

## Infinitesimal Deformations and Brauer Group of Some Generalized Calabi–Eckmann Manifolds

Indranil BISWAS, Mahan MJ\* and Ajay Singh THAKUR

*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research and RKM Vivekananda University\**

(Communicated by N. Suwa)

**Abstract.** Let  $X$  be a compact connected Riemann surface. Let  $\xi_1 : E_1 \rightarrow X$  and  $\xi_2 : E_2 \rightarrow X$  be holomorphic vector bundles of rank at least two. Given these together with a  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  with positive imaginary part, we construct a holomorphic fiber bundle  $S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2}$  over  $X$  whose fibers are the Calabi–Eckmann manifolds. We compute the Picard group of the total space of  $S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2}$ . We also compute the infinitesimal deformations of the total space of  $S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2}$ . The cohomological Brauer group of  $S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2}$  is shown to be zero. In particular, the Brauer group of  $S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2}$  vanishes.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be compact connected Riemann surface. Let  $\xi_1 : E_1 \rightarrow X$  and  $\xi_2 : E_2 \rightarrow X$  be holomorphic vector bundles of rank  $m$  and  $n$  respectively, with  $m, n \geq 2$ . Let  $E_1^0$  (respectively,  $E_2^0$ ) be the complement of the image of the zero section in  $E_1$  (respectively,  $E_2$ ). Fix a complex number  $\lambda$  with positive imaginary part.

The group  $\mathbf{C}$  acts on the fiber product  $E_1^0 \times_X E_2^0$  as follows:

$$t \cdot (z, w) = (\exp(t) \cdot z, \exp(t(\lambda - 1)/\lambda) \cdot w), \quad t \in \mathbf{C}, (z, w) \in E_1^0 \times_X E_2^0.$$

The quotient for this action is a compact complex manifold; we denote this complex manifold by  $S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2}$ . Each fiber of the natural projection  $p : S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2} \rightarrow X$  is a Calabi–Eckmann manifold.

Define the elliptic curve  $T := \mathbf{C}/(\mathbf{Z} \oplus \lambda \cdot \mathbf{Z})$ .

We prove the following (see Theorem 3.6 and Corollary 5.2):

**THEOREM 1.1.** *The Picard group of  $S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2}$  fits in a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2}) \rightarrow H^1(T, \mathcal{O}_T) \rightarrow 0.$$

---

Received November 27, 2012; revised May 10, 2013

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 14F22, 32Q55, 32G05

*Key words and phrases:* Calabi–Eckmann manifold, infinitesimal deformation, Brauer group, Borel spectral sequence

The injective homomorphism  $\text{Pic}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2})$  sends any holomorphic line bundle  $L$  to its pullback  $p^*L$ .

**THEOREM 1.2.** *The cohomological Brauer group  $\text{Br}'(S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2})$  vanishes. In particular, the Brauer group  $\text{Br}(S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2})$  vanishes.*

Assume that all endomorphisms of the holomorphic vector bundles  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are scalar multiplications. Also, assume that the genus of  $X$  is at least two. We prove the following (see Corollary 4.4):

**THEOREM 1.3.** *The dimension of the space of all infinitesimal deformations of the complex manifold  $S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2}$  is  $(m^2 + n^2 + 2)(g - 1) + 2$ , where  $g$  is the genus of  $X$ .*

In fact we compute the infinitesimal deformations of  $S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2}$  explicitly.

The infinitesimal deformations of Calabi–Eckmann manifolds were computed by Akao in [1].

## 2. Generalized Calabi–Eckmann manifolds

We briefly recall the construction of the Calabi–Eckmann manifolds (see [2]). Take integers  $m, n \geq 2$ , and take  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  with  $\text{Im}\lambda > 0$ . Consider  $(\mathbf{C}^m \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathbf{C}^n \setminus \{0\})$ . The additive group  $\mathbf{C}$  acts on this product as follows:

$$t \cdot (z, w) = (\exp(t)z, \exp(t(\lambda - 1)/\lambda)w), \quad t \in \mathbf{C}, (z, w) \in (\mathbf{C}^m \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathbf{C}^n \setminus \{0\}).$$

The quotient

$$(2.1) \quad M_\lambda^{m,n} := ((\mathbf{C}^m \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathbf{C}^n \setminus \{0\})) / \mathbf{C}$$

is a Calabi–Eckmann manifold. Let  $S^{2m-1}$  and  $S^{2n-1}$  be the unit spheres in  $\mathbf{C}^m$  and  $\mathbf{C}^n$  respectively. The composition of maps

$$S^{2m-1} \times S^{2n-1} \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{C}^m \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathbf{C}^n \setminus \{0\}) \longrightarrow M_\lambda^{m,n}$$

is a diffeomorphism. Let

$$(2.2) \quad T_\lambda := \mathbf{C} / (\mathbf{Z} \oplus \lambda \cdot \mathbf{Z})$$

be the complex elliptic curve. The natural projection

$$(\mathbf{C}^m \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathbf{C}^n \setminus \{0\}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{CP}^{m-1} \times \mathbf{CP}^{n-1}$$

descends to a projection to  $\mathbf{CP}^{m-1} \times \mathbf{CP}^{n-1}$  of the above quotient space  $M_\lambda^{m,n}$ . This projection  $M_\lambda^{m,n} \longrightarrow \mathbf{CP}^{m-1} \times \mathbf{CP}^{n-1}$  makes  $M_\lambda^{m,n}$  a holomorphic principal  $T_\lambda$ -bundle over  $\mathbf{CP}^{m-1} \times \mathbf{CP}^{n-1}$ . We will extend this construction to a family parametrized by a Riemann surface.

Let  $X$  be a compact connected Riemann surface of genus  $g$ . Let

$$\xi_1 : E_1 \longrightarrow X \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_2 : E_2 \longrightarrow X$$

be two holomorphic vector bundles over  $X$  of rank  $m$  and  $n$  respectively; as before,  $m, n \geq 2$ . Let  $E_i^0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , be the complement of the image of the zero section in the total space of  $E_i$ . Take  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  as above. The additive group  $\mathbf{C}$  acts on the fiber product  $E_1^0 \times_X E_2^0$  as follow:

$$t \cdot (z, w) = (\exp(t) \cdot z, \exp(t(\lambda - 1)/\lambda) \cdot w), \quad t \in \mathbf{C}, (z, w) \in E_1^0 \times_X E_2^0.$$

It is easy to check that this  $\mathbf{C}$ -action is free and proper. Hence the corresponding quotient

$$(2.3) \quad S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2} := (E_1^0 \times_X E_2^0) / \mathbf{C}$$

is a compact complex manifold (see, for example, [5, Proposition 2.1.13]). The projection  $(\xi_1, \xi_2)|_{E_1^0 \times_X E_2^0} : E_1^0 \times_X E_2^0 \longrightarrow X$  descends to a holomorphic projection

$$(2.4) \quad p : S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2} \longrightarrow X.$$

This projection makes  $S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2}$  a holomorphic fiber bundle over  $X$  with fiber  $M_\lambda^{m, n}$  (constructed in (2.1)). The complex manifold  $M_\lambda^{m, n}$  is not Kähler because  $H^2(M_\lambda^{m, n}, \mathbf{R}) = 0$ . Hence  $S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2}$  is also not Kähler (any complex submanifold of a Kähler manifold is Kähler).

For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $P(E_i)$  be the holomorphic projective bundles over  $X$  parametrizing all the lines in  $E_i$ . The natural projection of  $E_1^0 \times_X E_2^0$  to  $P(E_1) \times_X P(E_2)$  descends to a projection

$$(2.5) \quad \varphi : S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2} \longrightarrow P(E_1) \times_X P(E_2).$$

We note that  $P(E_1) \times_X P(E_2)$  is a complex projective manifold. The projection  $p$  in (2.4) is the composition of  $\varphi$  with the natural projection

$$(2.6) \quad q : P(E_1) \times_X P(E_2) \longrightarrow X.$$

The projection  $\varphi$  makes  $S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2}$  a holomorphic principal  $T_\lambda$  bundle over  $P(E_1) \times_X P(E_2)$ , where  $T_\lambda$  is defined in (2.2). To see this, consider the action of the multiplicative group  $\mathbf{C}^* = \mathbf{C}/(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\cdot\mathbf{Z})$  on  $E_1^0 \times_X E_2^0$  defined by  $t \cdot (z, w) = (t \cdot z, t \cdot w)$ . This action commutes with the above action of  $\mathbf{C}$  on  $E_1^0 \times_X E_2^0$ . Therefore, we get an action of  $\mathbf{C}^*$  on the quotient  $S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2}$ . This action of  $\mathbf{C}^*$  on  $S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2}$  factors through the quotient group  $T_\lambda = \mathbf{C}^*/(\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1} \cdot \lambda))$ . Using this action of  $T_\lambda$ , the projection  $\varphi$  is a holomorphic principal  $T_\lambda$ -bundle over  $P(E_1) \times_X P(E_2)$ .

Fix Hermitian structures  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  on the vector bundles  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  respectively. Let

$$S(\xi_1) := \{v \in E_1 | h_1(v) = 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad S(\xi_2) := \{v \in E_2 | h_2(v) = 1\}$$

be the corresponding unit sphere bundles over  $X$ . Let

$$S(\xi_i) \longrightarrow P(E_i) = E_i^0 / \mathbf{C}^*$$

be the restriction of the quotient map  $E_i^0 \rightarrow P(E_i)$ . It makes  $S(\xi_i)$  a principal  $S^1$ -bundle over  $P(E_i)$  (in particular,  $S(\xi_i)$  is a circle bundle over  $P(E_i)$ ). The composition of maps

$$S(\xi_1) \times_X S(\xi_2) \hookrightarrow E_1^0 \times_X E_2^0 \rightarrow S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2}$$

is a diffeomorphism of fiber bundles over  $X$ . The complex structure on  $S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2}$  produces a complex structure on  $S(\xi_1) \times_X S(\xi_2)$  using this diffeomorphism.

### 3. The Picard group

For notational conveniences,  $T_\lambda$ ,  $M_\lambda^{m,n}$  and  $S_\lambda^{\xi_1, \xi_2}$  will be denoted by  $T$ ,  $M$  and  $S$  respectively. The fiber product  $P(E_1) \times_X P(E_2)$  will be denoted by  $Y$ .

Fix a point of  $S$ . Let  $i : T \hookrightarrow S$  be the orbit of this point (recall that  $S$  is a principal  $T$ -bundle over  $Y$ ).

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $T \xrightarrow{i} S \xrightarrow{\varphi} Y$  be the principal bundle in (2.5). Then we have the following short exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^1(T, \mathcal{O}_T) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\varphi^*$  and  $i^*$  are induced homomorphisms of cohomologies.

**PROOF.** Consider the Borel spectral sequence (see Appendix 2 (page 202) of [4]) associated with the above principal bundle

$$T \xrightarrow{i} S \xrightarrow{\varphi} Y$$

for the trivial holomorphic line bundle over  $Y$ . We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^{0,1}E_2^{1,0} & \xrightarrow{d_2} & {}^{0,2}E_2^{3,-1} = 0 \\ {}^{0,1}E_2^{0,1} & \xrightarrow{d_2} & {}^{0,2}E_2^{2,0} = H^{0,2}(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y). \end{array}$$

From the Leray–Hirsch theorem for the fiber bundle in (2.6) it follows that the cohomology algebra  $H^*(Y, \mathbf{C})$  is generated by  $H^2(X, \mathbf{C})$  together with  $c_1(\mathcal{O}_{P(E_1)})$  and  $c_1(\mathcal{O}_{P(E_2)})$  (see [3, p. 432, Theorem 4D.1] for the Leray–Hirsch theorem). Therefore,  $H^2(Y, \mathbf{C}) = H^{1,1}(Y)$ . In other words,  $H^{0,2}(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$ .

As no element of  ${}^{0,1}E_r^{1,0}$  and  ${}^{0,1}E_r^{0,1}$  is  $d_r$ -boundary for  $r \geq 2$ , we have  ${}^{0,1}E_2^{1,0} = {}^{0,1}E_\infty^{1,0}$  and  ${}^{0,1}E_2^{0,1} = {}^{0,1}E_\infty^{0,1}$ . We have a filtration

$$H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = D^1 \supset D^0 \supset 0,$$

where  $D^0 = {}^{0,1}E_\infty^{1,0}$  and  $D^1/D^0 = {}^{0,1}E_\infty^{0,1}$ . The corresponding graded object is

$$\text{Gr}H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = {}^{0,1}E_\infty^{1,0} \oplus {}^{0,1}E_\infty^{0,1}.$$

Hence, the natural homomorphism

$$\varphi^* : H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = {}^{0,1}E_2^{1,0} \longrightarrow {}^{0,1}E_\infty^{1,0} = D^0 \subseteq H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$$

is injective, and the natural homomorphism

$$i^* : H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = D^1 \longrightarrow D^1/D^0 = {}^{0,1}E_\infty^{0,1} = {}^{0,1}E_2^{0,1} = H^1(T, \mathcal{O})$$

is surjective. So we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^1(T, \mathcal{O}_T) \longrightarrow 0.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

LEMMA 3.2. *For the projection  $q$  in (2.6), the homomorphism*

$$q^* : H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$$

*is an isomorphism. In particular,  $\dim H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = g$ .*

PROOF. Since the fibers of  $q$  are connected and simply connected, the long exact sequence of homotopy groups for  $q$  gives that the homomorphism  $\pi_1(Y) \longrightarrow \pi_1(X)$  induced by  $q$  is an isomorphism. Hence  $q^* : H^1(X, \mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow H^1(Y, \mathbf{Q})$  is an isomorphism. Since both  $X$  and  $Y$  are Kähler, this implies the lemma.  $\square$

Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 together have the following corollary:

COROLLARY 3.3. *The dimension of  $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$  is  $g + 1$ .*

LEMMA 3.4. *For the projection  $p$  in (2.4), the homomorphism*

$$p_* : \pi_1(S) \longrightarrow \pi_1(X)$$

*is an isomorphism. In particular, the pullback homomorphism*

$$p^* : H^1(X, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H^1(S, \mathbf{Z})$$

*is an isomorphism.*

PROOF. The fiber  $M_\lambda^{m,n}$  of  $p$  is connected and simply connected (it is a product of two spheres of dimensions at least three). Hence from the homotopy exact sequence it follows that the above homomorphism  $p_*$  is an isomorphism. Therefore, the homomorphism

$$H_1(S, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H_1(X, \mathbf{Z})$$

given by  $p$  is an isomorphism. Now from the universal coefficient theorem for cohomologies it follows that the homomorphism  $p^*$  in the lemma is an isomorphism.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.5. *The pullback homomorphism*

$$p^* : H^2(X, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})$$

*is an isomorphism.*

PROOF. Let

$$M \xrightarrow{\iota} S \xrightarrow{p} X$$

be the fiber bundle in (2.4). Consider the Serre spectral sequence associated to this fiber bundle for the constant sheaf  $\mathbf{Z}$ . We will show that the local system  $R^i p_* \mathbf{Z}$  is constant for all  $i$ . Recall that the fibers of  $p$  are  $M = S^{2m-1} \times S^{2n-1}$ . For the action of  $U(m)$  on  $S^{2m-1} = \{v \in \mathbf{C}^m \mid \|v\|^2 = 1\}$ , the action of  $U(m)$  on  $H^*(S^{2m-1}, \mathbf{Z})$  is trivial. Similarly,  $U(n)$  acts trivially on  $H^*(S^{2n-1}, \mathbf{Z})$ . Therefore, the local system  $R^i p_* \mathbf{Z}$  is constant for all  $i$ .

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{0,2} &= H^0(X, \mathbf{Z}) \otimes H^2(M, \mathbf{Z}) = 0, \\ E_2^{1,1} &= H^1(X, \mathbf{Z}) \otimes H^1(M, \mathbf{Z}) = 0, \\ E_2^{2,0} &= H^2(X, \mathbf{Z}) \otimes H^0(M, \mathbf{Z}) = H^2(X, \mathbf{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$d_2 : E_2^{0,1} = 0 \longrightarrow E_2^{2,0}.$$

is a zero map. This implies that

$$E_\infty^{0,2} = 0, E_\infty^{1,1} = 0 \text{ and } E_\infty^{2,0} = H^2(X, \mathbf{Z}).$$

Hence the pullback homomorphism

$$p^* : H^2(X, \mathbf{Z}) = E_\infty^{2,0} \longrightarrow H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})$$

is an isomorphism. □

THEOREM 3.6. *The Picard group of  $S$  fits in a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(S) \longrightarrow H^1(T, \mathcal{O}_T) \longrightarrow 0.$$

*The injective homomorphism  $\text{Pic}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(S)$  sends any holomorphic line bundle  $L$  to  $p^* L$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\mathcal{O}_S^*$  be the multiplicative sheaf on  $S$  of nowhere zero holomorphic functions. Consider the following short exact sequence of sheaves on  $S$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S^* \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the surjective homomorphism is  $f \mapsto \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\cdot f)$ . From the long exact sequence of cohomologies associated to it we conclude that  $\text{Pic}(S)$  fits in the exact sequence

$$H^1(S, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(S) \longrightarrow H^2(S, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S).$$

We have the exact sequence

$$H^1(X, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow 0$$

which is constructed from the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^* \longrightarrow 0$$

on  $X$ .

Consider the pullback homomorphism  $p^* : \text{Pic}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(S)$  defined by  $L \mapsto p^*L$ . Since  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \subset H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$  (see Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.2),  $H^1(S, \mathbf{Z}) = H^1(X, \mathbf{Z})$  (see Lemma 3.4) and  $H^2(S, \mathbf{Z}) = H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})$  (see Proposition 3.5) with the homomorphisms given by pullback, we conclude from the above two exact sequences that the homomorphism  $p^*$  makes  $\text{Pic}(X)$  a subgroup of  $\text{Pic}(S)$ . Since  $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S)/H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^1(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$  by Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we conclude that  $\text{Pic}(S)/p^*(\text{Pic}(X)) = H^1(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$ . (The argument is same as the proof of five lemma.)  $\square$

#### 4. Infinitesimal deformations of the complex structure

In this section, we make the following assumptions:

- (1) The two holomorphic vector bundles  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are simple, meaning

$$H^0(X, \text{End}(E_1)) = \mathbf{C} = H^0(X, \text{End}(E_2)).$$

- (2)  $\text{genus}(X) = g \geq 2$ .

We note that any stable holomorphic vector bundle is simple.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let  $\theta_Y$  be the holomorphic tangent bundles of  $Y = P(E_1) \times_X P(E_2)$ . Then  $H^0(Y, \theta_Y) = 0$ .*

PROOF. For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $\text{ad}(E_i) \subset \text{End}(E_i)$  be the holomorphic subbundle of co-rank one defined by the sheaf of endomorphisms of  $E_i$  of trace zero. So,  $\text{End}(E_i) = \text{ad}(E_i) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X$ . We note that

$$(4.1) \quad H^0(X, \text{ad}(E_i)) = 0$$

because  $E_i$  is simple.

Consider the projection  $q$  in (2.6). Let  $\theta_{Y/X} \subset \theta_Y$  be the relative holomorphic tangent bundle for  $q$ . We note that

$$(4.2) \quad q_*\theta_{Y/X} = \text{ad}(E_1) \oplus \text{ad}(E_2).$$

The short exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles

$$(4.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \theta_{Y/X} \longrightarrow \theta_Y \longrightarrow q^*\theta_X \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\theta_X$  is the holomorphic tangent bundle of  $X$ , produces a short exact sequence

$$(4.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow q_*\theta_{Y/X} \longrightarrow q_*\theta_Y \longrightarrow \theta_X \longrightarrow 0$$

on  $X$  because  $R^1q_*\theta_{Y/X} = 0$ .

From (4.1) and (4.2) it follows that  $H^0(X, q_*\theta_{Y/X}) = 0$ . We also have  $H^0(X, \theta_X) = 0$  because  $g \geq 2$ . Therefore, from the long exact sequence of cohomologies associated to (4.4) it follows that  $H^0(X, q_*\theta_Y) = 0$ . This implies that  $H^0(Y, \theta_Y) = 0$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 4.2. *The cohomology  $H^1(Y, \theta_Y)$  fits in a natural short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(X, \text{ad}(E_1)) \oplus H^1(X, \text{ad}(E_2)) \longrightarrow H^1(Y, \theta_Y) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \theta_X) \longrightarrow 0.$$

PROOF. Consider the short exact sequence in (4.3). We note that  $R^iq_*\theta_{Y/X} = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . From the projection formula, and the fact that  $H^i(\mathbf{CP}^N, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{CP}^N}) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$  and all  $N$ , we conclude that

$$R^iq_*q^*\theta_X = \theta_X \otimes R^iq_*\mathcal{O}_Y = 0$$

for all  $i \geq 1$ . Therefore,

$$H^j(Y, \theta_{Y/X}) = H^j(X, q_*\theta_{Y/X}) = H^j(X, \text{ad}(E_1) \oplus \text{ad}(E_2))$$

(see (4.2) for the second equality) and

$$H^j(Y, q^*\theta_X) = H^j(X, q_*q^*\theta_X) = H^j(X, \theta_X)$$

for all  $j \geq 0$ . In particular,  $H^0(Y, q^*\theta_X) = H^0(X, \theta_X) = 0$  (because  $g \geq 2$ ), and  $H^2(Y, \theta_{Y/X}) = H^2(X, q_*\theta_{Y/X}) = 0$ . Therefore, the long exact sequence of cohomologies for (4.3) gives the short exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow H^1(Y, \theta_{Y/X}) &= H^1(X, \text{ad}(E_1) \oplus \text{ad}(E_2)) \longrightarrow H^1(Y, \theta_Y) \\ &\longrightarrow H^1(Y, q^*\theta_X) = H^1(X, \theta_X) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

From this the lemma follows because  $H^1(X, \text{ad}(E_1) \oplus \text{ad}(E_2)) = H^1(X, \text{ad}(E_1)) \oplus H^1(X, \text{ad}(E_2))$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let  $\theta_S$  be the holomorphic tangent bundles of  $S$ . Then  $H^0(S, \theta_S) = \mathbf{C}$ .*

*The cohomology  $H^1(S, \theta_S)$  fits in a natural short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \longrightarrow H^1(S, \theta_S) \longrightarrow H^1(Y, \theta_Y) \longrightarrow 0.$$

PROOF. Consider the Borel spectral sequence associated to  $\varphi$  for the tangent bundle  $TY$ . We have

$${}^{0,0}E_{\infty}^{0,0} = {}^{0,0}E_2^{0,0} = H^0(Y, \theta_Y).$$

Now, Lemma 4.1 says that  $H^0(Y, \theta_Y) = 0$ . Hence

$$(4.5) \quad H^0(S, \varphi^*\theta_Y) = {}^{0,0}E_{\infty}^{0,0} = 0.$$

Let  $\theta_{S/Y} \subset \theta_S$  be the relative tangent bundle for the projection  $\varphi$ . We note that  $\theta_{S/Y} = \mathcal{O}_S$  using the action of  $T$  on  $S$ . Consider the long exact sequence of cohomologies associated to the short exact sequence of vector bundles

$$(4.6) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \theta_{S/Y} = \mathcal{O}_S \longrightarrow \theta_S \longrightarrow \varphi^*\theta_Y \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $H^0(S, \varphi^*\theta_Y) = 0$ , we conclude that the homomorphism

$$H^0(S, \theta_{S/Y}) = H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \longrightarrow H^0(S, \theta_S)$$

in the long exact sequence is an isomorphism. Therefore, the first statement of the proposition is proved.

To prove the second part of the proposition, first note that

$${}^{0,1}E_2^{0,1} = H^{0,0}(Y, \theta_Y) \otimes H^{0,1}(T, \mathcal{O}_T) = 0$$

because  $H^0(Y, \theta_Y) = 0$ . Hence  ${}^{0,1}E_{\infty}^{0,1} = 0$ . Further, since

$${}^{0,1}E_2^{1,0} = H^{0,1}(Y, \theta_Y) \xrightarrow{d_2} {}^{0,2}E_2^{3,-1} = 0,$$

we conclude that  ${}^{0,1}E_{\infty}^{1,0} = H^{0,1}(Y, \theta_Y)$ .

Now, let

$$H^1(S, \varphi^*\theta_Y) = D^1 \supset D^0 \supset 0$$

be the natural filtration for which the corresponding graded object is

$$\text{Gr}H^1(S, \varphi^*\theta_Y) = {}^{0,1}E_{\infty}^{1,0} \oplus {}^{0,1}E_{\infty}^{0,1},$$

more precisely,  $D^0 = {}^{0,1}E_{\infty}^{1,0}$  and  $D^1/D^0 = {}^{0,1}E_{\infty}^{0,1}$ . Since  ${}^{0,1}E_{\infty}^{0,1} = 0$ , we have  $D^1 = D^0$ . This implies that the natural homomorphism

$$(4.7) \quad \varphi^* : H^1(Y, \theta_Y) = {}^{0,1}E_2^{1,0} \longrightarrow {}^{0,1}E_{\infty}^{1,0} = D^0 = D^1 = H^1(S, \varphi^*\theta_Y)$$

is an isomorphism.

Consider the long exact sequence of cohomologies

$$(4.8) \quad H^0(S, \varphi^*\theta_Y) \longrightarrow H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \longrightarrow H^1(S, \theta_S) \xrightarrow{\phi} H^1(S, \varphi^*\theta_Y)$$

associated to the short exact sequence in (4.6). Since  $H^0(S, \varphi^*\theta_Y) = 0$  (see (4.5)) and  $H^1(S, \varphi^*\theta_Y) = H^1(Y, \theta_Y)$  (see (4.7)), to prove the second part of the proposition it suffices to show that the homomorphism  $\phi$  in (4.8) is surjective.

From Lemma 4.2 we know that all the infinitesimal deformations of  $Y$  are given by the infinitesimal deformations of the two vector bundles  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  and the infinitesimal deformations of the Riemann surface  $X$ . The subspaces

$$H^1(X, \text{ad}(E_1)) \subset H^1(Y, \theta_Y) \quad \text{and} \quad H^1(X, \text{ad}(E_2)) \subset H^1(Y, \theta_Y)$$

in Lemma 4.2 correspond to the infinitesimal deformations of the projective bundle  $P(E_1)$  and  $P(E_2)$  respectively (keeping the Riemann surface  $X$  fixed). The infinitesimal deformations of  $E_1$  (respectively,  $E_2$ ) is given by  $H^1(X, \text{End}(E_1))$  (respectively,  $H^1(X, \text{End}(E_2))$ ). The natural map from the infinitesimal deformations of  $E_i$  to the infinitesimal deformations of  $P(E_i)$  corresponds to the projection  $H^1(X, \text{End}(E_i)) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \text{ad}(E_i))$  given by the decomposition  $\text{End}(E_i) = \text{ad}(E_i) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X$ . The projection  $H^1(Y, \theta_Y) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \theta_X)$  corresponds to the infinitesimal deformations of  $X$ . All these infinitesimal deformations give rise to infinitesimal deformations of  $S$ . Hence the homomorphism  $\phi$  in (4.8) is surjective.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.4.** *The dimension of  $H^1(S, \theta_S)$  is  $(m^2 + n^2 + 2)(g - 1) + 2$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $H^0(X, \text{ad}(E_1)) = 0 = H^0(X, \text{ad}(E_2))$  (recall that  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are both simple), from the Riemann–Roch theorem we have

$$\dim H^1(X, \text{ad}(E_1)) = (m^2 - 1)(g - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \dim H^1(X, \text{ad}(E_2)) = (n^2 - 1)(g - 1).$$

Therefore, Proposition 4.3 and Lemma 4.2,

$$\dim H^1(S, \theta_S) = (m^2 + n^2 + 1)(g - 1) + \dim H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S).$$

Now the corollary follows from Corollary 3.3.  $\square$

## 5. Computation of the Brauer group

Let  $M$  be a compact connected complex manifold. Let  $\mathcal{O}_M^*$  be the multiplicative sheaf on  $M$  of nowhere zero holomorphic functions. The *cohomological Brauer group*  $\text{Br}'(M)$  is the group of torsion elements in  $H^2(M, \mathcal{O}_M^*)$ .

To define the Brauer group of  $M$ , consider all holomorphic principal  $\text{PGL}(r, \mathbf{C})$ -bundles on  $M$  for all  $r \geq 1$ . Let

$$\text{GL}(r, \mathbf{C}) \times \text{GL}(r', \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow \text{GL}(rr', \mathbf{C})$$

be the homomorphism given by the natural action of any  $A \times B \in \text{GL}(r, \mathbf{C}) \times \text{GL}(r', \mathbf{C})$  on  $\mathbf{C}^r \otimes \mathbf{C}^{r'}$ . This homomorphism descends to a homomorphism

$$\gamma : \text{PGL}(r, \mathbf{C}) \times \text{PGL}(r', \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow \text{PGL}(rr', \mathbf{C}).$$

Given a holomorphic principal  $\mathrm{PGL}(r, \mathbf{C})$ –bundle  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $M$  and a holomorphic principal  $\mathrm{PGL}(r', \mathbf{C})$ –bundle  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $M$ , the homomorphism  $\gamma$  produces a holomorphic principal  $\mathrm{PGL}(rr', \mathbf{C})$ –bundle on  $M$  by extension of structure group. This holomorphic principal  $\mathrm{PGL}(rr', \mathbf{C})$ –bundle will be denoted by  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ . The two principal bundles  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  will be called *equivalent* if there are holomorphic vector bundles  $V$  and  $W$  on  $M$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \otimes P(V)$  is holomorphically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{B} \otimes P(W)$ .

The equivalence classes of projective bundles form a group. The addition operation is given by the tensor product, and the inverse is given by the automorphism  $A \mapsto (A^t)^{-1}$  of  $\mathrm{PGL}(r, \mathbf{C})$  (it corresponds to taking the dual projective bundles). (See [6, Section 1] for the details.) This group is called the *Brauer group* of  $M$ , and it is denoted by  $\mathrm{Br}(M)$ .

The Brauer group  $\mathrm{Br}(M)$  is a subgroup of the cohomological Brauer group  $\mathrm{Br}'(M)$  [6, p. 878].

Let  $T$  denote the torsion part of  $H^3(M, \mathbf{Z})$ . Let

$$\gamma : H^1(M, \mathcal{O}_M^*) \longrightarrow H^2(M, \mathbf{Z})$$

be the homomorphism that sends any holomorphic line bundle on  $M$  to its first Chern class. Let

$$A := H^2(M, \mathbf{Z}) / \gamma(H^1(M, \mathcal{O}_M^*))$$

be the quotient. The cohomological Brauer group  $\mathrm{Br}'(M)$  fits in a short exact sequence

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow A \otimes (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}'(M) \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow 0$$

(see [6, p. 878, Proposition 1.1]).

**PROPOSITION 5.1.** *Let  $M \xrightarrow{\iota} S \xrightarrow{p} X$  be the holomorphic fiber bundle in (2.4). Then the cohomology group  $H^3(S, \mathbf{Z})$  is torsionfree.*

**PROOF.** The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 3.5. Consider the Serre spectral sequence associated to the fiber bundle

$$M \xrightarrow{\iota} S \xrightarrow{p} X$$

for the constant sheaf  $\mathbf{Z}$ . We have seen in the proof of Proposition 3.5 that the local system  $R^i p_* \mathbf{Z}$  is constant for all  $i$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{0,3} &= H^0(X, \mathbf{Z}) \otimes H^3(M, \mathbf{Z}) = H^3(M, \mathbf{Z}), \\ E_2^{2,1} &= H^2(X, \mathbf{Z}) \otimes H^1(M, \mathbf{Z}) = 0, \\ E_2^{1,2} &= H^1(X, \mathbf{Z}) \otimes H^2(M, \mathbf{Z}) = 0, \\ E_2^{3,0} &= H^3(X, \mathbf{Z}) \otimes H^0(M, \mathbf{Z}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

With a similar argument as above, we can conclude that

$$H^3(S, \mathbf{Z}) = E_{\infty}^{0,3} = E_2^{0,3} = H^3(M, \mathbf{Z}).$$

Since  $M = S^{2m-1} \times S^{2n-1}$  with  $m, n \geq 2$ , it thus follows that  $H^3(S, \mathbf{Z})$  is torsionfree.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 5.2.** *The cohomological Brauer group  $\text{Br}'(S)$  vanishes. The Brauer group  $\text{Br}(S)$  vanishes.*

**PROOF.** Every element of  $H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})$  is the first Chern class of a holomorphic line bundle on  $X$ . Therefore, from Proposition 3.5 it follows that each element of  $H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})$  is the first Chern class of a holomorphic line bundle on  $S$ . Now the first statement follows from (5.1) and Proposition 5.1. The second statement follows from the first statement because  $\text{Br}(S) \subset \text{Br}'(S)$ .  $\square$

### References

- [ 1 ] K. AKAO, On deformations of the Calabi–Eckmann manifolds, Proc. Japan Acad. **51** (1975), 365–368.
- [ 2 ] E. CALABI and B. ECKMANN, A class of compact, complex manifolds which are not algebraic, Ann. of Math. **58** (1953), 494–500.
- [ 3 ] A. HATCHER, *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [ 4 ] F. HIRZEBRUCH, *Topological methods in algebraic geometry*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [ 5 ] D. HUYBRECHTS, *Complex geometry. an introduction*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
- [ 6 ] S. SCHRÖER, Topological methods for complex-analytic Brauer groups, Topology **44** (2005), 875–894.

*Present Addresses:*

INDRANIL BISWAS  
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS,  
TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH,  
HOMI BHABHA ROAD, BOMBAY 400005, INDIA.  
*e-mail:* indranil@math.tifr.res.in

MAHAN MJ  
RKM VIVEKANANDA UNIVERSITY,  
BELUR MATH, WB 711202, INDIA.  
*e-mail:* mahan.mj@gmail.com; mahan@rkmvu.ac.in

AJAY SINGH THAKUR  
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS,  
TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH,  
HOMI BHABHA ROAD, BOMBAY 400005, INDIA.  
*e-mail:* athakur@math.tifr.res.in