

Hardy's inequality on Hardy spaces

By Kwok-Pun HO

Department of Mathematics and Information Technology, The Education University of Hong Kong,
10 Lo Ping Road, Tai Po, Hong Kong, China

(Communicated by Masaki KASHIWARA, M.J.A., Nov. 14, 2016)

Abstract: We extend the Hardy inequalities to the classical Hardy spaces and the rearrangement-invariant Hardy spaces.

Key words: Hardy's inequality; Hardy space; rearrangement-invariant; atomic decomposition; interpolation.

1. Introduction. The main theme of this paper is the Hardy inequalities on rearrangement-invariant Hardy spaces including the classical Hardy spaces, the Hardy-Lorentz spaces and the Hardy-Orlicz spaces.

The Hardy inequality is one of the important inequalities in analysis. It is a crucial tool in real interpolation theory [2] and its high dimension generalization provides inspiration on the Hardy inequality for Sobolev functions.

It is impossible to give a detailed review on Hardy's inequality in this short paper, the reader is referred to [4,19,24] for a detailed reference for Hardy's inequality and its applications on analysis.

One of the extensions on the Hardy inequality is the validity of the Hardy inequalities on some non-Lebesgue spaces. For instance, we have the Hardy inequalities on rearrangement-invariant Banach function spaces in [20].

The Hardy inequalities on the Morrey spaces built on rearrangement-invariant Banach function spaces are obtained [13]. In addition, the Hardy inequalities on block spaces are given in [14].

We have the Hardy inequalities on Lebesgue spaces with variable exponents in [3,8,9,21,25,26].

The Hardy inequalities on the Hardy-Morrey spaces, Hardy-Morrey spaces with variable exponents and weak Hardy-Morrey spaces are presented in [16–18], respectively.

In this paper, we extend the Hardy inequalities to the classical Hardy spaces and the rearrangement-invariant Hardy spaces in the form given in [3] and [19, p. 6] which are generalizations of the

Hardy inequalities in [13,17,18].

We use the atomic decompositions of Hardy spaces to obtain the Hardy inequalities on the classical Hardy spaces. With these Hardy inequalities, the Hardy inequalities on rearrangement-invariant Hardy space are established by using the interpolation functor introduced in [15].

2. Hardy's inequality. We establish the Hardy inequalities on the classical Hardy spaces in this section. We begin with the Hardy operator used in this paper.

Let \mathbf{Z}_- denote the set of non-positive integers. For any $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_-$, write

$$T_{\alpha,\mu}f(x) = x^{\alpha+\mu-1} \int_0^x \frac{f(y)}{y^\alpha} dy.$$

We present the main result of this paper in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $0 < p \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \mu < 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_-$. If*

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{r} + \mu,$$

then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in H^p(\mathbf{R})$ with $\text{supp } f \subseteq [0, \infty)$,

$$\|T_{\alpha,\mu}f\|_{L^r(0,\infty)} \leq C\|f\|_{H^p(\mathbf{R})}.$$

As we prove the above theorem by using the atomic decompositions of Hardy spaces, we recall the atomic decompositions in the followings.

Let $B(z, r) = \{x \in \mathbf{R} : |x - z| < r\}$ denote the open ball with center $z \in \mathbf{R}$ and radius $r > 0$. Let $\mathbf{B} = \{B(z, r) : z \in \mathbf{R}, r > 0\}$ and $\mathbf{B}_+ = \{B \in \mathbf{B} : B \subseteq (0, \infty)\}$.

Definition 2.1. Let $1 < q \leq \infty$ and $N \in \mathbf{N}$. A Lebesgue measurable function A is a (q, N) -atom

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26D10, 42B30, 46E30, 47B38.

for $H^p(\mathbf{R})$ if there exists a $B \in \mathbf{B}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp } A &\subseteq \bar{B}, \\ \|A\|_{L^q} &\leq |B|^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}} \text{ and} \\ \int x^\gamma A(x)dx &= 0 \text{ and } \forall \gamma \in \mathbf{N}, 0 \leq \gamma \leq N. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let $0 < p \leq 1 < q \leq \infty$. For any $N \in \mathbf{N}$ with $N \geq [\frac{1}{p} - 1]$ and $f \in H^p(\mathbf{R})$, we have a family of (q, N) -atoms $\{a_j\}$ and scalars $\{\lambda_j\}$ such that $f = \sum \lambda_j a_j$ in $H^p(\mathbf{R})$ and*

$$(2.1) \quad \left(\sum |\lambda_j|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C \|f\|_{H^p(\mathbf{R})}$$

for some $C > 0$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{H^p(\mathbf{R})} &\approx \inf \left\{ \left(\sum |\lambda_j|^p \right)^{1/p} : \right. \\ &\left. f = \sum \lambda_j a_j, a_j \text{ are } (q, N)\text{-atoms} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The reader is referred to [5, Theorem 7.4] for the proof of the above result.

We now study the action of $T_{\alpha, \mu}$ on the (q, N) -atom.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $0 < r < \infty$, $1 < q \leq \infty$, $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_-$. If $\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} < \mu \leq \frac{1}{q}$, then for any Lebesgue measurable function a satisfying*

$$(2.2) \quad \text{supp } a \subseteq \bar{B}, B \in \mathbf{B}_+,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \|a\|_{L^q} \leq |B|^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}} \text{ and}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \int x^{-\alpha} a(x)dx = 0,$$

we have

$$\|T_{\alpha, \mu} a\|_{L^r} \leq C |B|^{\mu + \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}}$$

for some $C > 0$.

Proof. Let $\text{supp } a = [c, d] = \bar{B}$. In view of the support condition (2.2) and the vanishing moment condition (2.4) satisfied by a , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^x y^{-\alpha} a(y)dy &= 0, \quad x < c \text{ and} \\ \int_0^x y^{-\alpha} a(y)dy &= 0, \quad x > d. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\text{supp}(T_{\alpha, \mu} a) \subseteq [c, d]$.

By the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\left| \int_0^x \frac{a(y)}{y^\alpha} dy \right| \leq \|a\|_{L^q} \left(\int_0^x y^{-\alpha q} dy \right)^{1/q'}$$

$$= C \|a\|_{L^q} x^{-\alpha + \frac{1}{q}}$$

for some $C > 0$.

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{\alpha, \mu} a(x)| &= x^{\alpha + \mu - 1} \left| \int_0^x \frac{a(y)}{y^\alpha} dy \right| \\ &\leq C \|a\|_{L^q} x^{\mu - \frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

As $\text{supp}(T_{\alpha, \mu} a) \subseteq [c, d]$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\alpha, \mu} a(x)\|_{L^r} &\leq C \|a\|_{L^q} \left(\int_c^d x^{r\mu - \frac{r}{q}} dx \right)^{1/r} \\ &= C \|a\|_{L^q} \left(d^{r\mu - \frac{r}{q} + 1} - c^{r\mu - \frac{r}{q} + 1} \right)^{1/r}. \end{aligned}$$

As $\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} < \mu \leq \frac{1}{q}$, we have $0 < r\mu - \frac{r}{q} + 1 \leq 1$. Hence,

$$d^{r\mu - \frac{r}{q} + 1} - c^{r\mu - \frac{r}{q} + 1} \leq (d - c)^{r\mu - \frac{r}{q} + 1}.$$

The size condition (2.3) assures that

$$\|T_{\alpha, \mu} a(x)\|_{L^r} \leq C |B|^{\mu + \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}}.$$

□

In Theorem 2.1, we consider $f \in H^p(\mathbf{R})$ with $\text{supp } f \subseteq [0, \infty)$. Notice that the atomic decomposition given in Theorem 2.2 does not guarantee that the supports of the atoms for the atomic decomposition of f are subsets of $[0, \infty)$. In order to tackle this problem, we consider the even part and the odd part of tempered distributions and modify the atomic decomposition obtained in Theorem 2.2.

For any $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R})$, define $f(\cdot)$ as $\langle f, \varphi \rangle = \langle f(\cdot), \varphi(\cdot) \rangle$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$. For any $f \in H^p(\mathbf{R})$, the even part and the odd part of f is defined as $f_e(x) = \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2}$ and $f_o(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2}$, respectively.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $0 < p \leq 1 < q \leq \infty$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_-$. For any $f \in H^p(\mathbf{R})$ with $\text{supp } f \subseteq [0, \infty)$, we have a family of Lebesgue measurable functions $\{a_j\}$ satisfying (2.2)–(2.4) and scalars $\{\lambda_j\}$ such that $f = \sum \lambda_j a_j$ and*

$$(2.5) \quad \left(\sum |\lambda_j|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C \|f\|_{H^p(\mathbf{R})}$$

for some $C > 0$.

Proof. We first consider the case when $|\alpha|$ is even.

According to Theorem 2.2, we have $f = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \lambda_j a_j$ where $\{a_j\}_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$ are (p, N) atoms with $N > |\alpha|$.

We consider the even part of f and find that

$$f_e(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \lambda_j \frac{a_j(x) + a_j(-x)}{2}.$$

As a_j satisfies the vanishing moment condition up to order N and $N > |\alpha|$, we find that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}} x^{-\alpha} a_j(x) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}} x^{-\alpha} a_j(-x) dx = 0.$$

If $\text{supp } a_j \subset [0, \infty)$, $a_j(-x) \equiv 0$ on $(0, \infty)$. If $\text{supp } a_j \subset (-\infty, 0]$, $a_j(x) \equiv 0$ on $(0, \infty)$ and $a_j(-x)$ is a (p, N) atom. Therefore, they satisfy (2.2)–(2.4).

If 0 is an interior point of $\text{supp } a_j$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{R}} x^{-\alpha} \frac{\chi_{[0, \infty)}(x) a_j(x) + \chi_{[0, \infty)}(x) a_j(-x)}{2} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}} x^{-\alpha} a_j(x) dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\chi_{(0, \infty)}(x) a_j(x) + \chi_{(0, \infty)}(x) a_j(-x)}{2}$$

satisfies (2.2)–(2.4).

As $\text{supp } f \subseteq [0, \infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= 2\chi_{[0, \infty)}(x) f_e(x) \\ &= 2 \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \lambda_j \frac{\chi_{[0, \infty)}(x) a_j(x) + \chi_{[0, \infty)}(x) a_j(-x)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, (2.5) is inherited from (2.1). Therefore, we obtain our desired decomposition for f .

For the case when $|\alpha|$ is odd, we consider the odd part of f . The rest of the proof for this case is almost identical to the proof of the case when $|\alpha|$ is even. The only modification is that for the odd part

$$f_o(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \lambda_j \frac{a_j(x) - a_j(-x)}{2},$$

when 0 is the interior point of $\text{supp } a_j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{R}} x^{-\alpha} \frac{\chi_{[0, \infty)}(x) a_j(x) - \chi_{[0, \infty)}(x) a_j(-x)}{2} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}} x^{-\alpha} a_j(x) dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This is similar to the case when $|\alpha|$ is even, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= 2\chi_{[0, \infty)}(x) f_o(x) \\ &= 2 \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \lambda_j \frac{\chi_{[0, \infty)}(x) a_j(x) - \chi_{[0, \infty)}(x) a_j(-x)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

which is our desired decomposition. \square

We are now ready to present the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. In view of Proposition 2.1, we have a family of Lebesgue measurable functions $\{a_j\}$ and scalars $\{\lambda_j\}$ satisfying (2.2)–(2.5) such that $f = \sum \lambda_j a_j$.

We consider $F = \sum \lambda_j T_{\alpha, \mu} a_j$. As $0 < p \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \mu < 1$, there exists a $q > 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} < \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} = \mu < \frac{1}{q}.$$

When $r \leq 1$, $\|\cdot\|_{L^r(0, \infty)}^r$ satisfies the triangle inequality. According to Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\|_{L^r(0, \infty)}^r &\leq \sum |\lambda_j|^r \|T_{\alpha, \mu} a_j\|_{L^r(0, \infty)}^r \\ &\leq C \sum |\lambda_j|^r \leq C \left(\sum |\lambda_j|^p \right)^{r/p} \end{aligned}$$

for some $C > 0$ because $p \leq r$.

When $r > 1$, as $0 < p \leq 1$ and $\|\cdot\|_{L^r(0, \infty)}$ is a norm, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\|_{L^r(0, \infty)} &\leq \sum |\lambda_j| \|T_{\alpha, \mu} a_j\|_{L^r(0, \infty)} \\ &\leq C \sum |\lambda_j| \leq C \left(\sum |\lambda_j|^p \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (2.5) yields that

$$\|F\|_{L^r(0, \infty)} \leq C \|f\|_{H^p(\mathbf{R})}.$$

\square

In the proof of Theorem 2.1, we find that we need to use the atomic decompositions of Hardy spaces with (q, N) -atoms satisfying $1 < q < \frac{1}{\mu}$. Notice that a substantial amount of applications of the atomic decomposition can be achieved by considering (∞, N) -atoms.

The above result shows that the atomic decompositions of Hardy spaces by (q, N) -atoms with q close to 1 also yield some valuable application which cannot be obtained by (∞, N) -atoms.

For the atomic decompositions with atoms defined by non-Lebesgue spaces, the reader is referred to [10, 12].

3. Rearrangement-invariant Hardy spaces. In this section, we extend the Hardy inequalities to rearrangement-invariant Hardy spaces. We first recall the definition of rearrangement-invariant quasi-Banach function space (r.i.q.B.f.s.) from [11, Definition 4.1].

Let $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R})$ be the set of Lebesgue measurable functions on \mathbf{R} .

Definition 3.1. A quasi-Banach space $X \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R})$ is called a rearrangement-invariant quasi-Banach function space if there exists a quasi-norm $\rho_X : \mathcal{M}(0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ satisfying

- (a) $\rho_X(f) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f = 0$ a.e.,
 - (b) $|g| \leq |f|$ a.e. $\Rightarrow \rho_X(g) \leq \rho_X(f)$,
 - (c) $0 \leq f_n \uparrow f$ a.e. $\Rightarrow \rho_X(f_n) \uparrow \rho_X(f)$ and
 - (d) $\chi_E \in \mathcal{M}(0, \infty)$ and $|E| < \infty \Rightarrow \rho_X(\chi_E) < \infty$,
- so that

$$(3.1) \quad \|f\|_X = \rho_X(f^*), \quad \forall f \in X.$$

For any $s \geq 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}(0, \infty)$, define $(D_s f)(t) = f(st)$, $t \in (0, \infty)$. Let $\|D_s\|_{\bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{X}}$ be the operator norm of D_s on \bar{X} . We recall the definition of Boyd's indices for r.i.q.B.f.s. from [22].

Definition 3.2. Let X be a r.i.q.B.f.s. on \mathbf{R} . Define the lower Boyd index of X , p_X and the upper Boyd index of X , q_X as

$$p_X = \sup\{p > 0 : \exists C > 0 \text{ such that} \\ \forall 0 \leq s < 1, \|D_s\|_{\bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{X}} \leq Cs^{-1/p}\} \text{ and} \\ q_X = \inf\{q > 0 : \exists C > 0 \text{ such that} \\ \forall 1 \leq s, \|D_s\|_{\bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{X}} \leq Cs^{-1/q}\},$$

respectively.

As the definition of interpolation functor involves the notion of category and compatible couples, for simplicity, we refer the reader to [27, Section 1.2] for details of category and compatible couples.

We recall the definition of the K -functional from [2, Section 3.1] and [27, Section 1.3.1].

Definition 3.3. Let (X_0, X_1) be a compatible couple of quasi-normed spaces. For any $f \in X_0 + X_1$, the K -functional is defined as

$$K(f, t, X_0, X_1) \\ = \inf\{\|f_0\|_{X_0} + t\|f_1\|_{X_1} : f = f_0 + f_1\}$$

where the infimum is taking over all $f = f_0 + f_1$ for which $f_i \in X_i$, $i = 0, 1$.

The following interpolation functor is introduced in [15, Definition 4.2].

Definition 3.4. Let $0 < \theta, r < \infty$ and X be a r.i.q.B.f.s. Let (X_0, X_1) be a compatible couple of quasi-normed spaces. The space $(X_0, X_1)_{\theta, r, X}$ consists of all f in $X_0 + X_1$ such that

$$\|f\|_{(X_0, X_1)_{\theta, r, X}} \\ = \rho_X(t^{-\frac{1}{r}}K(f, t^{\frac{1}{\theta}}, X_0, X_1)) < \infty$$

where ρ_X is the quasi-norm given in (3.1).

The above interpolation functor is an extension of the interpolation functor given in Marcinkiewicz real interpolation functor and the interpolation functors in [6,7] for the studies of Lorentz-Karamata spaces and Orlicz spaces, respectively.

We recall a function space associated with the above interpolation from [15, Section 3.1].

Definition 3.5. Let $\alpha \geq 0$. For any r.i.q.B.f.s. X , X_α consists of those $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{X_\alpha} = \rho_X(t^{-\alpha} f^*(t)) < \infty.$$

Obviously, from (3.1), we have $X_0 = X$. In [15], we find that X_α is related to the mapping properties of the fractional integral operators, the convolution operators and the Fourier integral operators in r.i.q.B.f.s.

We find that whenever X is a r.i.q.B.f.s., X_α is also a r.i.q.B.f.s.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\alpha > 0$ and X be a r.i.q.B.f.s. If $0 < p_X \leq q_X < \frac{1}{\alpha}$, then X_α is a r.i.q.B.f.s.

For the proof of the above proposition, the reader is referred to [15, Proposition 3.1].

We have the following theorem from [15, Theorem 4.2] which assures that X_α is an interpolation space from Lebesgue spaces by using the functor $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\theta, r, X}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $0 \leq \alpha < \infty$, $0 < p_0 < p_1 < \infty$ and X be a r.i.q.B.f.s. with $0 < p_X \leq q_X < \frac{1}{\alpha}$. Let r, θ satisfy

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{\theta} = \frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{p_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p_0} + \alpha.$$

Suppose that $p_1 > q_X$, $p_0 < p_X$ and

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{\alpha}{n} < \frac{1}{q_X} \leq \frac{1}{p_X} < \frac{1}{p_0} + \alpha.$$

Then

$$(L^{p_0}, L^{p_1})_{\theta, r, X} = X_\alpha.$$

The reader may consult [15, Theorem 4.2] for the proof of the preceding theorem.

We now turn to the definition of rearrangement-invariant Hardy spaces. Let \mathcal{P} denote the class of polynomials on \mathbf{R} .

Definition 3.6. Let X be a r.i.q.B.f.s with $0 < p_X \leq q_X < \infty$. The rearrangement-invariant Hardy space associated with X , H_X , consists of those $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R})/\mathcal{P}$ such that

$$\|f\|_{H_X} = \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} |\varphi_j * f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_X < \infty$$

where $\varphi_j(x) = 2^{jn}\varphi(2^jx)$, $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp } \hat{\varphi} &\subseteq \{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n : 1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2\} \text{ and} \\ |\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| &\geq C, \quad 3/5 \leq |\xi| \leq 5/3 \end{aligned}$$

for some $C > 0$.

Notice that H_X is not rearrangement-invariant in terms of the condition given in [2, Chapter 2, Definition 1.2]. For simplicity, we use the absurd terminology ‘‘rearrangement-invariant’’ to name H_X .

If $X = L^p$ with $0 < p \leq 1$, we write H_X by H_p .

When $X = L_{p,q}$ where $L_{p,q}$ is a Lorentz space, then H_X becomes the Hardy-Lorentz spaces $H_{p,q}$ studied in [1].

If X is generated by a growth function of lower type Φ (see [28, p. 403]), then H_X is the Hardy type Orlicz spaces H_Φ considered in [23,28].

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a r.i.q.B.f.s. with $0 < p_X \leq q_X < \frac{1}{\alpha}$. Suppose that $0 < p_0 < p_X \leq q_X < p_1 < \infty$ and r, θ satisfy (3.2) and (3.3). Then,*

$$(H_{p_0}, H_{p_1})_{\theta,r,X} = H_{X_\alpha}.$$

For the proof of Theorem 3.2, the reader is referred to [15, Corollary 8.5].

We are now ready to extend the Hardy inequalities to rearrangement-invariant Hardy spaces.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $0 \leq \mu < 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_-$ and X be a r.i.q.B.f.s. with $0 < p_X \leq q_X < 1$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in H_X$ with $\text{supp } f \subseteq [0, \infty)$,*

$$\|T_{\alpha,\mu}f\|_{X_\mu(0,\infty)} \leq C\|f\|_{H_X}.$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\|T_{\alpha,\mu}f\|_{L^r(0,\infty)} \leq C\|f\|_{H^p(\mathbf{R})}$$

whenever

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{r} + \mu.$$

As $0 < p_X \leq q_X < 1$, there exist s_1, s_0 such that $q_X < s_1 < 1 < \frac{1}{\mu}$ and $0 < s_0 < p_X$.

The mappings $T_{\alpha,\mu} : H_{s_0} \rightarrow L^{q_0}(0, \infty)$ and $T_{\alpha,\mu} : H_{s_1} \rightarrow L^{q_1}$ with

$$\frac{1}{s_i} = \frac{1}{q_i} + \mu, \quad i = 0, 1$$

are bounded.

Let $\frac{1}{\theta} = \frac{1}{s_0} - \frac{1}{s_1} = \frac{1}{q_0} - \frac{1}{q_1}$. In addition, as

$$\frac{1}{q_1} + \mu = \frac{1}{s_1} < \frac{1}{q_X} \leq \frac{1}{p_X} < \frac{1}{s_0} = \frac{1}{q_0} + \mu,$$

(3.2) and (3.3) are fulfilled for the interpolations $(L^{q_0}, L^{q_1})_{\theta,s_0,X}$.

Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 yield

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\alpha,\mu}f\|_{X_\alpha(0,\infty)} &\leq C\|T_{\alpha,\mu}f\|_{(L^{q_0},L^{q_1})_{\theta,s_0,X}} \\ &\leq C\|f\|_{(H_{s_0},H_{s_1})_{\theta,s_0,X}} = \|f\|_{H_X}. \end{aligned}$$

□

As some special cases of the above theorem, we have Hardy inequalities on the Hardy-Lorentz spaces [1] and the Hardy-Orlicz spaces [23,28].

References

- [1] W. Abu-Shammala and A. Torchinsky, The Hardy-Lorentz spaces $H^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, *Studia Math.* **182** (2007), no. 3, 283–294.
- [2] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of operators*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 129, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.
- [3] L. Diening and S. Samko, Hardy inequality in variable exponent Lebesgue spaces, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **10** (2007), no. 1, 1–18.
- [4] D. E. Edmunds and W. D. Evans, *Hardy operators, function spaces and embeddings*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [5] M. Frazier and B. Jawerth, A discrete transform and decompositions of distribution spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **93** (1990), no. 1, 34–170.
- [6] A. Gogatishvili, B. Opic and W. Trebels, Limiting reiteration for real interpolation with slowly varying functions, *Math. Nachr.* **278** (2005), no. 1–2, 86–107.
- [7] J. Gustavsson and J. Peetre, Interpolation of Orlicz spaces, *Studia Math.* **60** (1977), no. 1, 33–59.
- [8] A. Harman, On necessary condition for the variable exponent Hardy inequality, *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.* **2012**, Art. ID 385925.
- [9] A. Harman and F. I. Mamedov, On boundedness of weighted Hardy operator in $L^{p(\cdot)}$ and regularity condition, *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2010**, Art. ID 837951.
- [10] K.-P. Ho, Characterization of BMO in terms of rearrangement-invariant Banach function spaces, *Expo. Math.* **27** (2009), no. 4, 363–372.
- [11] K.-P. Ho, Littlewood-Paley spaces, *Math. Scand.* **108** (2011), no. 1, 77–102.
- [12] K.-P. Ho, Atomic decomposition of Hardy spaces and characterization of BMO via Banach func-

- tion spaces, *Anal. Math.* **38** (2012), no. 3, 173–185.
- [13] K.-P. Ho, Hardy’s inequality and Hausdorff operators on rearrangement-invariant Morrey spaces, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **88** (2016), no. 1–2, 201–215.
- [14] K.-P. Ho, Hardy-Littlewood-Pólya inequalities and Hausdorff operators on block spaces, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **19** (2016), no. 2, 697–707.
- [15] K.-P. Ho, Fourier integrals and Sobolev embedding on rearrangement invariant quasi-Banach function spaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **41** (2016), no. 2, 897–922.
- [16] K.-P. Ho, Hardy’s inequality on Hardy-Morrey spaces. (to appear in *Georgian Math. J.*).
- [17] K.-P. Ho, Hardy’s inequality on Hardy-Morrey spaces with variable exponents. (to appear in *Mediterr. J. Math.*).
- [18] K.-P. Ho, Atomic decompositions and Hardy’s inequality on weak Hardy-Morrey spaces. (to appear in *Sci. China Math.*).
- [19] A. Kufner and L.-E. Persson, *Weighted inequalities of Hardy type*, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2003.
- [20] L. Maligranda, Generalized Hardy inequalities in rearrangement invariant spaces, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) **59** (1980), no. 4, 405–415.
- [21] R. A. Mashiyev, B. Çekiç, F. I. Mamedov and S. Ogras, Hardy’s inequality in power-type weighted $L^{p(\cdot)}(0, \infty)$ spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **334** (2007), no. 1, 289–298.
- [22] S. J. Montgomery-Smith, The Hardy operator and Boyd indices, in *Interaction between functional analysis, harmonic analysis, and probability (Columbia, MO, 1994)*, 359–364, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 175, Dekker, New York, 1996.
- [23] E. Nakai and Y. Sawano, Orlicz-Hardy spaces and their duals, *Sci. China Math.* **57** (2014), no. 5, 903–962.
- [24] B. Opic and A. Kufner, *Hardy-type inequalities*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, 219, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1990.
- [25] H. Rafeiro and S. Samko, Hardy type inequality in variable Lebesgue spaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **34** (2009), no. 1, 279–289.
- [26] S. Samko, Hardy inequality in the generalized Lebesgue spaces, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **6** (2003), no. 4, 355–362.
- [27] H. Triebel, *Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, 18, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [28] B. E. Viviani, An atomic decomposition of the predual of $BMO(\rho)$, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **3** (1987), no. 3–4, 401–425.