

## Multiplicative quadratic forms on algebraic varieties

By Akinari HOSHI

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Waseda University, 3-4-1, Ohkubo, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 169-8555

(Communicated by Heisuke HIRONAKA, M. J. A., April 14, 2003)

**Abstract:** In this note we extend Hurwitz-type multiplication of quadratic forms. For a regular quadratic space  $(K^n, q)$ , we restrict the domain of  $q$  to an algebraic variety  $V \subsetneq K^n$  and require a Hurwitz-type “bilinear condition” on  $V$ . This means the existence of a bilinear map  $\varphi : K^n \times K^n \rightarrow K^n$  such that  $\varphi(V \times V) \subset V$  and  $q(\mathbf{X})q(\mathbf{Y}) = q(\varphi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}))$  for any  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in V$ . We show that the  $m$ -fold Pfister form is multiplicative on certain proper subvariety in  $K^{2^m}$  for any  $m$ . We also show the existence of multiplicative quadratic forms which are different from Pfister forms on certain algebraic varieties for  $n = 4, 6$ . Especially for  $n = 4$  we give a certain family of them.

**Key words:** Multiplicative quadratic forms; Pfister forms; Dickson’s system.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $K$  be a field whose characteristic is not 2. In 1898, Hurwitz showed that if there is an identity of the type

$$(X_1^2 + \cdots + X_n^2)(Y_1^2 + \cdots + Y_n^2) = Z_1^2 + \cdots + Z_n^2,$$

where the  $Z_k$ ’s are bilinear forms of the independent variables  $X_i$  and  $Y_j$  over  $K$  then  $n = 1, 2, 4, 8$ . In general, for a regular quadratic form  $q(\mathbf{X}) := q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  over  $K$ ,  $q(\mathbf{X})$  is called multiplicative if there exists a formula

$$(1) \quad q(\mathbf{X})q(\mathbf{Y}) = q(\mathbf{Z}),$$

where the  $X_i$  and  $Y_j$  are independent variables and  $Z_k \in K(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ .  $q(\mathbf{X})$  is called strictly multiplicative if there exists a formula (1) with  $Z_k$  linear in  $Y_j$  over  $K(\mathbf{X})$ . It is known that if  $q(\mathbf{X})$  is isotropic then  $q(\mathbf{X})$  is always multiplicative and in this case  $q(\mathbf{X})$  is strictly multiplicative if and only if  $q(\mathbf{X})$  is hyperbolic (see [4] or [7]). A quadratic form is called Pfister form if it is expressible as a tensor product of binary quadratic forms of the type  $\langle 1, a \rangle$ . We denote by  $\langle\langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \rangle\rangle$  the  $m$ -fold Pfister form  $\langle 1, a_1 \rangle \otimes \langle 1, a_2 \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \langle 1, a_m \rangle$ . In 1965, A. Pfister showed the following theorem.

**Theorem** (Pfister [3]). *If  $q$  is a Pfister form, then  $q$  is strictly multiplicative. Conversely if  $q$  is an anisotropic multiplicative form over  $K$ , then  $q$  must be a Pfister form.*

Let  $D_K(n)$  be the set of values in  $K^\times$  represented by a sum of  $n$  squares in  $K$ , namely

$$D_K(n) = \{\alpha \in K^\times \mid \alpha = \alpha_1^2 + \cdots + \alpha_n^2, \alpha_j \in K\}.$$

The *Stufe* (or level) of a field  $K$  is defined as  $s(K) := \text{Inf}\{n \in \mathbf{N} : -1 \in D_K(n)\}$ . From above theorem, we see that if  $n$  is a power of 2 then  $D_K(n)$  is a multiplicative group. Using this fact, Pfister proved the following remarkable theorem (see [2] or [7]).

**Theorem** (Pfister). *For any field  $K$ ,  $s(K)$  is, if finite, always a power of 2. Conversely every power of 2 is the Stufe of some field  $K$ .*

**2. Multiplicative quadratic forms on algebraic varieties.** In this section, we extend the Hurwitz-type multiplicative quadratic forms in different way. For a regular quadratic space  $(K^n, q)$ , we restrict the domain of  $q$  to an algebraic variety  $V \subsetneq K^n$ . Furthermore we require the Hurwitz-type “bilinear condition” for  $q$  on  $V$ . More precisely, we make the following.

**Definition.** Let  $V \subsetneq K^n$  be an algebraic variety. We say a regular quadratic form  $q(\mathbf{X})$  is multiplicative on  $V$  if there is a bilinear map  $\varphi : K^n \times K^n \rightarrow K^n$  such that

$$\varphi(V \times V) \subset V \quad \text{and}$$

$$q(\mathbf{X})q(\mathbf{Y}) = q(\varphi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})) \quad \text{for any } \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in V.$$

Then the following natural problems arise.

**Problem 1.** Given a regular quadratic form  $q(\mathbf{X})$ , determine whether an algebraic variety  $V \subsetneq K^n$  exists on which  $q(\mathbf{X})$  is multiplicative.

**Problem 2.** Given an algebraic variety  $V \subsetneq K^n$ , determine whether a quadratic form  $q(\mathbf{X})$  which is multiplicative on  $V$  exists.

**Problem 3.** If Problem 1 or 2 is affirmative, find a bilinear map  $\varphi$  explicitly.

Note that in the classical case  $V = K^n$ , an anisotropic quadratic form is multiplicative if and only if it is a Pfister form. Moreover, when we require the Hurwitz-type “bilinear condition” a multiplicative quadratic form exists only for dimension 1, 2, 4 and 8. In this note we assume that the quadratic form is diagonal in order to simplify an argument.

We first describe a simple example which is a slight generalization of Hurwitz’s theorem (see [5]). Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional  $K$ -algebra with involution  $\tau$ . We define an algebraic variety  $V_\tau := \{x \in A \mid x \cdot x^\tau \in K\}$  and a quadratic form  $N_\tau(\alpha) := \alpha \cdot \alpha^\tau$ ,  $\alpha \in V_\tau$ . Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned} N_\tau(\alpha\beta) &= \alpha\beta(\alpha\beta)^\tau = \alpha\beta(\beta^\tau\alpha^\tau) = \alpha(\beta\beta^\tau)\alpha^\tau \\ &= N_\tau(\alpha)N_\tau(\beta), \quad \text{for any } \alpha, \beta \in V_\tau. \end{aligned}$$

In particular we consider the following case, from which one can recover the Pfister form in natural way. Let  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in K^\times$  and suppose  $L = K(\sqrt{-a_1}, \dots, \sqrt{-a_m})$  is an extension field of degree  $2^m$  over  $K$ . We put  $S_m := \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  then  $\{e_I := \prod_{i \in I} \sqrt{-a_i} \mid I \subseteq S_m\}$  is a basis for  $L/K$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , we define  $\sigma_i \in \text{Aut}(L/K)$  by

$$\sigma_i(\sqrt{-a_k}) = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{-a_k}, & \text{if } k = i, \\ \sqrt{-a_k}, & \text{if } k \neq i. \end{cases}$$

Hence  $\text{Gal}(L/K) \cong \langle \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m \rangle$ . We now consider  $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$  of order 2 and define  $\text{sgn}_\tau(i) \in \{\pm 1\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  by the equation

$$\tau(\sqrt{-a_i}) = \text{sgn}_\tau(i)\sqrt{-a_i}.$$

For  $\alpha \in L$ , we write

$$\alpha = \sum_{I \subseteq S_m} u_I e_I, \quad u_I \in K$$

and define

$$N_\tau(\alpha) := N_{L/L^{\langle \tau \rangle}}(\alpha) = \alpha \cdot \alpha^\tau \in L^{\langle \tau \rangle}.$$

Then there are  $2^{m-1}$  quadratic forms  $f_J(\mathbf{X}) := f_J(X_1, \dots, X_{2^m})$ , ( $J \subseteq S_m$ ,  $\tau(e_J) = e_J$ ) such that

$$(2) \quad N_\tau(\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq S_m \\ \tau(e_J) = e_J}} f_J(u_J) e_J.$$

Note that  $f_\emptyset(\mathbf{X})$  is the  $m$ -fold Pfister form  $\langle\langle -\text{sgn}_\tau(1)a_1, \dots, -\text{sgn}_\tau(m)a_m \rangle\rangle$ . We see that

$$\{\alpha \in L^\times \mid N_\tau(\alpha) \in K\}$$

is a multiplicative group. Therefore we obtain the following fundamental proposition of the theory of multiplicative quadratic forms on algebraic varieties.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $f_J(\mathbf{X})$  be  $2^{m-1}$  quadratic forms defined in (2) and let  $V$  be defined by the  $2^{m-1} - 1$  equations  $f_J(\mathbf{X}) = 0$ , ( $J \neq \emptyset$ ). The  $m$ -fold Pfister form  $f_\emptyset(\mathbf{X}) = \langle\langle -\text{sgn}_\tau(1)a_1, \dots, -\text{sgn}_\tau(m)a_m \rangle\rangle$  is multiplicative on  $V$ .

Let  $V \subseteq K^n$  be an algebraic variety and  $q$  be a quadratic form on  $V$ . Define  $D_V(q)$  to be the set of values in  $K^\times$  represented by  $q$  on  $V$ , namely

$$\begin{aligned} D_V(q) &= \{\alpha \in K^\times \mid \alpha = q(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \\ &\quad \text{for } (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in V\}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that if  $q$  is multiplicative on  $V$  and represents 1 then  $D_V(q)$  is a multiplicative group. Note that we can also consider  $q$  over a commutative ring  $R$  requiring the Hurwitz-type “bilinear condition” over  $R$ . We shall give an application which is the case over the ring of integers  $\mathbf{Z}$  in Section 3.

We now present an example of Proposition 1.

**Example 2.** The case  $m = 2$ . Suppose  $L = K(\sqrt{-a_1}, \sqrt{-a_2})$  is a biquadratic extension field of  $K$  and let  $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$  of order 2 such that

$$\tau(\sqrt{-a_1}) = -\sqrt{-a_1}, \quad \tau(\sqrt{-a_2}) = -\sqrt{-a_2}.$$

For  $\alpha, \beta \in L$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= X_1 + X_2\sqrt{-a_1} + X_3\sqrt{-a_2} + X_4\sqrt{-a_1}\sqrt{-a_2}, \\ \beta &= Y_1 + Y_2\sqrt{-a_1} + Y_3\sqrt{-a_2} + Y_4\sqrt{-a_1}\sqrt{-a_2}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} N_\tau(\alpha) &= X_1^2 + a_1X_2^2 + a_2X_3^2 + a_1a_2X_4^2 \\ &\quad + 2(X_1X_4 - X_2X_3)\sqrt{-a_1}\sqrt{-a_2} \end{aligned}$$

and put

$$\begin{aligned} q(\mathbf{X}) &:= f_\emptyset(\mathbf{X}) = X_1^2 + a_1X_2^2 + a_2X_3^2 + a_1a_2X_4^2, \\ h(\mathbf{X}) &:= f_{1,2}(\mathbf{X}) = 2(X_1X_4 - X_2X_3). \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 1,  $q(\mathbf{X})$  is multiplicative on  $V : h(\mathbf{X}) = 0$ . Namely if  $h(\mathbf{X}) = 0$  and  $h(\mathbf{Y}) = 0$  then there is the bilinear map  $\varphi : K^4 \times K^4 \rightarrow K^4$  such that  $q(\mathbf{X})q(\mathbf{Y}) = q(\varphi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}))$  and  $h(\varphi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})) = 0$ . Since  $N_\tau(\alpha)N_\tau(\beta) = N_\tau(\alpha\beta)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\beta &= (X_1Y_1 - a_1X_2Y_2 - a_2X_3Y_3 + a_1a_2X_4Y_4) \\ &\quad + (X_2Y_1 + X_1Y_2 - a_2X_4Y_3 - a_2X_3Y_4)\sqrt{-a_1} \\ &\quad + (X_3Y_1 - a_1X_4Y_2 + X_1Y_3 - a_1X_2Y_4)\sqrt{-a_2} \\ &\quad + (X_4Y_1 + X_3Y_2 + X_2Y_3 + X_1Y_4)\sqrt{-a_1}\sqrt{-a_2}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the bilinear map  $\varphi$  explicitly as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) = & (X_1Y_1 - a_1X_2Y_2 - a_2X_3Y_3 + a_1a_2X_4Y_4, \\ & X_2Y_1 + X_1Y_2 - a_2X_4Y_3 - a_2X_3Y_4, \\ & X_3Y_1 - a_1X_4Y_2 + X_1Y_3 - a_1X_2Y_4, \\ & X_4Y_1 + X_3Y_2 + X_2Y_3 + X_1Y_4).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover using above bilinear map  $\varphi$ , we have the following equations.

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $q(\mathbf{X})$ ,  $h(\mathbf{X})$ ,  $\varphi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$  be as above in Example 2. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}q(\mathbf{X})q(\mathbf{Y}) &= q(\varphi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})) - a_1a_2h(\mathbf{X})h(\mathbf{Y}), \\ h(\varphi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})) &= q(\mathbf{X})h(\mathbf{Y}) + h(\mathbf{X})q(\mathbf{Y}),\end{aligned}$$

where the  $X_i$  and  $Y_j$  are independent variables.

**Remark.** From Corollary 3, the 2-fold Pfister form  $q(\mathbf{X}) = X_1^2 + a_1X_2^2 + a_2X_3^2 + a_1a_2X_4^2$ ,  $a_1, a_2 \in K^\times$  is multiplicative on  $V : h(\mathbf{X}) = 0$  without the supposition that  $K(\sqrt{-a_1}, \sqrt{-a_2})$  is a field of degree 4 over  $K$  as in Example 2.

The following problem arises as the next natural question after Proposition 1.

**Problem 4.** Does there exist a quadratic form  $q(\mathbf{X})$  which is different from a Pfister form and multiplicative on an algebraic variety  $V \subsetneq K^n$ .

As in the case which is over vector space  $K^n$ , one might expect that a multiplicative quadratic form on algebraic variety is always a Pfister form. However we give the following result for 4-dimensional quadratic forms.

**Theorem 4.** *For  $a, b, c \in K^\times$  with  $b^2 + 4ac \neq 0$ , let  $V_{(a,b,c)}$  be a hypersurface on  $\mathbf{A}^4$  defined by  $X_1X_2 + aX_3^2 + bX_3X_4 - cX_4^2 = 0$ . For any  $\lambda \in K^\times$ ,  $q(\mathbf{X}) = X_1^2 + (b^2 + 4ac)ac\lambda^2X_2^2 + (b^2 + 4ac)a\lambda X_3^2 + (b^2 + 4ac)c\lambda X_4^2$  is multiplicative on  $V_{(a,b,c)}$ . Moreover the bilinear map  $\varphi$  is given explicitly as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) = & (X_1Y_1 + (b^2 + 4ac)ac\lambda^2X_2Y_2 \\ & + (b^2 + 4ac)a\lambda X_3Y_3 + (b^2 + 4ac)c\lambda X_4Y_4, \\ & X_2Y_1 + X_1Y_2 + 2aX_3Y_3 \\ & + bX_4Y_3 + bX_3Y_4 - 2cX_4Y_4, \\ & X_3Y_1 + 2ac\lambda X_3Y_2 + bc\lambda X_4Y_2 \\ & - X_1Y_3 - 2ac\lambda X_2Y_3 - bc\lambda X_2Y_4, \\ & X_4Y_1 + ab\lambda X_3Y_2 - 2ac\lambda X_4Y_2 \\ & - ab\lambda X_2Y_3 - X_1Y_4 + 2ac\lambda X_2Y_4).\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Put  $f(\mathbf{X}) := X_1X_2 + aX_3^2 + bX_3X_4 - cX_4^2$ . Using  $\varphi$ , we can show the following relations by direct calculation.

$$\begin{aligned}q(\mathbf{X})q(\mathbf{Y}) &= q(\varphi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})) \\ &\quad - 4(b^2 + 4ac)ac\lambda^2f(\mathbf{X})f(\mathbf{Y}), \\ f(\varphi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})) &= q(\mathbf{X})f(\mathbf{Y}) + f(\mathbf{X})q(\mathbf{Y}).\end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $a, b, c, V_{(a,b,c)}$  be as above in Theorem 4. Suppose  $b^2 + 4ac \notin K^{\times 2}$ . Then there are infinitely many 4-dimensional diagonal multiplicative quadratic forms on  $V_{(a,b,c)}$  which are different from Pfister forms.*

**Remark.** For Theorem 4, if we consider  $q(\mathbf{X})$  over the field  $K(\sqrt{b^2 + 4ac})$  then we see that Theorem 4 is a consequence of Proposition 1. In fact if we use the non-singular linear transformation of variables as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}X_1 &\rightarrow \tilde{X}_1, \quad X_2 \rightarrow \tilde{X}_4, \\ X_3 &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2a} \left( \tilde{X}_2 - a\tilde{X}_3 - \frac{b(\tilde{X}_2 + a\tilde{X}_3)}{\sqrt{b^2 + 4ac}} \right), \\ X_4 &\rightarrow \frac{\tilde{X}_2 + a\tilde{X}_3}{\sqrt{b^2 + 4ac}},\end{aligned}$$

then we can show that  $q(\mathbf{X})$  and  $f(\mathbf{X})$  in Theorem 4 are transformed to

$$\begin{aligned}q(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) &= \tilde{X}_1^2 + m_1\tilde{X}_2^2 + m_2\tilde{X}_3^2 + m_3\tilde{X}_4^2, \\ f(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) &= \tilde{X}_1\tilde{X}_4 - \tilde{X}_2\tilde{X}_3,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}m_1 &= \frac{\lambda}{2a} \left( b^2 + 4ac - b\sqrt{b^2 + 4ac} \right), \\ m_2 &= \frac{a\lambda}{2} \left( b^2 + 4ac + b\sqrt{b^2 + 4ac} \right), \\ m_3 &= m_1m_2 = (b^2 + 4ac)ac\lambda^2.\end{aligned}$$

The following theorem shows that, in contrast to the classical case, a multiplicative quadratic form  $q(\mathbf{X})$  exists in the non 2-power dimensional case for some algebraic varieties  $V$ .

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $q(\mathbf{X}) = X_1^2 + 21X_2^2 + 21X_3^2 + 21X_4^2 + 14X_5^2 + 42X_6^2$  and  $V$ :*

$$\begin{cases} 3X_2^2 + 6X_2X_3 - 6X_2X_4 + 12X_3X_4 \\ -3X_4^2 + 3X_5^2 + 4X_1X_6 + 2X_5X_6 - 9X_6^2 = 0, \\ 12X_2X_3 + 3X_3^2 + 6X_2X_4 + 6X_3X_4 - 3X_4^2 \\ + 2X_1X_5 + X_5^2 + 2X_1X_6 + 10X_5X_6 - 3X_6^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $q(\mathbf{X})$  is multiplicative on  $V$ . Moreover the bilinear map  $\varphi$  is given explicitly as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) = & (-X_1Y_1 - 21X_2Y_2 \\ & - 21X_3Y_3 - 21X_4Y_4 - 14X_5Y_5 - 42X_6Y_6, \\ & X_2Y_1 - X_1Y_2 + X_5Y_2 - 3X_6Y_2 \\ & - 3X_5Y_3 - 3X_6Y_3 - 3X_5Y_4 + 3X_6Y_4 - X_2Y_5 \\ & + 3X_3Y_5 + 3X_4Y_5 + 3X_2Y_6 + 3X_3Y_6 - 3X_4Y_6, \\ & X_3Y_1 - 3X_5Y_2 - 3X_6Y_2 - X_1Y_3 - 2X_5Y_3 \\ & - 6X_6Y_4 + 3X_2Y_5 + 2X_3Y_5 + 3X_2Y_6 + 6X_4Y_6, \\ & X_4Y_1 - 3X_5Y_2 + 3X_6Y_2 \\ & - 6X_6Y_3 - X_1Y_4 + X_5Y_4 + 3X_6Y_4 \\ & + 3X_2Y_5 - X_4Y_5 - 3X_2Y_6 + 6X_3Y_6 - 3X_4Y_6, \\ & (-2X_5Y_1 + 3X_2Y_2 - 9X_3Y_2 \\ & - 9X_4Y_2 - 9X_2Y_3 - 6X_3Y_3 - 9X_2Y_4 \\ & + 3X_4Y_4 - 2X_1Y_5 + X_5Y_5 - 9X_6Y_5 - 9X_5Y_6)/2, \\ & (-2X_6Y_1 - 3X_2Y_2 - 3X_3Y_2 + 3X_4Y_2 - 3X_2Y_3 \\ & - 3X_6Y_6 - 6X_4Y_3 + 3X_2Y_4 - 6X_3Y_4 + 3X_4Y_4 \\ & - 3X_5Y_5 - X_6Y_5 - 2X_1Y_6 - X_5Y_6 + 9X_6Y_6)/2). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We put

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\mathbf{X}) &:= 3X_2^2 + 6X_2X_3 - 6X_2X_4 + 12X_3X_4 - 3X_4^2 \\ &\quad + 3X_5^2 + 4X_1X_6 + 2X_5X_6 - 9X_6^2, \\ f_2(\mathbf{X}) &:= 12X_2X_3 + 3X_3^2 + 6X_2X_4 + 6X_3X_4 - 3X_4^2 \\ &\quad + 2X_1X_5 + X_5^2 + 2X_1X_6 + 10X_5X_6 - 3X_6^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using  $\varphi$ , we find the following relations which can be checked by direct calculation.

$$\begin{aligned} q(\mathbf{X})q(\mathbf{Y}) &= q(\varphi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})) - 14f_1(\mathbf{X})f_1(\mathbf{Y}) \\ &\quad + 7f_1(\mathbf{X})f_2(\mathbf{Y}) + 7f_2(\mathbf{X})f_1(\mathbf{Y}) \\ &\quad - 14f_2(\mathbf{X})f_2(\mathbf{Y}), \\ f_1(\varphi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})) &= q(\mathbf{X})f_1(\mathbf{Y}) + f_1(\mathbf{X})q(\mathbf{Y}) \\ &\quad - 2f_1(\mathbf{X})f_1(\mathbf{Y}) - f_1(\mathbf{X})f_2(\mathbf{Y}) \\ &\quad - f_2(\mathbf{X})f_1(\mathbf{Y}) + 3f_2(\mathbf{X})f_2(\mathbf{Y}), \\ f_2(\varphi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})) &= q(\mathbf{X})f_2(\mathbf{Y}) + f_2(\mathbf{X})q(\mathbf{Y}) \\ &\quad - 3f_1(\mathbf{X})f_1(\mathbf{Y}) + 2f_1(\mathbf{X})f_2(\mathbf{Y}) \\ &\quad + 2f_2(\mathbf{X})f_1(\mathbf{Y}) + f_2(\mathbf{X})f_2(\mathbf{Y}). \end{aligned}$$

□

**3. Applications.** We give one example of applications which use the multiplicative quadratic

forms on algebraic varieties over the ring of integers  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

Let  $p$  be a prime  $\equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ . It is well known that the following system of diophantine equations has exactly four integer solutions.

$$(3) \quad 16p = x^2 + 125w^2 + 50v^2 + 50u^2,$$

$$(4) \quad xw = v^2 - 4uv - u^2,$$

$$(5) \quad x \equiv -1 \pmod{5}.$$

This system is often called ‘‘Dickson’s system’’ since above result was discovered by Dickson [1] in 1935. If  $(x, w, v, u)$  is one integer solution then the remaining three are  $(x, -w, -u, v)$ ,  $(x, w, -v, -u)$ ,  $(x, -w, u, -v)$ .

We are able to apply Theorem 4 to above system of diophantine equations. Using Theorem 4 for  $a = -1$ ,  $b = 4$ ,  $c = -1$ ,  $\lambda = -5/2$ , we see that the quadratic form  $q(\mathbf{X}) = X_1^2 + 125X_2^2 + 50X_3^2 + 50X_4^2$  is multiplicative on  $V : X_1X_2 = X_3^2 - 4X_3X_4 - X_4^2$ . The bilinear map  $\varphi : \mathbf{Z}^4 \times \mathbf{Z}^4 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^4$  such that  $q(\mathbf{X})q(\mathbf{Y}) = q(\varphi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}))$  is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad \varphi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) = & (X_1Y_1 + 125X_2Y_2 + 50X_3Y_3 + 50X_4Y_4, \\ & X_2Y_1 + X_1Y_2 - 2X_3Y_3 \\ & \quad + 4X_4Y_3 + 4X_3Y_4 + 2X_4Y_4, \\ & X_3Y_1 - 5X_3Y_2 + 10X_4Y_2 \\ & \quad - X_1Y_3 + 5X_2Y_3 - 10X_2Y_4, \\ & X_4Y_1 + 10X_3Y_2 + 5X_4Y_2 \\ & \quad - 10X_2Y_3 - X_1Y_4 - 5X_2Y_4). \end{aligned}$$

Using this  $\varphi$ , we obtain the following extended result of Dickson’s system.

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $N$  be an integer such that  $N = p_1^{r_1}p_2^{r_2} \cdots p_k^{r_k}$ , where  $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  is a prime for each  $i$ . Then the system of diophantine equations (3)–(5) with  $N$  instead of  $p$  has integer solutions.*

Let  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  be primes such that  $p_1 \equiv p_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ . Let  $(x_{p_1}, w_{p_1}, v_{p_1}, u_{p_1})$  (resp.  $(x_{p_2}, w_{p_2}, v_{p_2}, u_{p_2})$ ) be one of integer solutions of the system of (3)–(5) which belongs to  $p_1$  (resp.  $p_2$ ). For the product  $p_1p_2$ , we define  $(x_{p_1p_2}, w_{p_1p_2}, v_{p_1p_2}, u_{p_1p_2}) \in \mathbf{Z}[1/2]^4$  by

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} & (x_{p_1p_2}, w_{p_1p_2}, v_{p_1p_2}, u_{p_1p_2}) \\ & := \frac{\varphi((x_{p_1}, w_{p_1}, v_{p_1}, u_{p_1}), (x_{p_2}, w_{p_2}, v_{p_2}, u_{p_2}))}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi$  is the bilinear map in (6). Furthermore,

we define  $(x_N, w_N, v_N, u_N) \in \mathbf{Z}[1/2]^4$ , for  $N = p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \cdots p_k^{r_k}$ , each  $p_i$  is prime  $\equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ , by repeating and using the definition (7). We see that the 4-tuple  $(x_N, w_N, v_N, u_N)$  is the solution of the Dickson's system (3)–(5) which belongs to  $N$ . Therefore to prove Theorem 7 we have to show that the 4-tuple is integral:  $(x_N, w_N, v_N, u_N) \in \mathbf{Z}^4$ .

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $p$  be a prime  $\equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ . Then the solution  $(x_p, w_p, v_p, u_p) \in \mathbf{Z}^4$  of the system (3)–(5) satisfies the following congruences.*

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} -x_p + w_p + 2u_p \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ -x_p - w_p + 2v_p \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* See, for example, [6, Lemma 1 (d)].  $\square$

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $N_1 = l_1^{a_1} l_2^{a_2} \cdots l_m^{a_m}$  and  $N_2 = q_1^{b_1} q_2^{b_2} \cdots q_n^{b_n}$ , each  $l_j, q_k$  is prime  $\equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ . If  $(x_{N_i}, w_{N_i}, v_{N_i}, u_{N_i}) \in \mathbf{Z}^4$  and it satisfies (8) for  $i = 1, 2$  then  $(x_{N_1 N_2}, w_{N_1 N_2}, v_{N_1 N_2}, u_{N_1 N_2}) \in \mathbf{Z}^4$  and it also satisfies (8).*

*Proof.* If  $(x_{N_i}, w_{N_i}, v_{N_i}, u_{N_i}) \in \mathbf{Z}^4$  and it satisfies (8) for  $i = 1, 2$  then there are  $s_1, t_1, s_2, t_2 \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} x_{N_i} = w_{N_i} + 2u_{N_i} + 4s_i, & (i = 1, 2), \\ v_{N_i} = w_{N_i} + u_{N_i} + 2t_i, & (i = 1, 2). \end{cases}$$

By the definition (7) and using (9) we see that the 4-tuple  $(x_{N_1 N_2}, w_{N_1 N_2}, v_{N_1 N_2}, u_{N_1 N_2})$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & (4s_1 s_2 + 50t_1 t_2 + 2s_2 u_1 + 25t_2 u_1 \\ & + 2s_1 u_2 + 25t_1 u_2 + 26u_1 u_2 + s_2 w_1 + 25t_2 w_1 \\ & + 13u_2 w_1 + s_1 w_2 + 25t_1 w_2 + 13u_1 w_2 + 44w_1 w_2, \\ & s_2 w_1 - 2t_1 t_2 + t_2 u_1 + t_1 u_2 \\ & + 2u_1 u_2 - t_2 w_1 + u_2 w_1 + s_1 w_2 - t_1 w_2 + u_1 w_2, \\ & 2s_2 t_1 - 2s_1 t_2 + s_2 u_1 - t_2 u_1 - s_1 u_2 + t_1 u_2 \\ & + s_2 w_1 + 2t_2 w_1 - u_2 w_1 - s_1 w_2 - 2t_1 w_2 + u_1 w_2, \\ & s_2 u_1 - s_1 u_2 - 5t_2 w_1 - 4u_2 w_1 + 5t_1 w_2 + 4u_1 w_2), \end{aligned}$$

where  $(w_i, u_i) = (w_{N_i}, u_{N_i})$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Hence  $(x_{N_1 N_2}, w_{N_1 N_2}, v_{N_1 N_2}, u_{N_1 N_2}) \in \mathbf{Z}^4$ . Using

this it is easily verified that this 4-tuple satisfies (8).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 7.* By the definition (7), the system of diophantine equations (3)–(4) which belongs to  $N$  has solutions  $(x_N, w_N, v_N, u_N) \in \mathbf{Z}[1/2]^4$ . By Lemma 8 and Lemma 9, we obtain that this 4-tuple  $(x_N, w_N, v_N, u_N)$  is in  $\mathbf{Z}^4$ . It remains to show that  $x_N \equiv -1 \pmod{5}$ . This follows from (6) and (7).  $\square$

It is well known that the Dickson's system (3)–(5) is related very deeply to the Jacobi sums. In fact for a prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  the solution of Dickson's system (3)–(5) give the coefficients of Jacobi sum for  $\mathbf{F}_p$ . We can study the Jacobi sum for  $\mathbf{F}_q$ ,  $q = p^\alpha$  in detail by using Theorem 4. We shall discuss it in separate paper because it is much more elaborate.

**Acknowledgement.** The author thanks Professor K. Hashimoto who gave him various suggestions during this study.

### References

[ 1 ] Dickson, L. E.: Cyclotomy, higher congruences and Waring's problem. Amer. J. Math., **57**, 391–424 (1935).  
 [ 2 ] Pfister, A.: Zur Darstellung von  $-1$  als Summe von Quadraten in einem Körper. J. London Math. Soc., **40**, 159–165 (1965).  
 [ 3 ] Pfister, A.: Multiplikative quadratische Formen. Arch. Math., **16**, 363–370 (1965).  
 [ 4 ] Pfister, A.: Quadratic forms with applications to algebraic geometry and topology. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, no. 217, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).  
 [ 5 ] Schafer, R. D.: An Introduction to Nonassociative Algebras. Dover Publications, Inc., New York (1995).  
 [ 6 ] Katre, S. A., and Rajwade, A. R.: Unique determination of cyclotomic numbers of order five. Manuscripta Math., **53**, 65–75 (1985).  
 [ 7 ] Rajwade, A. R.: Squares. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, no. 171, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1993).