

## Construction of Number Fields with Prescribed $l$ -class Groups

Osamu YAHAGI

*Waseda University*

Let  $G$  be a finite abelian  $l$ -group, where  $l$  is a prime number, and  $k$  be an arbitrary number field. The purpose of this paper is to show that for each prime number  $l$  which does not divide the class number of  $k$ , there exist infinitely many algebraic extensions of  $k$  whose  $l$ -class groups are isomorphic to  $G$  (cf. Theorem and its Corollary). F. Gerth III [1] solved this problem under the conditions that  $G$  is any finite elementary abelian  $l$ -group and  $k$  is the field  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers. We extend his result to the general case where the group  $G$  is any finite abelian  $l$ -group.

### §1. Preliminaries.

Throughout this paper,  $l$  will denote a fixed prime number and  $k$  will denote a number field whose class number is prime to  $l$  (by a number field we shall always mean a finite extension of the field  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers). For an arbitrary number field  $L$ , let  $S_L$  and  $E_L$  denote the  $l$ -class group of  $L$  (i.e., the Sylow  $l$ -subgroup of the ideal class group of  $L$ ) and the group of units in  $L$ , respectively. For a Galois extension  $M/L$  of finite degree,  $G(M/L)$  denotes its Galois group and  $[\mathfrak{P}, M/L]$  denotes the Frobenius symbol for a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $M$  in  $M/L$ . Especially, if  $M/L$  is an abelian extension,  $(\alpha, M/L)$  denotes the Artin symbol for an ideal  $\alpha$  of  $L$  in  $M/L$ . For a finite abelian group  $\bar{G}$  and a natural number  $n$ , we shall denote by  $|\bar{G}|$  its order and put  $\bar{G}^n = \{g^n; g \in \bar{G}\}$ . Let  $\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}$  be the cyclic group of order  $l^n$  and  $\zeta_n$  a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity. Furthermore, we use the following notations:

$h = h_k$ : the class number of  $k$ ;

$\mathcal{O}$ : the ring of integers of  $k$ ;

$(\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{M})^\times$ : the multiplicative group of the residue class ring  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{M}$ , where  $\mathfrak{M}$  is an integral ideal of  $k$ ;

$k(n) = k(\{\zeta_{l^{n+s}}, l^s \sqrt{\varepsilon_i}; 1 \leq i \leq r\})$ , where  $l^s$  is the order of the group of  $l$ -

power-th roots of unity in  $k$ , and  $\{\varepsilon_i; 1 \leq i \leq r\}$  is a system of fundamental units in  $k$ . For example,  $k(n) = k(\zeta_{l^n + \delta})$  if  $k = \mathbf{Q}$  or an imaginary quadratic field. Let  $\bar{F}$  be a cyclic extension of  $k$  of degree  $l^n$ , and let  $\tau$  be a generator of the cyclic group  $G(\bar{F}/k)$ . We put  $S_{\bar{F}}^{1-\tau} = \{c^{1-\tau}; c \in S_{\bar{F}}\}$ ,  $S_{\bar{F}}^{(\tau)} = \{c \in S_{\bar{F}}; c^\tau = c\}$  and  $S_{\bar{F}}^{(\tau), \alpha} = \{c \in S_{\bar{F}}; c \text{ contains an ideal } \alpha \text{ of } \bar{F} \text{ such that } \alpha^\tau = \alpha\}$ .

LEMMA 1. Notation being as above, let  $K$  be the maximal abelian  $l$ -extension of  $k$  contained in the genus field of  $\bar{F}/k$ .

Then: (1) The Artin map gives an isomorphism:

$$S_{\bar{F}}/S_{\bar{F}}^{1-\tau} \xrightarrow{\sim} G(K/\bar{F}).$$

$$(2) \quad |S_{\bar{F}}^{(\tau)}| = |S_{\bar{F}}/S_{\bar{F}}^{1-\tau}| = \frac{\prod e(\mathfrak{p})}{l^n \cdot [E_k: E_k \cap N_{\bar{F}/k}(\bar{F}^\times)]},$$

where  $\prod e(\mathfrak{p})$  is the product of the ramification indices of all the finite and the infinite prime divisors in  $k$  with respect to  $\bar{F}/k$ , and  $N_{\bar{F}/k}$  is the norm map from  $\bar{F}$  to  $k$ .

For the proof, see Yokoi [4], pp. 35 and 37.

LEMMA 2. Notations being as in Lemma 1, define the map  $\varphi: S_{\bar{F}}^{(\tau)} \rightarrow S_{\bar{F}}/S_{\bar{F}}^{1-\tau}$  so that the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_{\bar{F}}^{(\tau)} & \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} & S_{\bar{F}} \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \text{canonical surjection} \\ & & S_{\bar{F}}/S_{\bar{F}}^{1-\tau} \end{array}$$

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $\varphi$  is surjective.
- (2)  $\varphi$  is injective.
- (3)  $S_{\bar{F}} = S_{\bar{F}}^{(\tau)}$ .

In these cases, we have  $S_{\bar{F}} = S_{\bar{F}}^{(\tau)} \cong S_{\bar{F}}/S_{\bar{F}}^{1-\tau} \cong G(K/\bar{F})$ .

PROOF. From the exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow S_{\bar{F}}^{(\tau)} \rightarrow S_{\bar{F}} \xrightarrow{f} S_{\bar{F}}/S_{\bar{F}}^{1-\tau} \rightarrow 1$ , where the first map is the natural inclusion, the second map  $f$  is defined by  $f(c) = c^{1-\tau}$  for  $c \in S_{\bar{F}}$  and the third map is the canonical surjection, we see that  $S_{\bar{F}}^{(\tau)}$  and  $S_{\bar{F}}/S_{\bar{F}}^{1-\tau}$  have the same order; hence the equivalence of (1) and (2) is clear. It is obvious that (3) implies (1). Now suppose that  $\varphi$  is surjective; then  $S_{\bar{F}} = S_{\bar{F}}^{(\tau)} S_{\bar{F}}^{1-\tau} = S_{\bar{F}}^{(\tau)} S_{\bar{F}}^{(1-\tau)^2} = \dots = S_{\bar{F}}^{(\tau)} S_{\bar{F}}^{(1-\tau)^{l^n}}$ . On the other hand,  $l$  divides  $(1-\tau)^{l^n}$ . Hence  $S_{\bar{F}} = S_{\bar{F}}^{(\tau)} S_{\bar{F}}^l$ , i.e.,  $S_{\bar{F}} = S_{\bar{F}}^{(\tau)}$ .

LEMMA 3. *Let  $m$  be an integer  $\geq 1$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a prime ideal of  $k$ . Then the following three conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists a unique cyclic extension of  $k$  of degree  $l^m$  in the Strahl class field modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$ .*
- (2)  *$|(\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{p})^\times/(E_k + \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p})|$  is divisible by  $l^m$ .*
- (3) *The prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime to  $l$  and splits completely in the Galois extension  $k(m)/k$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\overline{k(\mathfrak{p})}$  be the Strahl class field modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Set

$$I_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{a; a \text{ is an ideal of } k \text{ and } (a, \mathfrak{p}) = 1\},$$

$$P_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{(a); (a) \text{ is a principal ideal generated by } a \in k \text{ and } ((a), \mathfrak{p}) = 1\},$$

$$S_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{(a); (a) \text{ is a principal ideal generated by } a \in k \text{ and } a \equiv 1 \pmod{\times \mathfrak{p}}\},$$

where  $\text{mod } \times \mathfrak{p}$  means the multiplicative congruence. By class field theory,  $I_{\mathfrak{p}}/S_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is isomorphic to  $G(\overline{k(\mathfrak{p})}/k)$ . On the other hand, it contains the subgroup  $P_{\mathfrak{p}}/S_{\mathfrak{p}}$  of index  $h$  which is prime to  $l$  by our assumption. Hence the Galois group of the maximal abelian  $l$ -extension of  $k$  contained in  $\overline{k(\mathfrak{p})}$  over  $k$ , is isomorphic to the Sylow  $l$ -subgroup of  $P_{\mathfrak{p}}/S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . For a class  $a \text{ mod } \mathfrak{p} \in (\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{p})^\times$ , put  $f(a \text{ mod } \mathfrak{p}) = (a) \in P_{\mathfrak{p}}/S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , where  $(a)$  is the principal ideal generated by  $a$ . Then the map  $f: (\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{p})^\times \rightarrow P_{\mathfrak{p}}/S_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a well defined, surjective homomorphism and

$$\text{Ker}(f) = \{a \text{ mod } \mathfrak{p} \in (\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{p})^\times; a \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for some } \varepsilon \in E_k\}.$$

Therefore we have the equivalence of (1) and (2).

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Let  $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be the completion of  $k$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{p}$ . If we assume (2), we have  $\zeta_{l^m} \in k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , since  $N\mathfrak{p} \equiv 1 \pmod{l^m}$  (where  $N\mathfrak{p}$  is the absolute norm of the prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ ). And the equation  $x^{l^m} \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  is solvable in  $\mathfrak{D}$  for all  $\varepsilon \in E_k$ , since the group  $(\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{p})^\times$  is a cyclic group. Therefore the equation  $x^{l^m} = \varepsilon$  is solvable in  $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for all  $\varepsilon \in E_k$ , since  $(l, \mathfrak{p}) = 1$ ; this implies (3).

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Conversely suppose (3). Then  $N\mathfrak{p} \equiv 1 \pmod{l^{m+\delta}}$ , since  $\zeta_{l^{m+\delta}} \in k_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $(l, \mathfrak{p}) = 1$ ; and all  $\varepsilon \in E_k$  are  $l^m$ -th power residues modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$ , since the equation  $x^{l^m} = \varepsilon$  is solvable in the ring of  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic integers in  $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Therefore we have (2).

REMARK. There exist infinitely many prime ideals of  $k$  which satisfy the above condition. In fact, there exist infinitely many rational primes which split completely in  $k(m)$ .

COROLLARY. *For a fixed integer  $n \geq 1$ , there exist infinitely many cyclic extensions of  $k$  of degree  $l^n$  whose class numbers are not divisible by  $l$ .*

PROOF. By the above remark, we have infinitely many cyclic extensions of  $k$  of degree  $l^n$  in which one and only one prime ideal ramifies. Then their class numbers are not divisible by  $l$ , since the class number of  $k$  is prime to  $l$  (see Iwasawa [3]).

## §2. Construction.

Let  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_i, \dots, e_{t+1}$  be natural numbers such that  $1 \leq e_1 \leq e_2 \leq \dots \leq e_i \leq \dots \leq e_{t+1}$ ; let  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_i, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{t+1}$  be distinct prime ideals of  $k$  such that  $|(\mathfrak{O}/\mathfrak{p}_i)^\times / (E_k + \mathfrak{p}_i/\mathfrak{p}_i)|$  is divisible by  $l^{e_i}$  for each  $i$ . Note that in the case  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ , this condition is equivalent to the one that  $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{2 \cdot l^{e_i}}$ , where  $p_i$  is a prime number such that  $(p_i) = \mathfrak{p}_i$ .

Put  $e_{t+1} = n$  and let  $k_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, t+1$ , be the unique cyclic extension of  $k$  of degree  $l^{e_i}$  in the Strahl class field modulo  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ . Let  $K = \prod_{i=1}^{t+1} k_i$  be the composite of the fields  $k_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, t+1$ .  $G(K/k)$  is the direct product of the cyclic groups  $G(k_i/k), i = 1, 2, \dots, t+1$ . In the following, we restrict ourselves to the case  $t \geq 1$ . (When  $t = 0$ , Corollary of Lemma 3 says that the  $l$ -class group of each intermediate field of  $K/k$  is trivial.)

Let  $\sigma_i$  be a fixed generator of  $G(k_i/k)$  and let  $H$  be the subgroup of  $G(K/k)$  generated by  $\{\sigma_i \cdot \sigma_{t+1}^{-l^{e_i}}; 1 \leq i \leq t\}$ . Then the factor group  $G(K/k)/H$  is a cyclic group of order  $l^n$ , and  $\{\sigma_{t+1}^j; 0 \leq j \leq l^n - 1\}$  is a full set of representatives for the cosets modulo  $H$  in  $G(K/k)$ . Hence the subfield  $F$  of  $K$  corresponding to  $H$  is a cyclic extension of  $k$  of degree  $l^n$ . On the other hand, the inertia group of  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  for  $K/k$  is  $\langle \sigma_i \rangle$  and  $\sigma_i \equiv \sigma_{t+1}^{-l^{e_i}} \pmod{H}$ . Therefore ramification theory shows that the ramified primes of  $F/k$  are  $\mathfrak{p}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, t+1$ , with ramification index  $l^{e_i}$ . Moreover  $K$  is an unramified abelian extension of  $F$ , since  $H \cap \langle \sigma_i \rangle = \{1\}$  holds for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t+1$ . Therefore it follows from Lemma 1 that  $K$  coincides with the maximal abelian  $l$ -extension of  $k$  contained in the genus field of  $F/k$ , since the degree of  $K$  over  $F$  is  $\prod_{i=1}^t l^{e_i}$ .

In the following,  $F$  always denotes the subfield of  $K$  which corresponds to  $H$ . We call this field  $F$  the field associated with the set of primes  $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{t+1}\}$ . For the field  $F$ , we give a condition for  $S_F$  to be equal to  $S_F^{(r)}$ . Let  $K_i = k_i \cdot F, 1 \leq i \leq t$ , be the composite of the field  $k_i$  and the field  $F$ . Then we have  $K = \prod_{i=1}^t K_i$  (the composite of  $K_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ ), and  $G(K/F)$  is the direct product of the cyclic groups  $G(K_i/F), i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ .

LEMMA 4. For each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  such that  $e_i < n$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) *There exists only one prime ideal of  $F$  above  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ .*

(2)  *$((\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{p}_i} \mathfrak{P})^h, K_i/F)$  generates  $G(K_i/F)$ , where  $(\ , K_i/F)$  is the Artin symbol in  $K_i/F$  and the product is taken over all the prime ideals  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $F$  above  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ .*

PROOF. Let  $Z$  (resp.  $T$ ) be the decomposition group (resp. the inertia group) of  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  for the abelian extension  $K_i/k$ .  $G(K_i/k)$  is the direct product of  $G(K_i/F)$  and  $G(K_i/k_i)$ , since  $e_i < n$ . Let  $\sigma$  (resp.  $\rho$ ) be a generator of  $G(K_i/F)$  (resp.  $G(K_i/k_i)$ ). Then  $T$  is a cyclic group, since  $(l, \mathfrak{p}_i) = 1$ . The ramification index of  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  in  $F/k$  (resp.  $k_i/k$ ) is  $l^{e_i}$  (resp.  $l^{e_i}$ ). So, after replacing  $\sigma$  and  $\rho$  if necessary, we may assume that  $T$  is generated by  $\sigma \cdot \rho^{l^{n-e_i}}$ . Now suppose (1); then  $Z \cdot G(K_i/F) = G(K_i/k)$ , so we have  $\rho = \sigma^c \cdot z$  for some integer  $c$  and some  $z \in Z$ . From the fact that  $T = \langle \sigma \cdot \rho^{l^{n-e_i}} \rangle \subset Z$  it follows that

$$\sigma^{1+cl^{n-e_i}} = \sigma \cdot \rho^{l^{n-e_i}} \cdot z^{-l^{n-e_i}} \in Z,$$

which implies that  $\sigma \in Z$ , since  $n > e_i$ . Hence we have  $Z = G(K_i/k)$ , i.e., there exists only one prime ideal of  $K_i$  above  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ . This implies that  $(\mathfrak{P}^h, K_i/F)$  generates  $G(K_i/F)$ , since  $K_i/F$  is an unramified abelian  $l$ -extension.

To prove (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1), let  $\mathfrak{P}_j, 1 \leq j \leq l^s$ , be the prime ideals of  $F$  above  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ ; then  $\mathfrak{P}_j = \mathfrak{P}^{\sigma_j}$  holds for some  $\sigma_j \in G(K_i/k), 1 \leq j \leq l^s$ . Hence  $(\mathfrak{P}_j, K_i/F) = (\mathfrak{P}_1, K_i/F), 1 \leq j \leq l^s$ , and therefore we have  $((\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{p}_i} \mathfrak{P})^h, K_i/F) = (\mathfrak{P}_1^h, K_i/F)^{l^s}$ , from which it is clear that (2) implies that  $l^s = 1$ .

REMARK. The condition (1) is equivalent to the one that there exists only one prime ideal of  $F_0$  above  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ , where  $F_0$  is the subfield of  $F$  of degree  $l$  over  $k$ .

Through the isomorphism  $S_F/S_F^{1-\tau} \cong G(K/F) \cong \prod_{i=1}^t G(K_i/F)$ , we may assume that the image of  $\varphi$  is contained in  $\prod_{i=1}^t G(K_i/F)$  (see Lemma 2). It is well known that  $S_{F,s}^{(\tau)}$  is generated by  $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{p}_i} \text{cl}(\mathfrak{P})^h, 1 \leq i \leq t+1$ , where the product is taken over all the prime ideals  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $F$  above  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  and  $\text{cl}(\mathfrak{P})$  denotes the ideal class of the prime ideal  $\mathfrak{P}$ . The factor group  $\prod_{i=1}^t G(K_i/F) / (\prod_{i=1}^t G(K_i/F))^l$  can be regarded as a vector space over the finite field with  $l$  elements; hence the classes of  $\varphi(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{p}_i} \text{cl}(\mathfrak{P})^h), 1 \leq i \leq t+1$ , determine a matrix  $M$  whose  $(i, j)$ -th element is  $((\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{p}_i} \mathfrak{P})^h, K_j/F) \text{ mod } G(K_j/F)^l, 1 \leq i \leq t+1, 1 \leq j \leq t$  (cf. Gerth [1]). Therefore:  $\text{rank } M = t \Leftrightarrow \varphi(S_{F,s}^{(\tau)}) = S_F/S_F^{1-\tau} \Leftrightarrow S_F = S_F^{(\tau)} = S_{F,s}^{(\tau)}$  (see Lemma 2)).

We are now ready to prove the following

**THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be a finite abelian  $l$ -group with exponent  $l^m$ ,  $m \geq 0$ . Then, for all  $n$ ,  $n \geq m$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , there exist infinitely many cyclic extensions of  $k$  of degree  $l^n$  whose  $l$ -class groups are isomorphic to the group  $G$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $m=0$ , the statement is equivalent to Corollary of Lemma 3; hence we may assume that  $m \geq 1$ . By the structure theorem for finite abelian groups, we may assume that  $G$  is the direct sum of the cyclic groups  $\mathbf{Z}/l^{e_i}\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, t$ ;  $1 \leq e_1 \leq e_2 \leq \dots \leq e_t = m$ . To prove the theorem, it is sufficient, by the above arguments, to find infinitely many sets of  $t+1$  prime ideals  $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{t+1}\}$  of  $k$  such that  $\text{rank } M = t$ . In fact, in this case,  $S_F \cong \prod_{i=1}^t G(K_i/F) \cong G$ , where  $F$  is, as before, a cyclic extension of  $k$  associated with  $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{t+1}\}$ . We will consider two cases separately. In the following conditions on  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ ,  $\pi_i$  denotes an integer of  $\mathfrak{O}$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}_i^h = (\pi_i)$  and  $C_i$  denotes the cyclic group  $(\mathfrak{O}/\mathfrak{p}_i)^\times / (E_k + \mathfrak{p}_i/\mathfrak{p}_i)$ .

- i) Case  $n > m$ . The conditions on  $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{t+1}\}$  are
- (1)  $|C_{t+1}|$  is divisible by  $l^n$ ,
  - (2)  $|C_i|$  is divisible by  $l^{e_i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq t$ ) and
  - (3) The class of each  $\pi_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , in the cyclic group  $C_{t+1}$  is not contained in  $C_{t+1}^l$ .

**REMARK.** The condition (3) is equivalent to saying that each  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , is not decomposed in the unique cyclic extension  $(k_{t+1})_0$  of  $k$  of degree  $l$ , contained in the Strahl class field modulo  $\mathfrak{p}_{t+1}$ : in fact (cf. the proof of Lemma 3),

$$\text{the condition (3)} \Leftrightarrow ((\pi_i), (k_{t+1})_0/k) \neq 1 \Leftrightarrow (\mathfrak{p}_i, (k_{t+1})_0/k) \neq 1.$$

By putting  $e_{t+1} = n$ , let  $F$  be a cyclic extension of  $k$  of degree  $l^n$  associated with the above set of prime ideals, and let  $F_0$  be, as before, subfield of  $F$  of degree  $l$  over  $k$ . Then we easily see that  $F_0 = (k_{t+1})_0$ , since  $F_0$  is contained in  $\prod_{i=1}^{t+1} k_i$ , and since only  $\mathfrak{p}_{t+1}$  ramifies in  $F_0/k$ . On the other hand, if we identify  $G(K_j/F)$  with  $G(k_j/k)$ ,  $j=1, 2, \dots, t$ , we have, by the translation theorem,  $((\prod_{\mathfrak{p}_i | \mathfrak{p}_j} \mathfrak{P})^h, K_j/F) = (\mathfrak{p}_i, k_j/k)^{hl^n - e_i}$  for every  $i \neq j$ . Therefore, for each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  such that  $e_i < n$ , an  $(i, j)$ -th element of the matrix  $M$  is trivial (cf. [1]) whenever  $j \neq i$ . Also Lemma 4 shows that for such a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ , an  $(i, i)$ -th element is trivial if and only if  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is decomposed in  $F_0$ . Therefore, by the above remark, we see that  $\text{rank } M = t$ . Existence of such a set of prime ideals can be seen as follows. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal of  $k$  which satisfies the condition (1) and put  $\mathfrak{p}_{t+1} = \mathfrak{p}$ . Then we have  $k(e_i) \cap k_{t+1} = k$ , since  $\mathfrak{p}_{t+1}$  is unramified in  $k(e_i)$  by the definition of  $k(e_i)$ . Hence the Galois group  $G(k_{t+1}k(e_i)/k)$  is the direct product of the subgroups  $G(k_{t+1}k(e_i)/k(e_i))$  and  $G(k_{t+1}k(e_i)/k_{t+1})$ ; the former subgroup is a cyclic one of order  $l^n$ . Therefore the

Tschebotarev density theorem shows that there exist infinitely many prime ideals  $\mathfrak{P}_i$  of  $k_{t+1}k(e_i)$  for which

$$\langle [\mathfrak{P}_i, k_{t+1}k(e_i)/k] \rangle = G(k_{t+1}k(e_i)/k(e_i)).$$

It is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{P}_i \cap k$  satisfies both conditions (2) and (3), since  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  splits completely in  $k(e_i)$  and since  $[\mathfrak{P}_i, k_{t+1}k(e_i)/k]_{|k_{t+1}} = (\mathfrak{p}_i, k_{t+1}/k)$  generates the Galois group  $G(k_{t+1}/k)$ . Hence we can obtain distinct prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t+1$ , of  $k$  which satisfy the above conditions (1)-(3). Infiniteness is also deduced from the density theorem.

ii) Case  $n=m$ . Put  $e_{t+1}=n$ , and let  $d$  be the largest integer  $i$  such that  $e_i < n$  (if  $e_1=e_2=\dots=e_t=n$ , put  $d=1$ ). Take any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_d$  of  $k$  such that  $|C_d|$  is divisible by  $l^a$ ; and then take distinct prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_{d+1}, \mathfrak{p}_{d+2}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{d+j}$  of  $k$  which satisfy the following conditions. The conditions on  $\mathfrak{p}_{d+1}$  are

- (1)  $|C_{d+1}|$  is divisible by  $l^n$ ,
- (2) The class of  $\pi_{d+1}$  in  $C_d$  is not contained in  $C_d^l$ .

Assume that we can choose prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_d, \mathfrak{p}_{d+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{d+j}$  ( $j \geq 1$ ). The conditions on  $\mathfrak{p}_{d+j+1}$  are

- (3)  $|C_{d+j+1}|$  is divisible by  $l^n$ ,
- (4) The class of  $\pi_{d+j+1}$  in  $C_{d+j}$  is not contained in  $C_{d+j}^l$ ,
- (5) The class of  $\pi_{d+j+1}$  in  $C_{d+i}$  is contained in  $C_{d+i}^{l^n}$  for all  $i=$

$0, 1, \dots, j-1$ .

If  $d \geq 2$ , we choose  $d-1$  distinct prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{d-1}$  of  $k$  which satisfy the following conditions:

- (6)  $|C_i|$  is divisible by  $l^{e_i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ ).
- (7) The class of each  $\pi_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ , in  $C_{d+1}$  is not contained in  $C_{d+1}^l$ .
- (8) The class of each  $\pi_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ , in  $C_{d+j}$  is contained in  $C_{d+j}^{l^n}$

for all  $j=2, 3, \dots, t-d+1$ .

Existence of such a set of prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{t+1}$  can be seen as follows. By the same arguments as in the case  $n > m$ , existence of  $\mathfrak{p}_{d+1}$  is easily verified. We note here that the condition (2) is equivalent to

- (2)' The Artin symbol  $(\mathfrak{p}_{d+1}, k_d/k)$  generates  $G(k_d/k)$ .

Assume now that we can choose prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_d, \mathfrak{p}_{d+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{d+j}$  ( $j \geq 1$ ). By the density theorem, there exist infinitely many prime ideals  $\mathfrak{P}_{d+j+1}$  of  $k(n) \cdot (\prod_{i=0}^j k_{d+i})$  (the composite of the field  $k(n)$  and the fields  $k_{d+i}$ ,  $i=0, 1, \dots, j$ ) for which

$$\langle [\mathfrak{P}_{d+j+1}, k(n) \cdot (\prod_{i=0}^j k_{d+i})/k] \rangle = G(k(n) \cdot (\prod_{i=0}^j k_{d+i})/k(n) \cdot (\prod_{i=0}^{j-1} k_{d+i})).$$

Then  $\mathfrak{p}_{d+j+1} = \mathfrak{P}_{d+j+1} \cap k$  satisfies the conditions (3)-(5), since the conditions

(4) and (5) are equivalent respectively to

(4)' The Artin symbol  $(\mathfrak{p}_{d+j+1}, k_{d+j}/k)$  generates  $G(k_{d+j}/k)$ , and

(5)' The Artin symbol  $(\mathfrak{p}_{d+j+1}, k_{d+i}/k)$  is equal to 1 for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, j-1$ .

Therefore existence of  $\mathfrak{p}_d, \mathfrak{p}_{d+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{t+1}$  is proved. Now suppose that  $d \geq 2$ . Again the density theorem shows that there exist infinitely many prime ideals  $\mathfrak{P}_i$  of  $k(e_i) \cdot (\prod_{j=d+1}^{t+1} k_j)$  (the composite for the field  $k(e_i)$  and the fields  $k_j, d+1 \leq j \leq t+1$ ) for which

$$\left\langle \left[ \mathfrak{P}_i, k(e_i) \left( \prod_{j=d+1}^{t+1} k_j \right) / k \right] \right\rangle = G \left( k(e_i) \left( \prod_{j=d+1}^{t+1} k_j \right) / k(e_i) \left( \prod_{j=d+2}^{t+1} k_j \right) \right).$$

We also see that  $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{P}_i \cap k$  satisfies the conditions (6)-(8). Hence we can obtain  $t+1$  distinct prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_i, 1 \leq i \leq t+1$ , of  $k$ .

Let  $F$  be a cyclic extension of  $k$  of degree  $l^n$  as in the case  $n > m$  associated with the set of prime ideals  $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{t+1}\}$ . For this field  $F$ , we shall show that  $\text{rank } M = t$ . As before, if we identify  $G(K_j/F)$  with  $G(k_j/k), 1 \leq j \leq t$ , then we have  $(\left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{p}_i} \mathfrak{P}\right)^h, K_j/F) = (\mathfrak{p}_i, k_j/k)^{h l^n - e_i}$  for every  $i \neq j$ . Therefore, by the conditions (2)', (4)', and (5)', theorem is easily verified for the case of  $d=1$ . For the case  $d \geq 2$ , we shall show that each  $\mathfrak{p}_i, 1 \leq i \leq d-1$ , is not decomposed in  $F_0$ . As the ramified primes in  $F_0/k$  are  $\mathfrak{p}_{d+1}, \mathfrak{p}_{d+2}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{t+1}$ ,  $F_0$  is contained in  $\prod_{j=d+1}^{t+1} k_j$ . Therefore, if  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  splits in  $F_0/k$  for some  $i=1, 2, \dots, d-1$ , then  $F_0$  is contained in the decomposition field for  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  in  $\prod_{j=d+1}^{t+1} k_j$ . On the other hand, by the conditions (7) and (8), the decomposition field for  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is  $\prod_{j=d+2}^{t+1} k_j$ ; but this implies that  $\mathfrak{p}_{d+1}$  is unramified in  $F_0/k$ . Hence we have a contradiction. Now it is easy to see, as in the case  $d=1$ , that the rank of the matrix  $M$  is equal to  $t$ . As there exist infinitely many fields such as  $F$ , the proof of the theorem is completed.

REMARK. If we restrict ourselves to the case  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ , our theorem is also deduced by using the results of A. Fröhlich [5]. However it is still necessary to specify the prime numbers as in our paper, which is kindly pointed out by Mr. K. Iimura while I was preparing this paper.

COROLLARY. Let  $G$  be the same as in Theorem. Then there exist infinitely many non-Galois extensions of the field  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers whose  $l$ -class groups are isomorphic to the group  $G$ .

PROOF. As before, let  $k$  be a number field, other than  $\mathbb{Q}$ , whose class number is prime to  $l$ ; e.g.,  $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ . From the proof of Theorem, it is easy to see that the primes  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{t+1}$  can be chosen so that

the following additional conditions are satisfied; there exists some  $1 \leq i \leq t+1$  such that the prime number  $p_i$  lying below  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ , splits completely in  $k$ , and that each  $\mathfrak{p}_j$ ,  $j \neq i$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq t+1$ , is not lying above  $p_i$ . Now let  $F$  be the field associated with such primes  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t+1$ . Then it is clear that  $F/Q$  is a non-Galois extension; and by Theorem we have  $S_F \cong G$ . Since there exist infinitely many sets of  $t+1$  prime ideals  $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{t+1}\}$  with the property above, we get immediately the assertion of Corollary.

**SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE.** While preparing this paper, K. Iimura informed me that for each odd prime number  $l$ , there exist infinitely many dihedral extensions  $K$  of  $Q$  of degree  $2 \cdot l^m$ , with the following property: For all subfields  $L$  of  $K$  of degree  $l^m$ ,  $S_L$  are isomorphic to the group  $G$ ; here  $l^m (m \geq 1)$  denotes the exponent of  $G$ .

### References

- [1] F. GERTH III, Number fields with prescribed  $l$ -class group, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **49** (1975), 284-288.
- [2] H. HASSE, Bericht über neuere Untersuchungen und Probleme aus der Theorie der algebraischen Zahlkörper, Ia, Physica-Verlag, 1970.
- [3] K. IWASAWA, A note on class numbers of algebraic number fields, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Humburg, **20** (1956), 257-258.
- [4] H. YOKOI, On the class number of a relatively cyclic number field, Nagoya Math. J., **29** (1967), 31-44.
- [5] A. FRÖHLICH, The generalization of a theorem of L. Redei's, Quart. J. Math., **5** (1954), 130-140.

*Present Address:*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING  
WASEDA UNIVERSITY  
NISHIOKUBO, SHINJUKU-KU, TOKYO 160