# **Apollonius Points and Anharmonic Ratios**

#### Osamu KOBAYASHI

Kumamoto University

(Communicated by Y. Maeda)

**Abstract.** We give a characterization of Möbius transformation by use of Apollonius points introduced by Haruki and Rassias [2]. Our result is stronger than theirs.

### 1. Introduction

In their paper [2], Haruki and Rassias introduced a concept of *Apollonius points* for three distinct points  $z_1$ ,  $z_2$  and  $z_3$  in the complex plane.  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  is called an Apollonius point of  $z_1$ ,  $z_2$ ,  $z_3$  if

$$|z_1 - z_2| \cdot |z_3 - z| = |z_2 - z_3| \cdot |z_1 - z| = |z_3 - z_1| \cdot |z_2 - z|$$
.

It is easy to see that this equation is equivalent to

$$[z_1, z_2; z_3, z] = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{3}i}{2},$$
 (1.1)

where the left hand side is the anharmonic ratio of  $z_1$ ,  $z_2$ ,  $z_3$  and z. Namely, by definition,

$$[z_1, z_2; z_3, z] = \frac{z_1 - z_3}{z_3 - z_2} \cdot \frac{z_2 - z}{z - z_1}.$$

Thus there are generally two Apollonius points for  $z_1$ ,  $z_2$  and  $z_3$ ; one inside the circle through  $z_1$ ,  $z_2$  and  $z_3$ , and the other outside the circle.

Haruki and Rassias have proved that a complex analytic univalent function w = f(z) which preserves Apollonius points must be a Möbius transformation. Here we say that f preserves Apollonius points if f(z) is an Apollonius point of  $f(z_1)$ ,  $f(z_2)$ ,  $f(z_3)$  whenever z is an Apollonius point of  $z_1, z_2, z_3$ . We extend this result and will prove the following.

THEOREM. Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a domain and  $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$  be a  $C^1$ -mapping (may not necessarily be complex analytic). If f preserves Apollonius points, then f is a Möbius transformation or its conjugate.

## 2. Functions which preserve an anharmonic ratio

In this section we will prove the following theorem from which together with (1.1) Theorem in Introduction follows immediately.

THEOREM 2.1. Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  be not a real number. Suppose  $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}^1$ -mapping such that  $[f(z_1), f(z_2); f(z_3), f(z_4)] = \lambda$  if  $[z_1, z_2; z_3, z_4] = \lambda$ . Then f is a Möbius transformation.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is divided into two steps. One is the following.

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  be not a real number. Suppose  $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}^1$ -mapping such that  $[f(z_1), f(z_2); f(z_3), f(z_4)] = \lambda$  if  $[z_1, z_2; z_3, z_4] = \lambda$ . Then f is complex analytic.

The latter half is the following.

PROPOSITION 2.3. Suppose  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ , and  $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$  is a complex analytic function such that  $[f(z_1), f(z_2); f(z_3), f(z_4)] = \lambda$  if  $[z_1, z_2; z_3, z_4] = \lambda$ . Then f is a Möbius transformation.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.2. Choose  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $[a, b; c, d] = \lambda$ . The condition that  $\lambda$  is not real means that d is not real. Let  $z \in U$  and  $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  be small enough so that z + ta, z + tb, z + tc,  $z + td \in U$ . We remark that  $[z + ta, z + tb; z + tc, z + td] = \lambda$ . From the Taylor development,

$$f(z+ta) = f(z) + \partial_z f(z)ta + \bar{\partial}_z f(z)\bar{t}\bar{a} + o(t).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{split} &[f(z+ta),\,f(z+tb);\,f(z+tc),\,f(z+td)]\\ &=\frac{\partial_z f(z)t(a-c)+\bar{\partial}_z f(z)\bar{t}(\bar{a}-\bar{c})}{\partial_z f(z)t(c-b)+\bar{\partial}_z f(z)\bar{t}(\bar{c}-\bar{b})}\cdot\frac{\partial_z f(z)t(b-d)+\bar{\partial}_z f(z)\bar{t}(\bar{b}-\bar{d})}{\partial_z f(z)t(d-a)+\bar{\partial}_z f(z)\bar{t}(\bar{d}-\bar{a})}+o(t)\,. \end{split}$$

Since a, b and c are real, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &[f(z+ta),f(z+tb);f(z+tc),f(z+td)]\\ &=\frac{(\partial_z f(z)t+\bar{\partial}_z f(z)\bar{t})(a-c)}{(\partial_z f(z)t+\bar{\partial}_z f(z)\bar{t})(c-b)}\cdot\frac{(\partial_z f(z)t+\bar{\partial}_z f(z)\bar{t})b-(\partial_z f(z)td+\bar{\partial}_z f(z)\bar{t}\bar{d})}{(\partial_z f(z)td+\bar{\partial}_z f(z)\bar{t}\bar{d})-(\partial_z f(z)t+\bar{\partial}_z f(z)\bar{t})a}+o(t)\\ &=\left[a,b;c,\frac{\partial_z f(z)td+\bar{\partial}_z f(z)\bar{t}\bar{d}}{\partial_z f(z)t+\bar{\partial}_z f(z)\bar{t}}\right]+o(t)\,. \end{split}$$

From the assumption we see that the first term must converge as t goes to 0 and hence be equal to  $\lambda = [a, b; c, d]$ . That is, we have

$$\frac{\partial_z f(z)td + \bar{\partial}_z f(z)\bar{t}\bar{d}}{\partial_z f(z)t + \bar{\partial}_z f(z)\bar{t}} = d.$$

This implies  $\bar{\partial}_z f(z) = 0$  because  $d \neq \bar{d}$ . Thus f satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann equation.  $\Box$ 

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.3. Choose  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $[a, b; c, d] = \lambda$ . The condition  $\lambda \neq 1$  implies  $a \neq b$  and  $c \neq d$ . The formula (11) of Ahlfors [1] says that for a complex analytic function f

$$[f(z+ta), f(z+tb); f(z+tc), f(z+td)]$$

$$= [a, b; c, d] \left(1 + \frac{1}{6}(a-b)(c-d)Sf(z)t^2 + o(t^2)\right),$$

where Sf is the Schwarzian derivative of f defined as

$$Sf = \frac{f'''}{f'} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{f''}{f'}\right)^2.$$

Therefore  $[f(z+ta), f(z+tb); f(z+tc), f(z+td)] = \lambda$  yields Sf(z) = 0. This implies that f is a linear fractional function.

### References

- [1] AHLFORS, L. V., Cross-ratios and Schwarzian derivatives in R<sup>n</sup>, Complex Analysis (J. Hersch and A. Huber, eds.), articles dedicated to Albert Pfluger on the occasion of his 80th birthday, Birkhäuser, 1988, 1–15.
- [2] HARUKI, H. and RASSIAS, T. M., A New Characteristic of Möbius Transformations by Use of Apollonius Points of Triangles, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 197 (1996), 14–22.

Present Address:
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
KUMAMOTO UNIVERSITY,
KUMAMOTO, 860–8555 JAPAN.
e-mail: o-kbysh@kumamoto-u.ac.jp