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## 1. Introduction

Let $V$ be a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension $n$ and sectional curvature $K \leqslant 0$. Then $V$ is a $K(\pi, 1)$-manifold with $\pi=\pi_{1}(V)$ [8, p. 103] and hence determined up to homotopy by the fundamental group. In particular, the homology $H_{*}(V)$ of $V$ is isomorphic to the group homology $H_{*}\left(\pi_{1}(V)\right)$ (see [1]). Therefore $V$ is compact if and only if $H_{n}\left(\pi_{1}(V), \mathbf{Z}_{2}\right)=\mathbf{Z}_{2}$. Hence the compactness of $V$ can be read off from $\pi_{1}(V)$.

We give a similar characterization for the condition of finite volume:
Theorem. Let $V$ be a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geqslant 3$ with curvature $-b^{2} \leqslant K \leqslant-a^{2}<0$. Then the volume of $V$ is finite if and only if:
(1) $\pi_{1}(V)$ contains only finitely many conjugation classes of maximal almost nilpotent subgroups of rank $n-1$.
(2) If $\Delta$ is the amalgamated product of $\pi_{1}(V)$ with itself on these subgroups, then $H_{n}\left(\Delta, \mathbf{Z}_{2}\right)=\mathbf{Z}_{2}$.

For a full definition of $\Delta$ we refer to $\S 4$.
For $n=2$, the statement is wrong: Let $V$ be a noncompact surface with constant negative curvature and finite volume. It is known that $V$ has an end $E$ diffeomorphic to $S^{1} \times(0, \infty)$ with a warped product metric $f^{2} d s^{2}+d t^{2}$. The curvature is given by $-f^{\prime \prime} / f$ and the volume of $E$ by $2 \pi \int_{0}^{\infty} f d t$. Using a suitable function $\bar{f}$ we can deform $E$ to an expanding end, such that the new end has bounded negative curvature but infinite volume.

The first part of our proof ( $\S 3$ ) leads to a description of the ends of finite volume in terms of the fundamental group. This part is based on the investigations of Heintze [6], Gromov [5] and Eberlein [3]. A topological argument then finishes the proof (§4).

This paper is a condensed version of parts of my thesis [10] written under the guidance of Professor Wolfgang Meyer at Münster. I am also deeply
grateful to Mikhael Gromov who proposed the result and pointed out essential ideas for the proof.

## 2. Notation and basic results

(Compare [3], [4].) Let $X$ be a Hadamard manifold, i.e., a complete simply connected Riemannian manifold with curvature $K \leqslant 0$, let $d($, ) be the distance function on $X$ and let $\bar{X}=X \cup X(\infty)$ be the Eberlein-O'Neill compactification. For $x \in X$ and $z \in X(\infty)$ let $H S(x, z)$ be the horosphere at $z$ which contains $x$ and $\operatorname{HB}(x, z)$ the corresponding (open) horoball. For an isometry $\gamma$ of $X$ we define the convex displacement function $d_{\gamma}: x \rightarrow d(x, \gamma x) . \gamma$ is called elliptic (hyperbolic, parabolic), if $d_{\gamma}$ has zero minimum (positive minimum, no minimum). An isometry $\gamma$ can be extended to a homeomorphism of $\bar{X}$. If $X$ has curvature $K \leqslant-a^{2}<0$, a nonelliptic isometry $\gamma$ can be characterized by the fixed points $\operatorname{Fix}(\gamma)$ on $X(\infty)$ : a hyperbolic isometry fixes exactly two points of $X(\infty)$ and translates the unique geodesic joining these points. A parabolic isometry $\gamma$ has exactly one fixed point $z \in X(\infty)$ and leaves the horospheres $H S(x, z)$ invariant.

For a complete manifold $V$ of negative curvature let $X$ be the Riemannian universal covering, $\pi: X \rightarrow V$ the projection. Then $V=X / \Gamma$, where $\Gamma$ is a freely acting, discrete group of isometries on $X, \Gamma \simeq \pi_{1}(V)$. We define the $\Gamma$-invariant function $d_{\Gamma}: X \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ by $d_{\Gamma}(x):=\min _{\gamma \in \Gamma-\text { id }} d_{\gamma}(x)$. Then $d_{\Gamma}(x)=2 \operatorname{Inj} \operatorname{Rad}(\pi(x))$, where $\operatorname{Inj} \operatorname{Rad}$ is the injectivity radius. $\operatorname{Inj} \operatorname{Rad}(p) \geqslant$ $\varepsilon$ and $K \leqslant 0$ imply that the volume of the distance ball $B_{\varepsilon}(p)$ is larger than the volume of the $\varepsilon$-ball in euclidean space. Therefore $\operatorname{vol}(V)<\infty$ implies that the set $\{\operatorname{Inj} \operatorname{Rad} \geqslant \varepsilon\}$ is compact for all $\varepsilon>0$.

An end of $V$ is a function $E$ that assigns to each compact subset $K$ of $V$ a connected component $E(K)$ of $V-K$ with the condition that $E(K) \supset E\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ if $K \subset K^{\prime}$. An open set $U \subset V$ is a neighborhood of an end $E$ if $E(K) \subset U$ for some compact subset $K$. An end $E$ has finite volume if there is a neighborhood $U$ of $E$ with $\operatorname{vol}(U)<\infty$.

For the proof of our theorem, we can assume (by scaling the metric) that $V$ satisfies the curvature condition $-1 \leqslant K \leqslant-a^{2}$, where $a$ is positive. This enables us to use the Margulis lemma in the following form.

Margulis Lemma. There is a number $\mu=\mu(n)>0$, depending only on $n$, with the following property: let $X$ be an n-dimensional Hadamard manifold with curvature $-1 \leqslant K \leqslant 0$, let $\Gamma$ be a discrete group of isometries on $X, x \in X$, and let $\Gamma_{\mu}(x)$ be the subgroup of $\Gamma$ generated by the elements $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $d_{\gamma}(x) \leqslant \mu$. Then $\Gamma_{\mu}(x)$ is almost nilpotent, that is, $\Gamma_{\mu}(x)$ contains a nilpotent subgroup of finite index.

For a proof see [11, p. 5.51], [2, p. 27], [5], [10].
Lemma 1. Let $X$ be a Hadamard manifold with curvature $K \leqslant-a^{2}$ and let $\Gamma$ be a freely acting, discrete and almost nilpotent group of isometries on $X$. Then $\operatorname{Fix}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Fix}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)$ for all $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma$ - id. Hence the elements of $\Gamma-\mathrm{id}$ are either all parabolic with a common fixed point $z \in X(\infty)$, or all hyperbolic with common axis $c$. In the second case $\Gamma$ is infinite cyclic.

For a proof see [3, Lemma 3.1b].

## 3. Ends of finite volume

The main result of this section is the following description of the ends of finite volume.

Proposition. Let $V=X / \Gamma$ satisfy $-1 \leqslant K \leqslant-a^{2}, 0<r \leqslant \mu$.
(1) If $E$ is an end of finite volume, then there is a unique connected component $U_{r}(E)$ of $\{\operatorname{Inj} \mathrm{Rad}<r / 2\}$ such that $U_{r}(E)$ is a neighborhood of $E$. The volume of $U_{r}(E)$ is finite. For two different ends $E$ and $E^{*}$ of finite volume, the neighborhoods $U_{r}(E)$ and $U_{r}\left(E^{*}\right)$ are disjoint.
(2) If $n=\operatorname{dim} V \geqslant 3$, then the ends of finite volume correspond one-to-one to the conjugation classes of the maximal almost nilpotent subgroups of rank $n-1$ in $\Gamma$.
(3) The ends of finite volume have disjoint neighborhoods $U$ diffeomorphic to $B \times(0, \infty)$, where $B$ is a compact codimension 1 submanifold of $V$.

Before we will prove this result, we need some preparations. Our manifold $V$ was represented as $V=X / \Gamma$. Now we look for a similar description for subsets $U \subset V$ as $U=W / \Gamma_{W}$, where $W \subset X$ is precisely invariant, i.e. for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ either $\gamma W=W$ or $\gamma W \cap W=\varnothing$, and $\Gamma_{W}$ is the subgroup $\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma W$ $=W\}$.
Lemma 2. Let $\Gamma$ be a discrete group of isometries acting on a Hadamard manifold $X$. Let $r>0$ and let $W \subset X$ be a connected component of $\left\{d_{\Gamma}<r\right\}$. Then:
(1) $W$ is precisely invariant.
(2) If $\gamma \in \Gamma, x \in W$ and $d_{\gamma}(x)<r$, then $\gamma \in \Gamma_{W}$.

Proof. (1) Because $d_{\Gamma}$ is $\Gamma$-invariant, $\gamma W$ is also a connected component of $\left\{d_{\Gamma}<r\right\}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Thus $\gamma W \cap W \neq \varnothing$ implies $\gamma W=W$.
(2) $d_{\gamma}(x)=d_{\gamma}(\gamma x)<r$. The convexity of $d_{\gamma}$ now implies $d_{\gamma}<r$ hence $d_{\Gamma}<r$ on the geodesic from $x$ to $\gamma x$. Thus both $x$ and $\gamma x$ are in $W$. By (1), $\gamma \in \Gamma_{W}$. q.e.d.

Let $U$ be a component (i.e., a connected component) of $\{\operatorname{Inj} \operatorname{Rad}<r / 2\}$ and $W$ be a component of $\pi^{-1}(U) \subset X$. Then $W$ is a component of $\left\{d_{\Gamma}<r\right\}$
and, by Lemma 2, $U=W / \Gamma_{W}$. With regard to the Margulis Lemma we will study components $U$ of $\{\operatorname{Inj} \operatorname{Rad}<r / 2\}$ and the corresponding components $W$ of $\left\{d_{\Gamma}<r\right\}$, where $r$ is smaller than the constant $\mu$ of the Margulis Lemma.

Lemma 3. Let $V$ be complete, $-1 \leqslant K \leqslant-a^{2}, 0<r \leqslant \mu$. Let $U \subset V$ be $a$ component of $\{\operatorname{Inj} \operatorname{Rad}<r / 2\}$ in $V, W$ a component of $\pi^{-1}(U)$ in $X$ and $\Gamma_{W}=\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma W=W\}$.
(1) Either there is a unique geodesic $c$ in $X$, such that $\Gamma_{W}$ is the infinite cyclic group $\Gamma_{W}=\Gamma_{c}:=\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma$ has axis $c\}$ or $\Gamma_{W}$ is a group of parabolic isometries and there is a unique $z \in X(\infty)$ with $\Gamma_{W}=\Gamma_{z}:=\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma(z)=z\}$. W is bounded in the first and unbounded in the second case.
(2) $W=\left\{d_{\Gamma_{W}}<r\right\}$.
(3) If $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ are distinct components of $\left\{d_{\Gamma}<r\right\}$, then $\Gamma_{W_{1}}$ and $\Gamma_{W_{2}}$ intersect only in the identity.

Proof. (1) Using Lemma 1 it is easy to prove (see [3, Lemma 3.1c]): if $x, y \in W, d_{\alpha}(x), d_{\beta}(y)<r$ for nontrivial $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, then $\operatorname{Fix}(\alpha)=\operatorname{Fix}(\beta)$. Thus for $A:=\left\{\gamma \in \Gamma-\mathrm{id} \mid\right.$ there exists $x \in W$ with $\left.d_{\gamma}(x)<r\right\}$, the classification of isometries yields: either all $\alpha \in A$ are hyperbolic with a unique common axis $c$, or all $\alpha \in A$ are parabolic with a unique common fixed point z. If $\gamma \in \Gamma_{W}$ id, $x \in W$, then $\gamma x \in W$ and there is an $\alpha \in A$ with $r>$ $d_{\alpha}(\gamma x)=d_{\gamma^{-1} \alpha \gamma}(x)$. Hence $\gamma^{-1} \alpha \gamma \in A$.

If $\alpha \in A$ is hyperbolic with axis $c$, then $\gamma^{-1} c$ is the axis of $\gamma^{-1} \alpha \gamma \in A$ and hence $\gamma^{-1} c=c$. Therefore $\gamma$ leaves $c$ invariant and $\gamma$ is hyperbolic with axis $c$.

If $\alpha \in A$ is parabolic with fixed point $z \in X(\infty)$, the same argument shows that $\gamma z=z . \gamma$ is also parabolic by [4, Proposition 6.8].

Hence we have proved that the elements of $\Gamma_{W}$ are either all hyperbolic with axis $c\left(\Gamma_{W} \subset \Gamma_{c}\right)$ or all parabolic with fixed point $z\left(\Gamma_{W} \subset \Gamma_{z}\right)$. In the first case $c$ is contained in $W$ and hence $\Gamma_{c} \subset \Gamma_{W}$. The discreteness of $\Gamma$ then implies that $\Gamma_{c}$ is infinite cyclic. In the second case let $g:[0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ be a geodesic ray with $g(0) \in W$ and $g(\infty)=z$. Because $K \leqslant-a^{2}<0, d_{\gamma}(g(t)) \rightarrow 0$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_{z}$ as $t$ goes to $\infty$. Hence $g$ is contained in $W$ and, by Lemma 2(2), $\Gamma_{z} \subset \Gamma_{W}$.

If $U$ is bounded, then $\operatorname{Inj} \operatorname{Rad}$ assumes a minimum in $p \in U$. Let $x \in W$ with $\pi(x)=p$ and $d_{\Gamma}(x)=d_{\gamma}(x)$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma_{W}$. If $\gamma$ is parabolic, then there is a nearby $y$ with $d_{\gamma}(y)<d_{\Gamma}(x)$, hence $\operatorname{Inj} \operatorname{Rad}(\pi(y))<\operatorname{Inj} \operatorname{Rad}(\pi(x))$, a contradiction.

On the other hand let $\Gamma_{W}$ be an infinite cyclic group of isometries with common axis $c$. Then the curvature assumption implies that $d_{\Gamma_{w}}(y)>r$ for all $y \in X$ with $d(y, c)>R$ for a suitable $R$. Therefore $d(q, \pi(c))<R$ for all $q \in U$ and $U$ is bounded.
(2) By Lemma 2(2), $W \subset\left\{d_{\Gamma_{W}}<r\right\}$. Now it is easy to see that for
a geodesic $c$ or a point $z \in X(\infty)$, the sets $\left\{d_{\Gamma_{c}}<r\right\}$ and $\left\{d_{\Gamma_{z}}<r\right\}$ are connected. Therefore $W=\left\{d_{\Gamma_{W}}<r\right\}$.
(3) Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_{W_{1}} \cap \Gamma_{W_{2}}$ be a nontrivial element. If $\gamma$ is hyperbolic with axis $c$, then $\Gamma_{W_{1}}=\Gamma_{c}=\Gamma_{W_{2}}$ and if $\gamma$ is parabolic with fixed point $z$, then $\Gamma_{W_{1}}=\Gamma_{z}=$ $\Gamma_{W_{2}} \cdot \mathrm{By}(2), \Gamma_{W_{1}}=\Gamma_{W_{2}}$ implies $W_{1}=W_{2}$.

Lemma 4. Let $V=X / \Gamma$ satisfy $-1 \leqslant K \leqslant-a^{2}, 0<r \leqslant \mu$. Let $U \subset V$ be an unbounded component of $\{\operatorname{Inj} \operatorname{Rad}<r / 2\}$, and let $W$ be a component of $\pi^{-1}(U)$ with $\Gamma_{W}$ as above. Then the volume of $U$ is finite if and only if $\Gamma_{W}$ is an almost nilpotent group of rank $n-1$.

Remark. The rank of an almost nilpotent group is the rank of a nilpotent subgroup of finite index. For the definition of rank and other facts about nilpotent groups compare Chapter II of [9].

Proof. We divide the proof into three steps:
(a) If $\operatorname{vol}(U)<\infty$, then $\Gamma_{z}$ is almost nilpotent and operates with compact quotient on the horospheres $H S(x, z)$ :

The proof of Lemma 3.1 g of [3] shows that $\Gamma_{z}$ operates with compact quotient on the horospheres and therefore $\Gamma_{z}$ is finitely generated. Let $\gamma_{1}, \cdots, \gamma_{m}$ be a system of generators. $K \leqslant-a^{2}$ implies that there is a point $g\left(t_{0}\right)$ with $d_{\gamma_{i}}\left(g\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \leqslant r$. By the Margulis Lemma, $\Gamma_{z}$ is almost nilpotent with nilpotent subgroup $N$ of finite index. Then $N$ also operates with compact quotient on the horospheres.
(b) rank $N=n-1: N$ is nilpotent, finitely generated and without torsion. By a theorem of Malcev $N$ is isomorphic to a lattice in a simply connected nilpotent Lie group $A$ with $\operatorname{dim} A=\operatorname{rank} N=: m$ [9, Theorem II.2.18]. Because every lattice in a nilpotent Lie group has a compact quotient and $A$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^{m}$, $N$ operates with compact quotient on $\mathbf{R}^{m}$. Because $N$ operates also on a horosphere, hence on $\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ with compact quotient, we conclude $m=n-1$ by comparing the homology groups of these $K(\pi, 1)$ manifolds.
(c) If $\Gamma_{z}$ contains a nilpotent subgroup $N$ of finite index and rank $n-1$, then $N$ and hence $\Gamma_{z}$ operate with compact quotient on the horospheres $H S(x, z)$ by inversion of the arguments of $b$. Because $d_{\Gamma_{z}}(g(t)) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$, we conclude easily that there is a horoball $\operatorname{HB}\left(x_{0}, z\right)$ with $W \subset$ $H B\left(x_{0}, z\right)$, and thus $\operatorname{vol}(U) \leqslant \operatorname{vol}\left(H B\left(x_{0}, z\right) / \Gamma_{z}\right)$. We prove that the latter is finite: $H B\left(x_{0}, z\right) / \Gamma_{z}$ is diffeomorphic to $B \times(0, \infty)$, where the projection on $(0, \infty)$ is a riemannian submersion and $B_{t}=B \times\{t\}$ is the quotient of a horosphere. Because of the curvature condition, we control the stable Jacobifields (see [7]). This implies $\operatorname{vol}\left(B_{t}\right) \leqslant k e^{-a t}$ with a constant $k$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{vol}\left(H B\left(x_{0}, z\right) / \Gamma_{z}\right) \leqslant \int_{0}^{\infty} k e^{-a t} d t<\infty .
$$

Lemma 5. Let $V=X / \Gamma$ satisfy $-1 \leqslant K \leqslant-a^{2}, 0<r_{1} \leqslant r_{2} \leqslant \mu$. Let $U_{i}$ be components of $\left\{\operatorname{Inj} \operatorname{Rad}<r_{i} / 2\right\}$ with $U_{1} \subset U_{2}$ and let $W_{i}$ be components of $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$ with $W_{1} \subset W_{2}$. Then:
(1) $\Gamma_{W_{1}}=\Gamma_{W_{2}}$.
(2) $U_{1}$ is the only component of $\left\{\operatorname{Inj} \operatorname{Rad}<r_{1} / 2\right\}$ which is contained in $U_{2}$.

Proof. (1) $W_{1} \subset W_{2}$ immediately implies $\Gamma_{W_{1}} \subset \Gamma_{W_{2}}$. Using Lemma 3(1) we conclude that either $\Gamma_{W_{1}}=\Gamma_{c}=\Gamma_{W_{2}}$ or $\Gamma_{W_{1}}=\Gamma_{z}=\Gamma_{W_{2}}$ for a geodesic $c$ or a point $z \in X(\infty)$.
(2) is a consequence of (1) and Lemma 3(3).

Now we are able to prove our proposition.
Proof. (1) Because $E$ has finite volume, there is a compact set $K \subset V$ with $\operatorname{vol}(E(K))<\infty$ and $\operatorname{Inj} \operatorname{Rad}_{\mid E(K)}<r / 2$. Let $U_{r}(E)$ be the component of $\{\operatorname{Inj} \operatorname{Rad}<r / 2\}$ which contains $E(K)$. If $U^{\prime}$ is another component of $\{\operatorname{Inj} \operatorname{Rad}<r / 2\}$ which is a neighborhood of $E$, then $U^{\prime} \cap U_{r}(E) \neq \varnothing$ and hence $U^{\prime}=U_{r}(E)$.

We now prove that $\operatorname{vol}\left(U_{r}(E)\right)<\infty$. Let $K$ be as above. Then there is an $r^{\prime}$ with $0<r^{\prime}<r$ and $\operatorname{Inj} \operatorname{Rad}_{{ }_{K} K}>r^{\prime} / 2$. By construction $U_{r^{\prime}}(E) \subset E(K) \subset$ $U_{r}(E)$ and hence $\operatorname{vol}\left(U_{r^{\prime}}(E)\right)<\infty$. Let $W_{r^{\prime}} \subset W_{r}$ be components of $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{r^{\prime}}(E)\right)$ and $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{r}(E)\right)$. By Lemma $5, \Gamma_{W_{r^{\prime}}}=\Gamma_{W_{r}}$ and, by Lemma 4, the finiteness of the volume of $U_{r^{\prime}}(E)$ implies $\operatorname{vol}\left(U_{r}(E)\right)<\infty$.

If $E, E^{*}$ are different ends of finite volume, there is a compact set $K \subset V$ with $E(K) \neq E^{*}(K)$ and hence $E(K)$ and $E^{*}(K)$ are disjoint. As above there is an $r^{\prime}, 0<r^{\prime}<r$, with $U_{r^{\prime}}(E) \subset E(K)$ and $U_{r^{\prime}}\left(E^{*}\right) \subset E^{*}(K)$. By Lemma 5(2), $U_{r}(E)$ and $U_{r}\left(E^{*}\right)$ are distinct, hence disjoint.
(2) For an end $E$ of finite volume let $U_{r}(E), W_{r}$ be as in (1). By Lemma 4, $\Gamma_{W_{r}}$ is almost nilpotent of rank $n-1$ and $\Gamma_{W_{r}}=\Gamma_{z}$ for some $z \in X(\infty) . \Gamma_{z}$ is maximal almost nilpotent: if $\Gamma^{\prime} \supset \Gamma_{z}$ is almost nilpotent, then, by Lemma 1, all $\gamma \in \Gamma^{\prime}$ have a common fixed point in $X(\infty)$ and hence $\Gamma^{\prime} \subset \Gamma_{z}$.

If $W_{r}^{\prime}=\gamma W_{r}$ is another component of $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{r}(E)\right)$, then $\Gamma_{W_{r}^{\prime}}=\gamma \Gamma_{W_{r}} \gamma^{-1}$. Thus we assign to every end of finite volume a conjugation class of the maximal almost nilpotent subgroups of rank $n-1$. We prove that this map is bijective:
(a) Different ends $E$ and $E^{*}$ have disjoint $U_{r}(E)$ and $U_{r}\left(E^{*}\right)$. If $W_{r}$ and $W_{r}^{*}$ are components of $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{r}(E)\right)$ and $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{r}\left(E^{*}\right)\right)$, then there is no $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $\gamma W_{r}=W_{r}^{*}$. Therefore $\Gamma_{W_{r}}$ and $\Gamma_{W_{r}^{*}}$ define different conjugation classes by Lemma 3(3).
(b) On the other hand let $\Delta \subset \Gamma$ be a maximal almost nilpotent subgroup of rank $n-1 \geqslant 2$. Then $\Delta$ is not infinite cyclic and hence, by Lemma $1, \Delta$ is a group of parabolic isometries with a common fixed point $z \in X(\infty)$. Thus
$\Delta \subset \Gamma_{z}$. By the arguments of Lemma $4, \Delta$ operates with compact quotient on the horospheres $H S(x, z)$ and $\operatorname{vol}(H B(x, z))<\infty$. Then $\Gamma_{z}$ also operates with compact quotient on the horospheres and the argument of Lemma 4(a) proves that $\Gamma_{z}$ is almost nilpotent. Hence $\Delta=\Gamma_{z}$ by maximality. Part (c) of that lemma shows that for suitable $x \in X$ the volume of $H B(x, z) / \Gamma_{z}$ is arbitrarily small, and hence also the injectivity radius on $\pi(H B(x, z))$ is small. For $0<r \leqslant \mu$ let $U_{r}$ be the component of $\{\operatorname{Inj} \operatorname{Rad}<r / 2\}$ which contains $\pi(H B(x, z)$ ) for suitable $x$. Let $W_{r}$ be the component of $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{r}\right)$ containing $H B(x, r)$. Then $\Gamma_{W_{r}}=\Gamma_{z}$ and, by Lemma 4, vol $\left(U_{r}\right)<\infty$. By definition $U_{r^{\prime}} \subset U_{r}$ for $0<r^{\prime} \leqslant r$ $\leqslant \mu$, and therefore one checks that the following function $E$ defines an end of finite volume:

For compact $K \subset V$ let $E(K)$ be the component of $V-K$ which contains $U_{r}$, where $r$ is chosen such that $\operatorname{Inj} \operatorname{Rad}_{\mid K}>r / 2$. By construction the conjugation class assigned by $E$ is the class of $\Delta$.
(3) The proof of (2) shows that an end $E$ of finite volume has a neighborhood of the form $E(B)=H B(x, z) / \Gamma_{z}$ which is diffeomorphic to $B \times(0, \infty)$ with $B=H S(x, z) / \Gamma_{z}$. These neighborhoods are contained in $U_{r}(E)$, hence different ends have disjoint neighborhoods.

Remark. Part (1) implies the theorem, due to Heintze [6, p. 33], that a complete manifold $V$ with $\operatorname{vol}(V)<\infty$ and $-1 \leqslant K \leqslant-a^{2}$ has only finitely many ends: the ends have disjoint neighborhoods $U_{r}(E)$. In $U_{r}(E)$ we will find an injectively imbedded $r$ /4-ball, thus $\operatorname{vol}\left(U_{r}(E)\right)$ is larger than a constant depending on $r$ and $n$.

## 4. Finite volume and fundamental group

Let $V$ be a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geqslant 3$, which satisfies $-1 \leqslant K \leqslant-a^{2}$. Using the result of Heintze remarked above, we see that the volume of $V$ is finite if and only if $V$ has only finitely many ends and every end has finite volume. This is equivalent to the conditions:
(1) $V$ has only finitely many ends of finite volume, and
(2) $V$ has no further ends.

According to the proposition, condition (1) is equivalent to the finiteness of the conjugation classes of the maximal almost nilpotent subgroups of rank $n-1$ in $\pi_{1}(V)$.

We will prove that (2) also is equivalent to a condition on the fundamental group. Therefore let us assume that $V$ has finitely many ends $E_{0}, \cdots, E_{k}$ of finite volume. By our proposition the ends $E_{i}$ have disjoint neighborhoods diffeomorphic to $B_{i} \times(0, \infty)$. We identify $B_{i} \times(0, \infty)$ with subsets of $V$. Then
$M:=V-\bigcup_{i=0}^{k}\left(B_{i} \times(0, \infty)\right)$ is a manifold with $k+1$ boundary components $B_{0}, \cdots, B_{k}$. It is easily checked that $V$ has no further ends if and only if $M$ is compact. Now we define a manifold $W$ without boundary by glueing two copies $M^{1}, M^{2}$ of $M$ canonically along their common boundary. Clearly $M$ is compact if and only if $W$ is compact. Therefore condition (2) is equivalent to:
(2*) $W$ is compact.
To prove that (2*) is a condition on $\pi_{1}(V)$, we show:
(a) The fundamental group of $W$ can be computed purely algebraically from $\pi_{1}(V)$.
(b) $W$ is a $K(\pi, 1)$-manifold, hence $W$ is compact if and only if $H_{n}\left(\pi_{1}(W), \mathbf{Z}_{2}\right)=\mathbf{Z}_{2}$.

Proof of (a). By the theorem of Zaidenman ([12], compare Steenrod's reviews, Part I, Amer. Math. Soc., 1968, p. 52) we can compute the fundamental group of $W$ in the following way: we choose points $p_{i} \in B_{i}$, and by arcs from $p_{i}$ to $p_{0}$ we define imbeddings $\phi_{i}^{j}: \pi_{1}\left(B_{i}, p_{i}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(M^{j}, p_{0}\right)$. Let $F_{k}$ be the free group with $k$ generators $\gamma_{1}, \cdots, \gamma_{k}$. Then $\pi_{1}(W)$ is isomorphic to the quotient of the free product $\pi_{1}\left(M^{1}, p_{0}\right)^{*} \pi_{1}\left(M^{2}, p_{0}\right)^{*} F_{k}$ divided by the normal subgroup generated by the elements $\phi_{0}^{1}\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \phi_{0}^{2}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)^{-1}, \phi_{i}^{1}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \gamma_{i} \phi_{i}^{2}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)^{-1} \gamma_{i}^{-1}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, where $\alpha_{i} \in \pi_{1}\left(B_{i}, p_{i}\right)$. This computation is purely algebraic, because by the construction of our proposition $\phi_{i}^{j}\left(\pi_{1}\left(B_{i}, p_{i}\right)\right)$ is a maximal system of pairwise nonconjugate maximal almost nilpotent subgroups of $\operatorname{rank} n-1: \pi_{1}(W)$ is an amalgamated product with itself on the maximal almost nilpotent subgroups of rank $n-1$.

Proof of $(\mathrm{b})$. To prove that $W$ is a $K(\pi, 1)$-manifold, we note:
(i) $B_{i} \subset M$ is, as a quotient of a horosphere, a $K(\pi, 1)$-manifold.
(ii) By construction, the inclusion $B_{i} \subset M$ induces an injection $\pi_{1}\left(B_{i}\right) \rightarrow$ $\pi_{1}(M)$.
(iii) It is easy to see that the inclusions $M^{1}, M^{2} \subset W$ induce injections $\pi_{1}\left(M^{j}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(W)$.

Now $W$ is a $K(\pi, 1)$-manifold by the following lemma, which is an easy consequence of Whitehead's theorem [1, p. 49].

Lemma 6. Let $W$ be a $C W$-complex which is the union of two connected subcomplexes $M^{1}$ and $M^{2}$ whose intersection consists of $k+1$ components $B_{0}, \cdots, B_{k}$. Let $M^{1}, M^{2}, B_{0}, \cdots, B_{k}$ be $K(\pi, 1)$-spaces and the maps $\pi_{1}\left(B_{i}\right) \rightarrow$ $\pi_{1}(W), \pi_{1}\left(M^{j}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(W)$, induced by the inclusions, be injective. Then $W$ is a $K(\pi, 1)$-manifold.
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