Tokyo J. Math. Vol. 23, No. 1, 2000

# A Degenerate Neumann Problem for Quasilinear Elliptic Equations

## Kazuaki TAIRA, Dian K. PALAGACHEV and Peter R. POPIVANOV

University of Tsukuba, Technological University of Sofia and Bulgarian Academy of Sciences

(Communicated by T. Nagano)

Abstract. The degenerate Neumann problem

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = f(x, u, Du) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
$$a(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial u} + b(x)u = \varphi(x) \qquad \text{on } \Gamma$$

is studied in the case where a(x) and b(x) are non-negative functions on  $\Gamma$  such that a(x) + b(x) > 0 on  $\Gamma$ . A classical existence and uniqueness theorem in the Hölder space  $C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  is proved under suitable regularity and structure conditions on the data.

# 1. Introduction and Main Theorem.

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain of Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , with smooth boundary  $\Gamma$  and let  $\nu(x)$  be the unit exterior normal to  $\Gamma$ . In this paper we study the following *quasilinear* elliptic boundary value problem:

(1.1) 
$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = f(x, u, Du) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ a(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial v} + b(x)u = \varphi(x) & \text{on } \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

Here a(x) and b(x) are non-negative functions defined on  $\Gamma$ , and Du stands for the gradient  $(\partial u/\partial x_1, \partial u/\partial x_2, \dots, \partial u/\partial x_n)$  of u. Later on, we will denote by  $C^{k+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  the Hölder space of k-times continuously differentiable functions on the closure  $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \Gamma$  whose k-th order derivatives are Hölder continuous with exponent  $\alpha$  and also by  $\|\cdot\|_{C^{k+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}$  its usual norm. The Sobolev space of k-times weakly differentiable functions in  $\Omega$  whose derivatives up to order k belong to  $L^p(\Omega)$  will be denoted as usual by  $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ . The letter C stands for a generic positive constant depending only on known quantities but not on u, which may vary from a line into another.

Received December 4, 1998

The research of the first author is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for General Scientific Research (No. 10440050), Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan.

#### KAZUAKI TAIRA, DIAN K. PALAGACHEV AND PETER R. POPIVANOV

The linear problem (1.1) (i.e.  $f(x, z, p) = \sum_i b^i(x)p_i + c(x)z$ ) has been well studied in the recent years by Taira [9] and [10] both in the frameworks of Hölder and Sobolev spaces. In the case where the function f is nonlinear in u but independent of Du (i.e. f(x, z, p) = f(x, z)), there is a similar result due to Taira-Umezu [12] where a global static bifurcation theory is elaborated. We should also note the recent paper Taira [11] where the homogeneous problem (1.1) ( $\varphi \equiv 0$ ) with divergence form linear elliptic operator has been studied by means of the super-subsolution method. The interest to the problems of type (1.1) is prompted by their importance in probability theory and stochastic processes, as well as in Riemannian geometry. Thus the second-order differential operator in the problem (1.1) is called a diffusion operator describing analytically a strong Markov process with continuous paths in the state space  $\Omega$  (see [2], [10]) while the two terms  $a(x)(\partial u/\partial v)$  and b(x)u of the boundary condition correspond to reflection and absorption phenomena on  $\Gamma$ , respectively. On the other hand, the problem (1.1) with  $f(x, z, p) = f(x)z^{(n+2)/(n-2)}$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , is related to the so-called Yamabe problem which is a basic problem in Riemannian geometry (see [3], [6], [7]).

In this paper the data of the problem (1.1) will be subject to the following conditions: Uniform ellipticity condition: There exists a positive constant  $a_0$  such that

(1.2) 
$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \ge a_0|\xi|^2 \text{ for all } x \in \overline{\Omega}, \ \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n, \ a^{ij}(x) = a^{ji}(x).$$

Regularity conditions:

(1.3) 
$$\begin{cases} a^{ij} \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}), f(x, z, p) \in C^{\alpha}(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n), & 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ f(x, z, p) \text{ is continuously differentiable with respect to } z \text{ and } p. \end{cases}$$

Monotonicity condition: There exists a positive constant  $f_0$  such that

(1.4) 
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(x, z, p) \ge f_0 \text{ for all } (x, z, p) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n$$

Quadratic gradient growth condition: There exists a positive and non-decreasing function  $f_1(t)$  such that

(1.5) 
$$|f(x,z,p)| \le f_1(|z|)(1+|p|^2) \text{ for all } (x,z,p) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Our final condition concerns the behavior of the functions a and b on  $\Gamma$ :

(1.6) 
$$\begin{cases} a(x), \ b(x) \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma), \\ a(x) \ge 0, \ b(x) \ge 0, \ a(x) + b(x) > 0 \text{ for all } x \in \Gamma \end{cases}$$

It should be noted that the condition (1.6) allows the problem (1.1) to include both the purely Dirichlet  $(a(x) \equiv 0)$  and Neumann  $(b(x) \equiv 0)$  boundary conditions as particular cases. What is the important feature, however, of the condition (1.6) is that the problem (1.1) becomes a singular boundary value problem from an analytical point of view. This is due to the fact that, having a first order pseudo-differential operator T on  $\Gamma$ , the so-called Shapiro-Lopatinskii complementary condition is violated at the points  $x \in \Gamma$  where a(x) = 0. In fact, the main difficulty of the problem (1.1) comes from the fact that the operator T is not of principal type (see [9]). Amann-Crandall [1] studied the non-degenerate case; more precisely they assume that the boundary  $\Gamma$  is the *disjoint* union of the two closed subsets  $\Gamma_0 = \{x \in \Gamma : a(x) = 0\}$ 

228

and  $\Gamma_1 = \{x \in \Gamma : a(x) > 0\}$ , each of which is an (n - 1)-dimensional compact smooth manifold. On the other hand, the intuitive meaning of the requirement a(x) + b(x) > 0 on  $\Gamma$  is that, for the diffusion process described by the problem (1.1), either the reflection phenomenon or the absorption phenomenon occurs at each point of the boundary  $\Gamma$  (see [10]).

The main purpose of the present paper is to extend the above cited results by Taira [11] and Taira-Umezu [12] to the non-homogeneous problem (1.1) allowing quadratic nonlinearity in f with respect to the gradient Du of the unknown function u. We prove an existence and uniqueness theorem for the problem (1.1) in the Hölder space  $C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ . This is carried out by utilizing the Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem which reduces the solvability of the problem (1.1) to the establishment of an *a priori* estimate in  $C^{1+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  for all solutions to a family related to the problem (1.1). The deriving of the desired *a priori* estimate is a twostep process consisting of successive bounds on  $\|u\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})}$  and  $\|Du\|_{C^{\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}$ . The estimate of  $\|u\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})}$  follows, as usual, by using the maximum principle. As it concerns the *a priori* bound for  $\|Du\|_{C^{\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}$ , after reducing it to an estimate for  $\|Du\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$  with  $p = n/(1 - \alpha)$  (recall the Sobolev imbedding  $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^{\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ ), we apply a  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ -*a priori* bound for the solutions to the problem (1.1) derived by Taira [11]. A very important role in this procedure is played by the conditions (1.4) and (1.5), as well as by the results of Taira [10] on the isomorphic properties in Hölder and Sobolev spaces of the linear operators appearing in the problem (1.1).

Following Taira [9] and [10], we introduce the next interpolation Banach space

$$C_*^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma) = \{\varphi = a(x)\varphi_1 + b(x)\varphi_2 : \varphi_1 \in C^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma), \varphi_2 \in C^{2+\alpha}(\Gamma)\},\$$

equipped with the norm

 $\|\varphi\|_{C^{1+\alpha}_{t}(\Gamma)} = \inf\{\|\varphi_{1}\|_{C^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma)} + \|\varphi_{2}\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(\Gamma)} : \varphi = a(x)\varphi_{1} + b(x)\varphi_{2}\}.$ 

Now our main theorem can be stated as follows:

THEOREM 1.1. Suppose that the conditions (1.2) through (1.6) are fulfilled. Then the problem (1.1) admits a unique classical solution  $u \in C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  for each  $\varphi \in C^{1+\alpha}_*(\Gamma)$ .

For Theorem 1.1, we give a simple example of the function f(x, z, p):

EXAMPLE 1.2.  $f(x, z, p) = z \pm |p|^2$ . In this case one may take  $f_0 = 1$  and  $f_1(t) = 1 + t$ .

Theorem 1.1 will be extended to the *integro-differential operator* case in the forthcoming paper Palagachev-Popivanov-Taira [8].

## 2. Proof of Main Theorem.

As it was mentioned above, the main theorem, Theorem 1.1 will be proved by making use of the Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem. For this purpose, we need to establish an *a priori* estimate for the  $C^{1+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ -norm of each solution  $u \in C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  to the problem (1.1).

Let us start with the following comparison principle for quasilinear operators:

LEMMA 2.1. Suppose that the conditions (1.2) and (1.6) are fulfilled and that f(x, z, p) is increasing in z for each  $(x, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and is differentiable with respect to p for each  $(x, z) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $u, v \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$  satisfy the conditions

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - f(x, u, Du) \ge \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - f(x, v, Dv) & in \ \Omega, \\ a(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial v} + b(x)u \le a(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial v} + b(x)v & on \ \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

Then it follows that  $u \leq v$  on  $\overline{\Omega}$ .

**PROOF.** Let w = u - v, and suppose to the contrary that the set

$$\Omega^+ = \{x \in \Omega : w(x) > 0\} = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) > v(x)\}$$

is non-empty. Then it follows that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + f(x, u, Dv) - f(x, u, Du) \ge f(x, u, Dv) - f(x, v, Dv)$$
  
> 0 in  $\Omega^+$ ,

since f(x, z, p) increases with respect to the second argument z. Thus, by letting

$$b^{i}(x) = -\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{i}}(x, u(x), t Dw(x) + Dv(x))dt,$$

we obtain that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n b^i(x) \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega^+.$$

If  $x_0$  is a point of  $\overline{\Omega}$  such that  $w(x_0) = \max_{\overline{\Omega}} w(x) > 0$ , then it follows from an application of the strong interior maximum principle (cf. [5, Theorem 3.5]) that

 $x_0\in\partial\Omega^+\cap\Gamma.$ 

Thus we have, by the boundary point lemma (cf. [5, Lemma 3.4]),

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}(x_0) > 0.$$

However it follows from the condition (1.6) that

$$Bw(x_0) = a(x_0)\frac{\partial w}{\partial v}(x_0) + b(x_0)w(x_0) > 0.$$

This contradicts the boundary condition  $Bw(x_0) = Bu(x_0) - Bv(x_0) \le 0$ .

Therefore we have proved that the set  $\Omega^+$  is empty, and the statement follows.  $\Box$ 

2.1. A priori estimate for  $||u||_{C(\bar{\Omega})}$ . As the first step in obtaining the desired *a priori* estimate, we will consider the homogeneous case. Namely, let  $u \in C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  be a solution to

the problem

(2.1) 
$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = f(x, u, Du) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ a(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + b(x)u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

Then we have the following estimate:

LEMMA 2.2. Suppose that the conditions (1.2), (1.3), (1.4) and (1.6) are fulfilled, and let  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$  be a solution to the problem (2.1). Then we have the estimate

(2.2) 
$$\|u\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} = \max_{\bar{\Omega}} |u(x)| \le \frac{\max_{\bar{\Omega}} |f(x,0,0)|}{f_0}$$

PROOF. By letting

$$K = \frac{\max_{\bar{\Omega}} |f(x, 0, 0)|}{f_0},$$

we obtain that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial X_i \partial x_j} - f(x, K, DK) = -f(x, K, 0)$$
$$= -K \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(x, tK, 0) dt - f(x, 0, 0)$$
$$\leq -K f_0 - f(x, 0, 0)$$
$$\leq 0 \quad \text{for each } x \in \Omega,$$

as a consequence of the condition (1.4). Hence it follows that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - f(x, u, Du) = 0$$
$$\geq \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - f(x, K, DK) \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

On the other hand, we have

$$a(x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial v} + b(x)u = 0 \le b(x)K = a(x)\frac{\partial K}{\partial v} + b(x)K$$
 on  $\Gamma$ 

Therefore it follows from an application of Lemma 2.1 that  $u(x) \leq K$  for each  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ .

Repeating the above considerations with u(x) replaced by -u(x) and f(x, z, p) replaced by -f(x, -z, -p), respectively, we obtain that  $-u(x) \le K$  for each  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ .

Summing up, we have proved the estimate (2.2).  $\Box$ 

2.2. A priori estimate for  $[u]_{C^{1+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}$ . After having the estimate (2.2), the desired bound on  $||u||_{C^{1+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}$  will follow immediately if we have a uniform estimate for the Hölder

seminorm

$$[u]_{C^{1+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} := [Du]_{C^{\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} = \sup_{x,y\in\Omega} \frac{|Du(x) - Du(y)|}{|x-y|^{\alpha}}$$

On the other hand, the Morrey lemma assures the imbedding of the Sobolev space  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ into the Hölder space  $C^{1+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  with  $p = n/(1-\alpha)$ . Therefore the bound on  $[Du]_{C^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})}$ becomes equivalent to a uniform (with respect to u) estimate of the Sobolev norm  $||u||_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)}$ for each solution u to the problem (2.1). The last norm, however, is estimated in terms of  $||u||_{C(\overline{\Omega})}$  as is shown in [11, Proposition 2.3]. More precisely, there exists a non-negative and increasing function  $\gamma(t)$ , depending only on known quantities, such that

(2.3) 
$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq \gamma(\|u\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})})$$

for each solution  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  to the homogeneous problem (2.1).

In this way we have the following result:

THEOREM 2.3. Suppose that the conditions (1.2) through (1.6) are fulfilled. Then there exists a positive constant C, independent of u, such that

$$\|u\|_{C^{1+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \le C$$

for each solution  $u \in C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  to the problem (1.1) with  $\varphi \in C^{1+\alpha}_*(\Gamma)$ .

PROOF. The estimate (2.4) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.2, the Morrey lemma and the estimate (2.3) in the case where u solves the homogeneous problem (2.1).

To deal with the non-homogeneous problem (1.1), note that [10, Theorem 1.1] implies the existence of a unique solution  $v \in C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  to the linear problem

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ a(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial v} + b(x)v = \varphi & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

and further the norm  $\|v\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}$  depends continuously on the norm  $\|\varphi\|_{C^{1+\alpha}_*(\Gamma)}$ . Hence, if *u* is a solution to the problem (1.1), then the function w = u - v solves the homogeneous problem

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \bar{f}(x, w, Dw) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ a(x) \frac{\partial w}{\partial v} + b(x)w = 0 & \text{in } \Gamma, \end{bmatrix}$$

with the nonlinear term  $\bar{f}(x, z, p) = f(x, z + v(x), p + Dv(x)) - v(x)$ . Moreover, the conditions (1.4) and (1.5) are fulfilled by the function  $\bar{f}(x, z, p)$ .

Therefore, the estimate (2.4) holds for the function w and so it is satisfied also by u = v + w with a new positive constant C depending on  $||v||_{C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}$ , i.e., on  $||\varphi||_{C^{1+\alpha}_*(\Gamma)}$ , in addition.  $\Box$ 

2.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. The *uniqueness* assertion follows immediately from the comparison principle (Lemma 2.1).

In order to prove the *existence* part, we shall make use of the Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem (see [4, Theorem 5.4.14]; [5, Theorem 11.3]):

THEOREM 2.4. Let f(x, t) be a one-parameter family of compact operators defined on a Banach space X for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , with f(x, t) uniformly continuous in t for fixed  $x \in X$ . Furthermore suppose that every solution of x = f(x, t) for each  $t \in [0, 1]$  is contained in the fixed open ball  $\Sigma = \{x \in X : ||x|| < M\}$ . Then, assuming  $f(x, 0) \equiv 0$ , the operator f(x, 1)has a fixed point  $x \in \Sigma$ .

Let  $v \in C^{1+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ , and consider the linear problem

(2.5) 
$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = f(x, v, Dv) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ a(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial v} + b(x)u = \varphi(x) & \text{on } \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

Then, in view of the condition (1.3) it follows that  $f(x, v, Dv) \in C^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ . Therefore [10, Theorem 1.1] asserts the unique classical solvability in the Hölder space  $C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  of the problem (2.5). Defining a nonlinear operator

$$\mathcal{H}: C^{1+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \to C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \underset{\text{compactly}}{\hookrightarrow} C^{1+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$$

by the formula  $\mathcal{H}v = u$ , it is an immediate consequence of the cited Taira's result that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a continuous operator. Indeed, as shows [10, Theorem 1.1] the mapping

$$u \mapsto \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}, a \frac{\partial u}{\partial v} + bu\right)$$

is an algebraic and topological isomorphism of  $C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  onto  $C^{\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \oplus C_*^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma)$  for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . This implies the continuity of  $\mathcal{H}$  considered as an operator from  $C^{1+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  into  $C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ . Furthermore, since the space  $C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  is compactly imbedded into the space  $C^{1+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ , we derive immediately also the compactness of the mapping  $\mathcal{H}: C^{1+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \to C^{1+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ .

Now, for each  $\rho \in [0, 1]$ , consider the equation  $u = \rho \mathcal{H} u$ , that is, the problem

(2.6) 
$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \rho f(x, u, Du) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ a(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + b(x)u = \rho \varphi(x) & \text{on } \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

Then Theorem 2.3 assures the existence of a positive constant C, which depends only on the data of the problem (1.1) but not on u and  $\rho$ , such that

$$\|u\|_{C^{1+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \le C$$

for each solution  $u \in C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  to the problem (2.6).

In this way the properties of the operator  $\mathcal{H}$  and the estimate (2.7) imply, by Theorem 2.4, the existence of a fixed point  $u \in C^{1+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  of the operator  $\mathcal{H}$ . The function u becomes a

solution to the problem (1.1) in view of the definition of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Finally, the smoothing properties of  $\mathcal{H}$  yield that  $u = \mathcal{H}u \in C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ .

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is now complete.  $\Box$ 

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors are grateful to the referee for his careful reading of the manuscript and many valuable suggestions.

#### References

- H. AMANN and M. G. CRANDALL, On some existence theorems for semi-linear elliptic equations, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 27 (1978), 779-790.
- [2] J.-M. BONY, P. COURRÈGE et P. PRIOURET, Semi-groupes de Feller sur une variété à bord compacte et problèmes aux limites intégro-différentiels du second ordre donnant lieu au principe du maximum, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 18 (1968), 369-521.
- [3] M. A. del PINO, Positive solutions of a semilinear equation on a compact manifold, Nonlinear Anal. 22 (1994), 1423–1430.
- [4] A. FRIEDMAN, Partial differential equations, Holt, Rinehart and Winston (1969).
- [5] D. GILBARG and N. S. TRUDINGER, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, second edition, Springer (1983).
- [6] J. LEE and T. PARKER, The Yamabe problem, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1987), 37–91.
- [7] T. C. OUYANG, On the positive solutions of semilinear equations  $\Delta u + \lambda u hu^p = 0$  on the compact manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 331 (1992), 503-527.
- [8] D. K. PALAGACHEV, P. R. POPIVANOV and K. TAIRA, A degenerate Neumann problem for quasilinear elliptic integro-differential operators, Math. Z. 230 (1999), 679–694.
- [9] K. TAIRA, Analytic semigroups and semilinear initial boundary value problems, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 223, Cambridge Univ. Press (1995).
- [10] K. TAIRA, Boundary value problems for elliptic integro-differential equations, Math. Z. 222 (1996), 305-327.
- K. TAIRA, Existence and uniqueness theorems for semilinear elliptic boundary value problems, Adv. Differential Equations 2 (1997), 509-534.
- [12] K. TAIRA and K. UMEZU, Bifurcation for nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems III, Adv. Differential Equations 1 (1996), 709–727.

Present Addresses: Kazuaki Taira Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba 305–8571, Japan.

DIAN K. PALAGACHEV POLITECNICO DI BARI, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, VIA E. ORABONA 4, 70125 BARI, ITALY.

PETER R. POPIVANOV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, BULGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, "G. BONCHEV" STR., BL. 8, 1113 SOFIA, BULGARIA.

234