, C. Lomp, N. Vanaja, R. Wisbauer: Lifting Modules, Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkäuser Verlag, 2006.
- [5] S. T. Rizvi, S. R. Cosmin: Baer and Quasi-Baer Modules, Comm. Algebra, 32(2004), 103-123.
- [6] A. Facchini, L. Salce: Uniserial modules: Sums and isomorphism of subquotients, Comm Algebra, 18(1990), 499-517.
- [7] D. Keskin: On Lifting Modules, Comm. Algebra, 28(2000), 3427-3440.
- [8] C. Lomp: On Dual Goldie Dimension, Diplomarbeit (M.Sc. Thesis), University of Düsseldorf, Germany, 1996.
- [9] S.M. Mohamed, B. J. Muller: Continuous and Discrete Modules, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 147, Cambridge, University Press, 1990.
- [10] Y. Talebi, N. Vanaja: The Torsion Theory Cogenerated by M-small Modules, Comm. Algebra, 30(2002), 1449-1460.
- [11] Y. Talebi, T. Amoozegar: Strongly FI-Lifting Modules, International Electronic J. of Algebra, 3(2008), 75-82.
- [12] R. Tribak and D. Keskin: On  $\overline{Z}_M$ -Semiperfect Modules, East-West. J. of Mathematicals, 8(2006), 193-203.
- [13] R. Wisbauer: Foundations of module and ring theory, Gordon and Breach, Reading, 1991.