

A class of balanced manifolds

By Lucia ALESSANDRINI and Giovanni BASSANELLI

Università di Parma, Dipartimento di Matematica

Via D'Azeglio 85, 43100 Parma, Italy

(Communicated by Heisuke HIRONAKA, M. J. A., Jan. 13, 2004)

Abstract: We prove that a compact complex 3-dimensional manifold, which is Kähler outside a smooth curve, carries a balanced hermitian metric.

Key words: Kähler and balanced manifolds; Kähler currents.

1. Introduction. In this short note we would like to complete the analysis initiated in [2] and [3], showing that:

Corollary 2.9. Let M be a compact complex manifold of dimension 3; if M is Kähler outside a smooth curve C , then M carries a balanced metric.

Recall that a hermitian metric on an n -dimensional manifold M is called balanced, or $(n-1)$ -Kähler, if the fundamental form ω of the metric satisfies $d\omega^{n-1} = 0$.

This result is a step in proving the following very general conjecture:

Conjecture (see [7]). *Let M be a compact complex manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$, and let Y be an analytic subset of M of codimension bigger than one. If $M - Y$ is Kähler, then M is balanced.*

Example. The conjecture fails for $n = 2$, or when $\text{codim} Y = 1$.

Consider the Hopf surface H , as a principal bundle over \mathbf{CP}_1 . It is well-known that H is not Kähler, hence not balanced ($n = 2$), nor in the class \mathcal{C} of Fujiki. Nevertheless, if T denotes the generic fibre (which is a torus), $H - T \simeq \mathbf{C} \times T$ is Kähler. In dimension bigger than two, if M is a Kähler manifold, $H \times M$ is Kähler outside the hypersurface $T \times M$, but is not balanced (if it were, the projection $H \times M \rightarrow H$ would imply that H is balanced).

2. Results. Let us fix a compact complex manifold M of dimension $n \geq 3$. $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(M)$ and $\mathcal{D}'_{p,q}(M)$ are respectively the space of (p, q) -forms on M and the space of currents on M with *bidimension* (p, q) or *bidegree* $(n-p, n-q)$ (also called $(n-p, n-q)$ -currents). A subscript \mathbf{R} , for instance $\mathcal{D}^{p,p}_{\mathbf{R}}(M)$, denotes the spaces of *real* forms or currents.

We shall need the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -cohomology groups of M , or Aeppli groups, in particular for $p = 1$ or $p = n-1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{\mathbf{R}}^{p,p}(M) &:= \frac{\{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{p,p}_{\mathbf{R}}(M) : d\varphi = 0\}}{\{\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\psi : \psi \in \mathcal{D}^{p-1,p-1}_{\mathbf{R}}(M)\}} \\ &\simeq \frac{\{T \in \mathcal{D}'_{n-p,n-p}(M)_{\mathbf{R}} : dT = 0\}}{\{\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}P : P \in \mathcal{D}'_{n-p+1,n-p+1}(M)_{\mathbf{R}}\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let C be an irreducible curve in M . C is the $(1, 1)$ -component of a boundary means that the class of $[C]$, the current given by the integration on C , is the zero class in $\Lambda_{\mathbf{R}}^{n-1,n-1}(M)$, while C is part of the $(1, 1)$ -component of a boundary means that there is a closed positive current $S \neq 0$ on M , of bidimension $(1, 1)$, such that $\chi_C S = 0$ and the class of $[C] + S$ vanishes in $\Lambda_{\mathbf{R}}^{n-1,n-1}(M)$.

The main result we need is the following (see [2], Theorem 5.5):

Theorem 2.1. *Let C be an irreducible curve in M , $\dim M \geq 3$, such that $M - C$ is Kähler. Then one and only one of the following cases may occur:*

- (i) M is Kähler,
- (ii) C is the $(1, 1)$ -component of a boundary,
- (iii) C is part of the $(1, 1)$ -component of a boundary.

Let us state a couple of Lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume C is a smooth curve in M . Then the map $i^* : \Lambda_{\mathbf{R}}^{1,1}(M) \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbf{R}}^{1,1}(C)$ induced by the embedding $i : C \rightarrow M$ is surjective if and only if C is not the $(1, 1)$ -component of a boundary.*

Proof. C is Kähler, because it is a curve, so that $\Lambda_{\mathbf{R}}^{1,1}(C) \simeq H^2(C, \mathbf{R}) \simeq \mathbf{R}$. Since $\Lambda_{\mathbf{R}}^{1,1}(C)$ is one-dimensional, i^* is either surjective or the zero map.

Let us denote by “.” the intersection between classes in the Aeppli groups of complementary degree: f.i., for every closed form $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{1,1}(M)$,

$$\{\varphi\} \cdot \{[C]\} = \varphi \cdot C = \int_C i^* \varphi = \int_M \varphi \wedge \psi,$$

where ψ is a smooth representative of the class of $[C]$ in $\Lambda_{\mathbf{R}}^{n-1, n-1}(M)$.

If C is the $(1, 1)$ -component of a boundary and i^* is surjective, take a volume form β on C and let $\beta = i^* \varphi$: then

$$0 = \varphi \cdot C = \int_C i^* \varphi = \text{vol}(C) > 0.$$

On the contrary, if C is not the $(1, 1)$ -component of a boundary, since the intersection is non-degenerate, there is a class $\{\varphi\} \neq 0$ such that

$$0 \neq \varphi \cdot C = \int_C i^* \varphi$$

thus i^* is not the zero map. \square

Lemma 2.3 ([4], Proposition 3.3). *Let Y be an analytic subset of the compact complex space X , let γ be a smooth representative of a class $\{\gamma\} \in \Lambda_{\mathbf{R}}^{1,1}(X)$ such that $\{\gamma\}/_Y$ is a Kähler class on Y . Then there exists a smooth representative $\gamma' = \gamma + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} u$ which is strictly positive on a neighborhood U of Y .*

Let us go back to Theorem 2.1. In the first case, M is obviously balanced. As regards the second case, the second author proved in [3] the following result:

Theorem 2.4. *Let C be a smooth curve in a compact complex manifold M of dimension 3, such that $M - C$ is Kähler. If C is the $(1, 1)$ -component of a boundary, then M is balanced.*

We shall consider here the third case, that is:

- (*) M is a compact complex manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$, $i : C \rightarrow M$ is a smooth curve in M such that $M - C$ is Kähler and C is part of the $(1, 1)$ -component of a boundary.

We would like to prove that, in this situation, M belongs to the class \mathcal{C} of Fujiki, that is, it is bimeromorphic to a Kähler manifold. We can reach our goal thanks to the characterization of the class \mathcal{C} given in [4].

Definition 2.5 ([4] or [6]). A Kähler current on a compact complex manifold M is a closed positive $(1, 1)$ -current T which satisfies $T \geq \varepsilon \omega$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and some fundamental form ω of a hermitian metric on M .

Theorem 2.6 ([4] Theorem 3.4). *A compact complex manifold M admits a Kähler current if and only if $M \in \mathcal{C}$.*

Theorem 2.7. *Assume (*). Then $M \in \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. Let β be a closed Kähler form on C . By Lemma 2.2, there is a form γ on M such that $\{\beta\} = \{i^* \gamma\} \in \Lambda_{\mathbf{R}}^{1,1}(C)$. By Lemma 2.3, there is a smooth function u on M such that $\gamma' := \gamma + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} u$ is strictly positive on \bar{U} , where U is a suitable neighborhood of C . Let α be the Kähler form of a Kähler metric on $M - C$. Since $\text{codim} C > 1$, α extends to a closed positive current on M (see [5]), namely the trivial extension α^0 .

Let ω be a fixed fundamental form of a hermitian metric on M . We claim that:

- i) $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon \omega < \gamma'$ on \bar{U} ,
ii) $\exists K > 0$ such that $K \alpha^0 > \varepsilon \omega - \gamma'$ on the compact set $M - U$, because α^0 is smooth there.

Thus $K \alpha^0 + \gamma' > \varepsilon \omega$ on M . \square

Thanks to the following theorem, we get the result we stated in the introduction.

Theorem 2.8 ([1] Theorem 3.4). *Let M and \tilde{M} be compact complex manifolds, and $f : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ a modification. Then \tilde{M} is balanced if and only if M is balanced. In particular, all $M \in \mathcal{C}$ are balanced.*

Corollary 2.9. *Let M be a compact complex manifold of dimension 3; if M is Kähler outside a smooth curve C , then M carries a balanced metric.*

References

- [1] Alessandrini, L., and Bassanelli, G.: Modifications of compact balanced manifolds. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., **320**, 1517–1522 (1995).
- [2] Alessandrini, L., and Bassanelli, G.: Compact complex threefolds which are Kähler outside a smooth rational curve. Math. Nachr., **207**, 21–59 (1999).
- [3] Bassanelli, G.: A geometrical application of the product of two positive currents. Complex analysis and geometry (Paris, 1997), Progr. Math., vol. 188, Birkhäuser, Basel, pp. 83–90 (2000).
- [4] Demailly, J. P., and Paun, M.: Numerical characterization of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold. (2001). (Preprint, Univ. Grenoble).
- [5] Harvey, R.: Removable singularities for positive currents. Amer. J. Math., **96**, 67–78 (1974).
- [6] Ji, S., and Shiffman, B.: Properties of compact complex manifolds carrying closed positive currents. J. Geom. Anal., **3**, 37–61 (1993).
- [7] Silva, A.: $\partial \bar{\partial}$ -closed positive currents and special metrics on compact complex manifolds. Complex analysis and geometry (Trento, 1993), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 173, Dekker, New York, pp. 377–441 (1996).