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## Introduction

Let $X$ be a real Banach space and $X^{\prime}$ be its dual space. In this paper, we characterize the (maximal) cyclical monotonicity of a $w^{*}$ Gâteaux differentiable (nonlinear) operator: $X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$, by means of the Gâteaux derivative. Our result is a nonlinear version of the well-known proposition; A linear and densely defined maximal monotone operator in a Hilbert space is cyclically monotone if and only if it is self-adjoint.

We give an equivalent condition for a $w^{*}$-Gâteaux differentiable operator from $X$ to $X^{\prime}$ to be cyclically monotone, under some assumptions. Furthermore we give sufficient conditions for a ( $w$-)Gâteaux differentiable operator in a Hilbert space to be maximal cyclically monotone. For instance, our Corollary 1 says that an operator $A$ in a Hilbert space is maximal cyclically monotone, if $\overline{\delta A(x)}$, the minimal closed extension of the Gâteaux derivative of $A$ at $x$, is positive self-adjoint for each $x$ in the domain of $A$, under a suitable assumption.

## §1. Preliminaries.

Throughout this paper we use the following notations and definitions.
$X$ denotes a real Banach space with norm $\left\|\|\right.$, and $X^{\prime}$ denotes its dual space. We denote by $(x, f)$ the pairing between $x \in X$ and $f \in X^{\prime}$. Especially if $X$ is a real Hilbert space, (, ) is the inner product and we use the notation $H$ instead of $X$.

For a subset $S$ of $X, \bar{S}$ denotes the closure of $S$ in $X$.
Let $A$ be an operator from $X$ to $X^{\prime} . \quad D(A)$ denotes the domain of $A$ and $R(A)$ denotes the range of $A$. We denote the minimal closed extension of $A$ by $\bar{A}$.

[^0]Let $A$ be a linear operator from $X$ to $X^{\prime} . A$ is said to be symmetric if $(x, A y)=(y, A x)$ for every $x$ and $y$ in $D(A) . \quad A$ is said to be positive if $(x, A x) \geqq 0$ for every $x$ in $D(A)$.
$A$ (multi-valued) operator $A$ in $H$ is said to be monotone if ( $x_{1}-x_{2}$, $\left.x_{1}^{\prime}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right) \geqq 0$ whenever $x_{i}^{\prime} \in A x_{i}, i=1,2$. $A$ monotone operator $A$ is said to be maximal monotone if it has no monotone extensions in $H$. It is wellknown that a monotone operator $A$ in $H$ is maximal monotone if and only if $R(I+\lambda A)=H$ for some $\lambda>0$.
$A$ (multi-valued) operator $A: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is said to be cyclically monotone if $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}, x_{i}^{\prime}\right) \geqq 0$ whenever $x_{i}^{\prime} \in A x_{i}, \quad x_{n}=x_{0}, \quad x_{n}^{\prime}=x_{0}^{\prime}$. $A$ cyclically monotone operator $A$ is said to be maximal cyclically monotone if it has no cyclically monotone extensions from $X$ to $X^{\prime}$.

Let $\phi: X \rightarrow(-\infty, \infty]$ be a convex functional. Also assume that $\phi$ is proper, i.e. that its effective domain $D(\phi)=\{x \in X ; \phi(x)<\infty\}$ is nonempty. Then the subdifferential of $\phi$ is defined by

$$
\partial \phi(x)=\left\{z \in X^{\prime} ; \phi(w)-\phi(x) \geqq(w-x, z) \text { for all } w \in X\right\} .
$$

$\partial \phi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is cyclically monotone. Furthermore, it holds that an operator $A: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is maximal cyclically monotone if and only if $A=\partial_{\phi}$ for some lower-semicontinuous proper convex functional $\phi$.

Definition. Let $A: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be a single-valued operator with convex domain. We shall say that $A$ is Gâteaux differentiable on $D(A)$ if there is a linear operator $\delta A(x): X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow 0 \\ x+\lambda y \in D(A)}} \frac{1}{\lambda}\{A(x+\lambda y)-A x\}=\delta A(x) y \quad \text { for } \quad \forall y \in X^{\prime} \text { with } x+y \in D(A), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \in D(A)$. Furthermore, $\delta A(x)$ is called the Gâteaux derivative of $A$ at $x$. If the convergence in (1.1) is in the weak (resp. $w^{*}$ )topology, we say that $A$ is $w$ (resp. $w^{*}$ )-Gâteaux differentiable.

## §2. Theorem and proof.

Theorem. Let $A: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be a $w^{*}$-Gâteaux differentiable operator on convex domain $D(A)$ and $w^{*}$-continuous on every 2-dimensional subset in $D(A)$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent.
$\left.1^{\circ}\right) A: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is cyclically monotone.
$\left.2^{\circ}\right) \delta A(x): X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is cyclically monotone for each $x \in D(A)$.
$\left.3^{\circ}\right) \delta A(x): X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is positive symmetric for each $x \in D(A)$.

Remark 1. Let $A$ be an operator in a Hilbert space $H$. Suppose that there is a dense Banach space $Y$ such that $Y \subset H=H^{\prime} \subset Y^{\prime}$, and $\widetilde{A}: Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ such that $A=\widetilde{A}_{H}$ (the restriction of $\widetilde{A}$ to $D\left(\widetilde{A}_{H}\right)=\{x ; \widetilde{A} x \in H\}$ ). If $\widetilde{A}: Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ is cyclically monotone, then $A$ is cyclically monotone in $H$. Hence, if $\widetilde{A}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem and the condition $2^{\circ}$ ) or $3^{\circ}$ ), then $A$ is cyclically monotone.

To prove Theorem, we shall show the following lemmas.
Lemma 1. Let $A: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be an operator with convex domain, and be $w^{*}$-continuous on every 1-dimensional subset in $D(A)$. Suppose that there is $x_{0} \in D(A)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left(y, A\left(x_{0}+s y\right)\right) d s+\int_{0}^{1}\left(z, A\left(x_{0}+y+s z\right)\right) d s  \tag{2.1}\\
=\int_{0}^{1}\left(y+z, A\left(x_{0}+s(y+z)\right)\right) d s
\end{gather*}
$$

for every $y, z \in X$ with $x_{0}+y, x_{0}+y+z \in D(A)$. If $\phi$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x)=\int_{0}^{1}\left(x-x_{0}, A\left(x_{0}+s\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right)\right) d s \quad \text { for } \quad x \in D(A), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for each $x, y \in D(A)$, the function $t \mapsto \phi(x+t(y-x))$ is differentiable on $[0,1]$ and

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \phi(x+t(y-x))=(y-x, A(x+t(y-x))) \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leqq t \leqq 1
$$

Proof. Let $u$ and $v$ be any elements of $D(A)$. We put $v_{1}=v-u$. Taking $y=u-x_{0}+t v_{1}, z=h v_{1}(0 \leqq t, t+h \leqq 1)$ in (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi\left(u+t v_{1}\right)+\int_{0}^{1}\left(h v_{1}, A\left(u+t v_{1}+s h v_{1}\right)\right) d s \\
& =\phi\left(u+t v_{1}+h v_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{h}\left\{\phi\left(u+(t+h) v_{1}\right)-\phi\left(u+t v_{1}\right)\right\}  \tag{2.3}\\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{1}\left(v_{1}, A\left(u+t v_{1}+s h v_{1}\right)\right) d s
\end{align*}
$$

Since ( $v_{1}, A\left(u+t v_{1}+s h v_{1}\right)$ ) is continuous in $h$, by letting $h \rightarrow 0$, the righthand side of (2.3) converges to ( $v_{1}, A\left(u+t v_{1}\right)$ ). Thus the assertion holds.

Lemma 2. Let $A: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be a cyclically monotone operator with convex domain, and be $w^{*}$-continuous on every 1-dimensional subset in $D(A)$. Then A satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 1.

Proof. Let $x, x+y$ and $x+y+z$ be any elements of $D(A)$. We set

$$
x_{i}=x+\frac{i}{n} y, \quad y_{j}=x+y+\frac{j}{n} z, \quad z_{k}=x+\frac{k}{n}(y+z)
$$

for $i, j, k=0,1, \cdots, n$. From the covexity of $D(A)$ we have

$$
x_{i}, y_{j}, z_{k} \in D(A)
$$

for $i, j, k=0,1, \cdots, n$. From the definition of $x_{i}, y_{j}$ and $z_{k}$, we have $x_{i+1}-x_{i}=(1 / n) y, \quad y_{j+1}-y_{j}=(1 / n) z, \quad z_{k}-z_{k+1}=-(1 / n)(y+z)$ for $i, j, k=0$, $1, \cdots, n-1$. Thus, for the cyclical sequence $\left\{x=x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}=x+y=y_{0}\right.$, $\left.y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}=x+y+z=z_{n}, z_{n-1}, \cdots, z_{0}=x=x_{0}\right\}$, we use the cyclical monotonicity of $A$ to have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}(y+z), A z_{k}\right) \leqq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{n} y, A x_{i}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{n} z, A y_{j}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for $\left\{z_{0}, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}=y_{n}, y_{n-1}, \cdots, y_{0}=x_{n}, x_{n-1}, \cdots, x_{0}=z_{0}\right\}$, we use the cyclical monotonicity of $A$ to have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{n}(y+z), A z_{k}\right) \geqq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{n} y, A x_{i}\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{n} z, A y_{i}\right) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.4), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1}(y+z, A(x+t(y+z))) d t \\
& \quad \leqq \int_{0}^{1}(y, A(x+t y)) d t+\int_{0}^{1}(z, A(x+y+t z)) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.5), the reverse inequality holds in the above. Hence we obtain (2.1) for any $x \in D(A)$.

Lemma 3. Let $u(t, s)$ and $v(t, s)$ be partially differentiable and continuous real-valued functions on a simply connected domain $D \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$, and suppose that $(\partial u / \partial t)=(\partial v / \partial s)$ on $D$. Then $\int_{Q}(u d s+v d t)=0$ for every polygon $Q$ in $D$.

Proof. If $u$ and $v$ are $C^{1}$-class functions on $D$, we have the conclusion by Green's theorem. Thus the assertion of Lemma 3 follows by
using the mollifier.
Lemma 4. Let $A: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be a $w^{*}$-Gâteaux differentiable operator on convex domain $D(A)$ and $w^{*}$-continuous on every 2 -dimensional subset in $D(A)$. If $\delta A(x)$ is symmetric for each $x \in D(A)$, then $A$ satisfies the assumption of Lemma 1.

Proof. Let $x, y$ and $z$ be elements of $X$ with $x, x+y$ and $x+y+$ $z \in D(A)$. We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
P= & \int_{0}^{1}(y, A(x+s y)) d s+\int_{0}^{1}(z, A(x+y+s z)) d s \\
& -\int_{0}^{1}(y+z, A(x+s(y+z))) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

We have only to prove that $P=0$. If $y$ and $z$ are linearly dependent, this is trivial from the definition of the integral. Hence, we may assume that $y$ and $z$ are linearly independent. We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(t, s)=(y, A(x+t y+s z)) \\
& h(t, s)=(z, A(x+t y+s z))
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $D(\delta A(x)) \supset D(A)-x$ for every $x \in D(A), D(A)$ is convex and $A$ is $w^{*}$ continuous on every 2-dimensional subset in $D(A)$, we easily see that $g$ and $h$ are partially differentiable and continuous on domain $D \supset\{(t, s)$; $0 \leqq s \leqq t \leqq 1\}$. Moreover we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial s} g(t, s)=(y, \delta A(x+t y+s z) z) \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h(t, s)=(z, \delta A(x+t y+s z) y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that $\delta A(x+t y+s z)$ is symmetric, these imply that

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial s} g(t, s)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h(t, s) \quad \text { on } \quad D
$$

Hence, applying Lemma 3 to $u=h, \quad v=g$ and $Q=\{(t, 0) ; 0 \leqq t \leqq 1\} \cup$ $\{(1, s) ; 0 \leqq s \leqq 1\} \cup\{(t, t) ; 0 \leqq t \leqq 1\}$, we obtain that

$$
P=\int_{Q}(g(t, s) d t+h(t, s) d s)=0
$$

Now we shall prove Theorem.

Proof of Theorem. " $3^{\circ}$ ) implies $1^{\circ}$ )." Suppose that $3^{\circ}$ ) holds. Then it holds by Lemma 4 that $A$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 1. Let $\phi$ be the functional on $D(A)$, defined by (2.2), which satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 1. We extend $\phi$ on $X$, (which denotes the same $\phi$ ) as follows: $\phi(x)=\infty$ for $x \notin D(A)$. We devide the proof of $\left.3^{\circ}\right) \Rightarrow 1^{\circ}$ ) into the following two steps.

1) We shall show that $\phi: X \rightarrow(-\infty, \infty]$ is convex and proper. Since $D(A) \neq \varnothing, \phi$ is proper. Thus we only need to prove the convexity of $\phi$, i.e.,

$$
t \phi(x)+(1-t) \phi(y) \geqq \phi\{t x+(1-t) y\}
$$

for $x, y \in X, 0 \leqq t \leqq 1$. Since $D(A)$ is convex and $\phi(x)=\infty$ for $x \notin D(A)$, the last inequality is trivial when $x$ or $y \notin D(A)$. Thus we have only to show that $\phi$ is convex on $D(A)$. Let $x$ and $y$ be any elements of $D(A)$. Then, for $0 \leqq t \leqq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} \phi(x+t(y-x)) & =\frac{d}{d t}(y-x, A(x+t(y-x)))  \tag{2.6}\\
& =(y-x, \delta A(x+t(y-x))(y-x)) \\
& \geqq 0
\end{align*}
$$

At the last inequality of (2.6), we used the positivity of $\delta A(x+t(y-x))$. (2.6) implies that $\phi$ is convex on $D(A)$.
2) We shall show that $A \subset \partial \phi$. Let $x, y \in D(A)$, and $t \in(0,1)$. From 1), we have

$$
\phi(x+t(y-x))=\phi((1-t) x+t y) \leqq(1-t) \phi(x)+t \phi(y) .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\frac{1}{t}\{\phi(x+t(y-x))-\phi(x)\} \leqq \phi(y)-\phi(x) .
$$

Letting $t \downarrow 0$, it follows from the property of $\phi$ that

$$
(y-x, A x) \leqq \phi(y)-\phi(x) .
$$

This inequality is obviously true for $y$ which is not in $D(A)$. Therefore, $x \in D(\partial \phi)$ and $A x \subset \partial \phi(x)$ if $x \in D(A)$. This implies that $A \subset \partial \phi$. Hence, $A$ is cyclically monotone.
" $1^{\circ}$ ) imples $2^{\circ}$ )." Suppose that $1^{\circ}$ ) is satisfied. Let $x$ be any fixed element of $D(A)$. We must show that $\delta A(x): X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is cyclically monotone. Let $x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}=x_{0} \in D(\delta A(x))$. Then there is an $\eta>0$ such that

$$
x+t x_{i} \in D(A) \quad \text { for } \quad|t|<\eta \quad(i=1, \cdots, n)
$$

Since $A$ is cyclically monotone, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(t\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right), A\left(x+t x_{i}\right)\right) \geqq 0
$$

Therefore,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(t\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right), A\left(x+t x_{i}\right)-A(x)\right) \geqq 0
$$

Dividing this inequality by $t^{2}(>0)$, and letting $t \downarrow 0$, we obtain

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}, \delta A(x) x_{i}\right) \geqq 0
$$

This implies that $\delta A(x)$ is cyclically monotone.
" $2^{\circ}$ ) implies $3^{\circ}$ )". Suppose that $2^{\circ}$ ) holds. Let $x$ be any fixed element of $D(A)$. We must show that $\delta A(x)$ is positive symmetric. We set $B=$ $\delta A(x)$. The monotonicity of $B$ means that $B$ is positive. Thus, we have only to show that $(y, B z)=(z, B y)$ for $\forall y, \forall z \in D(B)$. Applying Lemmas 1,2 with $A=B$ and $x_{0}=0$, we have

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t} \phi(y+t z)\right|_{t=0}=(z, B y)
$$

where $\phi(w)=\int_{0}^{1}(w, B(t w)) d t=(1 / 2)(w, B w)$ for $w \in D(B)$. Therefore we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(z, B y) & =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t}\{\phi(y+t z)-\phi(y)\} \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2 t}\{(y+t z, B(y+t z))-(y, B y)\} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(y, B z)+\frac{1}{2}(z, B y)
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields that $(z, B y)=(y, B z)$, and the proof is complete.
From the next two theorems and our Theorem, we get a sufficient condition for the maximal cyclical monotonicity.

Theorem A (see [4]). Let $B: H \rightarrow H$ be a positive definite (i.e., $\left.\inf _{x \in D(B),\|x\|=1}(x, B x)>0\right)$, self-adjoint operator. Then $R(B)=H$.

Theorem B (see F. E. Browder [2] Corollary 2 to Theorem 2). Let

A be a Gâteaux differentiable operater in $H$ with convex domain and closed range. If $R(\delta A(x))$ is dense in $H$ for every $x \in D(A)$, then $R(A)=H$.

Corollary 1. Let A be a Gâteaux differentiable closed operator in $H$ with convex domain, and suppose that $A$ is $w$-continuous on every two dimensional subset in $D(A)$. If $\overline{\delta A(x)}$ is positive self-adjoint for each $x \in D(A)$, then $A$ is maximal cyclically monotone, i.e., there is a proper lower-semicontinuous convex functional $\phi: H \rightarrow(-\infty, \infty]$ such that $A=\partial \phi$.

Proof. By Theorem, we have that $A$ is cyclically monotone. Thus it suffices to show that $R(I+A)=H$. Since $I+\overline{\delta A(x)}$ is a positive definite, self-adjoint operator in $H$, it follows from Theorem A that $R(I+\overline{\delta A(x)})=H$, which implies that $R(I+\delta A(x))$ is dense in $H$. From the monotonicity and the closedness of $A$, it is easily seen that $R(I+A)$ is closed in $H$. Therefore, we apply Theorem B to an operator $I+A$ to get $R(I+A)=H$.

Remark 2. Let $x_{0}$ be an element of $D(A)$. If we define $\phi$ as

$$
\phi(x)= \begin{cases}\int_{0}^{1}\left(x-x_{0}, A\left(x_{0}-t\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right)\right) d t & \text { for } x \in D(A), \\ \liminf _{y \rightarrow x, y \in D(A)} \phi(y) & \text { for } x \in \overline{D(A)} \backslash D(A), \\ \infty & \text { for } x \notin D(A),\end{cases}
$$

then $\phi$ satisfies the conclusion of Corollary 1.
In fact, from the proof of Theorem, $\phi: H \rightarrow(-\infty, \infty$ ] is proper, convex and $A \subset \partial \phi$. Hence $\phi(y) \geqq \phi(x)+(y-x, A x)$ for $x, y \in D(A)$, which implies that $\lim \inf _{y \rightarrow x, y \in D(A)} \phi(y) \geqq \phi(x)$ for $x \in D(A)$. Thus $\phi$ is lower-semicontinuous, and the maximal monotonicity of $A$ implies that $A=\partial \phi$.

The next corollary also follows from Theorem.
Corollary 2. Let $Y$ be a reflexive Banach space such that $Y \subset H \subset Y^{\prime}$ with the continuous and dense inclusion. Let $\tilde{A}: Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ be an operator which is everywhere defined on $Y$, coercive, w-Gâteaux differentiable and $w$-continuous on every 2-dimensional subset of $Y$. If $\delta \widetilde{A}(x): Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ is a positive symmetric operator for each $x \in Y$, then $A=\widetilde{A}_{H}$ (see Remark 1) is maximal cyclically monotone operator in $H$, i.e., there is a proper lower-semicontinuous convex functional $\phi: H \rightarrow(-\infty, \infty]$ such that $A=\partial \phi$.

Proof. $A$ is a cyclically monotone operator in $H$, by Remark 1. On the assumption of this corollary, $A$ is maximal monotone in $H$ (see [1, Example 2.3.7]). Hence, $A$ is maximal cyclically monotone in $H$.

Remark 3. The functional $\phi: H \rightarrow(-\infty, \infty]$ defined in Remark 2 satisfies the conclusion of Corollary 2 also.

In fact, the functional $\tilde{\phi}: Y \rightarrow(-\infty, \infty]$ defined by $\tilde{\phi}(x)=$ $\int_{0}^{1}\left(x-x_{0}, \tilde{A}\left(x_{0}-t\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right)\right) d t$ for $x \in Y$ is proper, convex and $A=\partial \phi$ in $Y \times Y^{\prime}$, from the proof of Theorem. Hence, we easily have that $A=\partial \phi$ in $H \times H$ and $\phi$ is a proper lower-semicontinuous convex functional from $H$ to $(-\infty, \infty]$.

## §3. Example.

In this section, we give an example of Corollary 2.
Let $\Omega \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ be a bounded damain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. ( $\left.\partial / \partial x_{i}\right)$, $i=1, \cdots, n$, denote distributional derivatives. $\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ is the usual Sobolev space which consists of $\left\{u \in L^{2}(\Omega) ;\left(\partial / \partial x_{i}\right) u \in L^{2}(\Omega) i=1, \cdots, n, u=0\right.$ on $\left.\partial \Omega\right\}$. $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ denotes the dual space of $\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)$. Let $\tilde{A}: \dot{H}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ be an operator such that

$$
\widetilde{A} u=-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} a_{j}\left(x, u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right) \quad\left(u \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}(\Omega)\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} u, \quad i=1, \cdots, n, \\
a_{j}\left(x, u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right):\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right) \in\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n} \longrightarrow L^{2}(\Omega), \\
a_{j}(x, \cdot, \cdots, \cdot) \in C^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right) \text { for each fixed } x \in \Omega,  \tag{3.1}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{k}} a_{j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{j}} a_{k}\left(\equiv a_{j k}\right),  \tag{3.2}\\
\left|a_{j k}\left(x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)\right| \leqq M \text { for } \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \forall y_{i} \in \boldsymbol{R} \quad(i=1, \cdots, n),  \tag{3.3}\\
\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} a_{j k} \xi_{j} \xi_{k} \geqq \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{j}^{2}\left({ }^{3} \alpha>0\right) \quad \text { (uniformly elliptic). } \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

$A=\tilde{A}_{H}: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$ is an operator defined by

$$
D(A)=\left\{u \in \stackrel{H}{H}^{1}(\Omega) ; \tilde{A} u \in L^{2}(\Omega)\right\}, \quad A u=\tilde{A} u \quad \text { for } \quad u \in D(A)
$$

Then $A$ is a maximal cyclically monotone operator in $H$.
Proof. We set $H=L^{2}(\Omega)$ with norm $\|\cdot\|=\|\cdot\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$ and $Y=\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ with norm $\left|\left|\mid \cdot\| \|=\|\cdot\|_{\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)}\right.\right.$. We have only to show that the hypothesis of

Corollary 2 are satisfied. We put $\partial u=\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right)$. It is well-known that $Y$ is reflexive.

1) First, we show that $\tilde{A}$ is $w$-Fréchet differentiable on $Y$ (and therefore $\tilde{A}$ is $w$-Gâteaux differentiable and $w$-continuous on $Y$ ) with

$$
\delta \widetilde{A}(u) v=-\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(a_{j k}(x, \partial u) v_{k}\right) .
$$

Let $u, w \in Y$ be any fixed elements. It suffices to show that

$$
\frac{1}{\|\|v\| \mid}\left(w, \tilde{A}(u+v)-\tilde{A} u+\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(a_{j k}(x, \partial u) v_{k}\right)\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

as $\|\|v\| \rightarrow 0$. By (3.1), it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(w, \widetilde{A}(u+v)-\widetilde{A} u) & =\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(w_{j}, a_{j}(x, \partial(u+v))-a_{j}(x, \partial u)\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(w_{j}, \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{k}} a_{j}\left(x, \partial u+\theta_{x, v} \partial v\right) v_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $\theta_{x, v}$ with $0<\theta_{x, v}<1$. Hence we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\|v\| \|} & \left(w, \widetilde{A}(u+v)-\widetilde{A} u+\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(a_{j k}(x, \partial u) v_{k}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\|v\|} \sum_{j, k}\left(w_{j},\left\{a_{j k}\left(x, \partial u+\theta_{x, v} \partial v\right)-a_{j k}(x, \partial u)\right\} v_{k}\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{j, k} \frac{v_{k}}{\|v\|}, w_{j}\left\{a_{j k}\left(x, \partial u+\theta_{x, v} \partial v\right)-a_{j k}(x, \partial u)\right\}\right) \\
& \leqq \sum_{j, k}\left\|w_{j}\left\{a_{j k}\left(x, \partial u+\theta_{x, v} \partial v\right)-a_{j k}(x, \partial u)\right\}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

We put $g_{j k, v}(x)=w_{j}\left\{a_{j k}\left(x, \partial u+\theta_{x, v} \partial v\right)-a_{j k}(x, \partial u)\right\}$. Then we only need to show that $\left\|g_{j k, v}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $\|v\| \| \rightarrow 0$ for $j, k=1, \cdots, n$. If not, for some $j, k$, there are a sequence $\left\{v^{(m)}\right\} \subset Y$ and an $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|v^{(m)}\right\| \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } m \longrightarrow \infty \text { and }  \tag{3.5}\\
\left\|g_{m}\right\| \geqq \varepsilon_{0}, \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $g_{m}=g_{j k, v}(m)$. By (3.3), it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{m}(x)\right| \leqq 2 M\left|w_{j}(x)\right| \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3.5) implies that

$$
\left\|v_{i}^{(m)}\right\| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad m \longrightarrow \infty, \quad i=1, \cdots, n
$$

Thus we can extract a subsequence $\left\{v^{(l)}\right\}$ of $\left\{v^{(m)}\right\}$ such that

$$
v_{i}^{(l)}(x) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { a.e. } x \text { on } \Omega \text { as } l \longrightarrow \infty, \quad i=1, \cdots, n .
$$

By (3.1), this convergence yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{j k, v}(l)(x)^{2} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { a.e. } x \text { on } \Omega \text { as } l \longrightarrow \infty, j, k=1, \cdots, n . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.7) and (3.8), we have by Lebesgue's convergence theorem that $\left\|g_{l}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts (3.5).
2) Secondly, we prove that $\tilde{A}: Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ is coercive. Let $u \in Y$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(u, \tilde{A} u-\tilde{A} 0) & =\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} u_{j}\left(a_{j}(x, u)-a_{j}(x, 0)\right) d x \\
& =\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} a_{j k}\left(x, \theta_{x, u} \partial u\right) u_{k} u_{j} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $\theta_{x, u}$ with $0<\theta_{x, u}<1$, by (3.1). Thus we have by (3.4) that

$$
(u, \widetilde{A} u-\widetilde{A} 0) \geqq \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} u_{j}^{2} d x \geqq \alpha C\left|\|u \mid\|^{2}\right.
$$

for some constant $C>0$. In the last inequality, we used Poincaré's inequality, since $\Omega$ is bounded. Therefore we have that

$$
\frac{1}{\|\|u\|\|}(u, \widetilde{A} u) \geqq \frac{1}{\| \| u\| \|}(u, \widetilde{A} 0)+\alpha C\| \| u\|\geqq-\| \tilde{A} 0\|+\alpha C\|\|u\| \|
$$

This yields that $\lim _{\|u\| \| \infty}(1 /\|u\| \|)(u, \tilde{A} u)=\infty$, i.e., $\tilde{A}$ is coercive.
3) Finally we show that $\delta \widetilde{A}(u)$ is positive symmetric for each $u \in Y$. Let $u, v, w$ be any elements of $Y$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(w, \delta \tilde{A}(u) v) & =\left(w,-\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(a_{j k}(x, \partial u) v_{k}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} a_{j k}(x, \partial u) w_{j} v_{k} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by (3.2), we have that $(w, \delta \widetilde{A}(u) v)=(v, \delta \widetilde{A}(u) w)$, i.e., $\delta \widetilde{A}(u)$ is symmetric. And positivity follows from (3.4).

Consequently, $A$ satisfies the hypothesis of Corollary 2, and hence $A$ is a maximal cyclically monotone operator in $H$.

Remark 4. This example is dealt with by Y. Kōmura and Y. Konishi [3] without proof.
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