

## Iwasawa Theory for Extensions with Restricted $p$ -Ramification

Yoshitaka HACHIMORI

*Gakushuin University*

(Communicated by T. Kawasaki)

### 1. Introduction

Let  $p$  be a prime number. For an algebraic number field  $K$  of finite degree, consider an (arbitrary) subset  $T$  of  $P(K)$ , where  $P(K)$  is the set of all primes above  $p$  of  $K$ :

$$T \subset P(K).$$

Let  $K_\infty$  be the cyclotomic  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extension of  $K$  and  $T_\infty \subset P(K_\infty)$  the set of primes above  $T$  of  $K_\infty$ . Then, by  $\mathcal{M}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$ , we denote the maximal abelian  $p$ -extension of  $K_\infty$  unramified outside  $T_\infty$ . We call such an extension “the extension with restricted  $p$ -ramification”.

Since  $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$  acts on the Galois group

$$\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty) := \text{Gal}(\mathcal{M}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)/K_\infty)$$

by conjugation, it is regarded as a module over the power series ring  $\Lambda := \mathbf{Z}_p[[T]]$  in the usual manner. This is finitely generated over  $\Lambda$ .

In this article, we investigate the following question: What are the  $\Lambda$ -rank of  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  and the  $\mu$ -invariant of its  $\Lambda$ -torsion part  $\mu(\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)_{\Lambda\text{-tor}})$ ?

When  $T = \emptyset$  (empty set), it is well known that  $\mathcal{Y}_\emptyset(K_\infty)$  has  $\Lambda$ -rank zero by a result of Iwasawa and that it is conjectured that its  $\mu$ -invariant vanishes. This is verified when  $K$  is an abelian field by Ferrero and Washington [FeWa]. It is also known that  $\text{rank}_\Lambda(\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)) = r_2$  if  $T = P(K)$ , where  $r_2$  is the number of complex primes of  $K$ . The  $\mu$ -invariant of the  $\Lambda$ -torsion part of  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  is also conjectured to be zero and proved if  $K$  is abelian.

In case of  $CM$ -fields, the answer to the above question is known completely (cf. [JaMa]. See also Theorem 4.5 below).

On the other hand, for a general base field  $K$  and  $T \subset P(K)$ , we have a trivial lower bound of the  $\Lambda$ -rank (Proposition 2.3):

$$\text{rank}_\Lambda(\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)) \geq r_2 - \sum_{v \in P(K) - T} [K_v : \mathbf{Q}_p].$$

However, we do not know how the  $\Lambda$ -rank should be in general. We give the following partial result by applying the methods of Ax and Brumer.

Received June 10, 2002

THEOREM 1.1 (Theorem 6.2). *Assume there exists a subfield  $k \subset K$  such that  $K/k$  is Galois and  $K \cap k_\infty = k$ . Let  $G := \text{Gal}(K/k)$ . Assume that there exists a prime  $u \in P(k)$  such that*

$$T' := \{v \in P(K) \mid v|u\}$$

*is contained in  $T$ . Then we have*

$$\text{rank}_\Lambda(\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)) \leq \left( \sum_{v \in T} [K_v : \mathbf{Q}_p] \right) - \left( \sum_{\chi \in \Delta_{K/k}} \deg \chi \right) - \delta.$$

*Here,  $\Delta_{K/k}$  is the set of the distinct irreducible characters of  $G$  over  $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$  which appear in the  $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}[G]$ -module  $\mathcal{E}_K \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}$  where  $\mathcal{E}_K$  is the group of global units of  $K$ . We put*

$$\delta = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \Delta_{K/k} \text{ contains the trivial character,} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that the right hand side in the Theorem is larger than or equal to the above trivial lower bound.

Next, we consider the special case where  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{a})$  ( $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ , cube free), as a first example of the case of non abelian base fields.

THEOREM 1.2 (Theorem 7.3, Proposition 7.8). (i) *Let  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{a})$ . Let  $p$  be an odd prime such that  $(p) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_2$  in  $K$  where  $K_{\mathfrak{p}_1} = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $[K_{\mathfrak{p}_2} : \mathbf{Q}_p] = 2$ . Let  $T = \{\mathfrak{p}_2\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion.*

(ii) *Further, there is a sufficient condition for the vanishing of  $\mu(\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty))$ .*

The another reason why we consider this special example is that  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  in the above theorem for  $p = 3$  is related with the Selmer group  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/\mathbf{Q}_\infty)$  of a certain elliptic curve  $E/\mathbf{Q}$  concerning with the  $\mu$ -invariant ([Ha1], [Ha2]).

There is another application of the theory of  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$ . Let  $\lambda_p(K)$ ,  $\mu_p(K)$  and  $\nu_p(K)$  be the classical Iwasawa invariants of  $K$ . (See §8 for the definition.) We give a criterion for the vanishing of these invariants for special non abelian fields. This is a generalization of a result of Fukuda-Komatsu([FuKo]).

THEOREM 1.3 (Theorem 8.1). *Let  $K$  be a number field. Assume that there are exactly two primes  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  of  $K$  above  $p$  such that  $K_{\mathfrak{p}_1} = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and that they are totally ramified in  $K_\infty$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

(i)  $\lambda_p(K) = \mu_p(K) = \nu_p(K) = 0$

(ii)  $\text{Cl}(K)[p^\infty] = 0$  and  $(1 + p\mathbf{Z}_p) = \overline{\mathcal{E}_K \cap (1 + p\mathbf{Z}_p)}$ .

*Here,  $\text{Cl}(K)[p^\infty]$  is the  $p$ -part of the ideal class group of  $K$  and  $\mathcal{E}_K$  is the group of global units of  $K$  which we embed in  $K_{\mathfrak{p}_1} = \mathbf{Q}_p$ .*

The outline of this article is as follows. From §2 to §4 we give general facts on  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  in the context of the classical Iwasawa theory. After seeing an application of the methods of

Ax and Brumer in §5, we give an upper bound of the  $\Lambda$ -rank of  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  (Theorem 6.2) in §6. Then, in §7 we consider a special case where  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{a})$  and apply the above results to this case. In §8, we give a proof of Theorem 1.3.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. I am grateful to Professor Shoichi Nakajima and Professor Humio Ichimura for leading and guiding me to Iwasawa theory. I thank Kazuo Matsuno and Takae Tsuji for valuable discussions. Finally, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Professor Takayuki Oda for valuable advices.

This article is based on a part of my thesis. I was supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

## 2. Extensions with restricted $p$ -ramification

In this section, we define some notions related to extensions with restricted  $p$ -ramification. Then we recall known results and easy consequences.

Let  $p$  be a prime. For an algebraic number field  $K$ , let  $P(K)$  be the set of all primes of  $K$  above  $p$ . For an arbitrary subset

$$T \subset P(K),$$

we denote by  $\mathcal{M}_T(K)$  the maximal abelian  $p$ -extension of  $K$  which is unramified outside  $T$ . Let

$$\mathcal{Y}_T(K) := \text{Gal}(\mathcal{M}_T(K)/K).$$

We also denote by  $\mathcal{M}'_T(K)$  the maximal subfield of  $\mathcal{M}_T(K)$  all of whose primes above  $P(K) - T$  are completely decomposed and put

$$\mathcal{Y}'_T(K) := \text{Gal}(\mathcal{M}'_T(K)/K).$$

Let  $K$  be a number field of finite degree and  $K_\infty$  the cyclotomic  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extension of  $K$ . For  $T \subset P(K)$ , let  $T_\infty \subset P(K_\infty)$  be the set of primes above  $T$ . Then

$$\Gamma = \text{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$$

acts on  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  and  $\mathcal{Y}'_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  by conjugation in the usual way. Therefore  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  and  $\mathcal{Y}'_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  are endowed with the action of

$$\Lambda := \mathbf{Z}_p[[\Gamma]].$$

By fixing a topological generator of  $\Gamma$ , we identify  $\Lambda$  with the power series ring  $\mathbf{Z}_p[[T]]$  in the usual manner.

For  $T = \emptyset$  (empty set), we put

$$H(K) := \mathcal{M}_\emptyset(K), \quad H'(K) := \mathcal{M}'_\emptyset(K)$$

and

$$A(K) := \mathcal{Y}_\emptyset(K), \quad A'(K) := \mathcal{Y}'_\emptyset(K).$$

For  $T = P(K)$ , we put  $M(K) := \mathcal{M}_{P(K)}(K)$  and

$$\mathfrak{X}(K) := \mathcal{Y}_{P(K)}(K).$$

We define similarly  $A(K_\infty)$ ,  $A'(K_\infty)$  and  $\mathfrak{X}(K_\infty)$  for  $K_\infty$ . These modules are well studied in Iwasawa theory.

**THEOREM 2.1** (Iwasawa [Iw], see also [Wa]). (i)  $\mathfrak{X}(K_\infty)$  is a finitely generated  $\Lambda$ -module and  $\text{rank}_\Lambda(\mathfrak{X}(K_\infty)) = r_2$ , where  $r_2$  is the number of complex infinite primes of  $K$ .  
(ii) Both  $A(K_\infty)$  and  $A'(K_\infty)$  are  $\Lambda$ -torsion. Further,  $\mu(A(K_\infty)) = \mu(A'(K_\infty))$ .

Note that

$$H(K_\infty) \subset \mathcal{M}_T(K_\infty) \subset M(K_\infty) \quad \text{and} \quad H'(K_\infty) \subset \mathcal{M}'_T(K_\infty) \subset M(K_\infty).$$

Thus  $\mathcal{Y}_T(K_\infty)$  and  $\mathcal{Y}'_T(K_\infty)$  are finitely generated over  $\Lambda$ .

Let  $v$  be a prime of  $K$  dividing  $p$  and  $w$  a prime of  $K_\infty$  above  $v$ . Denote  $K_v$  by the completion of  $K$  at  $v$  and by  $K_{\infty,w}$  the composite field  $K_v K_\infty$  in  $\overline{K_v}$  where we identify  $K_\infty$  with its image of the embedding  $K_\infty \hookrightarrow \overline{K_v}$  corresponding to  $w$ . Let

$$\begin{cases} X(K_{\infty,w}) := \text{Gal}(K_{\infty,w}^{\text{ab},p}/K_{\infty,w}) \\ X'(K_{\infty,w}) := \text{Gal}(K_{\infty,w}^{\text{ab},p}/K_{\infty,w}^{\text{ur},p}) \end{cases}$$

where  $K_{\infty,w}^{\text{ab},p}$  is the maximal abelian  $p$ -extension of  $K_{\infty,w}$  and  $K_{\infty,w}^{\text{ur},p}$  is the maximal unramified  $p$ -extension of  $K_{\infty,w}$ . Then  $\Gamma_w := \text{Gal}(K_{\infty,w}/K_v) (\cong \mathbf{Z}_p)$  acts on  $X(K_{\infty,w})$  and  $X'(K_{\infty,w})$  by conjugation and thus these are  $\Lambda_w := \mathbf{Z}_p[[\Gamma_w]]$ -modules. Further,

$$\bigoplus_{w|v} X(K_{\infty,w}) \cong \Lambda \otimes_{\Lambda_w} X(K_{\infty,w}) \quad \text{and} \quad \bigoplus_{w|v} X'(K_{\infty,w}) \cong \Lambda \otimes_{\Lambda_w} X'(K_{\infty,w})$$

as  $\Lambda$ -modules and it is known that

**THEOREM 2.2** ([Iw] Theorem 25).

$$\text{rank}_\Lambda \left( \bigoplus_{w|v} X(K_{\infty,w}) \right) = \text{rank}_\Lambda \left( \bigoplus_{w|v} X'(K_{\infty,w}) \right) = [K_v : \mathbf{Q}_p].$$

Further,  $\mu((\bigoplus_{w|v} X(K_{\infty,w}))_{\Lambda\text{-tor}}) = 0$ . Here,  $(\bigoplus_{w|v} X(K_{\infty,w}))_{\Lambda\text{-tor}}$  is the maximal  $\Lambda$ -torsion submodule of  $\bigoplus_{w|v} X(K_{\infty,w})$ .

In particular, if  $p$  is odd and  $K$  does not contain the group of  $p$ -th roots of unity  $\mu_p$ , then  $\bigoplus_{w|v} X(K_{\infty,w}) \cong \Lambda^{\oplus [K_v : \mathbf{Q}_p]}$ .

Since we have the exact sequences

$$(1) \quad \bigoplus_{v \in P(K)-T} \left( \bigoplus_{w|v} X'(K_{\infty,w}) \right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(K_\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2) \quad \bigoplus_{v \in P(K)-T} \left( \bigoplus_{w|v} X(K_{\infty, w}) \right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(K_{\infty}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}'_{T_{\infty}}(K_{\infty}) \rightarrow 0,$$

we have

PROPOSITION 2.3.

$$\text{rank}_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{Y}_{T_{\infty}}(K_{\infty})) \geq r_2 - \sum_{v \in P(K)-T} [K_v : \mathbf{Q}_p].$$

We also have

$$(3) \quad \bigoplus_{v \in P(K)-T} \left( \bigoplus_{w|v} X(K_{\infty, w})/X'(K_{\infty, w}) \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{T_{\infty}}(K_{\infty}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}'_{T_{\infty}}(K_{\infty}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Because  $X(K_{\infty, w})/X'(K_{\infty, w})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ , we have:

PROPOSITION 2.4.

$$\text{rank}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{Y}_{T_{\infty}}(K_{\infty}) = \text{rank}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{Y}'_{T_{\infty}}(K_{\infty}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\mathcal{Y}_{T_{\infty}}(K_{\infty})_{\Lambda\text{-tor}}) = \mu(\mathcal{Y}'_{T_{\infty}}(K_{\infty})_{\Lambda\text{-tor}}).$$

REMARK 2.5. When  $K$  is abelian over an imaginary quadratic field  $k$  in which  $p$  splits and when  $T$  is the set of all the primes above one of the primes of  $k$  dividing  $p$ ,  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_{\infty}}(\tilde{K})$  has been considered in relation with the Iwasawa theory of  $CM$ -elliptic curves. Here  $\tilde{K}/K$  is a certain  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extension which is not cyclotomic (cf. [Co]).

### 3. The extensions over finite number fields

Let  $p$ ,  $K$  and  $T \subset P(K)$  be as in §2. Let

$$Z_T(K) := \text{Gal}(\mathcal{M}_T(K)/H(K)).$$

Then, we have the exact sequence

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow Z_T(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_T(K) \rightarrow A(K) \rightarrow 0.$$

Assume  $[K : \mathbf{Q}] \leq \infty$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}_K$  be the group of global units of  $K$ . For a prime  $v$  of  $K$ , let  $\mathcal{U}_v$  be the group of principal units in  $K_v$ . Put

$$\mathcal{U}_{K, T} := \prod_{v \in T} \mathcal{U}_v.$$

Then we have the following:

PROPOSITION 3.1.  $Z_T(K) \cong \mathcal{U}_{K, T}/(\overline{\mathcal{E}_K \cap \mathcal{U}_{K, T}})$ . Here,  $\overline{\mathcal{E}_K \cap \mathcal{U}_{K, T}}$  is the topological closure of  $\mathcal{E}_K \cap \mathcal{U}_{K, T}$  in  $\mathcal{U}_{K, T}$ .

PROOF. The proof goes exactly on similar line to the proof of [Wa] Theorem 13.4. Let  $\tilde{H}$  be the maximal unramified abelian extension of  $K$  and  $\tilde{M}_T$  the maximal abelian extension

of  $K$  unramified outside  $T$ . By the class field theory,

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{M}_T/\tilde{H}) \cong \left( K^\times \prod_{v:\text{all}} U_v \right) / \left( \overline{K^\times \prod_{v \notin T} U_v} \right).$$

Here  $(K^\times \prod_{v:\text{all}} U_v)$  and  $(\overline{K^\times \prod_{v \notin T} U_v})$  are considered as subgroups of the idele group of  $K$ , where  $U_v$  denotes the group of whole local units of  $K_v$ . The right hand side is isomorphic to  $\prod_{v \in T} U_v / (\overline{K^\times \prod_{v \notin T} U_v} \cap \prod_{v \in T} U_v)$ . By the same argument as in [Wa] Lemma 13.5,  $(\overline{K^\times \prod_{v \notin T} U_v} \cap \prod_{v \in T} U_v) = \overline{\mathcal{E}_K}$ . By taking the  $p$ -part, we have the proposition.  $\square$

For  $\mathcal{Y}'_T(K)$ , we see the following: let  $H_T(K)$  be the maximal unramified abelian  $p$ -extension of  $K$  whose primes above  $P(K) - T$  are all completely decomposed. Let  $A_T(K) := \mathrm{Gal}(H_T(K)/K)$ . Then  $A_T(K)$  is a quotient of  $A(K)$  and  $A'(K)$  is a quotient of  $A_T(K)$ . Let  $Z'_T(K) := \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{M}'_T(K)/H_T(K))$ . Then we have

$$(5) \quad 1 \rightarrow Z'_T(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}'_T(K) \rightarrow A_T(K) \rightarrow 1.$$

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}_{K,(P(K)-T)}$  be the group of  $(P(K) - T)$ -units of  $K$ . Then we have  $Z'_T(K) \cong \mathcal{U}_{K,T} / (\overline{\mathcal{E}_{K,(P(K)-T)}} \cap \mathcal{U}_{K,T})$ .*

For the proof, let  $\tilde{H}_T$  be the maximal unramified abelian extension of  $K$  whose primes above  $P(K) - T$  are all completely decomposed and  $\tilde{M}'_T$  the maximal abelian extension of  $K$  which is unramified outside  $T$  and all of whose primes above  $P(K) - T$  are completely decomposed. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{M}'_T/\tilde{H}_T) &\cong \left( K^\times \prod_{v \notin P(K)-T} U_v \prod_{v \in P(K)-T} K_v^\times \right) / \left( \overline{K^\times \prod_{v \notin P(K)} U_v \prod_{v \in P(K)-T} K_v^\times} \right) \\ &\cong \prod_{v \in T} U_v / \left( \left( \overline{K^\times \prod_{v \notin P(K)} U_v \prod_{v \in P(K)-T} K_v^\times} \right) \cap \prod_{v \in T} U_v \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the above fact in a similar manner as the proof of Proposition 3.1.

#### 4. Relation between $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{T_n}(K_n)$

First we prepare a Lemma on  $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}_p[[T]]$ -modules. Let

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} \omega_n := (1+T)^{p^n} - 1 \\ v_{m,n} := \omega_m / \omega_n \text{ for } m \geq n. \end{cases}$$

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Let  $\{X_n\}_n$  be a projective system of  $\Lambda$ -modules. Let  $X := \varprojlim X_n$  and assume  $pr_n : X \rightarrow X_n$  are surjective for any  $n \geq n_0$ . Suppose that there exist  $\Lambda$ -modules  $D_n$*

and that there exist commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} D_n & \longrightarrow & X/\omega_n & \longrightarrow & X_n & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow v_{n+1,n} & & \downarrow & & \\ D_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & X/\omega_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & X_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

for any  $n \geq n_0$ , whose rows are exact. Further, assume that the left vertical maps  $D_n \rightarrow D_{n+1}$  are surjective. Then,  $X_n = X/v_{n,n_0}I$  where  $I = \text{Ker}(pr_{n_0})$ .

PROOF. Let  $I_n := \text{Ker}(pr_n)$ . Then the map  $v_{n+1,n} : I_n/\omega_n X \rightarrow I_{n+1}/\omega_{n+1} X$  is surjective. Thus, we have  $v_{n+1,n}I_n + \omega_{n+1}X = I_{n+1}$ . Since  $v_{n+1,n}I_n + \omega_{n+1}X = v_{n+1,n}(I_n + \omega_n X) = v_{n+1,n}I_n$ , we have  $v_{n+1,n}I_n = I_{n+1}$ .  $\square$

Let  $K_n$  be the  $n$ -th layer of  $K_\infty/K$ . Let  $T_n$  be the set of primes of  $K_n$  above  $T$ . Then we have

$$\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty) = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{Y}_{T_n}(K_n)$$

where the inverse limit is taken w.r.t. the natural restrictions. Let  $n_0$  be the minimal number such that all of the primes in  $P(K_{n_0}) - T_{n_0}$  are totally ramified in  $K_\infty/K_{n_0}$ . Let

$$(7) \quad W_T := \text{Gal}(\mathcal{M}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)/K_\infty \mathcal{M}_{T_{n_0}}(K_{n_0})).$$

This is a  $\Lambda$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$ . We see that  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)/W_T$  is isomorphic to a submodule of  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_{n_0}}(K_{n_0})$  which is a finitely generated  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -module. Let  $\omega_n$  and  $v_{m,n}$  be the elements of  $\Lambda$  defined by (6).

PROPOSITION 4.2. Assume  $T \neq P(K)$ . Then, for any  $n \geq n_0$ , we have

$$\mathcal{Y}_{T_n}(K_n) \cong \mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)/v_{n,n_0}W_T.$$

If  $n_0 = 0$  and  $\sharp(P(K) - T) = 1$ , then

$$\mathcal{Y}_{T_n}(K_n) \cong \mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)/\omega_n \mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$$

for all  $n$ .

REMARK 4.3. When  $T = \emptyset$ , this is a well known result of Iwasawa ([Iw], [Wa] Lemma 13.18). On the other hand, when  $T = P(K)$ , it is also well known that  $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{M}_{T_n}(K_n)/K_\infty) \cong \mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)/\omega_n$ .

PROOF. Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n$  be the subfield of  $\mathcal{M}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)/K_\infty$  corresponding to the subgroup  $\omega_n \mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$ . This is the maximal subfield which is abelian over  $K_n$ . Then the following is exact:

$$1 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}_n \in P(K_n) - T_n} T(\mathfrak{p}_n) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n/K_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{T_n}(K_n) \rightarrow 1.$$

Here,  $T(\mathfrak{p}_n)$  is the inertia group of  $\mathfrak{p}_n$  in  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n/K_n)$ . For  $n \geq n_0$ , the restriction map  $T(\mathfrak{p}_n) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K_\infty/K_n) (\cong \mathbf{Z}_p)$  is an isomorphism. Thus, for  $\mathfrak{p}_{n+1} \in P(K_{n+1}) - T_{n+1}$  the image of  $T(\mathfrak{p}_{n+1})$  by the restriction map

$$\phi_n : \text{Gal}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n+1}/K_{n+1}) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n/K_n)$$

is  $pT(\mathfrak{p}_n)$  where  $\mathfrak{p}_n = \mathfrak{p}_{n+1}|_{K_n}$ . Consider the transfer map

$$\psi_n : \text{Gal}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n/K_n) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n+1}/K_{n+1}).$$

Then we see that the image of  $T(\mathfrak{p}_n)$  by  $\psi_n$  is contained in  $T(\mathfrak{p}_{n+1})$ . Further,  $\psi_n|_{T(\mathfrak{p}_n)}$  is an isomorphism. In fact, since  $\phi_n \circ \psi_n = p$ ,  $\phi_n(\psi_n(T(\mathfrak{p}_n))) = pT(\mathfrak{p}_{n+1}) = \phi_n(T(\mathfrak{p}_{n+1}))$ . Thus, since  $\phi_n$  is injective on  $T(\mathfrak{p}_{n+1})$ ,  $\psi_n(T(\mathfrak{p}_n)) = T(\mathfrak{p}_{n+1})$ . Therefore we have the diagram for  $n \geq n_0$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}_n \in P(K_n) - T_n} T(\mathfrak{p}_n) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n/K_n) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y}_{T_n}(K_n) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}_{n+1} \in P(K_{n+1}) - T_{n+1}} T(\mathfrak{p}_{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n+1}/K_{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y}_{T_{n+1}}(K_{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & 1. \end{array}$$

The vertical maps are transfers. The left vertical map is an isomorphism by the above. From this and the facts that  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n/K_\infty) \cong \mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)/\omega_n$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{T_n}(K_n) \cap K_\infty = K_n$  (since  $T \neq P(K)$ ), we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} D_n & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)/\omega_n & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y}_{T_n}(K_n) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow v_{n+1,n} & & \downarrow & & \\ D_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)/\omega_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y}_{T_{n+1}}(K_{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & 1. \end{array}$$

where  $D_n := \text{Ker}(\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}_n \in P(K_n) - T_n} T(\mathfrak{p}_n) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K_\infty/K_n))$ . The left vertical map is an isomorphism. Thus we have Proposition 4.2 by Lemma 4.1. When  $\sharp(P(K) - T) = 1$ , then  $D_n = 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)/\omega_n \cong \mathcal{Y}_{T_n}(K_n)$ .  $\square$

A result of the same type can be verified for  $\mathcal{Y}'_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$ . In fact, in the above proof, if we replace  $\mathcal{M}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  by  $\mathcal{M}'_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  and  $T(\mathfrak{p}_n)$  by the decomposition group  $Z(\mathfrak{p}_n)$ , we have:

PROPOSITION 4.4. *For any  $n \geq n_0$ , we have*

$$\mathcal{Y}'_{T_n}(K_n) \cong \mathcal{Y}'_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)/v_{n,n_0} W'_T$$

where  $W'_T := \text{Gal}(\mathcal{M}'_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)/K_\infty \mathcal{M}'_{T_{n_0}}(K_{n_0}))$ . If  $n_0 = 0$  and  $\sharp(P(K) - T) = 1$ , then

$$\mathcal{Y}'_{T_n}(K_n) \cong \mathcal{Y}'_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)/\omega_n$$

for all  $n$ .

In concluding this section, we note the following explicit formula when  $K$  is a CM field.



THEOREM 4.5 (cf. [JaMa]). *Assume  $p$  is odd and  $K$  is a CM-field. Let*

$$T_0 := \{v \in T \mid \sigma v \in T\}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the complex conjugation. Then,

$$\text{rank}_\Lambda(\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)) = \sum_{v \in T_0} [K_v : \mathbf{Q}_p]/2.$$

Further, we have  $\mu(\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)_{\Lambda\text{-tor}}) = 0$  if  $\mu(\mathcal{Y}_\emptyset(L_\infty)) = 0$  where  $L = K(\mu_p)$ . Here,  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)_{\Lambda\text{-tor}}$  is the maximal  $\Lambda$ -torsion submodule of  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$ .

When  $K$  is abelian, we have  $\mu(\mathcal{Y}_\emptyset(L_\infty)) = 0$  since  $K(\mu_p)$  is abelian. This gives a complete answer to our problem in this case.

**5. A bound for the  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -rank of global units: an application of Ax and Brumer’s method**

In this section, we consider the  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -rank of  $\overline{\mathcal{E}_K \cap \mathcal{U}_{K,T}}$  in  $\mathcal{U}_{K,T}$  after the methods of Ax and Brumer ([Ax] and [Br]). We also use the formulation of [EKW].

Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field of finite degree. Let  $p$  be a prime and  $T \subset P(K)$  a non-empty subset. Assume there exists a subfield  $k \subset K$  such that  $K/k$  is Galois. Assume that there exists a prime  $u \in P(k)$  such that

$$(8) \quad T' := \{v \in P(K) \mid v|u\}$$

is contained in  $T$ . Let  $G$  be the Galois group of  $K$  over  $k$ . We prove the following:

THEOREM 5.1. *Let  $K/k$  and  $T \subset P(K)$  be as above. Let  $\overline{\mathcal{E}_K \cap \mathcal{U}_{K,T}}$  be the topological closure of  $\mathcal{E}_K \cap \mathcal{U}_{K,T}$  in  $\mathcal{U}_{K,T}$ . Then,*

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \overline{\mathcal{E}_K \cap \mathcal{U}_{K,T}} \geq \sum_{\chi \in \Delta_{K/k}} \text{deg}(\chi).$$

Here,  $\Delta_{K/k}$  is the set of the distinct irreducible characters of  $G$  over  $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$  which appears in the  $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}[G]$ -module  $\mathcal{E}_K \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ . That is,

$$\mathcal{E}_K \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \bar{\mathbf{Q}} \cong \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Delta_{K/k}} V_\chi^{n_\chi}$$

where  $V_\chi$  is the irreducible  $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}[G]$ -module corresponding to  $\chi$  with  $n_\chi > 0$  and if  $\chi \neq \chi'$  in  $\Delta_{K/k}$  then  $V_\chi \not\cong V_{\chi'}$ .

REMARK 5.2. The same result is obtained by C. Maire in [Ma] by the same method. But we prove this here for the completeness.

To prove Theorem 5.1, we need the following:

LEMMA 5.3 ([EKW] Lemme 1). *Let  $X$  be a finite dimensional  $\mathbf{C}_p[G]$ -module. Let  $A \subset X$  be a  $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}[G]$ -submodule. Let  $A^{\text{cl}}$  be the topological closure of  $A$  in  $X$ . Then  $\dim_{\mathbf{C}_p} A^{\text{cl}} \geq \sum_{\chi \in \Delta_A} \deg(\chi)$ . Here,  $\Delta_A$  is the set of the distinct irreducible characters of  $G$  over  $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$  which appears in  $A$ .*

PROOF. Let  $V_\chi$  be an irreducible component of  $A$  corresponding to  $\chi$ . Then  $V_\chi \otimes_{\bar{\mathbf{Q}}} \mathbf{C}_p$  is irreducible over  $\mathbf{C}_p$ . Thus, the induced map  $V_\chi \otimes \mathbf{C}_p \rightarrow X$  should be injective. If  $\chi \neq \chi'$ , then we see the intersection of the images of  $V_\chi \otimes \mathbf{C}_p$  and  $V_{\chi'} \otimes \mathbf{C}_p$  is 0. Thus we have the conclusion.  $\square$

Let  $T' \subset T$  be as (8). For  $v \in T'$ , we denote the corresponding embedding by  $\iota_v : K \hookrightarrow K_v$ . Let  $G_v := \text{Gal}(K_v/k_u) \subset G$  where  $v|u$ . Let

$$\log_p : K_v^\times \rightarrow K_v$$

be the  $p$ -adic logarithm map. This is a  $G_v$ -homomorphism. Then we have the following theorem due to Brumer:

THEOREM 5.4 (Brumer[Br]). *Let  $\mathcal{E}_K \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_p$  be the composition of the map  $\iota_v|_{\mathcal{E}_K}, \log_p$  and the inclusion  $K_v \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}_p$ . Then the induced map*

$$\mathcal{E}_K \otimes \bar{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_p$$

*is injective.*

PROOF OF THEOREM 5.1. We note that  $\mathcal{E}_K \cap \mathcal{U}_{K,T}$  is of finite index in  $\mathcal{E}_K$ . We see that the  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -rank of  $\overline{\mathcal{E}_K \cap \mathcal{U}_{K,T}}$  in  $\mathcal{U}_{K,T}$  is not less than that of  $\overline{\mathcal{E}_K \cap \mathcal{U}_{K,T'}}$  in  $\mathcal{U}_{K,T'}$ . Thus, we only need to prove Theorem for  $T'$ . For  $v \in T'$ , let  $\mathcal{U}_v$  be the principal local units of  $K_v$ . Let

$$\ell_v : \mathcal{U}_v \rightarrow K_v \otimes_{k_u} \mathbf{C}_p$$

be the map defined by  $u \mapsto \log_p(u) \otimes 1$ . We have  $K_v \otimes_{k_u} \mathbf{C}_p \cong \mathbf{C}_p[G_v]$  as a  $\mathbf{C}_p[G_v]$ -module and  $\ell_v$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}_p[G_v]$ -module homomorphism. Here we consider  $\mathbf{C}_p$  as a trivial  $G_v$ -module. Let

$$X := \bigoplus_{v \in T'} (K_v \otimes_{k_u} \mathbf{C}_p)$$

and

$$\theta := \bigoplus_{v \in T'} \ell_v : \mathcal{U}_{K,T'} \rightarrow X.$$

Then we have  $X \cong \mathbf{C}_p[G]$  and  $\theta$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}_p[G]$ -homomorphism. By Theorem 5.4, we see that the induced map

$$(\theta|_{\mathcal{E}_K \cap \mathcal{U}_{K,T'}}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{Q}} : (\mathcal{E}_K \cap \mathcal{U}_{K,T'}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow X.$$

is injective. Since the above map is a  $G$ -homomorphism, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbf{C}_p} (\theta(\mathcal{E}_K \cap \mathcal{U}_{K,T'}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{Q}})^{\text{cl}} \geq \sum_{\chi \in \Delta_{K/k}} \deg(\chi)$$

by Lemma 5.3. Therefore we get the inequality

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \overline{\mathcal{E}_K \cap \mathcal{U}_{K,T'}} \geq \sum_{\chi \in \Delta_{K/k}} \deg(\chi)$$

since  $\theta$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -homomorphism.  $\square$

Next, we recall the well-known structure of  $\mathcal{E}_K \otimes \mathbf{Q}$  as a  $\mathbf{Q}[G]$ -module.

**DEFINITION 5.5.** Let  $K/k$  be a Galois extension and  $G := \text{Gal}(K/k)$ . Let  $V_1$  be the set of all real primes of  $k$  which remain real in  $K$ ,  $V_2$  the set of real primes of  $k$  which become complex in  $K$  and  $V_3$  the set of all complex primes. For a prime  $u$  in  $V_2$ , choose  $v$ , a prime of  $K$  above  $u$ . Let  $\text{Gal}(K_v/k_u) = \langle \sigma_v \rangle \subset G$ . We define a  $\mathbf{Q}[G]$ -module  $M_{K/k}$  as

$$M_{K/k} := \left( \bigoplus_{u \in V_1 \cup V_3} \mathbf{Q}[G] \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{u \in V_2} \mathbf{Q}[G/\langle \sigma_v \rangle] \right).$$

**DEFINITION 5.6.** Let  $K/k$ ,  $G$ ,  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$ ,  $V_3$  and  $\sigma_v$  be as above. Let

$$r_1 : \mathbf{Q}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} \quad (\text{resp.} \quad r_2 : \mathbf{Q}[G/\langle \sigma_v \rangle] \rightarrow \mathbf{Q})$$

be the map defined by  $\sum_{\tau} a_{\tau} \tau \mapsto \sum_{\tau} a_{\tau}$  (resp.  $\sum_{\tau \in G/\langle \sigma_v \rangle} a_{\tau} \tau \mapsto \sum_{\tau \in G/\langle \sigma_v \rangle} a_{\tau}$ ). Let

$$\psi_K := \left( \sum_{u \in V_1 \cup V_3} r_1 \right) + \left( \sum_{u \in V_2} r_2 \right) : M_{K/k} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}.$$

**PROPOSITION 5.7** (see also [EKW]). *As  $\mathbf{Q}[G]$ -modules,*

$$\mathcal{E}_K \otimes \mathbf{Q} \cong \text{Ker}(\psi_K).$$

**PROOF.** Let us consider the regulator map

$$r_K : \mathcal{E}_K \rightarrow M_{K/k} \otimes \mathbf{R}$$

defined by

$$\epsilon \mapsto \left( \bigoplus_{u \in V_1 \cup V_3} \sum_{\tau} (|\log \epsilon^{(\tau v)}|_{\tau}) \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{u \in V_2} \sum_{\tau} (|\log \epsilon^{(\tau v)}|_{\tau}) \right)$$

where  $v|u$  and  $\epsilon^{(\tau v)} \in \mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathbf{C}$  is the image of  $\epsilon$  under the embedding corresponding to  $\tau v$ . This is a  $G$ -homomorphism. Dirichlet's unit theorem states that  $r_K \otimes \mathbf{R}$  is injective and

$$\mathcal{E}_K \otimes \mathbf{R} \cong \text{Ker}(\psi_K) \otimes \mathbf{R}.$$

For  $\mathbf{Q}[G]$ -modules  $A$  and  $B$ , if  $A \otimes \mathbf{R} \cong B \otimes \mathbf{R}$  as  $\mathbf{R}[G]$ -modules, then  $A \cong B$  as  $\mathbf{Q}[G]$ -modules (cf. [ANT] Chapter IV p.110 Lemma for the proof of Proposition 12). Thus we have the Proposition.  $\square$

**6. A bound for the  $\Lambda$ -rank of  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$**

Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $\Lambda$ -module. As for the  $\Lambda$ -rank of  $M$ , we see the following: Let  $\omega_n := (1 + T)^{p^n} - 1$  and  $v_{m,n} := \omega_m/\omega_n$  be the elements of  $\Lambda$  as (6).

LEMMA 6.1. *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $\Lambda$ -module. Then we have*

$$\text{rank}_\Lambda(M) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^m} (\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(M/v_{m,n}))$$

for any  $n \geq 0$ .

PROOF. By the structure theorem of  $\Lambda$ -modules, there exists

$$M \rightarrow \Lambda^r \oplus \left( \bigoplus_i \Lambda/p^{n_i} \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_j \Lambda/(f_j)^{e_j} \right)$$

with finite kernel and cokernel, where  $f_j$ 's are irreducible distinguished polynomials. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(M/v_{m,n}) &= r(\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\Lambda/v_{m,n})) + \sum_i \text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\Lambda/(p^{n_i}, v_{m,n})) \\ &\quad + \sum_j \text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\Lambda/(f_j^{e_j}, v_{m,n})). \end{aligned}$$

We see  $\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\Lambda/v_{m,n}) = p^m - p^n$ ,  $\sum_j \text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\Lambda/(f_j^{e_j}, v_{m,n})) \leq \sum_j \text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\Lambda/(f_j)^{e_j})$  and that  $(\Lambda/(p^{n_i}, v_{m,n}))$  is finite. Thus we have the lemma since  $r = \text{rank}_\Lambda(M)$ .  $\square$

We now consider  $K/k$  and  $T \subset P(K)$  satisfying the conditions stated at the beginning of §5. Let  $K_\infty$  (resp.  $k_\infty$ ) be the cyclotomic  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extension of  $K$  (resp.  $k$ ). We further assume here that

$$K \cap k_\infty = k.$$

Then,

$$\text{Gal}(K_\infty/k) \cong G \times \Gamma.$$

Let  $T_\infty \subset P(K_\infty)$  be the set of primes above  $T$ . Then we see that

THEOREM 6.2. *Assume  $K/k$  and  $T \subset P(K)$  satisfy the above conditions. Then we have*

$$\text{rank}_\Lambda(\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)) \leq \left( \sum_{v \in T} [K_v : \mathbf{Q}_p] \right) - \left( \sum_{\chi \in \Delta_{K/k}} \deg \chi \right) - \delta.$$

Here,  $\Delta_{K/k}$  is the set of the distinct irreducible characters of  $G$  over  $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$  which appear in the  $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}[G]$ -module  $\mathcal{E}_K \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ . We put

$$\delta = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \Delta_{K/k} \text{ contains the trivial character,} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. First, we consider the case where  $T \neq P(K)$ . Let  $W_T \subset \mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  be the  $\Lambda$ -submodule defined by (7). We know that  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)/W_T$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -module. Thus,  $\text{rank}_\Lambda(\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)) = \text{rank}_\Lambda(W_T)$  and

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(W_T/\nu_{n,n_0}) = \text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)/\nu_{n,n_0}W_T) - \text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)/W_T).$$

By Proposition 4.2, we have  $\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)/\nu_{n,n_0}W_T) = \text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{Y}_{T_n}(K_n))$ , where  $T_n \subset P(K_n)$  is the set of primes above  $T$ . On the other hand,

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{Y}_{T_n}(K_n)) = \text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{U}_{K_n, T_n}/\overline{(\mathcal{E}_{K_n} \cap \mathcal{U}_{K_n, T_n})})$$

by (4) and Proposition 3.1. We claim here that

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{U}_{K_n, T_n}/\overline{(\mathcal{E}_{K_n} \cap \mathcal{U}_{K_n, T_n})}) \leq p^n \left( \left( \sum_{v \in T} [K_v : \mathbf{Q}_p] \right) - \left( \sum_{\chi \in \Delta_{K/k}} \deg \chi \right) - \delta \right) + \delta.$$

By this claim, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(W_T/\nu_{n,n_0}) &\leq p^n \left( \sum_{v \in T} [K_v : \mathbf{Q}_p] - \sum_{\chi \in \Delta_{K/k}} \deg \chi - \delta \right) + \delta \\ &\quad - \text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)/W_T). \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Lemma 6.1, we have

$$\text{rank}_\Lambda(\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)) = \text{rank}_\Lambda(W_T) \leq \sum_{v \in T} [K_v : \mathbf{Q}_p] - \sum_{\chi \in \Delta_{K/k}} \deg \chi - \delta.$$

So it remains to prove the claim above.

Since  $\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{U}_{K_n, T_n}) = p^n \sum_{v \in T} [K_v : \mathbf{Q}_p]$ , we see from Theorem 5.1 that

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{U}_{K_n, T_n}/\overline{(\mathcal{E}_{K_n} \cap \mathcal{U}_{K_n, T_n})}) \leq p^n \left( \sum_{v \in T} [K_v : \mathbf{Q}_p] \right) - \sum_{\chi \in \Delta_{K_n/k}} \deg \chi.$$

We calculate  $\sum_{\chi \in \Delta_{K_n/k}} \deg \chi$ . Since  $\text{Gal}(K_n/k) \cong G \times \Gamma/\Gamma^{p^n}$ , we have

$$M_{K_n/k} = \left( \bigoplus_{v \in V_1 \cup V_3} \mathbf{Q}[G \times \Gamma/\Gamma^{p^n}] \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{v \in V_2} \mathbf{Q}[(G \times \Gamma/\Gamma^{p^n})/\langle \sigma_v \rangle] \right),$$

and  $\mathcal{E}_{K_n} \otimes \mathbf{Q} \cong \text{Ker}(\psi_{K_n})$  by Proposition 5.7. Let  $(\Gamma/\Gamma^{p^n})^\wedge$  be the set of characters of  $\Gamma/\Gamma^{p^n}$ . Since  $\Gamma/\Gamma^{p^n}$  is abelian, we see that if  $\Delta_{K/k}$  contains the trivial character, then

$$\Delta_{K_n/k} = \{\chi \otimes \chi' \mid \chi \in \Delta_{K/k}, \chi' \in (\Gamma/\Gamma^{p^n})^\wedge\}.$$

If  $\Delta_{K/k}$  does not contain the trivial character, then

$$\Delta_{K_n/k} = \{\chi \otimes \chi' \mid \chi \in \Delta_{K/k}, \chi' \in (\Gamma/\Gamma^{p^n})^\wedge\} \cup \{1 \otimes \chi' \mid \chi' \in (\Gamma/\Gamma^{p^n})^\wedge \text{ and } \chi' \neq 1'\}.$$

where  $1$  and  $1'$  are the trivial characters of  $G$  and  $\Gamma/\Gamma^{p^n}$ . Since each  $\chi'$  is of degree one, we have  $\deg(\chi \otimes \chi') = \deg \chi$ . Thus,  $\sharp\Delta_{K_n/k} = (p^n \sharp\Delta_{K/k}) + \delta(p^n - 1)$  and

$$\sum_{\chi \in \Delta_{K_n/k}} \deg \chi = \left( p^n \sum_{\chi \in \Delta_{K/k}} \deg \chi \right) + \delta(p^n - 1).$$

This proves the claim.

In the case where  $T = P(K)$ , we have

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)/\omega_n) = \text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{Y}_{T_n}(K_n)) - 1$$

by Remark 4.3. We calculate  $\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{Y}_{T_n}(K_n))$  similarly as above, and get the same conclusion. Note, however, that our estimate for the  $\Lambda$ -rank is weaker than Iwasawa's *equality* (Theorem 2.1), in this case.  $\square$

### 7. $\Lambda$ -torsionness and $\mu$ -invariant of $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$ for $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{a})$

In this section, we consider a special base field

$$K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{a})$$

where  $a \in \mathbf{Z}$  and cube free. Let  $k = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_3)$  and

$$L = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{a}, \zeta_3),$$

the Galois closure of  $K$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a generator of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  and  $\tau$  that of  $\text{Gal}(L/k)$ . Then

$$G := \text{Gal}(L/\mathbf{Q}) \cong \mathfrak{S}_3$$

and  $G$  is generated by  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ , satisfying  $\sigma^2 = 1$ ,  $\tau^3 = 1$  and  $\sigma\tau = \tau^{-1}\sigma$ .

Let  $p$  be an odd prime satisfying the following:  $p$  inert in  $k$  and  $\pi$  splits in  $L$  where  $\pi$  is the unique prime of  $k$  above  $p$ . This is equivalent to the assumption that  $K$  has two primes  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  above  $p$ .

Denote the primes above  $p$  in  $L$  by  $v_1, v_2$  and  $v_3$ . We see that  $L_{v_i} = \mathbf{Q}_p(\zeta_3)$ . We may assume that  $G_{v_i} := \text{Gal}(L_{v_i}/\mathbf{Q}_p)$  is  $\langle \tau^{i-1}\sigma\tau^{-(i-1)} \rangle$  in  $G$ . Then, we denote the primes of  $K$  above  $p$  by  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_2$ , where  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  is below  $v_1$  while  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  is below  $v_2$  and  $v_3$ . We have  $K_{\mathfrak{p}_1} = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $K_{\mathfrak{p}_2} = \mathbf{Q}_p(\zeta_3)$ ,  $[K_{\mathfrak{p}_2} : \mathbf{Q}_p] = 2$ .

Let  $L_\infty$  (resp.  $k_\infty, K_\infty$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_\infty$ ) be the cyclotomic  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extension of  $L$  (resp.  $k, K$  and  $\mathbf{Q}$ ). Let  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(L_\infty/L)$  and we identify this with  $\text{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$ ,  $\text{Gal}(k_\infty/k)$  and  $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}_\infty/\mathbf{Q})$ . We see that  $L_\infty$  is Galois over  $\mathbf{Q}$  and  $\text{Gal}(L_\infty/\mathbf{Q}) \cong G \times \Gamma$ . We identify  $\text{Gal}(L_\infty/\mathbf{Q}_\infty)$  with  $G$ . We easily see the following:

**LEMMA 7.1.** *The prime  $v_i$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{p}_i, \pi$ ) is totally ramified in  $L_\infty/L$  (resp.  $K_\infty/K, k_\infty/k$ ).*

We write  $v_i$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{p}_i, \pi$ ) again for the unique prime of  $L_\infty$  (resp.  $K_\infty, k_\infty$ ) above  $v_i$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{p}_i, \pi$ ).

REMARK 7.2. The reason why we consider this special case is that this situation appears in [Ha1],[Ha2] for  $p = 3$ . There,  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  plays an important role in relation with the  $\mu$ -invariants of Selmer groups of certain elliptic curves.

**7.1. The  $\Lambda$ -torsionness** We will prove the following:

THEOREM 7.3. *Let  $K, L$  and  $p$  be as above. Put  $T'_\infty = \{v_1\} \subset P(L_\infty)$  and  $T_\infty = \{\mathfrak{p}_2\} \subset P(K_\infty)$ . Then  $\mathcal{Y}_{T'_\infty}(L_\infty)$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  are  $\Lambda$ -torsion.*

For the proof, we need the following:

LEMMA 7.4.  *$\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion if and only if the kernel of the restriction map*

$$\text{res}_{v_1} : X(L_{\infty, v_1}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(L_\infty)$$

is  $\Lambda$ -torsion.

PROOF. The above map is a homomorphism of  $\text{Gal}(L/K) = \langle \sigma \rangle$ -modules. For a  $\langle \sigma \rangle$ -module  $M$ , let  $M^{(\sigma=\pm 1)}$  be the maximum  $\langle \sigma \rangle$ -submodule of  $M$  on which  $\sigma$  acts as multiplication by  $\pm 1$ . Then

$$M = M^{(\sigma=1)} \oplus M^{(\sigma=-1)}.$$

We also see that the kernel of  $\text{res}_{v_1}$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion if and only if so are the kernels of  $\text{res}_{v_1}^{(\sigma=\pm 1)}$ . We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(L_{\infty, v_1})^{(\sigma=-1)} & \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{v_1}^{(\sigma=-1)}} & \mathfrak{X}(L_\infty)^{(\sigma=-1)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X(k_{\infty, \pi})^- & \xrightarrow{\text{res}_\pi^-} & \mathfrak{X}(k_\infty)^-. \end{array}$$

Here  $X(k_{\infty, \pi})^-$  and  $\mathfrak{X}(k_\infty)^-$  are the minus parts of  $X(k_{\infty, \pi})$  and  $\mathfrak{X}(k_\infty)$ , respectively, i.e., the maximum submodules on which the complex conjugation in  $\text{Gal}(k/\mathbf{Q})$  acts by  $(-1)$ -multiplication. Here,  $\pi$  is the unique prime of  $k_\infty$  above  $p$ . The cokernel of the bottom row is  $A'(k_{\infty, \pi})^-$  which is  $\Lambda$ -torsion. We see that  $\text{rank}_\Lambda X(k_{\infty, \pi})^- = 1$  and  $\text{rank}_\Lambda \mathfrak{X}(k_\infty)^- = 1$  by Theorems 2.2 and 2.1, since  $X(k_{\infty, \pi})^+ = X(k_{\infty, \pi}^+)$  and  $\mathfrak{X}(k_\infty)^+ = \mathfrak{X}(k_\infty^+)$  where  $k^+ = \mathbf{Q}$ . Thus the kernel of  $\text{res}_\pi^-$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion. Since the left column is an isomorphism, the kernel of  $\text{res}_{v_1}^{(\sigma=-1)}$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion.

On the other hand, we have another commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(L_{\infty, v_1})^{(\sigma=1)} & \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{v_1}^{(\sigma=1)}} & \mathfrak{X}(L_\infty)^{(\sigma=1)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X(K_{\infty, \mathfrak{p}_1}) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{\mathfrak{p}_1}} & \mathfrak{X}(K_\infty). \end{array}$$

The vertical maps are isomorphisms. By Theorem 2.1,  $\text{rank}_\Lambda(\mathfrak{X}(K_\infty)) = 1$ . Since  $K_{p_1} = \mathbf{Q}_p$ ,  $X(K_{\infty, p_1}) \cong \Lambda$  by Theorem 2.2. Thus,  $\text{res}_{v_1}^{(\sigma=1)}$  is injective if and only if  $\text{res}_{p_1}$  is injective. We also see  $\text{res}_{p_1}$  is injective if and only if the cokernel of  $\text{res}_{p_1}$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion. The cokernel of  $\text{res}_{p_1}$  is  $\mathcal{Y}'_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$ . By Proposition 2.4,  $\mathcal{Y}'_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion if and only if so is  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$ . This proves the claim.  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 7.3. For the first assertion, we apply Theorem 6.2 to the extension  $L/K$  and  $T' = \{v_1\} \subset P(L)$ . Note here that  $T'$  is clearly  $\text{Gal}(L/K) = \langle \sigma \rangle$ -stable.

We see that

$$M_{L/K} = \mathbf{Q} \oplus \mathbf{Q}[\langle \sigma \rangle]$$

since there exist two infinite primes of  $K$  one of which is the real prime becoming complex in  $L$  and the another of which is the complex prime. Thus we see

$$\text{Ker}(\psi_L) \cong \mathbf{Q}[\langle \sigma \rangle].$$

By Proposition 5.7, we have  $\sum_{\chi \in \Delta_{L/K}} \deg \chi = 2$ . On the other hand,  $[L_{v_1} : \mathbf{Q}_p] = 2$ . Thus by Theorem 6.2,

$$\text{rank}_\Lambda \mathcal{Y}'_{T_\infty}(L_\infty) \leq [L_{v_1} : \mathbf{Q}_p] - \sum_{\chi \in \Delta_{L/K}} \deg \chi = 0.$$

Here,  $\delta = 0$  because  $\Delta_{L/K}$  contains the trivial character. This proves the first assertion.

For the second, we consider the map

$$\sum_i \text{res}_{v_i} : \bigoplus_i X(L_{\infty, v_i}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(L_\infty)$$

which is a  $G = \text{Gal}(L/\mathbf{Q})$ -module homomorphism.  $G$  acts on the set  $\{X(L_{\infty, v_i})\}_i$  transitively. Thus, the kernel of  $\text{res}_{v_i} : X(L_{\infty, v_i}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(L_\infty)$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion for  $i = 1$  if and only if so is for any  $i$ . Assume  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  is not  $\Lambda$ -torsion. Then the kernel of the map

$$\text{res}_{v_1} : X(L_{\infty, v_1}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(L_\infty)$$

is not  $\Lambda$ -torsion by Lemma 7.4. Thus the same happens for any  $i$ . Therefore we have

$$\text{rank}_\Lambda(\text{res}_{v_i}(X(L_{\infty, v_i}))) \leq 1$$

since  $\text{rank}_\Lambda X(L_{\infty, v_i}) = 2$  by Theorem 2.2. Thus

$$\text{rank}_\Lambda(\text{res}_{v_2}(X(L_{\infty, v_2})) + \text{res}_{v_3}(X(L_{\infty, v_3}))) \leq 2$$

in  $\mathfrak{X}(L_\infty)$ . Since  $\text{rank}_\Lambda(\mathfrak{X}(L_\infty)) = 3$  by Theorem 2.1, the cokernel of the map

$$X(L_{\infty, v_2}) \oplus X(L_{\infty, v_3}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(L_\infty)$$

is not  $\Lambda$ -torsion. The cokernel is  $\mathcal{Y}'_{T_\infty}(L_\infty)$ . By Proposition 2.4,  $\mathcal{Y}'_{T_\infty}(L_\infty)$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion if and only if so is  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(L_\infty)$ . This contradicts the first assertion.  $\square$



**7.2. A criterion for the vanishing of  $\mu(\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty))$**  We give a sufficient condition for the vanishing of the  $\mu$ -invariant of  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  for  $p$  and  $K$  as in §7.1.

We first quote elementary lemmas on  $\Lambda$ -modules.

LEMMA 7.5 ([Gr] p. 123, Lemma for Proposition 10). *Let*

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

*be an exact sequence of finitely generated  $\Lambda$ -modules. If  $A$  is a free  $\Lambda$ -module and  $B$  has no non-trivial finite  $\Lambda$ -submodule, then  $C$  also has no non-trivial finite  $\Lambda$ -submodule.*

LEMMA 7.6 ([Go]). *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $\Lambda$ -torsion  $\Lambda$ -module. Assume  $M$  has no non-trivial finite  $\Lambda$ -submodule. Let*

$$e_n := \text{ord}_p(\sharp(M/\omega_n)).$$

*Here, we set  $e_n = \infty$  if  $\sharp(M/\omega_n) = \infty$ . Then,  $\mu(M) = 0$  if there exists an  $n \geq 0$  such that  $e_{n+1} < \infty$  (which implies  $e_n < \infty$ ) and  $(e_{n+1} - e_n) < \varphi(p^{n+1})$ . Here  $\varphi$  is the Euler  $\varphi$ -function.*

Let us return to the situation in the previous subsection. Let  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{a})$ . Let  $p$  be an odd prime such that  $(p) = \mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{p}_2$  in  $K$  where  $K_{\mathfrak{p}_1} = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $[K_{\mathfrak{p}_2} : \mathbf{Q}] = 2$ . Let  $T_\infty = \{\mathfrak{p}_2\} \subset P(K_\infty)$ .

PROPOSITION 7.7.  $\mathcal{Y}'_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  has no non-trivial finite  $\Lambda$ -submodule.

PROOF. By (2), the sequence

$$X(K_{\infty, \mathfrak{p}_1}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(K_\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}'_T(K_\infty) \rightarrow 1$$

is exact. By Theorem 2.2,  $X(K_{\infty, \mathfrak{p}_1}) \cong \Lambda$  and by Theorem 2.1,  $\text{rank}_\Lambda \mathfrak{X}(K_\infty) = 1$ . Since  $\mathcal{Y}'_T(K_\infty)$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion by Theorem 7.3, the left map should be an injection. Thus we have the Proposition by Lemma 7.5.  $\square$

Thus, we have the following:

PROPOSITION 7.8. *Let  $K$  and  $p$  be as above. Let  $\text{Cl}_{\{\mathfrak{p}_1\}, n}[p^\infty]$  be the  $p$ -part of the  $\mathfrak{p}_1$ -ideal class group of  $K_n$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{n, \{\mathfrak{p}_1\}}$  the group of global  $\mathfrak{p}_1$ -units of  $K_n$ . Put*

$$e_n := \text{ord}_p(\mathcal{U}_{n, \mathfrak{p}_2} / \overline{\mathcal{U}_{n, \mathfrak{p}_2} \cap \mathcal{E}_{n, \{\mathfrak{p}_1\}}}) + \text{ord}_p(\text{Cl}_{\{\mathfrak{p}_1\}, n}[p^\infty]).$$

*Then, if there exists an  $n \geq 0$  such that  $e_{n+1} < \infty$  and  $(e_{n+1} - e_n) < \varphi(p^{n+1})$ , then  $\mu(\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)) = 0$ .*

PROOF. We note that  $\mu(\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)) = 0$  if and only if  $\mu(\mathcal{Y}'_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)) = 0$  by Proposition 2.4. By the class field theory,  $\text{Cl}_{\{\mathfrak{p}_1\}, n}[p^\infty]$  is isomorphic to  $A_{\{\mathfrak{p}_2\}}(K_n)$  defined before Proposition 3.2. By (5) and Proposition 3.2,  $e_n = \text{ord}_p(\sharp \mathcal{Y}'_{T_n}(K_n))$ . By Proposition 4.4, we have  $\mathcal{Y}'_{T_n}(K_n) = \mathcal{Y}'_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)/\omega_n$ . Then, we have the Proposition by Lemma 7.6.  $\square$

REMARK 7.9. The reason why we consider  $\mathcal{Y}'_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  instead of  $\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  is as follows: We have  $\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathcal{E}_{n, \{\mathfrak{p}_1\}} = 2p^n$ . Since  $\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathcal{U}_{n, \mathfrak{p}_2} = 2p^n$ , we can expect that  $\mathcal{U}_{n, \mathfrak{p}_2} / \overline{\mathcal{U}_{n, \mathfrak{p}_2} \cap \mathcal{E}_{n, \{\mathfrak{p}_1\}}}$  is finite. (See the examples of the next subsection.)

**7.3. Example** Let  $p = 3$ . Let  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{a})$  with  $(3) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_2$ . This occurs if and only if  $b^2 \equiv c^2 \pmod{9}$  where  $b$  and  $c$  are square free integers which are relatively prime to each other satisfying  $a = bc^2$ . Thus,  $a = 10, 17, 19, 26, 28 \dots$ , for example. We have the following:

PROPOSITION 7.10. *If  $p = 3$ , then  $\lambda(\mathcal{Y}'_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)) \geq 1$ .*

PROOF. By Proposition 3.2,  $\mathcal{Y}'_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)$  contains  $\varprojlim \mathcal{U}_{n, \mathfrak{p}_2} / \overline{\mathcal{U}_{n, \mathfrak{p}_2} \cap \mathcal{E}_{n, \{\mathfrak{p}_1\}}}$ . We see that  $\varprojlim \mathcal{U}_{n, \mathfrak{p}_2}$  contains  $\varprojlim \mu_{3^n}$  since  $K_{\mathfrak{p}_2} = \mathbf{Q}_3(\zeta_3)$ . But  $\mathcal{E}_{n, \{\mathfrak{p}_1\}}$  does not contain  $p$ -th roots of unity and hence we see that  $\varprojlim \mathcal{U}_{n, \mathfrak{p}_2} / \overline{\mathcal{U}_{n, \mathfrak{p}_2} \cap \mathcal{E}_{n, \{\mathfrak{p}_1\}}}$  contains  $\varprojlim \mu_{3^n}$ .  $\square$

Thus if it happens that  $e_0 = 1$ , then  $\lambda(\mathcal{Y}'_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)) = 1$  and  $\mu(\mathcal{Y}'_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)) = 0$ .

Let us see some examples. Let  $a = 10$ . Then  $A_0 = 0$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{0, \mathfrak{p}_2} / \overline{\mathcal{U}_{0, \mathfrak{p}_2} \cap \mathcal{E}_{0, \{\mathfrak{p}_1\}}} \cong \mathbf{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/3$ . Thus,  $\lambda \geq 2$  or  $\mu > 0$  in this case. We see that  $\sharp(\mathcal{U}_{0, \mathfrak{p}_2} / \overline{\mathcal{U}_{0, \mathfrak{p}_2} \cap \mathcal{E}_{0, \{\mathfrak{p}_1\}}}) \geq 9$  for  $a = 17, 19, 26, 28, 44, 45$ . (For the computation, we used Kash[Kash] and Pari[Pari].) Therefore we have to compute for  $n \geq 1$  to determine whether  $\mu(\mathcal{Y}'_{T_\infty}(K_\infty)) = 0$  or not.

## 8. An application to the vanishing of Iwasawa invariants

In this section, we give an application to the original Iwasawa invariants.

Let  $K$  be a number field of finite degree. Let  $\lambda_p(K)$ ,  $\mu_p(K)$  and  $\nu_p(K)$  be the classical Iwasawa invariants of  $K$ . That is, for all sufficiently large  $n$ , we have

$$\sharp \text{Cl}(K_n)[p^\infty] = p^{\lambda_p(K)n + \mu_p(K)p^n + \nu_p(K)}$$

where  $\text{Cl}(K_n)[p^\infty]$  is the  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of the ideal class group of  $K_n$ , the  $n$ -th layer of  $K_\infty/K$ .

The following is a generalization of a criterion of Fukuda-Komatsu ([FuKo]).

THEOREM 8.1. *Let  $K$  be a number field. Assume that there are exactly two primes  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  of  $K$  above  $p$  such that  $K_{\mathfrak{p}_1} = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and that they are totally ramified in  $K_\infty$ . Then,  $\lambda_p(K) = \mu_p(K) = \nu_p(K) = 0$  if and only if  $A(K) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{K, T''} / (\overline{\mathcal{E}_K \cap \mathcal{U}_{K, T''}}) = 0$ . Here,  $T'' = \{\mathfrak{p}_1\}$ .*

To prove this, we need the following:

LEMMA 8.2.  *$A(K_\infty) \cong \mathcal{Y}_{T''_\infty}(K_\infty)$  where  $T''_\infty = \{\mathfrak{p}_1\} \subset P(K_\infty)$ .*

PROOF. By (4) and Proposition 3.1, the kernel of

$$\mathcal{Y}_{T''_\infty}(K_\infty) \rightarrow A(K_\infty)$$

is isomorphic to

$$\varprojlim \mathcal{U}_{K_n, T_n''} / \overline{\mathcal{E}_{K_n} \cap \mathcal{U}_{K_n, T_n''}}.$$

Since  $K_{\mathfrak{p}_1} = \mathbf{Q}_p$ ,

$$\varprojlim \mathcal{U}_{K_n, T_n''} / \overline{\mathcal{E}_{K_n} \cap \mathcal{U}_{K_n, T_n''}}$$

is a quotient of

$$\varprojlim \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{Q}_n, \pi_n} / \overline{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_n} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{Q}_n, \pi_n}}$$

where  $\pi_n$  is the unique prime of  $\mathbf{Q}_n$  above  $p$ . Since  $A(\mathbf{Q}_\infty) = 0$  as is well known, we have

$$\varprojlim \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{Q}_n, \pi_n} / \overline{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_n} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{Q}_n, \pi_n}} \cong \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{Q}_\infty)$$

by (4) and Proposition 3.1 for  $K = \mathbf{Q}_\infty$  and  $T = P(\mathbf{Q}_\infty) = \{\pi_\infty\}$ . It is also well known that  $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{Q}_\infty) = 0$ .  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 8.1. We note that  $\lambda_p(K) = \mu_p(K) = \nu_p(K) = 0$  is equivalent to  $A(K_\infty) = 0$ . By the above Lemma and Nakayama's lemma, this is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty''}(K_\infty) / \omega_0 = 0.$$

By Proposition 4.2,

$$\mathcal{Y}_{T_\infty''}(K_\infty) / \omega_0 \cong \mathcal{Y}_{T''}(K).$$

Thus, again by (4) and Proposition 3.1, we get our conclusion.  $\square$

EXAMPLE 8.3. Let

$$K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{a})$$

with  $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $a > 0$  and cube free. Let  $\varepsilon$  be the fundamental unit of  $K$ . Let  $p$  be an odd prime satisfying the condition of Theorem 8.1. Then, we see that

$$\mathcal{U}_{K, T''} / \overline{(\mathcal{E}_K \cap \mathcal{U}_{K, T''})} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \varepsilon^{p-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{(\mathfrak{p}_1^2)}$$

and the validity of the latter condition is easily computable. An odd prime  $p$  satisfies the condition of Theorem 8.1 if and only if either (A)  $p = 3$  when  $b^2 \equiv c^2 \pmod{9}$  where  $b$  and  $c$  are square free integers which are relatively prime to each other satisfying  $a = bc^2$  or (B)  $p \nmid 3a$  and  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ . In the case (B), we calculated  $\varepsilon^{p-1} \pmod{(\mathfrak{p}_1^2)}$  for  $a = 2, 3, 5, 6, 10$  and for  $3 < p < 1000$  by using Pari-GP[Pari] and Kash[Kash]. Then, we found that  $A(K_\infty) \neq 0$  only when  $a = 3$  and  $p = 23$ .

### References

- [ANT] J. W. S. CASSELS and A. FRÖHLICH, (eds.), Algebraic Number fields, Academic Press (1967).
- [Ax] J. AX, On the units of an algebraic number field, Illinois J. Math. **9** (1965), 584–589.

- [Br] A. BRUMER, On the units of algebraic number fields, *Mathematika* **14** (1967), 121–124.
- [Co] J. COATES, Elliptic curves and Iwasawa theory, *Modular forms* (Durham, 1983), Ellis Horwood Ser. Math. Appl. (1984), 51–73.
- [EKW] M. EMSALEM, H. KISILEVSKY and D. WALES, Indépendance linéaire sur  $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$  de logarithmes  $p$ -adiques de nombres algébriques et rang  $p$ -adiques du group des unité d'un corps de nombres, *J. Number Theory* **19** (1984), 384–391.
- [FeWa] B. FERRERO and L. C. WASHINGTON, The Iwasawa invariant  $\mu_p$  vanishes for abelian number fields, *Ann. of Math.* **109** (1979), 377–395.
- [FuKo] T. FUKUDA and K. KOMATSU, On  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extensions of real quadratic fields, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **38** (1986), 95–102.
- [Go] R. GOLD, Examples of Iwasawa invariants, *Acta. Arith.* **26** (1974), 21–32.
- [Gr] R. GREENBERG, Iwasawa theory for  $p$ -adic representations, *Adv. Studies in Pure Math.* **17** (1989), 97–137.
- [Ha1] Y. HACHIMORI, On the  $\mu$ -invariants in Iwasawa theory of elliptic curves, doctor's thesis, University of Tokyo, March 2001.
- [Ha2] Y. HACHIMORI, On the  $\mu$ -invariants in Iwasawa theory of elliptic curves, preprint.
- [Iw] K. IWASAWA, On  $\mathbf{Z}_l$ -extensions of algebraic number fields, *Annals of Math.* **98** (1973), 246–326.
- [JaMa] J.-F. JAUENT and C. MAIRE, Invariants d'Iwasawa de la tour cyclotomique, preprint 2001.
- [Kash] M. DABERKOW, C. FIEKER, J. KLÜNERS, M. POHST, K. ROEGNER and K. WILDANGER, *Kant V4*, *J. Symbolic Comp.* **24** (1997), 267–283.
- [Ma] C. MAIRE, On the  $\mathbf{Z}_l$ -rank of abelian extensions with restricted ramification, *J. Number Theory* **92** (2002), 376–404.
- [Pari] C. BATUT, D. BERNARDI, H. COHEN and H. OLIVIER, *User's guide to Pari-GP*.
- [Wa] L. C. WASHINGTON, *Introduction to cyclotomic fields* 2nd ed., *G.T.M.* **83** (1997), Springer.

*Present Address:*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, GAKUSHUIN UNIVERSITY,  
MEJIRO, TOSHIMA-KU, TOKYO, 171–8588 JAPAN.

*e-mail:* yhachi@math.gakushuin.ac.jp