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#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of all functions $f$ meromorphic in a domain $D \subset \mathbf{C}$, for which, all zeros have multiplicity at least $k$, and $f(z)=0 \Leftrightarrow f^{(k)}(z)=1 \Rightarrow\left|f^{(k+1)}(z)\right| \leq h$, where $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $h \in \mathbf{R}^{+}$are given. Examples show that $\mathcal{F}$ is not normal in general (at least for $k=1$ or $k=2$ ). The example we give for $k=1$ shows that a recent result of Y . Xu [5] is not correct. However, we prove that for $k \neq 2$, there exists a positive integer $K \in \mathbf{N}$ such that the subfamily $\mathcal{G}=\{f \in \mathcal{F}$ : all possible poles of $f$ in $D$ have multiplicity at least $K\}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is normal. This generalizes our result in [1]. The case $k=2$ is also considered.
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1. Introduction and main results. Let $D \subset \mathbf{C}$ be a domain and $\mathcal{F}$ a family of meromorphic functions in $D . \mathcal{F}$ is said to be normal in $D$ in the sense of Montel, if each sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ contains a subsequence which converges spherically locally uniformly in $D$ to a meromorphic function or $\infty$. See $[3,6]$.

The following result is due to X. C. Pang and L. Zalcman [4].

Theorem A. Let $k \in \boldsymbol{N}$ and $h \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+}$, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of functions meromorphic in a domain $D \subset C$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$, all zeros of $f$ have multiplicity at least $k$, and $f(z)=0 \Leftrightarrow f^{(k)}(z)=$ $1 \Rightarrow 0<\left|f^{(k+1)}(z)\right| \leq h$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is a normal family in $D$.

In [4], for $k=2$, the authors gave an example to show that in Theorem A, the condition $f^{(k+1)}(z)$ is non-zero at the 1-points of $f^{(k)}(z)$ can not be dropped even if $\mathcal{F}$ is a family of holomorphic functions. Recently, Y. Xu [5] said that for $k=1$, this condition can be removed. We point out that Y. Xu's result is not correct. See the following example.

Example 1. For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let

$$
f_{n}(z)=\frac{2\left(e^{n z}+1\right)}{n\left(e^{n z}-1\right)}
$$

Then, for any $f_{n}$, we have
$f_{n}^{\prime}(z)-1=-\frac{\left(e^{n z}+1\right)^{2}}{\left(e^{n z}-1\right)^{2}}, f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(z)-1=\frac{4 n e^{n z}\left(e^{n z}+1\right)}{\left(e^{n z}-1\right)^{3}}$, so that $f_{n}$ satisfies $f_{n}(z)=0 \Leftrightarrow f_{n}^{\prime}(z)=1 \Rightarrow$ $f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(z)=0$.

[^0]However, the family $\mathcal{F}=\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is not normal in C.

If the family $\mathcal{F}$ in Theorem A consists of holomorphic functions, then the condition $f^{(k+1)}(z)$ is non-zero at the 1-points of $f^{(k)}(z)$ can be dropped for $k \neq 2$. Indeed, we have proved in [1] the following two results.

Theorem B. Let $k \in \boldsymbol{N}$ and $h \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+}$, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of functions holomorphic in a domain $D \subset C$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$, all zeros of $f$ have multiplicity at least $k$, and $f(z)=0 \Rightarrow f^{(k)}(z)=$ $1 \Rightarrow\left|f^{(k+1)}(z)\right| \leq h$. For the case $k=2$, suppose in addition that there exists an even positive integer $s \geq 4$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{F}, f^{(k)}(z)=1 \Rightarrow$ $\left|f^{(s)}(z)\right| \leq h$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is a normal family in $D$.

Theorem C. Let $k \in \boldsymbol{N}$ with $k \geq 2$ and $h \in$ $\boldsymbol{R}^{+}$, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of functions holomorphic in a domain $D \subset C$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{F}, f(z)=$ $0 \Rightarrow f^{\prime}(z)=1 \Rightarrow\left|f^{(k)}(z)\right| \leq h$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is a normal family in $D$.

In this note, we prove that Theorem B and Theorem C are also valid if the family consists of meromorphic functions, all of whose poles have sufficiently large multiplicity.

Theorem 1. Let $k \in \boldsymbol{N}$ and $h \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+}$, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of all functions $f$ meromorphic in a domain $D \subset \boldsymbol{C}$, for which, all zeros have multiplicity at least $k$, and $f(z)=0 \Rightarrow f^{(k)}(z)=1 \Rightarrow\left|f^{(k+1)}(z)\right| \leq h$. For the case $k=2$, suppose in addition that there exists an even positive integer $s \geq 4$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $f^{(k)}(z)=1 \Rightarrow\left|f^{(s)}(z)\right| \leq h$. Then there exists an integer $K \in \boldsymbol{N}$ such that the subfamily $\mathcal{G}_{K}=\{f \in \mathcal{F}$ :
all possible poles of $f$ in $D$ have multiplicity at least $K\}$ multiplicity at least $k$, such that $g^{\#}(\zeta) \leq g^{\#}(0)=$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in $D$.

In Theorem 1 , when $k=2$, the additional condition is really necessary.

Example 2 [4]. For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let

$$
f_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}\left(e^{n z}+e^{-n z}-2\right)=\frac{e^{-n z}}{2 n^{2}}\left(e^{n z}-1\right)^{2}
$$

Then for any positive integer $j \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$
f_{n}^{(j)}(z)=\frac{1}{2} n^{j-2}\left(e^{n z}+(-1)^{j} e^{-n z}\right)
$$

Thus one can see that all zeros of $f_{n}$ have multiplicity at least $2, f_{n}(z)=0 \Rightarrow f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(z)=1$ and $f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(z)=1 \Rightarrow$ $f^{(s)}(z)=0$ for any odd positive integer $s$.

However, the family $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is not normal at $z=0$.
Theorem 2. Let $k \in \boldsymbol{N}$ with $k \geq 2$ and $h \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+}$, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of all functions $f$ meromorphic in a domain $D \subset \boldsymbol{C}$, for which, $f(z)=0 \Rightarrow$ $f^{\prime}(z)=1 \Rightarrow\left|f^{(k)}(z)\right| \leq h$. Then there exists an integer $K \in N$ such that the subfamily $\mathcal{G}_{K}=\{f \in \mathcal{F}$ : all possible poles of $f$ in $D$ have multiplicity at least $K\}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in $D$.

By the present examples, the integer $K$ must be larger than 1 . We conjecture that one may take $K=2$.
2. Lemmas. We require some known results. The first two are the well-known Marty's theorem and Zalcman's Lemma respectively.

Lemma $\mathbf{1}$ (see $[3,6])$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of functions meromorphic in $D$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in $D$ if and only if for any compact subset $E$ of $D$, there exists a positive number $M=M(E)$ such that for any $z \in E$ and any $f \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$
f^{\#}(z)=\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+|f(z)|^{2}} \leq M
$$

Lemma 2 [4]. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of functions meromorphic in the unit disk $D=\{z:|z|<1\}$, all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least $k$, and suppose that there exists $A \geq 1$ such that $\left|f^{(k)}(z)\right| \leq$ $A$ whenever $f(z)=0$. Then if $\mathcal{F}$ is not normal, there exist, for each $0 \leq \alpha \leq k$,
a) a number $0<r<1$;
b) points $z_{n},\left|z_{n}\right|<r$;
c) functions $f_{n} \in \mathcal{F}$; and
d) positive numbers $\rho_{n} \rightarrow 0$
such that $\rho_{n}^{-\alpha} f_{n}\left(z_{n}+\rho_{n} \zeta\right)=g_{n}(\zeta) \rightarrow g(\zeta)$ spherically locally uniformly, where $g$ is a nonconstant meromorphic function on $\boldsymbol{C}$, all of whose zeros have
$k A+1$.

Lemma 3 [2]. Let $f$ be an entire function. If there exists a positive number $M$ such that $f^{\#}(z) \leq$ $M$ for any $z \in \boldsymbol{C}$, then $f$ is of order at most one.

Lemma $4[1]$. Let $k \in \boldsymbol{N}$, let $f$ be a nonconstant entire function of order at most one. Suppose all zeros of $f$ have multiplicity at least $k$, and $f(z)=0 \Rightarrow f^{(k)}(z)=1 \Rightarrow f^{(k+1)}(z)=0$. For the case $k=2$, suppose in addition that there exists an even positive integer $s \geq 4$ such that $f^{(k)}(z)=$ $1 \Rightarrow f^{(s)}(z)=0$. Then $f$ must be of the form $f(z)=\frac{1}{k!}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{k}$, where $z_{0}$ is a constant.

Lemma 5 [1]. Let $k \in \boldsymbol{N}$ with $k \geq 2$, let $f$ be a nonconstant entire function of order at most one. Suppose that $f(z)=0 \Rightarrow f^{\prime}(z)=1 \Rightarrow f^{(k)}(z)=0$. Then $f$ must be of the form $f(z)=z-z_{0}$, where $z_{0}$ is a constant.

Remark. In Lemma 4 (Lemma 5), the condition that $f$ is of order at most one can be dropped, since it follows from the other conditions. Indeed, under the other conditions, by Theorem B (Theorem $\mathbf{C}$ ), the corresponding family $\{f(z+\zeta)\}_{z \in \mathbf{C}}$ is normal at $\zeta=0$, and then by Marty's theorem, the spherical derivative $f^{\#}$ of $f$ is uniformly bounded on $\mathbf{C}$, and hence by Lemma $3, f$ is of order at most one.
3. Proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. Since the proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are similar to each other, we only give the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose for any $K \in$ $\mathbf{N}$, the family $\mathcal{G}_{K}$ is not normal at some point $z_{K} \in$ D. Then by Zalcman's Lemma (Lemma 2), there exist points $z_{n} \rightarrow z_{K}$, positive numbers $\rho_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and functions $f_{n} \in \mathcal{G}_{K}$ such that

$$
g_{n}(\zeta)=\rho_{n}^{-k} f_{n}\left(z_{n}+\rho_{n} \zeta\right) \rightarrow G_{k}(\zeta)
$$

spherically locally uniformly, where $G_{K}$ is a nonconstant meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C}$, all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least $k$ and all of whose poles have multiplicity at least $K$, such that $G_{K}^{\#}(\zeta) \leq$ $G_{K}^{\#}(0)=k+1$.

Using the same argument in [1, P.334-336], we can see that

$$
G_{K}(\zeta)=0 \Rightarrow G_{K}^{(k)}(\zeta)=1 \Rightarrow G_{K}^{(k+1)}(\zeta)=0
$$

with additional property $G_{K}^{(k)}(\zeta)=1 \Rightarrow G_{K}^{(s)}(\zeta)=0$ for the case $k=2$.

Now we consider the family $\left\{G_{K}\right\}_{K \in \mathbf{N}}$. Since $G^{\#}(\zeta) \leq k+1$, by Marty's theorem, it is normal in
the whole plane $\mathbf{C}$. So there exists a subsequence of $\left\{G_{K}\right\}_{K \in \mathbf{N}}$, say itself without any loss of generality, such that $\left\{G_{K}\right\}_{K \in \mathbf{N}}$ converges spherically locally uniformly in $\mathbf{C}$ to a meromorphic function $G$ or $\infty$.

By $G_{K}^{\#}(\zeta) \leq G_{K}^{\#}(0)=k+1$, we see that $G_{K} \rightarrow G$ and $G^{\#}(\zeta) \leq G^{\#}(0)=k+1$. Further we can see that $G$ is a nonconstant entire function, all zeros of $G$ have multiplicity at least $k$, and $G(\zeta)=0 \Rightarrow G^{(k)}(\zeta)=1 \Rightarrow G^{(k+1)}(\zeta)=0$ with additional property $G^{(k)}(\zeta)=1 \Rightarrow G^{(s)}(\zeta)=0$ for the case $k=2$. Thus by Lemma 4, we have $G(\zeta)=\frac{1}{k!}\left(\zeta-\zeta_{0}\right)^{k}$, where $\zeta_{0}$ is a constant. Simple calculation shows that $G^{\#}(0) \leq \frac{k}{2}+1$, which contradicts $G^{\#}(0)=k+1$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is completed.
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