

On the Bernstein-Nikolsky Inequality II *

Ha Huy BANG

Institute of Mathematics, Hanoi
(Communicated by T. Nagano)

Abstract. Certain exact results concerning the Bernstein-Nikolsky inequality are established in this paper.

1. Introduction.

It is well-known that while trigonometric polynomials are good means of approximation for periodic functions, entire functions of exponential type may serve as a mean of approximation for nonperiodic functions. Some properties of entire functions of exponential type, bounded on the real space \mathbf{R}^n have been considered in [5]. These results (one of them is the Bernstein-Nikolsky inequality) are very important in the imbedding theory, the approximation theory and applications. The present paper is a continuation of this direction.

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$, $\sigma_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, n$. Denote by $M_{\sigma,p}$ the space of all entire functions of exponential type σ which as functions of a real x belong to $L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$. The Bernstein-Nikolsky inequality reads as follows [5, p. 114]: Let $f(x) \in M_{\sigma,p}$. Then

$$(1) \quad \|D^\alpha f\|_p \leq \sigma^\alpha \|f\|_p, \quad \alpha \geq 0.$$

It is natural to ask whether there is a function $f(x) \notin M_{\sigma,p}$ for which these inequalities (1) hold? We will show by a very simple proof (for a more general case) that the answer is negative. In other words, the Bernstein-Nikolsky inequality wholly characterizes the space $M_{\sigma,p}$. Further, we extend results obtained in [1, 2] for L_p -norm to Luxemburg-norm and prove one exact inequality which is dual with the Bernstein-Nikolsky inequality. Finally, we consider the corresponding results for functions defined on torus T^n .

2. Results.

Let $\phi(t): [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be an arbitrary Young function [4, 6], i.e. $\phi(0) =$

Received September 20, 1993

* Supported by the National Basic Research Program in Natural Science, the NCSR of Vietnam "Applied Mathematics" and by the Department of Mathematics, Sophia University.

0, $\phi(t) \geq 0$, $\phi(t) \neq 0$ and $\phi(t)$ is convex. Denote by $L_\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of all functions $f(x)$ measurable on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\|f\|_\phi = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(|f(x)|/\lambda) dx \leq 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

Then $L_\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the Luxemburg norm $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ is a Banach space. $L_\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is called Orlicz space.

Recall that $\|\cdot\|_\phi = \|\cdot\|_p$ when $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\phi(t) = t^p$; and $\|\cdot\|_\phi = \|\cdot\|_\infty$ when $\phi(t) = 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $\phi(t) = \infty$ for $t > 1$. Orlicz spaces often arise in the study of nonlinear problems.

Denote by $M_{\sigma,\phi}$ the space of all entire functions of exponential type σ which as functions of a real $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ belong to $L_\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is easy to check that $M_{\sigma,\phi} \subset \mathcal{S}'$. Therefore, it follows from the Paley-Wiener-Schwartz theorem that

$$M_{\sigma,\phi} = \{f \in L_\phi(\mathbb{R}^n) : \text{supp } Ff \subset \Delta_\sigma\},$$

where F is the Fourier transform and $\Delta_\sigma = \{\xi : |\xi_j| \leq \sigma_j, j=1, \dots, n\}$.

We obtain the following result:

THEOREM 1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'$. So that $f(x) \in M_{\sigma,\phi}$, it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a constant $C = C(f)$ such that*

$$(2) \quad \|D^\alpha f\|_\phi \leq C\sigma^\alpha, \quad \alpha \geq 0.$$

PROOF. Necessity. In the same way as in [5] we easily get the Bernstein-Nikolsky inequality for Luxemburg norm:

$$\|D^\alpha f\|_\phi \leq \sigma^\alpha \|f\|_\phi, \quad \alpha \geq 0.$$

Therefore, we have (2).

Sufficiency. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\phi(t)$ is left continuous. Actually, in the contrary case, there exists a point $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0^-} \phi(t) < \phi(t_0) \leq \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(t) = \infty \text{ for } t > t_0.$$

We put

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases} \phi(t), & t \neq t_0 \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0^-} \phi(t), & t = t_0. \end{cases}$$

Then $\psi(t)$ is a left continuous Young function and $\|\cdot\|_\psi = \|\cdot\|_\phi$. Therefore, we can replace $\phi(t)$ by $\psi(t)$.

Assume that (2) holds. It is easily seen that $f(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We put

$$(3) \quad f_r(x) = \frac{1}{\text{mes } B(0, r)} \int_{B(0, r)} f(x+t) dt,$$

where $B(0, r)$ is the ball of radius r centered at zero. Then by Jensen's inequality we get

$$\phi\left(\frac{|D^\alpha f_r(x)|}{\|D^\alpha f\|_\phi + \varepsilon}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\text{mes } B(0, r)} \int_{B(0, r)} \phi\left(\frac{|D^\alpha f(x)|}{\|D^\alpha f\|_\phi + \varepsilon}\right) dt \leq \frac{1}{\text{mes } B(0, r)}$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. Therefore, taking account of the left continuity of $\phi(t)$ and (2), we have

$$(4) \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |D^\alpha f_r(x)| \leq \lambda_r \|D^\alpha f\|_\phi \leq C \lambda_r \sigma^\alpha, \quad \alpha \geq 0,$$

where $\lambda_r = \sup\{t : \phi(t) \leq 1/\text{mes } B(0, r)\}$. Therefore, the Taylor series

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D^\alpha f_r(0) z^\alpha$$

converges for any point $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and represents $f_r(x)$ in \mathbb{R}^n . Hence, taking account of (4), we have

$$|f_r(z)| \leq C \lambda_r \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j |z_j|\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Therefore, $f_r(z)$ is an entire function of exponential type σ . Hence, it follows from the Paley-Wiener-Schwartz theorem that

$$(5) \quad \text{supp } Ff_r \subset \Delta_\sigma, \quad r > 0.$$

On the other hand, it obviously follows from (3) that f_r converges weakly to f in \mathcal{S}' and therefore, Ff_r also converges weakly to Ff in \mathcal{S}' . Consequently, it follows readily from (5) that $\text{supp } Ff \subset \Delta_\sigma$. The proof is complete.

To check $f(x) \in M_{\sigma, \phi}$, the following result is more convenient:

THEOREM 2. *A function $f(x) \in \mathcal{S}'$ belongs to $M_{\sigma, \phi}$ if and only if*

$$(6) \quad \limsup_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} (\sigma^{-|\alpha|} \|D^\alpha f\|_\phi)^{1/|\alpha|} \leq 1.$$

PROOF. The "if" part follows readily from Theorem 1. Further, we suppose that inequality (6) holds. Given $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists a constant C_ε such that

$$\|D^\alpha f\|_\phi \leq C_\varepsilon (1 + \varepsilon)^{|\alpha|} \sigma^\alpha, \quad \alpha \geq 0.$$

Therefore, taking account of Theorem 1, we get

$$\text{supp } Ff \subset \Delta_{(1+\varepsilon)\sigma}.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{supp } Ff \subset \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \Delta_{(1+\varepsilon)\sigma} = \Delta_\sigma. \quad (\text{Q.E.D.})$$

REMARK 1. Theorem 2 gives us ability to estimate more roughly than Theorem 1. For example, if we have

$$\|D^\alpha f\|_\phi \leq C|\alpha|^4 \sigma^\alpha, \quad \alpha \geq 0,$$

then (6) is valid although (2) does not hold. Further, we notice that the root $1/|\alpha|$ in (6) cannot be replaced by any $1/|\alpha|t(\alpha)$, where $0 < t(\alpha)$, $\lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} t(\alpha) = \infty$. Actually, let $f(x) = e^{i2\sigma x}$. Then $f(x) \in M_{2\sigma, \infty}$. At the same time,

$$\lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} (\sigma^{-\alpha} \|D^\alpha f\|_\infty)^{1/|\alpha|t(\alpha)} = \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} 2^{1/t(\alpha)} = 1.$$

In the same way as in [2] we easily get the following result:

THEOREM 3. Let $f(x) \in M_{\sigma, \phi}$ and $\phi(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$. Then

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0.$$

REMARK 2. In order that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0$, the condition $\phi(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$ is necessary because, in the contrary case, $M_{\sigma, \phi}$ contains all constant functions.

REMARK 3. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f(x) \in M_{\sigma, p}$. It has been proved in [1] that

$$\lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^{-\alpha} \|D^\alpha f\|_p = 0.$$

(This property is not true if $p = \infty$.) The question arises as to what happens for $M_{\sigma, \phi}$? It is not hard to show that

$$\lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^{-\alpha} \|D^\alpha f\|_\phi = 0$$

if $\phi(t)$ satisfies the Δ_2 -condition at zero, i.e. there exist positive numbers δ, M such that $\phi(2t) \leq M\phi(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq \delta$. We omit the proof of this fact here and let us return to this question another time, when we can completely solve this problem.

Further, let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be an arbitrary real unit vector. Then

$$D_a f(x) = f'_a(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x)$$

is the derivative of f at the point x in the direction a , and

$$f_a^{(l)}(x) = D_a f_a^{(l-1)}(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=l} a^\alpha f^{(\alpha)}(x) \quad (l=1, 2, \dots)$$

is the derivative of order l of f at x in the direction a .

Arguing as in [1] we can prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 4. Let $f(x) \in L_\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $h(a) = \sup_{\xi \in \text{supp} F_f} |a\xi| < \infty$. Then

$$\|D_a^m f\|_\phi \leq [h(a)]^m \|f\|_\phi, \quad m \geq 0.$$

Let us now prove one general result, which is dual with the Bernstein-Nikolsky inequality:

THEOREM 5. *Let I be an unbounded set of integral multi-indices $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\alpha_j \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $0 \in I$. And let $f(x)$ be a nonconstant measurable function such that its generalized derivative $D^\alpha f(x)$ belongs to $L_\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\alpha \in I$. Then*

$$(7) \quad \liminf_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} (|\xi^{-\alpha}| \|D^\alpha f\|_\phi)^{1/|\alpha|} \geq 1$$

for any point $\xi \in \text{supp } \tilde{f}$, where $\tilde{f} = Ff$.

PROOF. Let $\xi^0 \in \text{supp } \tilde{f}$, $\xi_j^0 \neq 0, j = 1, \dots, n$. For the sake of convenience, we denote $\text{supp } \tilde{f}$ by $\text{sp}(f)$ and assume that $\xi_j^0 > 0, j = 1, \dots, n$. We fix a number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $2\varepsilon < \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \xi_j^0$ and choose a domain G with a smooth boundary Γ such that $\xi^0 \in G$ and $G \subset K$, where

$$K = \{\xi : \xi_j^0 - \varepsilon \leq \xi_j \leq \xi_j^0 + \varepsilon, j = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

It follows from $f \in L_\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that $f \in \mathcal{S}'$. Hence,

$$(8) \quad \langle \tilde{f}(\xi), \varphi(\xi) \rangle = \langle f(x), \tilde{\varphi}(x) \rangle$$

for any function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$. Further, we fix a function $\tilde{v} \in C_0^\infty(G)$ such that $\xi^0 \in \text{supp}(\tilde{v}\tilde{f})$. Putting $\varphi(\xi) = \tilde{v}(\xi)\tilde{w}(\xi)$ in (8), where $\tilde{w}(\xi) \in C_0^\infty(G)$ is an arbitrary function, we have

$$(9) \quad \langle \tilde{v}(\xi)\tilde{f}(\xi), \tilde{w}(\xi) \rangle = \langle f(x), \varphi(x) \rangle,$$

where $\varphi(x) = \tilde{v} * \check{w}(x)$, where $\check{w}(x) = w(-x)$. The distribution $\tilde{v}(\xi)\tilde{f}(\xi)$ has a compact support. Therefore, it can be represented in the form

$$\tilde{v}(\xi)\tilde{f}(\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha h_\alpha(\xi),$$

where m is a nonnegative integer and $h_\alpha(\xi)$ are ordinary functions in G . Without loss of generality we may assume that $m \geq 2n$.

It is well-known that the Dirichlet problem for the elliptic differential equation

$$L_{2m} \tilde{z}(\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha (D^\alpha \tilde{z}(\xi)) = \tilde{v}(\xi)\tilde{f}(\xi)$$

has a unique solution $\tilde{z}(\xi) \in W_{m,2}^0(G)$ (see, for example, [3, p. 82]).

(Recall that the Sobolev space $W_{m,2}(G)$ is the completion $C^m(G)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{m,2} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^2(G)}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

And $W_{m,2}^0(G)$ is the subspace of all functions $u(x) \in W_{m,2}(G)$ such that the zero extension of $u(x)$ outside G belongs to $W_{m,2}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

From (9) we obtain

$$(10) \quad \langle \tilde{z}(\xi), L_{2m}\tilde{w}(\xi) \rangle = \langle f(x), \varphi(x) \rangle$$

for all $\tilde{w}(\xi) \in C_0^\infty(G)$. It is obvious that the left side of (10) admits a closure up to an arbitrary function $\tilde{w}(\xi) \in W_{m,2}^0(G)$. Hence, replacing $\tilde{w}(\xi)$ by $\xi^\alpha \tilde{w}(\xi)$ in (10), we get easily

$$(11) \quad \langle \tilde{z}(\xi), L_{2m}(\xi^\alpha \tilde{w}(\xi)) \rangle = (-i)^{|\alpha|} \langle D^\alpha f(x), \varphi(x) \rangle$$

for all $\tilde{w}(\xi) \in W_{m,2}^0(G)$.

Now let $\tilde{w}_0(\xi) \in W_{m,2}^0(G)$ be a solution of the equation $L_{2m}\tilde{w}_0(\xi) = \overline{\tilde{z}(\xi)}$. Then since $0 \notin G$ we get

$$(12) \quad L_{2m}(\xi^\alpha \tilde{w}_0(\xi)) = \prod_{j=1}^n (\xi_j^0 - 2\varepsilon)^{\alpha_j} \overline{\tilde{z}(\xi)},$$

where $\tilde{w}_\alpha(\xi) = \prod_{j=1}^n (\xi_j^0 - 2\varepsilon)^{\alpha_j} \xi^{-\alpha} \tilde{w}_0(\xi)$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. Therefore, it follows from (11) that

$$\prod_{j=1}^n (\xi_j^0 - 2\varepsilon)^{\alpha_j} \langle \tilde{z}(\xi), \overline{\tilde{z}(\xi)} \rangle = (-i)^{|\alpha|} \langle D^\alpha f(x), \varphi_\alpha(x) \rangle,$$

where $\varphi_\alpha(x) = \check{v} * \check{w}_\alpha(x) \in L_{\check{\varphi}}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\alpha \geq 0$ (the fact that $\varphi_\alpha(x) \in L_{\check{\varphi}}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ will be shown later). Therefore, using the Weiss theorem [6], we get

$$(13) \quad \prod_{j=1}^n (\xi_j^0 - 2\varepsilon)^{\alpha_j} \langle \tilde{z}(\xi), \overline{\tilde{z}(\xi)} \rangle \leq 2 \|D^\alpha f\|_\phi \|v\|_1 \|w_\alpha\|_{\check{\varphi}}, \quad \alpha \in I.$$

Now we prove that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(14) \quad 2 \|v\|_1 \|w_\alpha\|_{\check{\varphi}} \leq C, \quad \alpha \geq 0.$$

Indeed, since

$$x^\beta w_\alpha(x) = (-i)^{|\beta|} \prod_{j=1}^n (\xi_j^0 - 2\varepsilon)^{\alpha_j} \int_G e^{ix\xi} D^\beta (\xi^{-\alpha} \tilde{w}_0(\xi)) d\xi,$$

from the Leibniz formula and the definition of G , we obtain for any $|\beta| \leq 2n$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^n} |x^\beta w_\alpha(x)| &\leq \prod_{j=1}^n (\xi_j^0 - 2\varepsilon)^{\alpha_j} \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \left\{ \frac{\beta!}{\gamma!(\beta-\gamma)!} \prod_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \cdots (\alpha_k + \gamma_k - 1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \int_G |\xi^{-(\alpha+\gamma)} D^{\beta-\gamma} \tilde{w}_0(\xi)| d\xi \right\} \\ &\leq C_1 \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\xi_j^0 - 2\varepsilon}{\xi_j^0 - \varepsilon} \right)^{\alpha_j} \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \frac{\beta!}{\gamma!(\beta-\gamma)!} \prod_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \cdots (\alpha_k + \gamma_k - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_1 = \max \left\{ \int_G |\xi^{-\gamma} D^{\beta-\gamma} \tilde{w}_0(\xi)| d\xi : \gamma \leq \beta, |\beta| \leq 2n \right\}.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\prod_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \cdots (\alpha_k + \gamma_k - 1) < (|\alpha| + 2n)^{2n}$$

(because of $|\gamma| \leq |\beta| \leq 2n$), and

$$2^{|\beta|} = \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \frac{\beta!}{\gamma!(\beta-\gamma)!}$$

and

$$\lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} (|\alpha| + 2n)^{2n} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\xi_j^0 - 2\varepsilon}{\xi_j^0 - \varepsilon} \right)^{\alpha_j} = 0,$$

we obtain

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |x^\beta w_\alpha(x)| \leq C_2$$

for all $|\beta| \leq 2n$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. Consequently, there is an absolute constant C_3 such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1+x_1^2) \cdots (1+x_n^2) |w_\alpha(x)| \leq C_3.$$

Further, let $0 < \lambda_0 < \infty$ such that $\bar{\phi}(C_3/\lambda_0) \leq \pi^{-n}$. Then it is easy to check that $\|w_\alpha\|_{\bar{\phi}} \leq \lambda_0$ for all $\alpha \geq 0$. Thus we have proved (14) with $C = 2\lambda_0 \|v\|_1$. Further, combining (13) and (14), we obtain

$$\prod_{j=1}^n (\xi_j^0 - 2\varepsilon)^{\alpha_j} \langle \tilde{z}(\xi), \overline{\tilde{z}(\xi)} \rangle \leq C \|D^\alpha f\|_{\phi}, \quad \alpha \in I.$$

Therefore,

$$1 \leq \liminf_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} (\|D^\alpha f\|_{\phi} \prod_{j=1}^n (\xi_j^0 - 2\varepsilon)^{-\alpha_j})^{1/|\alpha|}.$$

Therefore, since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrarily chosen and

$$\left[\prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\xi_j^0 - 2\varepsilon}{\xi_j^0} \right)^{-\alpha_j} \right]^{1/|\alpha|} \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \frac{\xi_j^0}{\xi_j^0 - 2\varepsilon},$$

we get

$$1 \leq \liminf_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} ((\xi^0)^{-\alpha} \|D^\alpha f\|_{\phi})^{1/|\alpha|}$$

by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Finally, we shall prove (7) for "zero" points: Let $\xi^0 \in \text{sp}(f)$, $\xi^0 \neq 0$ and $\xi_1^0 \cdots \xi_n^0 = 0$. For the sake of convenience, we assume that $\xi_j^0 > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, k$ and $\xi_{k+1}^0 = \dots = \xi_n^0 = 0$ ($1 \leq k < n$). Then, it is enough to show (7) only for indices $\alpha \in I$ such that $\alpha_{k+1} = \dots = \alpha_n = 0$ (we presuppose that $\lambda/0 = \infty$ for $\lambda > 0$). Then the proof is analogous to the one above after only the following modification of choosing ε : We fix a number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $2\varepsilon < \min_{1 \leq j \leq k} \xi_j^0$ and a domain G with a smooth boundary Γ such that $\xi^0 \in G$ and $G \subset K$, where

$$K = \{ \xi : \xi_j^0 - \varepsilon \leq \xi_j \leq \xi_j^0 + \varepsilon, j = 1, \dots, n \}.$$

The proof of Theorem 5 is complete.

REMARK 4. Let $f(x) \in M_{\sigma, \phi}$ and $\text{sp}(f)$ contains at least one vertex of the parallelepiped Δ_σ . Then, using the Bernstein-Nikolsky inequality and Theorem 5, we get easily

$$\lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} (\sigma^{-\alpha} \|D^\alpha f\|_\phi)^{1/|\alpha|} = 1,$$

which shows that the bound 1 in inequality (7) cannot be improved.

REMARK 5. All the corresponding results for functions defined on torus T^n hold. We, for example, give here one result, which we can prove by a much easier way—by representing the considered function by its Fourier series:

THEOREM 6. Let I be an unbounded set of multi-indices $\alpha \geq 0$ and $0 \in I$. And let $f(x)$ be a nonconstant measurable function such that its generalized derivative $D^\alpha f(x)$ belongs to $L_\phi(T^n)$, $\alpha \in I$. Then

$$\liminf_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} (|k^{-\alpha}| \|D^\alpha f\|_{L_\phi(T^n)})^{1/|\alpha|} \geq 1$$

for any point $k \in \text{sp}(f)$.

References

- [1] H. H. BANG and M. MORIMOTO, On the Bernstein-Nikolsky inequality, Tokyo J. Math. **14** (1991), 231–238.
- [2] H. H. BANG and M. MORIMOTO, The sequence of Luxemburg norms of derivatives, Tokyo J. Math. **17** (1994), 141–147.
- [3] Ju.B. EGOROV, Lectures on partial differential equations, Moscow State Univ. Press (1985).
- [4] W. LUXEMBURG, Banach function spaces, (Thesis), Technische Hogeschool te Delft., the Netherlands (1955).
- [5] S. M. NIKOLSKY, *Approximation of Functions of Several Variables and Imbedding Theorems*, Nauka (1977).
- [6] R. O'NEIL, Fractional integration in Orlicz space I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1965), 300–328.

Present Address:

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,
P.O. BOX 631, BO HO, HANOI, VIETNAM.