

## Vanishing of Certain 1-form Attached to a Configuration

Kazuhiko AOMOTO

*Nagoya University*  
(Communicated by T. Saito)

This is a remark to my paper [1], "Configurations and invariant Gauss-Manin connections of integrals". In the sequel we use the terminologies in [1].

Consider the integral

$$(1) \quad \hat{\phi}(\phi) = \int \hat{U}(\lambda) dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$$

for  $\hat{U}(\lambda) = \hat{f}_0^{\lambda_0} \hat{f}_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots \hat{f}_m^{\lambda_m}$ ,  $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{C}$  where  $\hat{f}_0$  and  $\hat{f}_j$  denote functions  $1 - x_1^2 - \cdots - x_n^2$ ,  $\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n u_{j,i} x_i + u_{j,0}$  respectively. We put  $a_{0,0} = 1$ ,  $a_{j,k} = \sum_{i=0}^n u_{j,i} u_{k,i}$  and  $a_{j,0} = u_{j,0}$  for  $1 \leq j, k \leq m$ .  $u_{j,i}$  are normalized such that  $a_{j,j} = 1$  for all  $j$ . For the symmetric configuration matrix  $A = ((a_{j,k}))_{0 \leq j, k \leq m}$  we denote by  $A \begin{pmatrix} i_1, \dots, i_p \\ j_1, \dots, j_p \end{pmatrix}$  the subdeterminant of the  $i_1, \dots, i_p$  th lines and the  $j_1, \dots, j_p$  th columns. A sequence of 1-forms  $\theta \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ i_1, \dots, i_p \end{pmatrix}$  for  $1 \leq p \leq n+1$  are defined in an inductive way:

$$(2)_1 \quad \theta \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ i \end{pmatrix} = da_{0,i}$$

$$(2)_2 \quad \theta \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ j, k \end{pmatrix} = da_{j,k} + \frac{A \begin{pmatrix} 0, k \\ k, j \end{pmatrix}}{A(0, k)} da_{0,k} + \frac{A \begin{pmatrix} 0, j \\ j, k \end{pmatrix}}{A(0, j)} da_{0,j}$$

$$(2)_p \quad \theta \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ i_1, \dots, i_p \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\nu=1}^p (-1)^\nu \theta \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ i_1, \dots, i_\nu, \dots, i_p \end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{A \begin{pmatrix} 0, i_1, \dots, \hat{i}_\nu, \dots, i_p \\ i_1, \dots, i_p \end{pmatrix}}{A(0, i_1, \dots, \hat{i}_\nu, \dots, i_p)},$$

for  $p \geq 3$ .

where  $\dots, \hat{i}_\nu, \dots$  denotes the deletion of the index  $i_\nu$ . (There are misprints in (3, 9), (3, 10), (3, 11) and (3, 12), [1] which should be corrected as above.)

Then we have

LEMMA. For arbitrary  $n+1$  indices  $i_1, \dots, i_{n+1}$ , the form  $\theta(i_1, \dots, i_{n+1})$  always vanishes.

PROOF. First we notice that  $\theta(i_1, \dots, i_{n+1})$  depends only on the functions  $f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_{n+1}}$  from its definition. So we have only to prove the Lemma in case of  $m=n+1$  and  $i_1=1, \dots, i_{n+1}=n+1$ . We specialize the coefficients  $u_{j,\nu}$  such that  $f_j$  are all real and that the domain  $\Delta: f_1 \geq 0, \dots, f_{n+1} \geq 0$  is not empty and is contained in the domain:  $f_0 < 0$ . If we take  $\lambda$  to be  $-1$  in the equation (E, III<sub>0</sub>), [1], then the latter is simplified as follows:

$$(3) \quad 0 = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{n+1} \theta \left( \begin{matrix} \phi \\ 1, 2, \dots, n+1 \end{matrix} \right) \int_{\Delta} \hat{U}(\lambda) \cdot \frac{dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n}{f_1 \cdots f_{n+1}}.$$

Suppose  $\lambda_j$  are all positive, then the integral part in the right hand side is positive. Hence the form  $\theta(i_1, \dots, i_{n+1})$  must vanish identically as an analytic continuation. Q.E.D.

As a consequence of it, the formula (E, III<sub>0</sub>) in Proposition 3, 4, [1], turns out to be

$$(4) \quad d\hat{\varphi}(\phi) = \sum_1^n \frac{1}{s!} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_s} \frac{\lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_s}}{(\mu_0 + 1) \cdots (\mu_0 + s - 1)} \theta \left( \begin{matrix} \phi \\ i_1, \dots, i_s \end{matrix} \right) \\ \times \frac{A(i_1, \dots, i_s)}{A(0, i_1, \dots, i_s)} \hat{\varphi}_*(i_1, \dots, i_s)$$

for  $\mu_0 = -2\lambda_0 - n - 1 - \sum_1^n \lambda_j$ .

REMARK. By a direct computation  $\theta \left( \begin{matrix} \phi \\ j, k \end{matrix} \right)$  is expressed in the following manner:

$$(5) \quad \frac{A \left( \begin{matrix} 0, j \\ 0, k \end{matrix} \right) \theta \left( \begin{matrix} \phi \\ j, k \end{matrix} \right)}{A(0, j, k)} = \frac{1}{2} \{-d \log A(0, j, k) + d \log A(0, j) + d \log A(0, k)\},$$

so that the Lemma is trivial for  $n=1$ , because  $A(0, j, k)$  identically vanishes. However, generally it seems rather difficult to prove in a purely algebraic way the vanishing of the forms  $\theta(i_1, \dots, i_{n+1})$ .

**References**

- [1] K. AOMOTO, Configurations and invariant Gauss-Manin connections of integrals I, Tokyo J. Math., **5** (1982), 249-287.

*Present Address:*  
MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE  
FACULTY OF SCIENCES  
NAGOYA UNIVERSITY  
CHIKUSA-KU, NAGOYA, 464