

An Observation on the First Case of Fermat's Last Theorem

Norio ADACHI

Waseda University

Let p be an odd prime number. We consider Fermat's equation

$$(1) \quad x^p + y^p + z^p = 0$$

under the condition

$$(2) \quad xyz \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

We abbreviate as $FLT_1(p)$ the statement that the equation (1) has no solutions in integers under the condition (2). It is well-known that if p does not divide the (relative) class number of the cyclotomic field $L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$, where ζ is a primitive p -th root of unity, then $FLT_1(p)$ is true.

In the present paper, we study what we can say about $FLT_1(p)$, supposing the relative class number of an imaginary subfield of L is not divisible by p . We prove the following:

THEOREM. *Suppose that $FLT_1(p)$ is not true, and let x, y, z be non-zero integers satisfying (1) and (2). Put $t = x/y$ and let*

$$H = \left\{ t, \frac{1}{t}, -\frac{1}{1+t}, -(1+t), -\frac{t}{1+t}, -\left(1 + \frac{1}{t}\right) \right\}.$$

Let M be an arbitrarily fixed imaginary proper subfield of the cyclotomic field L . Put

$$\Phi(T) = N_{L/M}(T + \zeta) - N_{L/M}(T + \zeta^{-1}),$$

where $N_{L/M}$ denotes the relative norm map from L to M . If p does not divide the relative class number h_M^- of the field M , then any number in the set H satisfies the congruence

$$(3) \quad \Phi(T) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

As an example, we consider the case M is a quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$

with $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. Then it is well-known that p does not divide the class number of the quadratic field; in fact, it is easily seen that the class number is less than p (cf. for example, Lemma 2 in [2]). In §2, we will give the table of the solutions of (3) for any prime number $p \leq 199$, by which we will know that $\text{FLT}_1(p)$ is true for these prime numbers.

We note that p divides the relative class number h_M^- of the imaginary field M with $m=[L:M]$, if and only if p divides the Bernoulli number B_{mj+1} for some $j=1, 3, 5, \dots, (p-4)/m$: This was first proved by Carlitz, later by Metsänkylä and also by the author; cf. Theorem A in [1].

§1. Proof.

Suppose that the assumptions in the theorem are all satisfied. We may assume that x, y, z are pairwise relatively prime. Then it is well-known (and easily shown) that $x+\zeta^j y$'s are pairwise relatively prime for $j=1, 2, \dots, p-1$. Therefore $N_{L/M}(x+\zeta y)=A^p$ for some ideal A of M .

The p -Sylow subgroup C_0 of the ideal class group of the maximal real subfield M_0 of M naturally injects into the p -Sylow subgroup C of the ideal class group of M , since $[M:M_0]=2$ is prime to p . As the relative class number h_M^- is not divisible by p , the injection of C_0 to C is, in fact, surjective. Therefore the ideal A can be written $(\rho)S$ with $\rho \in M$ and S an ideal of M_0 , so

$$N_{L/M}(x+\zeta y) = (\rho^p)S^p.$$

Since the left-hand side is prime to p , we may assume that ρ and S are prime to p . The above implies that S^p is principal in M . Since the natural map of C_0 to C is injective, S^p is principal in M_0 from the first beginning: $S^p = (\alpha)$ with $\alpha \in M_0$. Thus we obtain

$$N_{L/M}(x+\zeta y) = \varepsilon \alpha \rho^p,$$

where ε is a unit of M . By Kummer's lemma ε can be written $\zeta^s \varepsilon_0$ with ε_0 a real unit. Then $\zeta^{2s} = \varepsilon/\bar{\varepsilon} \in M$. Here, and in what follows, $\bar{\alpha}$ denotes the complex conjugate of α . But M contains none of the p -th roots of unity other than 1, since $M \not\subseteq L$. Therefore s is divisible by p : $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \in M_0$. We have

$$\bar{\rho}^p \equiv \rho^p \pmod{p}$$

for any $\rho \in M$. Therefore we obtain

$$N_{L/M}(x+\zeta y) \equiv N_{L/M}(x+\zeta^{-1}y) \pmod{p}.$$

This implies

$$\Phi(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

On the other hand, we obtain $x+y+z \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ by (1). Therefore the elements of H are congruent modulo p to those of the set

$$\left\{ \frac{x}{y}, \frac{y}{x}, \frac{x}{z}, \frac{z}{x}, \frac{y}{z}, \frac{z}{y} \right\}.$$

By the symmetry of the equation (1), the fact that $T=t$ satisfies the congruence (3) implies that the elements of H other than t also satisfy the congruence (3). This completes the proof of the theorem.

§ 2. Some special cases.

In some special cases, the set H degenerates: If $t \equiv 1$, or -2 , or $-1/2 \pmod{p}$, then $H = \{1, -2, -1/2\}$. If $t^2+t+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ and H has only 2 distinct elements. In all other cases, H has 6 distinct elements. However, Pollaczek proved that the second case never happens ([3]), that is, $t^2+t+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

We note that the congruence (3) is never trivial, because it is not satisfied by $T \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. We note also that (3) is always satisfied by $T \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{p}$. These are immediate consequences of the fact $N_{L/M}\zeta = 1$. Therefore, if $FLT_1(p)$ fails, and if $h_{\bar{M}}$ is not divisible by p , the number of the solutions of (3) must be ≥ 4 . If we admit using Pollaczek's result, then either -2 modulo p satisfies (3) or the number of the solutions of (3) must be ≥ 8 .

If $m = [L : M] = 3$, then the degree of Φ is 2; so 0 and 1 are all of the solutions of (3). Thus we obtain the following:

COROLLARY. *Suppose $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. If $FLT_1(p)$ fails, then p divides B_{3j+1} for some $j = 1, 3, \dots, (p-4)/3$.*

This corollary is weaker than classical results derived from "Kummer's congruences". Our proof, however, is different from their proofs.

Finally, we list the solutions of $\Phi(T) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ when $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ and $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$. Incidentally, $\Phi(T)/\sqrt{-p}$ is a monic polynomial with integral coefficients of degree $(p-3)/2$.

In the table below, the prime numbers for each of which there is a solution t of the congruence (3) such that the set H is contained in the set of solutions of (3) are 19, 43, 67, 139 and 163. But these are of the

type $t^2+t+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, which is excluded by Pollaczek's result.

p	the solutions modulo p					
7	0	1				
11	0	1				
19	0	1	17	11		
23	0	1	7	19		
31	0	1				
43	0	1	6	36		
47	0	1	17	35	36	43
59	0	1	22	51		
67	0	1	29	37		
71	0	1				
79	0	1				
83	0	1				
103	0	1				
107	0	1				
127	0	1				
131	0	1	10	118		
139	0	1	42	96		
151	0	1	66	135		
163	0	1	58	104		
167	0	1				
179	0	1	65	168		
191	0	1	56	58		
199	0	1				

The result in the present paper seems to have relation to Kummer's congruences. It is, however, still unknown to the author.

References

- [1] N. ADACHI, Generalization of Kummer's criterion for divisibility of class numbers, *J. Number Theory*, **5** (1973), 253-265.
- [2] N. ADACHI, The Diophantine equation $x^2 \pm ly^2 = z^l$ connected with Fermat's Last Theorem, *Tokyo J. Math.*, **11** (1988), 85-94.

- [3] F. POLLACZEK, Über den grossen Fermat'schen Satz, Sitzungsber. Akad. Wiss. Wien II a, **126** (1917), 45-59.

Present Address:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, WASEDA UNIVERSITY
OKUBO, SHINJUKU-KU, TOKYO 160, JAPAN