

Transformation \tilde{G} of Analytic Functionals with Unbounded Carriers and Its Applications

Kunio YOSHINO

Sophia University

1. Introduction.

In [1] and [8], Avanissian and Supper considered transformation \tilde{G} for analytic functionals. They applied transformation \tilde{G} to study arithmetic entire functions of exponential type in Abel sense and derived Abel summation formula for entire functions of exponential type in C^n by using the sequence $\{D^n F(n)\}$. They also showed some relations between analytic functionals and classical special functions using transformation \tilde{G} . In this paper we will consider transformation \tilde{G} for analytic functionals with unbounded carrier. As application, we derive some theorems for holomorphic (non-entire) functions of exponential type defined in direct product of half planes by using the sequence $\{D^{-n}F(-n)\}$.

2. Notations.

$U = \{\zeta = r \exp(i\theta) \in C^n : 0 \leq r < (\pi - |\theta|) / |\sin(\theta)|\}$. $\Phi(\zeta) = \exp(-\zeta)/\zeta$. Φ is biholomorphic mapping between $U/\{0\}$ and $C/[-e, 0]$ ([4]). We put $\psi = \Phi^{-1}$.

For set L in C^n , $L_i = \text{pr}_i(L)$ denotes i -th projection of L . $\langle z, t \rangle = z_1 t_1 + \cdots + z_n t_n$ for $z = (z_1, \cdots, z_n)$, $t = (t_1, \cdots, t_n) \in C^n$. $H_L(z) = \sup_{t \in L} \text{Re} \langle z, t \rangle$.

$d(F)$ denotes transfinite diameter of compact set F in C . For the details of transfinite diameter, we refer the reader to [6].

$$D_x^{-n} f(x) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^\infty f(x-y) y^{n-1} dy. \quad (n \in N)$$

Under suitable conditions, $D_x^n D_x^{-n} f(x) = f(x)$ valids for ordinary differential operator D_x . We put

$$D^{-m} = D_{x_1}^{-m_1} \cdots D_{x_n}^{-m_n}, \quad |m| = m_1 + \cdots + m_n \quad \text{for } m = (m_1, \cdots, m_n) \in N^n.$$

$\text{Exp}(D : L) = \{\text{holomorphic functions in } D \text{ satisfying condition } (*) \text{ in theorem 2}\}.$

Let K be a number field of degree $d=[K:\mathbf{Q}]=r+2s$. $K^{(i)}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) and $\bar{K}^{(r+j)}=K^{(r+s+j)}$ ($1 \leq j \leq s$) are its conjugate fields. \mathcal{O}_K and $\mathcal{O}_K^{(j)}$ denote the rings of algebraic integers on K and $K^{(j)}$ respectively. If $a \in \mathcal{O}_K^{(i)}$, $a^{(j)} \in \mathcal{O}_K^{(j)}$ are conjugates of a . For $f(w)=\sum a_n w^n \in K[w]$, we denote $f^{(j)}(w)=\sum a_n^{(j)} w^n \in K^{(j)}[w]$. We put $\delta=d$ if $K \subset \mathbf{R}$, $\delta=d/2$ if $K \not\subset \mathbf{R}$. For algebraic integer a , $|a|=\max\{|a_1|, \dots, |a_k|\}$ where a_1, \dots, a_k are conjugates of a over \mathbf{Q} .

3. Fourier-Borel transform of analytic functionals with unbounded carrier.

In what follows, L denotes closed convex set bounded in imaginary direction in \mathbf{C}^n . We put

$$Q(L:k') = \lim_{\varepsilon > 0, \varepsilon' > 0} \text{ind } Q_\varepsilon(L_\varepsilon:k'+\varepsilon')$$

where $Q_\varepsilon(L_\varepsilon:k'+\varepsilon') = \{f \in C(\bar{L}_\varepsilon) \cap H(L_\varepsilon) : \sup_{z \in L_\varepsilon} |f(z) \exp((k'+\varepsilon')z)| < \infty\}$, L_ε is ε -neighbourhood of L and \bar{L}_ε is closure of L_ε . $C(\bar{L}_\varepsilon)$ and $H(L_\varepsilon)$ denote the space of continuous functions on \bar{L}_ε and holomorphic functions in L_ε respectively. $Q'(L:k')$ denotes the dual space of $Q(L:k')$. An element of $Q'(L:k')$ is called an analytic functional with carrier in L and of type k' . $T \in Q'(L:k')$ can be represented by measure. Namely, we have

PROPOSITION 1 ([7]). *Let $T \in Q'(L:k')$. For all $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varepsilon' > 0$, there exists a measure μ on L_ε such that $\langle T, h \rangle = \int h(t) \exp(k't + \varepsilon'|t||/2) d\mu(t)$, for $h \in Q_\varepsilon(L_\varepsilon:k'+\varepsilon')$.*

Fourier-Borel transform $\tilde{T}(z)$ of $T \in Q'(L:k')$ is defined as follows:

$$\tilde{T}(z) = \langle T, \exp(\langle t, z \rangle) \rangle.$$

Following Paley-Wiener type theorem characterizes Fourier-Borel transform of $Q'(L:k')$.

THEOREM 2 ([7]). *Suppose that $T \in Q'(L:k')$. Then $\tilde{T}(z)$ is holomorphic function in $D = \prod_{i=1}^n \{z_i \in \mathbf{C} : \text{Re } z_i < -k'\}$ and satisfies following estimate: For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varepsilon' > 0$, there exists $C_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}$ such that*

$$(*) \quad |\tilde{T}(z)| \leq C_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'} \exp(H_L(z) + \varepsilon|z|) \quad (\text{Re } z_i \leq -k' - \varepsilon', 1 \leq i \leq n).$$

Conversely, if holomorphic function $F(z)$ in D satisfies above estimate (), then there exists $T \in Q'(L:k')$ such that $\tilde{T}(z) = F(z)$.*

4. Transformation \tilde{G} .

In this section we put following assumptions (i) and (ii).

(i) $0 \leq k' < 1$ and

(ii) For some positive constants a_i and b_i , L_i is contained in

$$U \cap \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} \zeta \geq a_i, |\operatorname{Im} \zeta| \leq b_i < \pi\} \quad \text{for } i=1, \dots, n.$$

We put

$$\tilde{G}_L(T)(w) = \left\langle T, \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - w_i t_i \exp(t_i))^{-1} \right\rangle$$

for $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n (C/\overline{\Phi(L_i)})$. $\tilde{G}_L(T)(w)$ has following properties.

PROPOSITION 3. (1) $\tilde{G}_L(T)(w)$ is holomorphic in $\prod_{i=1}^n (C/\overline{\Phi(L_i)})$ and vanishes at the infinity.

(2) Following expansion holds:

$$\tilde{G}_L(T)(w) = (-1)^n \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}^n} D^{-m} \tilde{T}(-m) w^{-m} \quad (|w| \gg 1).$$

(3) For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varepsilon' > 0$, there exists $C_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'} > 0$ such that

$$|\tilde{G}_L(T)(w)| \leq C_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'} |w|^{-k' - \varepsilon'} \quad (b + \varepsilon \leq |\arg w| \leq \pi).$$

(4) (Inversion formula)

$$\tilde{T}(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{G}_L(T)(w) \exp(z\Psi(w)) dw/w$$

where $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \dots \times \Gamma_n$ and Γ_j is a contour surrounding $\Phi(L_j)$.

PROOF. (1) can be proved by proposition 1, Morera's theorem and Fubini's theorem.

$$(2) \quad (1 - wt \exp(t))^{-1} = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} w^{-m} t^{-m} \exp(-mt),$$

$$t^{-n} \exp(-nt) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-t(a+n)) a^{n-1} da.$$

From these equalities we obtain

$$\tilde{G}_L(T)(w) = (-1)^n \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}^n} D^{-m} \tilde{T}(-m) w^{-m},$$

for sufficiently large w .

(3) Our proof is almost similar to that of proposition 3 in [5]. By the definition of topology of $Q(L:k')$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{G}_L(T)(w)| &\leq C_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'} \sup_{t \in L_\varepsilon} \left| \exp((k' + \varepsilon')t) \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - w_i t_i \exp(t_i))^{-1} \right| \\
&\quad \cdot |\exp((k' + \varepsilon')t)(1 - wte^t)^{-1}| \\
&= |te^t|^{-1+k'+\varepsilon'} |t|^{-k'-\varepsilon'} |w - t^{-1}e^{-t}|^{-k'-\varepsilon'} |w - t^{-1}e^{-t}|^{-1+k'+\varepsilon'} \\
&\quad \cdot |w - t^{-1}e^{-t}| \geq |w| \sin \varepsilon, \\
|te^t|^{-1-k'+\varepsilon'} |w - t^{-1}e^{-t}|^{-1+k'+\varepsilon'} &\leq (\sin \varepsilon)^{-1+k'+\varepsilon'}
\end{aligned}$$

for w such that $b + \varepsilon \leq |\arg w| \leq \pi$. From these estimate, we obtain our desired estimate (cf. [6]).

(4) By virtue of (3) in this proposition and proposition 1, we can change the order of integration. After change of variable $t = \Psi(w)$, we obtain inversion formula by residue theorem.

REMARK. If L is a compact convex set satisfying assumption (ii) in this section, then inversion formula (4) is equals to Supper's formula. By Lagrange-Bruman formula for inverse function ([6]), we have

$$\Psi(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-n)^{n-1}}{n!} w^{-n} \quad (|w| > e).$$

Hence $\lim_{|w| \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(w) = 0$. So our inversion formula is equals to Supper's one by Cauchy's integral theorem.

5. Relations between transformations.

Suppose that $L = \prod_{i=1}^n L_i$ and L satisfies condition (ii) in sec. 4. For $F \in \text{Exp}(D : L)$, we define $M^{-1}(F)(w)$ as follows:

$$(M^{-1}F)(z) = (2i)^{-n} \int_{\infty}^{(0+)} (\sin(\pi z) \Gamma(z))^{-1} w^{-z} \int_0^{\infty} F(-z-a) a^{z-1} da dz.$$

Integral signs denote n -fold integrals.

$\mathcal{O}_0(\prod_{i=1}^n (C/\overline{\Phi(L_i)}))$ denotes the space of all holomorphic functions which satisfies (1), (2) and (3) in proposition 3. For $g \in \mathcal{O}_0(\prod_{i=1}^n (C/\overline{\Phi(L_i)}))$, we put $M(g)(z)$ as follows:

$$M(g)(z) = (2\pi i)^{-n} \int_{\Gamma} g(w) \exp(z\Psi(w)) dw/w,$$

where Γ is the same countour as in (4) in proposition 3.

We have following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Exp}(D:L) & & \\
 \text{FB} \uparrow & \swarrow M & \\
 Q'(L:k) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{G}} & \mathcal{O}_0\left(\prod_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{C}/\overline{\Phi(L_i)})\right)
 \end{array}$$

M^{-1} (arrow from \mathcal{O}_0 to $\text{Exp}(D:L)$)

where FB denotes the Fourier-Borel transform.

6. Applications.

In this section we will show some applications. In what follows we assume (i) and (ii) in Sec. 4.

THEOREM 4. *Suppose that $F(z) \in \text{Exp}(D:L)$. If $D^{-m}F(-m) = 0$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}^n$), then F vanishes identically.*

PROOF. By theorem 2, there exists $T \in Q'(L:k')$ such that $\tilde{T}(z) = F(z)$. From (2) in proposition 3 and the assumption $D^{-m}F(-m) = 0$, $\tilde{G}_L(T)(w) = 0$. By Inversion formula (4) in proposition 3, $F(z) = 0$.

REMARK. In theorem 4, condition (ii) in section 4 is crucial. $F(z) = \exp(sz) - \exp(\bar{s}z)$ ($\text{Re } s > 0$ and $s \in \partial U$) satisfies all conditions in theorem 4. But $F(z)$ does not vanish.

COROLLARY 5. *Suppose that $F \in \text{Exp}(D:L)$ and satisfies following conditions:*

$$D^{-i}F(-i) \in Z, \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}^n).$$

$$D^{-i-j}F(-i-j) = D^{-i}F(-i)D^{-j}F(-j), \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{N}^n).$$

If $a > \Psi(1) = 0.567 \dots$, then F vanishes identically.

REMARK. $\Psi(1)$ is crucial. $F(z) = \exp(z\Psi(1))$ satisfies above condition. But it does not vanish.

THEOREM 6. *Suppose that $F \in \text{Exp}(D:L)$ satisfies following conditions:*

(iii) $D^{-m}F(-m) \in \mathcal{O}_k$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}^n$).

(iv) $\limsup_{|m| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|m|} \log |D^{-m}F(-m)| \leq c$, (c is a positive constant).

If $\log(d(\overline{\Phi(L_i)})) < -(\delta - 1)c$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, then $F(z)$ is exponential polynomial.

PROOF. Put $a_n = D^{-n}F(-n)$, $S_i = \overline{\Phi(L_i)}$ ($\bar{}$ denotes the closure),

$$S_i^{(j)} = \begin{cases} S_i & \text{if } K = K^{(j)} \\ \bar{S}_i & \text{if } \bar{K} = K^{(j)} \\ \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| \leq \Phi(c)\} & \text{other case,} \end{cases}$$

$$f^{(j)}(w) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_m^{(j)} w^{-m}.$$

Then $f^{(j)}$ and $S_i^{(j)}$ satisfies all conditions in theorem 1 in [2]. So $\tilde{G}_L(T)(w)$ is rational function as follows:

$$\tilde{G}_L(T)(w) = P(w_1, \dots, w_n) / \prod_{i=1}^n Q_i(w_i)$$

where $P \in \mathcal{O}_k[w_1, \dots, w_n]$, $Q_i(w_i) \in \mathcal{O}_k[w_i]$ and $\deg_{w_i} P < \deg Q_i$. Furthermore $Q_i(w_i)$ are monic (i.e. coefficient of highest degree term is unit). By virtue of inversion formula (4) in proposition 3, we have our desired result.

COROLLARY 7. Put $L = \prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, \infty)$. Suppose that $F(z) \in \text{Exp}(D:L)$ and $D^{-m} F(-m) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}^n$. r is a real number such that $r \exp(r) = 4^{-1}$ ($r = 0.204 \dots$). If $a_i > r$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, then $F(z)$ is exponential polynomial as follows:

$$F(z) = \sum P_{j_1 \dots j_n}(z_1, \dots, z_n) (\exp(z_1 \Psi(b_{1,j_1}) + \dots + z_2 \Psi(b_{n,j_n}))$$

where b_{k,j_k} 's are algebraic integers contained in $[0, \Phi(a_i)]$ together with their conjugates.

PROOF. In this corollary, $\delta = 1$ and $d(\Phi(L_i)) = (a_i \exp(a_i))^{-1}/4$ ([6]). By assumption on a_i , $d(\Phi(L_i))$ is less than 1. Hence we can apply theorem 6 with arbitrary positive number c .

Now we define real numbers r_k 's as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} r_k \exp(r_k) &= k^{-1} \quad (k = 1, 2), \\ r_1 &= 0.567 \dots, \quad r_2 = 0.35 \dots, \\ r_3 \exp(r_3) &= (3 + \sqrt{5})/2, \quad r_0 \exp(r_0) = (3 - \sqrt{5})/2, \\ r_3 &= 0.9814 \dots, \quad r_0 = 0.286 \dots. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 8. Put same assumptions in corollary 7. If $a_i > r_1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, then F vanishes identically.

COROLLARY 9. Put same assumptions in corollary 6 and $n = 1$. If $a > r_3$, then $F(z) = P_0(z) \exp(r_0 z) + P_1(z) \exp(r_1 z) + P_2(z) \exp(r_2 z)$, where P_i 's are polynomials in $\mathcal{Q}[z]$.

PROOF. All algebraic integers contained in $[0, (3 + \sqrt{5})/2]$ with their conjugates are $0, 1, 2, 3, (3 + \sqrt{5})/2, (3 - \sqrt{5})/2$ ([3]). So, we obtain corollary 8 and 9.

References

- [1] V. AVANISSIAN and R. SUPPER, Sur les fonctions entières arithmétiques au sens d'Abel de plusieurs variables, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **312** (1991), 781–784.
- [2] A. BAZYLEWICZ, Critère de reconnaissabilité de fonctions analytiques et fonctions entières, Acta Arith.

- 51 (1988), 311–319.
- [3] R. C. BUCK, Integral valued entire functions, *Duke Math. J.* **15** (1948), 879–891.
 - [4] A. O. GELFOND, *Differenzenrechnung*, Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaft (1958).
 - [5] M. MORIMOTO and K. YOSHINO, A uniqueness theorem for holomorphic functions of exponential type, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **7** (1978), 259–270.
 - [6] G. POLYA and G. SZERÖ, *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis I*, Berlin (1925).
 - [7] P. SARGOS and M. MORIMOTO, Transformations des fonctionnelles analytiques à porteurs non-compacts, *Tokyo J. Math.* **4** (1981), 457–492.
 - [8] R. SUPPER, Exemples d'applications de la transformation G des fonctionnelles analytiques, *Complex Variables* **18** (1992), 201–212.

Present Address:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOPHIA UNIVERSITY,
KIOICHO, CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO, 102 JAPAN.