

On the Multiplicity of Multigraded Modules Over Artinian Local Rings

Duong Quoc VIET and Nguyen Tien MANH

Hanoi National University of Education

(Communicated by K. Shinoda)

Abstract. Let S be a finitely generated standard multigraded algebra over an Artinian local ring A ; M a finitely generated multigraded S -module. This paper first investigates the relationship between the multiplicity and mixed multiplicities of M . Next, we give some applications to multigraded fiber cones.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let (A, \mathfrak{m}) denote an Artinian local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} ; $S = \bigoplus_{n_1, \dots, n_d \geq 0} S_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}$ a finitely generated standard d -graded algebra over A (i.e., S is generated over A by elements of total degree 1), where $d \geq 2$ is a positive integer. Let $M = \bigoplus_{n_1, \dots, n_d \geq 0} M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}$ be a finitely generated d -graded S -module. Set $\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{b}^\infty = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} (\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{b}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} S^\Delta &= \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} S_{(n, \dots, n)}, S_i = S_{(0, \dots, \underbrace{1}_i, \dots, 0)}, \\ S_{(i+)} &= S_i S = \bigoplus_{n_1 \geq 0, \dots, n_i > 0, \dots, n_d \geq 0} S_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} \quad (i = 1, \dots, d), \\ S_{++} &= \bigcap_{i=1}^d S_{(i+)} = \bigoplus_{n_1, \dots, n_d > 0} S_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}, \\ S_+ &= S_{(1+)} + \dots + S_{(d+)} = \bigoplus_{n_1 + \dots + n_d > 0} S_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}, \\ S_+^\Delta &= \bigoplus_{n > 0} S_{(n, \dots, n)}, M^\Delta = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M_{(n, \dots, n)}, \ell = \dim M^\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $\text{Proj } S$ the set of the homogeneous prime ideals of S which do not contain S_{++} . Set $\text{Supp}_{++} M = \{P \in \text{Proj } S \mid M_P \neq 0\}$. By [HHRT, Theorem 4.1] and Remark 2.1(ii), $\dim \text{Supp}_{++} M = \ell - 1$ and $l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}]$ is a numerical polynomial of degree $\ell - 1$ for all large n_1, \dots, n_d (see Section 2, Remark 2.1). The terms of total degree $\ell - 1$ in this

Received March 17, 2009

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: (Primary), 13H15, 13A02, 13E05, 13E10, 14C17 (Secondary)

Key words and phrases: Artinian ring, Multiplicity, Multigraded module, Hilbert-Samuel polynomial

polynomial have the form

$$\sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_d = \ell - 1} e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) \frac{n_1^{k_1} \dots n_d^{k_d}}{k_1! \dots k_d!}.$$

Then $e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d)$ are non-negative integers not all zero, called the *mixed multiplicity of type* (k_1, \dots, k_d) of M [HHRT].

Set $\mathcal{M} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus S_+$. It is clear that \mathcal{M} is the homogeneous maximal ideal of S . If I is a homogeneous \mathcal{M} -primary ideal of S , denote by $e(IS_{\mathcal{M}}; M_{\mathcal{M}})$ the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity of $M_{\mathcal{M}}$ with respect to $IS_{\mathcal{M}}$. Set

$$e(I; M) = e(IS_{\mathcal{M}}; M_{\mathcal{M}}), \quad e(M) = e(\mathcal{M}S_{\mathcal{M}}; M_{\mathcal{M}}).$$

We call $e(M)$ the *multiplicity* of M [HHRT]. It can be verified that S_+ is a reduction of \mathcal{M} . This implies that $S_{\mathcal{M}+} = (S_+)S_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a reduction of $\mathcal{M}S_{\mathcal{M}}$. So

$$e(M) = e(\mathcal{M}S_{\mathcal{M}}; M_{\mathcal{M}}) = e(S_{\mathcal{M}+}; M_{\mathcal{M}}) = e(S_+; M).$$

Expressing the multiplicity of multigraded rings in terms of mixed multiplicities was mentioned by authors: Verma in [Ve1, Ve2] for Rees algebras and multigraded Rees algebras; Katz and Verma in [KV] for extended Rees algebras; P. Roberts in [Ro] for local Chern classes; D’Cruz in [CD] for multigraded extended Rees algebras; Herrmann et al. in [HHRT] for finitely generated standard multigraded algebras over an Artinian local ring.

The relationship between the multiplicity and mixed multiplicities of finitely generated standard multigraded algebras was showed by the authors in [HHRT] as follows.

THEOREM [HHRT, Theorem 4.3]. *Let S be a finitely generated standard d -graded algebra of dimension $d + q - 1$ over an Artinian local ring A . Suppose that*

$$\dim \left(\frac{S}{S_{(i_1+)} + \dots + S_{(i_r+)}} \right) \leq d + q - 1 - r$$

for all $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq d$. Then

$$e(S) = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_d = q-1} e(S; k_1, \dots, k_d).$$

It is clear that this result is general and important. It expresses the multiplicity of multigraded rings as a sum of mixed multiplicities. By applying the above theorem, the authors in [HHRT] expressed the multiplicity of associated multigraded rings and the multiplicity of multigraded Rees algebras in terms of mixed multiplicities (see [HHRT, Theorem 4.4, Corollary 4.7]). The aim of this paper is to give a perfect version of [HHRT, Theorem 4.3] and some applications to multigraded fiber cones.

Then our purpose is achieved by the following theorem that is the main result of this paper.

MAIN THEOREM (Theorem 2.4). *Let S be a finitely generated standard d -graded algebra over an Artinian local ring A and M a finitely generated d -graded S -module of dimension $d + q - 1$ such that $M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} = S_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}M_{(0, \dots, 0)}$ for all n_1, \dots, n_d . Set $\ell = \dim M^\Delta$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $\dim M/S_{(i_+)}M \leq d + q - 2$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$.
- (ii) $\ell = q > 0$ and $e(M) = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_d = q-1} e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d)$.

So we not only obtain a generalized result of [HHRT, Theorem 4.3] to multigraded modules but also give a necessary and sufficient condition for the simpler condition. As consequences, we get Theorem 2.5 for multigraded algebras; Corollary 2.6 for the dimension of multigraded modules; and some applications to multigraded fiber cones (Corollary 3.1, Corollary 3.3, Corollary 3.7, Corollary 3.8).

This paper is divided into three sections. In Section 2, we investigate the relationship between the multiplicity and mixed multiplicities of multigraded modules. The main result of this section is Theorem 2.4 that expresses the multiplicity of multigraded modules as a sum of its mixed multiplicities. Section 3 gives some applications of Sections 2 to multigraded fiber cones.

2. The Multiplicity of Multigraded Modules

Let S be a finitely generated standard d -graded algebra over an Artinian local ring A and M a finitely generated d -graded S -module such that

$$M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} = S_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}M_{(0, \dots, 0)}$$

for all n_1, \dots, n_d . In this section we will express the multiplicity of M as a sum of its mixed multiplicities.

REMARK 2.1.

- (i) Recall that a polynomial $F(t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \mathbf{Q}[t_1, \dots, t_d]$ is called a *numerical polynomial* if $F(n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbf{Z}$ for all $n_1, \dots, n_d \in \mathbf{Z}$.
- (ii) Remember that a polynomial $P(n_1, \dots, n_d)$ is called the *Hilbert-Samuel polynomial* of $l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}]$ if $P(n_1, \dots, n_d) = l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}]$ for all large n_1, \dots, n_d . Set $\ell = \dim M^\Delta$. Assume that $\ell > 0$. By [HHRT, Theorem 4.1], $P(n_1, \dots, n_d)$ is a numerical polynomial and

$$\deg P(n_1, \dots, n_d) = \dim \text{Supp}_{++} M .$$

Moreover, all coefficients of monomials of highest degree in $P(n_1, \dots, n_d)$ are non-negative integers not all zero. So $\deg P(n_1, \dots, n_d) = \deg P(n, \dots, n)$. Since

$$P(n, \dots, n) = l_A[M_{(n, \dots, n)}] = l_A(M_n^\Delta)$$

for all large n , we have

$$\deg P(n, \dots, n) = \dim M^\Delta - 1 = \ell - 1.$$

Hence $\deg P(n_1, \dots, n_d) = \dim \text{Supp}_{++} M = \ell - 1$.

(iii) Note that a map

$$\begin{aligned} f : \mathbf{N}^d &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Q} \\ (n_1, \dots, n_d) &\longmapsto f(n_1, \dots, n_d) \end{aligned}$$

is called a polynomial function of degree r if there exists

$$g(X_1, \dots, X_d) \in \mathbf{Q}[X_1, \dots, X_d], \deg g = r$$

such that $f(n_1, \dots, n_d) = g(n_1, \dots, n_d)$ for all large n_1, \dots, n_d . The degree and leading coefficients of $g(X_1, \dots, X_d)$ are also called the degree and leading coefficients of the polynomial function f , respectively. Denote by $\deg f$ the degree of f . Hence we have $\deg f = \deg g = r$.

By the same argument as in [HHRT, Lemma 4.2], we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.2 [HHRT, Lemma 4.2]. *Let $F(n_1, \dots, n_d)$ be a numerical polynomial of degree p in n_1, \dots, n_d and u_1, \dots, u_d non-negative integers. Then the function*

$$G(n) = \sum_{n_1 + \dots + n_d = n, n_1 \geq u_1, \dots, n_d \geq u_d} F(n_1, \dots, n_d)$$

is a numerical polynomial of degree $\leq p + d - 1$ in n for large n and the coefficient of n^{p+d-1} in this polynomial is $\frac{1}{(p+d-1)!} \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_d = p} e(k_1, \dots, k_d)$, where $\frac{e(k_1, \dots, k_d)}{k_1! \cdots k_d!}$ is the coefficient of $n_1^{k_1} \cdots n_d^{k_d}$ in $F(n_1, \dots, n_d)$.

REMARK 2.3. Let $1 \leq r \leq d - 1$ and i_1, \dots, i_d positive integers such that

$$1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq d, 1 \leq i_{r+1} < \dots < i_d \leq d, \{1, 2, \dots, d\} = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d\}.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{(n_1, \dots, n_r)}^{(i_1, \dots, i_r)} &= (0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{n_1}_{i_1}, 0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{n_j}_{i_j}, 0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{n_r}_{i_r}, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbf{Z}^d, \\ S_{i_1, \dots, i_r} &= \bigoplus_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} S_{\alpha_{(n_1, \dots, n_r)}^{(i_1, \dots, i_r)}}, \quad M_{i_1, \dots, i_r} = \bigoplus_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} M_{\alpha_{(n_1, \dots, n_r)}^{(i_1, \dots, i_r)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$M_{i_1, \dots, i_r} \simeq_{S_{i_1, \dots, i_r}} \frac{M}{S_{(i_{r+1}+)}M + \dots + S_{(i_d+)}M},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{S_{i_1, \dots, i_r}} M_{i_1, \dots, i_r} &= \dim_{S_{i_1, \dots, i_r}} \left[\frac{M}{S_{(i_{r+1}+)}M + \dots + S_{(i_d+)}M} \right] \\ &= \dim_{\frac{S}{S_{(i_{r+1}+)} + \dots + S_{(i_d+)}}} \left[\frac{M}{S_{(i_{r+1}+)}M + \dots + S_{(i_d+)}M} \right] \\ &= \dim_S \left[\frac{M}{S_{(i_{r+1}+)}M + \dots + S_{(i_d+)}M} \right] \leq \dim M / S_{(i_j+)}M \end{aligned}$$

for all $j = r + 1, \dots, d$.

The relationship between the multiplicity and mixed multiplicities of M is determined as follows.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let S be a finitely generated standard d -graded algebra over an Artinian local ring A and M a finitely generated d -graded S -module of dimension $d + q - 1$ such that $M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} = S_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}M_{(0, \dots, 0)}$ for all n_1, \dots, n_d . Set $\ell = \dim M^\Delta$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $\dim M / S_{(i+)}M \leq d + q - 2$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$.
- (ii) $\ell = q > 0$ and $e(M) = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_d = q-1} e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d)$.

PROOF. Set $F(n) = l_S \left[\frac{(S_+)^n M}{(S_+)^{n+1} M} \right]$. Then $F(n)$ is a polynomial of degree $\dim M - 1$ for all large n . Remember that

$$e(M) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\dim M - 1)! F(n)}{n^{\dim M - 1}}.$$

Since $M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} = S_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}M_{(0, \dots, 0)}$ for all n_1, \dots, n_d , it is easily seen that

$$F(n) = \sum_{n_1 + \dots + n_d = n} l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}].$$

Assume that u is a positive integer such that $l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}]$ is a polynomial for all $n_1, \dots, n_d \geq u$. Set

$$D_n = \left\{ (n_1, \dots, n_d) \mid \sum_{i=1}^d n_i = n \right\}, \quad E_{(n,u)} = \{(n_1, \dots, n_d) \in D_n \mid n_1, \dots, n_d \geq u\}.$$

For every $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq d$, $1 \leq r \leq d - 1$ and non-negative integers $u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d < u$, set

$$E_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{(n, u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)} = \{(n_1, \dots, n_d) \in D_n \mid n_{i_1}, \dots, n_{i_r} \geq u, n_{i_{r+1}} = u_{r+1}, \dots, n_{i_d} = u_d\},$$

where $1 \leq i_{r+1} < \dots < i_d \leq d$ and

$$\{i_{r+1}, \dots, i_d\} = \{1, \dots, d\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}.$$

Then for all $n \geq du$, we have

$$D_n = E_{(n,u)} \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{r=1}^{d-1} \left[\bigcup_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq d} \left(\bigcup_{0 \leq u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d < u} E_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{(n, u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)} \right) \right] \right\}.$$

From this it follows that

$$F(n) = \sum_{n_1 + \dots + n_d = n; n_1, \dots, n_d \geq u} l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}] + \sum_{r=1}^{d-1} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq d} \left[\sum_{0 \leq u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d < u} \left(\sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_d) \in E_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{(n, u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)}} l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}] \right) \right] \right\}.$$

Set

$$F_u(n) = \sum_{n_1 + \dots + n_d = n; n_1, \dots, n_d \geq u} l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}];$$

$$F_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)}(n) = \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_d) \in E_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{(n, u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)}} l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}];$$

$$G_u(n) = \sum_{r=1}^{d-1} \left[\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq d} \left(\sum_{0 \leq u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d < u} F_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)}(n) \right) \right].$$

Now, we will adhere to the notations of the proof for Theorem 2.4.

CLAIM 1. *If $\ell > 0$ then $F_u(n)$ is a polynomial of degree $\ell + d - 2$ for large n and the coefficient of $n^{\ell+d-2}$ in this polynomial is*

$$\frac{1}{(\ell + d - 2)!} \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_d = \ell - 1} e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d).$$

By Remark 2.1(ii), there exists a positive integer u such that $l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}]$ is a numerical polynomial of degree $\ell - 1$ for all $n_1, \dots, n_d \geq u$. Moreover, since $\ell > 0$, it implies that the elements of

$$\{e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) \mid k_1 + \dots + k_d = \ell - 1\}$$

are non-negative integers not all zero. Thus

$$\sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_d = \ell - 1} e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) > 0.$$

Denote by $f(n_1, \dots, n_d)$ this polynomial. We have

$$F_u(n) = \sum_{n_1 + \dots + n_d = n; n_1, \dots, n_d \geq u} f(n_1, \dots, n_d).$$

By Lemma 2.2, $F_u(n)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq \ell + d - 2$ for large n and the coefficient of $n^{\ell+d-2}$ in this polynomial is

$$\frac{1}{(\ell + d - 2)!} \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_d = \ell - 1} e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) > 0.$$

Hence $\deg F_u(n) = \ell + d - 2$.

CLAIM 2. Set $b = u_{r+1} + \dots + u_d$ and

$$M_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)} = \bigoplus_{n_{i_1}, \dots, n_{i_r} \geq u; n_{i_{r+1}} = u_{r+1}, \dots, n_{i_d} = u_d} M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}.$$

Then

$$F_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)}(n) = l_{S_{i_1, \dots, i_r}} \left[\frac{(S_{i_1, \dots, i_r})^{n-b-ru} M_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)}}{(S_{i_1, \dots, i_r})^{n-b-ru+1} M_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)}} \right].$$

For simplicity of exposition, we can assume that $i_1 = 1, \dots, i_j = j, \dots, i_d = d$. Then

$$S_{i_1, \dots, i_r} = S_{1, \dots, r} = \bigoplus_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} S_{(n_1, \dots, n_r, 0, \dots, 0)},$$

$$S_{1, \dots, r+} = \bigoplus_{n_1 + \dots + n_r > 0} S_{(n_1, \dots, n_r, 0, \dots, 0)},$$

$$M_{1, \dots, r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)} = \bigoplus_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq u} M_{(n_1, \dots, n_r, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)}.$$

It is clear that $M_{1, \dots, r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)}$ is an r -graded $S_{1, \dots, r}$ -module. Since

$$M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} = S_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} M_{(0, \dots, 0)}$$

for all n_1, \dots, n_d , it can be verified that

$$F_{1, \dots, r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)}(n) = l_{S_{1, \dots, r}} \left[\frac{(S_{1, \dots, r+})^{n-b-ru} M_{1, \dots, r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)}}{(S_{1, \dots, r+})^{n-b-ru+1} M_{1, \dots, r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)}} \right].$$

Claim 2 follows.

CLAIM 3.

- (i) $F_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)}(n)$ is a polynomial of degree $\dim_{S_{i_1, \dots, i_r}} M_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)} - 1$ for all large n .
- (ii) $G_u(n)$ is a polynomial for all large n and

$$\deg G_u(n) = \max_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq d, 1 \leq r \leq d-1, 0 \leq u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d < u} \{ \dim_{S_{i_1, \dots, i_r}} M_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)} \} - 1.$$

By Claim 2, $F_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)}(n)$ is a polynomial of degree

$$\dim_{S_{i_1, \dots, i_r}} M_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)} - 1$$

for all large n . We get (i).

By (i) and note that

$$G_u(n) = \sum_{r=1}^{d-1} \left[\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq d} \left(\sum_{0 \leq u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d < u} F_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)}(n) \right) \right],$$

$G_u(n)$ is a polynomial for all large n . Since the leading coefficient of $F_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)}(n)$ is non-negative for all $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq d, 1 \leq r \leq d - 1, 0 \leq u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d < u$ and by (i),

$$\deg G_u(n) = \max_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq d, 1 \leq r \leq d-1, 0 \leq u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d < u} \{ \dim_{S_{i_1, \dots, i_r}} M_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)} \} - 1.$$

We get (ii).

CLAIM 4.

- (i) $\deg F(n) = \max\{\deg F_u(n), \deg G_u(n)\}$.
- (ii) $\deg G_u(n) \leq \max\{\dim M/S_{(i_+)}M \mid i = 1, \dots, d\} - 1$.

Since $F(n) = F_u(n) + G_u(n)$ and the leading coefficients of $F_u(n), G_u(n)$ are non-negative, we immediately get (i).

It is easy to see that

$$\text{Ann}_{S_{i_1, \dots, i_r}} M_{i_1, \dots, i_r} \subseteq \text{Ann}_{S_{i_1, \dots, i_r}} M_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)}.$$

By Remark 2.3,

$$\dim_{S_{i_1, \dots, i_r}} M_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)} \leq \dim_{S_{i_1, \dots, i_r}} M_{i_1, \dots, i_r} \leq \dim M/S_{(i_j+)}M$$

for all $j = r + 1, \dots, d$. Hence

$$\dim_{S_{i_1, \dots, i_r}} M_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)} \leq \max\{\dim M/S_{(i_+)}M \mid i = 1, \dots, d\}$$

for all $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq d$, $1 \leq r \leq d - 1$, $0 \leq u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d < u$. From this fact and by Claim 3(ii),

$$\begin{aligned} \deg G_u(n) &= \max_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq d, 1 \leq r \leq d-1, 0 \leq u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d < u} \{ \dim_{S_{i_1, \dots, i_r}} M_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^{(u, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_d)} \} - 1 \\ &\leq \max\{ \dim M/S_{(i_+)}M \mid i = 1, \dots, d \} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

We get (ii).

We now return to the proof of Theorem 2.4.

For $i = 1, \dots, d$, set

$$\begin{aligned} D_n^i &= \{(n_1, \dots, n_{i-1}, 0, n_{i+1}, \dots, n_d) \mid \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^d n_j = n\}, \\ F_i(n) &= \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_{i-1}, 0, n_{i+1}, \dots, n_d) \in D_n^i} l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_{i-1}, 0, n_{i+1}, \dots, n_d)}]. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$H_{i,u}(n) = \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_d) \in D \setminus [D_n^i \cup E_{(n,u)}]} l_A[M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}].$$

Since $u > 0$, $D_i(n) \cap E_{(n,u)} = \emptyset$. From this fact and note that $D_i(n)$ and $E_{(n,u)}$ are subsets of D_n , we have

$$F(n) = F_u(n) + F_i(n) + H_{i,u}(n) \text{ and } G_u(n) = F_i(n) + H_{i,u}(n).$$

Since $M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} = S_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}M_{(0, \dots, 0)}$ for all n_1, \dots, n_d , it can be verified that

$$F_i(n) = l_S \left[\frac{(S_+)^n M/S_{(i_+)}M}{(S_+)^{n+1} M/S_{(i_+)}M} \right].$$

Thus $F_i(n)$ is a polynomial of degree $\dim M/S_{(i_+)}M - 1$ for all large n . Since $G_u(n)$ and $F_i(n)$ are polynomials for all large n , it follows that $H_{i,u}(n)$ is also a polynomial for all large n . Moreover since $H_{i,u}(n) \geq 0$ for all n , the leading coefficient of $H_{i,u}(n)$ is non-negative. Note that the leading coefficient of $F_i(n)$ is also non-negative. Hence

$$\deg G_u(n) = \max\{\deg F_i(n), \deg H_{i,u}(n)\} = \max\{\dim M/S_{(i_+)}M - 1, \deg H_{i,u}(n)\}.$$

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): Since $F(n) = F_u(n) + G_u(n)$ and note that

$$e(M) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\dim M - 1)! F(n)}{n^{\dim M - 1}},$$

we have

$$e(M) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\dim M - 1)! F_u(n)}{n^{\dim M - 1}} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\dim M - 1)! G_u(n)}{n^{\dim M - 1}}.$$

Since $\dim M/S_{(i+)}M < \dim M$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$ by Claim 4(ii),

$$\deg G_u(n) < \dim M - 1.$$

This implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\dim M - 1)!G_u(n)}{n^{\dim M - 1}} = 0$. Thus

$$e(M) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\dim M - 1)!F(n)}{n^{\dim M - 1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\dim M - 1)!F_u(n)}{n^{\dim M - 1}}.$$

Since $\deg F(n) = \dim M - 1 > \deg G_u(n)$, $\deg F(n) > \deg G_u(n)$. By Claim 4(i),

$$\deg F(n) = \deg F_u(n) > \deg G_u(n).$$

It follows that $F_u(n) \neq 0$. Hence $\ell > 0$ for if $\ell = 0$ then $F_u(n) = 0$. By Claim 1,

$$\dim M - 1 = \deg F_u(n) = \ell + d - 2.$$

Hence $\dim M = d + \ell - 1$. From this fact and note that $\dim M = d + q - 1$, we get $\ell = q$. Since $\dim M = d + \ell - 1$,

$$e(M) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\dim M - 1)!F_u(n)}{n^{\dim M - 1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\ell + d - 2)!F_u(n)}{n^{\ell + d - 2}}.$$

Hence by Claim 1,

$$e(M) = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_d = \ell - 1} e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_d = q - 1} e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d).$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Since $\dim M = d + q - 1$ and $0 < \ell = q$, we have $\dim M - 1 = d + \ell - 2$ and

$$\sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_d = \ell - 1} e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d) = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_d = q - 1} e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d).$$

Since $e(M) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\dim M - 1)!F(n)}{n^{\dim M - 1}}$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\dim M - 1)!F(n)}{n^{\dim M - 1}} = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_d = \ell - 1} e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d).$$

Note that $F(n) = F_u(n) + G_u(n)$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\dim M - 1)!F(n)}{n^{\dim M - 1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\dim M - 1)!F_u(n)}{n^{\dim M - 1}} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\dim M - 1)!G_u(n)}{n^{\dim M - 1}}.$$

By Claim 1,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\dim M - 1)!F_u(n)}{n^{\dim M - 1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\ell + d - 2)!F_u(n)}{n^{\ell + d - 2}} = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_d = \ell - 1} e(M; k_1, \dots, k_d).$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\dim M - 1)! G_u(n)}{n^{\dim M - 1}} = 0.$$

It follows that $\deg G_u(n) < \dim M - 1$. From this fact and since

$$\deg G_u(n) = \max\{\dim M/S_{(i_+)}M - 1, \deg H_{i,u}(n)\}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, d$, we get $\dim M/S_{(i_+)}M < \dim M$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$. Theorem 2.4 has been proved. ■

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.4, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let S be a finitely generated standard d -graded algebra of dimension $d + q - 1$ over an Artinian local ring A . Set $\ell = \dim S^\Delta$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $\dim S/S_{(i_+)} \leq d + q - 2$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$.
- (ii) $\ell = q > 0$ and $e(S) = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_d = q-1} e(S; k_1, \dots, k_d)$.

So we obtain with Theorem 2.5 as a replacement of the condition

$$\dim\left(\frac{S}{S_{(i_1+)} + \dots + S_{(i_r+)}}\right) \leq \dim S - r$$

for all $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq d$ in [HHRT, Theorem 4.3] by the weaker condition

$$\dim S/S_{(i_+)} < \dim S \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

From the proof of Theorem 2.4, we also get the result on the dimension of multigraded modules as follows.

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let S be a finitely generated standard d -graded algebra over an Artinian local ring A ($d > 1$) and M a finitely generated d -graded S -module such that $M_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} = S_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}M_{(0, \dots, 0)}$ for all n_1, \dots, n_d . Set $\ell = \dim M^\Delta$. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) If $\ell > 0$ then $\dim M = \max\{d + \ell - 1, \dim M/S_{(i_+)}M \mid i = 1, \dots, d\}$.
- (ii) If $\ell = 0$ then $\dim M = \max\{\dim M/S_{(i_+)}M \mid i = 1, \dots, d\}$.

PROOF. (i) Since $\dim M = \deg F(n) + 1$ and by Claim 4(i),

$$\dim M = \max\{\deg F_u(n), \deg G_u(n)\} + 1.$$

By Claim 1, $\deg F_u(n) = d + \ell - 2$. By Claim 4(ii),

$$\deg G_u(n) \leq \max\{\dim M/S_{(i_+)}M \mid i = 1, \dots, d\} - 1.$$

From the above facts, we have

$$\dim M \leq \max\{d + \ell - 1, \dim M/S_{(i_+)}M \mid i = 1, \dots, d\}.$$

Clearly we also have

$$\max\{d + \ell - 1, \dim M/S_{(i+)}M \mid i = 1, \dots, d\} \leq \dim M.$$

Hence we get (i).

(ii) If $\ell = 0$ then $F_u(n) = 0$. By Claim 4(i),

$$\dim M = \max\{\deg F_u(n), \deg G_u(n)\} + 1 = \deg G_u(n) + 1.$$

By Claim 4(ii),

$$\deg G_u(n) \leq \max\{\dim M/S_{(i+)}M \mid i = 1, \dots, d\} - 1.$$

Thus

$$\dim M \leq \max\{\dim M/S_{(i+)}M \mid i = 1, \dots, d\}.$$

Clearly we also have

$$\max\{\dim M/S_{(i+)}M \mid i = 1, \dots, d\} \leq \dim M.$$

Hence we get (ii). ■

3. Some Applications to Multigraded Fiber cones

Let (B, \mathfrak{n}) denote a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{n} ;

$$R = \bigoplus_{n_1, \dots, n_d \geq 0} R_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}$$

a finitely generated standard d -graded algebra over B (i.e., R is generated over B by elements of total degree 1), where d is a positive integer; $N = \bigoplus_{n_1, \dots, n_d \geq 0} N_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}$ a finitely generated d -graded R -module such that

$$N_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} = R_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}N_{(0, \dots, 0)}$$

for all n_1, \dots, n_d . Let J be an \mathfrak{n} -primary ideal of B . Define

$$F_J(R) = R/JR = \bigoplus_{n_1, \dots, n_d \geq 0} \frac{R_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}}{JR_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}}, \quad F_J(N) = N/JN = \bigoplus_{n_1, \dots, n_d \geq 0} \frac{N_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}}{JN_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}}$$

to be the d -graded fiber cone of R and N with respect to J , respectively. Then $F_J(R)$ is a finitely generated standard d -graded algebra over Artinian local ring B/J and $F_J(N)$ is a finitely generated d -graded $F_J(R)$ -module. By applying the results in Section 2, this section gives some results on the multiplicity of the fiber cone $F_J(N)$.

Set $N^\Delta = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} N_{(n, \dots, n)}$, $R_{(i+)} = \bigoplus_{n_1 \geq 0, \dots, n_i > 0, \dots, n_d \geq 0} R_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$. It is easily seen that

$$F_J(N)^\Delta = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \frac{N_{(n, \dots, n)}}{JN_{(n, \dots, n)}} = N^\Delta / JN^\Delta = F_J(N^\Delta),$$

$$\frac{F_J(N)}{F_J(R)_{(i+)}F_J(N)} \simeq F_J(N/R_{(i+)}N), \quad i = 1, \dots, d.$$

Denote by $e(F_J(N); k_1, \dots, k_d)$ the mixed multiplicity of type (k_1, \dots, k_d) of $F_J(N)$. By Theorem 2.4, we get the following result.

COROLLARY 3.1. *Let R be a finitely generated standard d -graded algebra over a Noetherian local ring B and N a finitely generated d -graded R -module such that $N_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)} = R_{(n_1, \dots, n_d)}N_{(0, \dots, 0)}$ for all n_1, \dots, n_d . Let J be an \mathfrak{n} -primary ideal of B . Set $\ell = \dim F_J(N^\Delta)$. Assume that $\dim F_J(N) = d + q - 1$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $\dim F_J(N/R_{(i+)}N) \leq d + q - 2$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$.
- (ii) $\ell = q > 0$ and

$$e(F_J(N)) = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_d = q-1} e(F_J(N); k_1, \dots, k_d).$$

Let I_1, \dots, I_d be ideals of B and let K be a finitely generated B -module with Krull dimension $\dim K > 0$. Define

$$F(J, I_1, \dots, I_d) = \bigoplus_{n_1, \dots, n_d \geq 0} \frac{I_1^{n_1} \dots I_d^{n_d}}{J I_1^{n_1} \dots I_d^{n_d}}, \quad F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_d) = \bigoplus_{n_1, \dots, n_d \geq 0} \frac{I_1^{n_1} \dots I_d^{n_d} K}{J I_1^{n_1} \dots I_d^{n_d} K}$$

to be the d -graded fiber cone of B and K with respect to J, I_1, \dots, I_d , respectively. Let t_1, \dots, t_d be indeterminates. Set

$$R(I_1, \dots, I_d) = \bigoplus_{n_1, \dots, n_d \geq 0} I_1^{n_1} \dots I_d^{n_d} t_1^{n_1} \dots t_d^{n_d},$$

$$R_K(I_1, \dots, I_d) = \bigoplus_{n_1, \dots, n_d \geq 0} I_1^{n_1} \dots I_d^{n_d} K t_1^{n_1} \dots t_d^{n_d}.$$

$R(I_1, \dots, I_d)$ and $R_K(I_1, \dots, I_d)$ are called the d -graded Rees algebra of I_1, \dots, I_d and the d -graded Rees module of I_1, \dots, I_d with respect to K , respectively. Then clearly $F(J, I_1, \dots, I_d) \simeq F_J(R(I_1, \dots, I_d))$ and $F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_d) \simeq F_J(R_K(I_1, \dots, I_d))$.

Then we have the following remark.

REMARK 3.2. Set $I = I_1 \dots I_d$, $\ell = \dim\left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \frac{I^n K}{\mathfrak{n} I^n K}\right)$. We call ℓ the analytic spread of I with respect to K . Since $\sqrt{J} = \mathfrak{n}$,

$$\ell = \dim\left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \frac{I^n K}{\mathfrak{n} I^n K}\right) = \dim\left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \frac{I^n K}{J I^n K}\right).$$

From this fact and note that

$$F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_d)^\Delta \simeq F_J(R_K(I_1, \dots, I_d))^\Delta \simeq \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \frac{I^n K}{J I^n K},$$

we get $\ell = \dim F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_d)^\Delta$. Hence by Remark 2.1(ii), $I_A\left(\frac{I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_d^{n_d} K}{JI_1^{n_1} \cdots I_d^{n_d} K}\right)$ is a polynomial of degree $\ell - 1$ for all large n_1, \dots, n_d .

Denote by $E_J(I_1^{[k_1]}, \dots, I_d^{[k_d]}; K)$ the mixed multiplicity of type (k_1, \dots, k_d) of $F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_d)$ for all non-negative integers k_1, \dots, k_d such that $k_1 + \dots + k_d = \ell - 1$. The authors in [MV] answered when mixed multiplicities of $F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_d)$ are positive and expressed them in terms of the length of modules (see [MV, Theorem 3.5]). For $i = 1, \dots, d$, set

$$F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_{i-1}, I_{i+1}, \dots, I_d) = \bigoplus_{n_1, \dots, n_{i-1}, n_{i+1}, \dots, n_d \geq 0} \frac{I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} I_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \cdots I_d^{n_d} K}{JI_1^{n_1} \cdots I_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} I_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \cdots I_d^{n_d} K}.$$

By Corollary 3.1, we get the following result that expresses the multiplicity of $F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_d)$ as a sum of its mixed multiplicities.

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let J be an \mathfrak{n} -primary ideal and let I_1, \dots, I_d be ideals of B . Set $I = I_1 \cdots I_d$, $\ell = \dim\left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \frac{I^n K}{\mathfrak{n}I^n K}\right)$. Suppose that $\dim F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_d) = d + q - 1$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $\dim F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_{i-1}, I_{i+1}, \dots, I_d) \leq d + q - 2$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$.
- (ii) $\ell = q > 0$ and

$$e(F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_d)) = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_d = q-1} E_J(I_1^{[k_1]}, \dots, I_d^{[k_d]}; K).$$

Now, we investigate the multiplicity of $F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_d)$ in the case that I_1, \dots, I_d satisfy

$$\text{ht}\left(\frac{I_1 \cdots I_d + \text{Ann}_B K}{\text{Ann}_B K}\right) > 0.$$

REMARK 3.4. Let $\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}_1, \mathfrak{S}_2$ be ideals of B such that $\text{ht}\left(\frac{\mathfrak{S}_1 \mathfrak{S}_2 + \text{Ann}_B K}{\text{Ann}_B K}\right) > 0$. Set

$$R(\mathfrak{S}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{S}^n t^n, \quad R_K(\mathfrak{S}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{S}^n K t^n,$$

where t is an indeterminate. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim\left(\frac{\mathfrak{S}_2 R_K(\mathfrak{S})}{\mathfrak{S}_1 \mathfrak{S}_2 R_K(\mathfrak{S})}\right) &= \dim\left(\frac{R(\mathfrak{S})}{\mathfrak{S}_1 \mathfrak{S}_2 R_K(\mathfrak{S}) : \mathfrak{S}_2 R_K(\mathfrak{S})}\right) \\ &= \dim\left(\frac{R(\mathfrak{S})}{\mathfrak{S}_1 R(\mathfrak{S}) + \text{Ann}_{R(\mathfrak{S})}(\mathfrak{S}_2 R_K(\mathfrak{S}))}\right) \\ &= \dim\left(\frac{R(\mathfrak{S})}{\mathfrak{S}_1 R(\mathfrak{S}) + \sqrt{\text{Ann}_{R(\mathfrak{S})}(\mathfrak{S}_2 R_K(\mathfrak{S}))}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\sqrt{\text{Ann}_{R(\mathfrak{S})}(\mathfrak{S}_2 R_K(\mathfrak{S}))} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathfrak{S}^n \cap \sqrt{\text{Ann}_B(\mathfrak{S}_2 K)}) t^n.$$

Since $\text{ht}\left(\frac{\mathfrak{S}_1 \mathfrak{S}_2 + \text{Ann}_B K}{\text{Ann}_B K}\right) > 0$, it follows that $\text{ht}\left(\frac{\mathfrak{S}_2 + \text{Ann}_B K}{\text{Ann}_B K}\right) > 0$. This implies that

$$\sqrt{\text{Ann}_B(\mathfrak{S}_2 K)} = \sqrt{\text{Ann}_B K}.$$

Thus

$$\sqrt{\text{Ann}_{R(\mathfrak{S})}(\mathfrak{S}_2 R_K(\mathfrak{S}))} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathfrak{S}^n \cap \sqrt{\text{Ann}_B K}) t^n = \sqrt{\text{Ann}_{R(\mathfrak{S})}(R_K(\mathfrak{S}))}.$$

From the above facts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dim\left(\frac{\mathfrak{S}_2 R_K(\mathfrak{S})}{\mathfrak{S}_1 \mathfrak{S}_2 R_K(\mathfrak{S})}\right) &= \dim\left(\frac{R(\mathfrak{S})}{\mathfrak{S}_1 R(\mathfrak{S}) + \sqrt{\text{Ann}_{R(\mathfrak{S})}(R_K(\mathfrak{S}))}}\right) \\ &= \dim\left(\frac{R(\mathfrak{S})}{\mathfrak{S}_1 R(\mathfrak{S}) + \text{Ann}_{R(\mathfrak{S})}(R_K(\mathfrak{S}))}\right) \\ &= \dim\left(\frac{R(\mathfrak{S})}{\mathfrak{S}_1 R_K(\mathfrak{S}) : R_K(\mathfrak{S})}\right) \\ &= \dim\left(\frac{R_K(\mathfrak{S})}{\mathfrak{S}_1 R_K(\mathfrak{S})}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\dim\left(\frac{\mathfrak{S}_2 R_K(\mathfrak{S})}{\mathfrak{S}_1 \mathfrak{S}_2 R_K(\mathfrak{S})}\right) = \dim\left(\frac{R_K(\mathfrak{S})}{\mathfrak{S}_1 R_K(\mathfrak{S})}\right)$.

REMARK 3.5. Let $\mathfrak{S}_1, \mathfrak{S}_2$ be ideals of B such that $\text{ht}\left(\frac{\mathfrak{S}_1 \mathfrak{S}_2 + \text{Ann}K}{\text{Ann}K}\right) > 0$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_K(\mathfrak{S}_1) &= \dim\left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \frac{\mathfrak{S}_1^n K}{\mathfrak{n} \mathfrak{S}_1^n K}\right), \\ \ell_K(\mathfrak{S}_2) &= \dim\left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \frac{\mathfrak{S}_2^n K}{\mathfrak{n} \mathfrak{S}_2^n K}\right), \\ \ell_K(\mathfrak{S}_1 \mathfrak{S}_2) &= \dim\left[\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \frac{(\mathfrak{S}_1 \mathfrak{S}_2)^n K}{\mathfrak{n}(\mathfrak{S}_1 \mathfrak{S}_2)^n K}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $f(n_1, n_2)$ the Hilbert-Samuel polynomial of the function $l_B\left(\frac{\mathfrak{S}_1^{n_1} \mathfrak{S}_2^{n_2} K}{\mathfrak{n} \mathfrak{S}_1^{n_1} \mathfrak{S}_2^{n_2} K}\right)$. By Remark 3.2, $\deg f(n_1, n_2) = \ell_K(\mathfrak{S}_1 \mathfrak{S}_2) - 1$. Assume that u is a non-negative integer such

that

$$f(n_1, n_2) = l_B \left(\frac{\mathfrak{S}_1^{n_1} \mathfrak{S}_2^{n_2} K}{\mathfrak{n} \mathfrak{S}_1^{n_1} \mathfrak{S}_2^{n_2} K} \right)$$

for all $n_1, n_2 \geq u$. Then $\deg f(n_1, n_2) \geq \deg f(n_1, u)$. Since

$$f(n_1, u) = l_B \left(\frac{\mathfrak{S}_2^u \mathfrak{S}_1^{n_1} K}{\mathfrak{n} \mathfrak{S}_2^u \mathfrak{S}_1^{n_1} K} \right)$$

for all $n_1 \geq u$, we have

$$\deg f(n_1, u) = \dim \left(\bigoplus_{n_1 \geq 0} \frac{\mathfrak{S}_2^u \mathfrak{S}_1^{n_1} K}{\mathfrak{n} \mathfrak{S}_2^u \mathfrak{S}_1^{n_1} K} \right) - 1 = \dim \left[\frac{\mathfrak{S}_2^u R_K(\mathfrak{S}_1)}{\mathfrak{n} \mathfrak{S}_2^u R_K(\mathfrak{S}_1)} \right] - 1.$$

Since $\text{ht} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{S}_1 \mathfrak{S}_2 + \text{Ann}K}{\text{Ann}K} \right) > 0$ and $\text{ht} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{n} + \text{Ann}K}{\text{Ann}K} \right) > 0$, it follows that

$$\text{ht} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{n} \mathfrak{S}_2^u + \text{Ann}K}{\text{Ann}K} \right) > 0.$$

Hence by Remark 3.4,

$$\dim \left[\frac{\mathfrak{S}_2^u R_K(\mathfrak{S}_1)}{\mathfrak{n} \mathfrak{S}_2^u R_K(\mathfrak{S}_1)} \right] = \dim \left[\frac{R_K(\mathfrak{S}_1)}{\mathfrak{n} R_K(\mathfrak{S}_1)} \right] = \ell_K(\mathfrak{S}_1).$$

Thus

$$\deg f(n_1, u) = \dim \left[\frac{R_K(\mathfrak{S}_1)}{\mathfrak{n} R_K(\mathfrak{S}_1)} \right] - 1 = \ell_K(\mathfrak{S}_1) - 1.$$

From the above facts, we get $\ell_K(\mathfrak{S}_1 \mathfrak{S}_2) \geq \ell_K(\mathfrak{S}_1)$. By symmetry, we also have $\ell_K(\mathfrak{S}_1 \mathfrak{S}_2) \geq \ell_K(\mathfrak{S}_2)$.

REMARK 3.6. Let I_1, \dots, I_d be ideals of B such that $\text{ht} \left(\frac{I + \text{Ann}K}{\text{Ann}K} \right) > 0$, where $I = I_1 \cdots I_d$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \ell &= \dim \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \frac{I^n K}{\mathfrak{n} I^n K} \right), \ell_K(I_1) = \dim \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \frac{I_1^n K}{\mathfrak{n} I_1^n K} \right), \\ \ell_K(I_2) &= \dim \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \frac{I_2^n K}{\mathfrak{n} I_2^n K} \right), \ell_K(I_1 I_2) = \dim \left[\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \frac{(I_1 I_2)^n K}{\mathfrak{n} (I_1 I_2)^n K} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By Remark 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell &= \dim F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_d)^\Delta, \ell_K(I_1) = \dim F_K(J, I_1), \\ \ell_K(I_2) &= \dim F_K(J, I_2), \ell_K(I_1 I_2) = \dim F_K(J, I_1, I_2)^\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{ht}\left(\frac{I + \text{Ann}K}{\text{Ann}K}\right) > 0$, we have $\ell_K(I_1 I_2) > 0$. Hence by Corollary 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim F_K(J, I_1, I_2) &= \max\{\ell_K(I_1 I_2) + 1, \dim F_K(J, I_1), \dim F_K(J, I_2)\} \\ &= \max\{\ell_K(I_1 I_2) + 1, \ell_K(I_1), \ell_K(I_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Remark 3.5,

$$\max\{\ell_K(I_1 I_2) + 1, \ell_K(I_2), \ell_K(I_2)\} = \ell_K(I_1 I_2) + 1.$$

Hence $\dim F_K(J, I_1, I_2) = \ell_K(I_1 I_2) + 1$. By induction, assume that

$$\dim F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_{i-1}, I_{i+1}, \dots, I_d) = \ell_K(I_1 \cdots I_{i-1} I_{i+1} \cdots I_d) + d - 2 \quad (*)$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, d$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_K(I_1 \cdots I_{i-1} I_{i+1} \cdots I_d) &= \dim \left[\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \frac{(I_1 \cdots I_{i-1} I_{i+1} \cdots I_d)^n K}{\mathfrak{n}(I_1 \cdots I_{i-1} I_{i+1} \cdots I_d)^n K} \right] \\ &= \dim F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_{i-1}, I_{i+1}, \dots, I_d)^\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{ht}\left(\frac{I + \text{Ann}K}{\text{Ann}K}\right) > 0$, we have $\ell > 0$. Hence by Corollary 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_d) &= \max\{d + \ell - 1, \dim F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_{i-1}, I_{i+1}, \dots, I_d) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, d\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (*),

$$\dim F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_d) = \max\{d + \ell - 1, d + \ell_K(I_1 \cdots I_{i-1} I_{i+1} \cdots I_d) - 2 \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, d\}.$$

Since $\text{ht}\left(\frac{I + \text{Ann}K}{\text{Ann}K}\right) > 0$, $\ell_K(I_1 \cdots I_{i-1} I_{i+1} \cdots I_d) \leq \ell$ by Remark 3.5. Hence we get $\dim F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_d) = d + \ell - 1$ and

$$\dim F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_{i-1}, I_{i+1}, \dots, I_d) < \dim F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_d)$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$.

By Corollary 3.3 and Remark 3.6, we get an interesting result as follows.

COROLLARY 3.7. *Let J be an \mathfrak{n} -primary ideal and let I_1, \dots, I_d be ideals of B such that $\text{ht}\left(\frac{I + \text{Ann}K}{\text{Ann}K}\right) > 0$, where $I = I_1 \cdots I_d$. Set $\ell = \dim\left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \frac{I^n K}{\mathfrak{n} I^n K}\right)$. Then*

- (i) $\dim F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_d) = d + \ell - 1$.
- (ii) $e(F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_d)) = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_d = \ell - 1} E_J(I_1^{[k_1]}, \dots, I_d^{[k_d]}; K)$.

In the case where I_1, \dots, I_d are \mathfrak{n} -primary ideals, it is easily seen that

$$\ell = \dim\left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \frac{I^n K}{\mathfrak{n} I^n K}\right) = \text{ht}\left(\frac{I + \text{Ann}K}{\text{Ann}K}\right) = \dim K > 0,$$

where $I = I_1 \dots I_d$. By Corollary 3.7, we obtain the following result.

COROLLARY 3.8. *Let J, I_1, \dots, I_d be \mathfrak{n} -primary ideals of B . Then*

- (i) $\dim F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_d) = \dim K + d - 1$.
- (ii) $e(F_K(J, I_1, \dots, I_d)) = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_d = \dim K - 1} E_J(I_1^{[k_1]}, \dots, I_d^{[k_d]}; K)$.

EXAMPLE 3.9. Let k be a field and let $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6$ be indeterminates. Set

$$B = k[[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6]], \mathfrak{n} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6),$$

$$I_1 = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5), I_2 = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), I_3 = (x_1, x_2, x_3).$$

Consider 3-graded fiber cone of B with respect to $\mathfrak{n}, I_1, I_2, I_3$:

$$F(\mathfrak{n}, I_1, I_2, I_3) = \bigoplus_{n_1, n_2, n_3 \geq 0} \frac{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2} I_3^{n_3}}{\mathfrak{n} I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2} I_3^{n_3}}.$$

Set

$$C = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^5 x_i^{\alpha_i} \mid 0 \leq \alpha_i \in \mathbf{Z}, i = 1, \dots, 5, \alpha_5 \leq n_1, \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 \leq n_1 + n_2, \sum_{i=1}^5 \alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^3 n_i \right\}.$$

Denote by V the k -vector space generated by C . It can be verified that

$$V \simeq_k \frac{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2} I_3^{n_3}}{\mathfrak{n} I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2} I_3^{n_3}}.$$

Thus

$$l_B \left(\frac{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2} I_3^{n_3}}{\mathfrak{n} I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2} I_3^{n_3}} \right) = l_k \left(\frac{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2} I_3^{n_3}}{\mathfrak{n} I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2} I_3^{n_3}} \right) = \dim_k(V) = \text{Card}(C).$$

Set

$$D = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^3 x_i^{\alpha_i} \mid 0 \leq \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathbf{Z}, \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 - (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5) \right\}.$$

Then we have

$$l_B \left(\frac{I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2} I_3^{n_3}}{\mathfrak{n} I_1^{n_1} I_2^{n_2} I_3^{n_3}} \right) = \text{Card}(C) = \sum_{\alpha_5=0}^{n_1} \sum_{\alpha_4=0}^{n_1+n_2-\alpha_5} \text{Card}(D)$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha_5=0}^{n_1} \sum_{\alpha_4=0}^{n_1+n_2-\alpha_5} \binom{n_1 + n_2 + n_3 - (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5) + 2}{2}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha_5=0}^{n_1} \left[\binom{n_1 + n_2 + n_3 - \alpha_5 + 3}{3} - \binom{n_3 + 2}{3} \right].$$

By direct computing, we have

$$\dim_k(V) = \frac{n_1^4 + 4n_1^3n_2 + 4n_1^3n_3 + 6n_1^2n_2^2 + 12n_1^2n_2n_3}{24} + \frac{6n_1^2n_3^2 + 4n_1n_2^3 + 12n_1n_2^2n_3 + 12n_1n_2n_3^2}{24} + g(n_1, n_2, n_3),$$

where $g(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ is a polynomial and $\deg g(n_1, n_2, n_3) < 4$. From this fact, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(I_1^{[4]}, I_2^{[0]}, I_3^{[0]}; B) &= E_n(I_1^{[3]}, I_2^{[1]}, I_3^{[0]}; B) = E_n(I_1^{[3]}, I_2^{[0]}, I_3^{[1]}; B) \\ &= E_n(I_1^{[2]}, I_2^{[2]}, I_3^{[0]}; B) = E_n(I_1^{[2]}, I_2^{[1]}, I_3^{[1]}; B) = E_n(I_1^{[2]}, I_2^{[0]}, I_3^{[2]}; B) \\ &= E_n(I_1^{[1]}, I_2^{[3]}, I_3^{[0]}; B) = E_n(I_1^{[1]}, I_2^{[2]}, I_3^{[1]}; B) = E_n(I_1^{[1]}, I_2^{[1]}, I_3^{[2]}; B) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The others are zero. Since $\text{ht}(I_1) = 5$, $\text{ht}(I_2) = 4$ and $\text{ht}(I_3) = 3$, by Corollary 3.7 we obtain $\dim F(\mathfrak{n}, I_1, I_2, I_3) = 7$ and

$$e(F(\mathfrak{n}, I_1, I_2, I_3)) = \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=4} E_n(I_1^{[k_1]}, I_2^{[k_2]}, I_3^{[k_3]}; B) = 9.$$

EXAMPLE 3.10. Let k be a field and $B = k[[x, y, z, t]]/(x) \cap (y, z, t)$, where x, y, z, t are indeterminates. Set

$$\mathfrak{n} = (x, y, z, t)/(x) \cap (y, z, t), I = (x)/(x) \cap (y, z, t).$$

Clearly $\text{ht}(I) = 0$ and $\dim B = 3$. Consider 2-graded fiber cone

$$F(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^2, I) = \bigoplus_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} \frac{\mathfrak{n}^{2n_1} I^{n_2}}{\mathfrak{n}^{2n_1+1} I^{n_2}}.$$

Set

$$\ell = \dim \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \frac{(\mathfrak{n}^2 I)^n}{\mathfrak{n}(\mathfrak{n}^2 I)^n}, f(n_1, n_2) = l_B \left(\frac{\mathfrak{n}^{2n_1} I^{n_2}}{\mathfrak{n}^{2n_1+1} I^{n_2}} \right).$$

Direct computation shows that $f(n_1, n_2) = 1$ for all $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$. Hence by Remark 3.2,

$$\ell = \deg f(n_1, n_2) + 1 = 1 \text{ and } E_n(\mathfrak{n}^{2[0]}, I^{[0]}; B) = 1.$$

Clearly $f(0, 0) = 1$. Set $F(n) = \sum_{n_1+n_2=n} f(n_1, n_2)$. Then

$$F(n) = f(0, n) + f(n, 0) + \sum_{n_1+n_2=n, n_1, n_2 \geq 1} f(n_1, n_2)$$

for all $n \geq 1$. We have

$$\sum_{n_1+n_2=n, n_1, n_2 \geq 1} f(n_1, n_2) = \sum_{n_1+n_2=n, n_1, n_2 \geq 1} 1 = n - 1, f(0, n) = 1.$$

By direct computing, $f(n, 0) = \binom{2n+2}{2} + 1 = 2n^2 + 3n + 2$. Thus

$$F(n) = n - 1 + 1 + 2n^2 + 3n + 2 = 2n^2 + 4n + 2$$

is a polynomial of degree 2 for all $n \geq 1$. Hence

- (i) $\dim F(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^2, I) = 3 > 2 = \ell + d - 1$ ($d = 2, \ell = 1$).
- (ii) $e(F(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^2, I)) = 4 \neq 1 = E_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathfrak{n}^{2[0]}, I^{[0]}; B) = \sum_{k_1+k_2=0} E_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathfrak{n}^{2[k_1]}, I^{[k_2]}; B)$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors would like to thank the editor and the referee for helpful suggestions.

References

- [Ba] P. B. BHATTACHARYA, The Hilbert function of two ideals, Proc. Cambridge. Philos. Soc. **53** (1957), 568–575.
- [CD] C. D'CRUZ, A formula for the multiplicity of the multigraded extended Rees algebras, Comm. Algebra. **31** (6)(2003), 2573–2585.
- [HHRT] M. HERRMANN, E. HYRY, J. RIBBE, Z. TANG, Reduction numbers and multiplicities of multigraded structures, J. Algebra **197** (1997), 311–341.
- [KV] D. KATZ, J. K. VERMA, Extended Rees algebras and mixed multiplicities, Math. Z. **202** (1989), 111–128.
- [MV] N. T. MANH and D. Q. VIET, On the mixed multiplicities of multi-graded fiber cones, Tokyo J. Math. **31** (2008), no. 2, 399–414.
- [Ro] P. ROBERTS, Local Chern classes, multiplicities and perfect complexes, Memoire Soc. Math. France **38** (1989), 145–161.
- [Ve1] J. K. VERMA, Rees algebras and mixed multiplicities, Proc. Amer. Mat. Soc. **104** (1988), 1036–1044.
- [Ve2] J. K. VERMA, Multigraded Rees algebras and mixed multiplicities, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra **77** (1992), 219–228.

Present Addresses:

DUONG QUOC VIET
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
HANOI NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION,
136 XUAN THUY STREET, HANOI, VIETNAM.
e-mail: duongquocviet @fmail.vnn.vn

NGUYEN TIEN MANH
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
HANOI NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION,
136 XUAN THUY STREET, HANOI, VIETNAM.
e-mail: manhnt79@gmail.com