

Universality of Hecke L -functions in the Grossencharacter-aspect

By Hidehiko MISHOU^{*)} and Shin-ya KOYAMA^{**)}

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., May 13, 2002)

Abstract: We consider the Hecke L -function $L(s, \lambda^m)$ of the imaginary quadratic field $\mathbf{Q}(i)$ with the m -th Grossencharacter λ^m . We obtain the universality property of $L(s, \lambda^m)$ as both m and $t = \text{Im}(s)$ go to infinity.

Key words: Hecke L -function; universality of zeta functions; Grossencharacter; imaginary quadratic field.

1. Introduction. Voronin [V] discovered the universality property of the Riemann zeta function in 1975, which is stated as follows:

Voronin's theorem. Let C be a compact subset of the strip $\{s = \sigma + i\tau \in \mathbf{C} \mid (1/2) < \sigma < 1\}$ with connected complement. Let $f(s)$ be a non-vanishing continuous function on C which is analytic in the interior of C . Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(\left\{t \in [0, T] \mid \sup_{s \in C} |\zeta(s + it) - f(s)| < \varepsilon\right\}\right)}{T} > 0,$$

where μ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{R} .

This result was extended to various zeta functions. The first author proved it for Hecke L -functions with ideal class characters [M1] and for those with Grossencharacters [M2]. The universality properties are also generalized to various aspects of zeta functions. Recently Nagoshi proved them for automorphic L -functions of $GL(2)$ in the aspect where their weight or level of the cusp forms grows [N1]. Nagoshi also generalized it to Maass cusp forms for $GL(2)$ in the aspect of the Laplace eigenvalues [N2].

In this paper we deal with the Hecke L -functions $L(s, \lambda^m)$ of $\mathbf{Q}(i)$ with Grossencharacters λ^m ($m \in \mathbf{Z}$), where λ is a fixed generator of Grossencharacters. We consider the universality property as both τ and m grow. More precisely our results are stated as follows:

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11M41, Secondary 19R42.

^{*)} Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 464-8602.

^{**)} Department of Mathematics, Keio University, 3-14-1, Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama, Kanagawa 223-8522.

Let $K = \mathbf{Q}(i)$, and for an ideal $\mathfrak{a} = (\alpha) \in K$, the m -th Grossencharacter is given by $\lambda^m(\mathfrak{a}) := (\alpha/|\alpha|)^{4m}$ for $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. The Hecke L -function is defined by $L(s, \lambda^m) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \lambda^m(\mathfrak{a}) N(\mathfrak{a})^{-s}$ for $\sigma = \text{Re}(s) > 1$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let C be a compact subset in the strip $\{s \in \mathbf{C} \mid (1/2) < \sigma < 1\}$. For any function $f(s)$ which is nonzero and continuous on C and which is holomorphic on $\text{Int}(C)$, and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T^2} \mu'(\{(t, m) \in [0, T] \times \{0, \dots, [T]\} \mid \max_{s \in C} |L(s + it, \lambda^m) - f(s)| < \varepsilon\})}{T^2} > 0,$$

where μ' is the product measure on $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z}$.

Remark 1.2. (a) It is possible to extend Theorem 1.1 to any imaginary quadratic field K of class number one, and to general Hecke character $\chi \lambda^m$ with nontrivial narrow class character χ .

(b) In case K is a general number field of finite degree, (1.1) would be formulated as follows: Let $n = [K : \mathbf{Q}]$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}$ be a fixed set of generators of Grossencharacters of K . Put $\lambda^m = \lambda_1^{m_1} \cdots \lambda_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}}$ for $m = (m_1, \dots, m_{n-1}) \in \mathbf{Z}^{n-1}$. Then under the above settings we would have

$$\frac{\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T^n} \mu'(\{(t, m) \in [0, T]^n \mid \max_{s \in C} |L(s + it, \lambda^m) - f(s)| < \varepsilon\})}{T^n} > 0$$

with μ' the product measure on $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z}^{n-1}$. This will be treated in the forthcoming paper [M3].

(c) In Theorem 1.1, it is unfortunate that the range of m and t must be the same. The universality in the m -aspect with t being fixed should

also be proved. Difficulty lies in the proof of the mean value theorem for Dirichlet series over O_K twisted by λ^m . Duke proves it in [D, Theorem 1.1], where he takes the average over $(m, t) \in \{0, \dots, [T]\} \times [0, T]$. He conjectures that the mean value theorem should hold in case of $(m, t) \in \{0, \dots, M\} \times [0, T]$. We see from the proof of our Theorem 1.1 that Duke's conjecture would imply the universality in the m -aspect.

(d) The Grossencharacter-aspect is also considered in a different context. Petridis and Sarnak [PS] obtain a subconvexity estimate of automorphic L -functions $L(s, \phi)$ for a Maass cusp form ϕ of $SL(2, Z[i])$. In order to prove it they consider the twists with Grossencharacters and take an average $\int |L((1/2)+it, \phi \otimes \lambda^m)|^2 dt$, where the summation and the integration is taken over certain range of (m, t) . Consequently they succeed in obtaining subconvexities in the both m and t aspects.

2. Propositions. For describing the proof of our main result, we put for $z > 0$

$$L_z(s, \lambda^m) := \prod_{N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq z} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda^m(\mathfrak{p})}{N(\mathfrak{p})^s}\right)^{-1},$$

where \mathfrak{p} denotes a prime ideal. Theorem 1.1 is an immediate consequence of the following propositions:

Proposition 2.1. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $z_0 > 0$ such that for any $z > z_0$*

$$\max_{s \in C} |\log L(s + it, \lambda^m) - \log L_z(s + it, \lambda^m)| < \varepsilon$$

holds as $T \rightarrow \infty$ for any (t, m) in a subset of $[0, T] \times \{0, \dots, [T]\}$ with positive density which is greater than $1 - \varepsilon$.

Proposition 2.2. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $z_1 > 0$ such that for any $z > z_1$*

$$\max_{s \in C} |\log L_z(s + it, \lambda^m) - \log f(s)| < \varepsilon$$

holds as $T \rightarrow \infty$ for any (t, m) in a subset of $[0, T] \times \{0, \dots, [T]\}$ with positive density which depends only on ε .

Since the intersection of the sets of (t, m) in Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 has a positive density, Theorem 1.1 follows.

3. Proof of Proposition 2.1. Put $a_m(n)$ to be the coefficient in the Dirichlet series expansion of $L(s, \lambda^m)$: $L(s, \lambda^m) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_m(n)n^{-s}$. We use the following approximate functional equation of Ramachandra type:

Lemma 3.1. *For $s = \sigma + it$ and $x, y > 0$, $xy = t^2$, under the conditions that $\sigma < \alpha < 2$, $0 < \beta < \sigma$, $0 < \gamma < 2$, we have*

$$L(s, \lambda^m) = A + B + J_1 + J_2 - \frac{W(m)}{2\pi i} \pi^{2s-1} (J_3 + J_4),$$

where $|W(m)| = 1$ and

$$A = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{a_m(n)}{n^s},$$

$$B = W(m) \pi^{2s-1} \frac{\Gamma(1-s+2m)}{\Gamma(s+2m)} \sum_{n \leq y} \frac{\overline{a_m(n)}}{n^{1-s}},$$

$$J_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-\gamma)} x^w \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{w}{2})}{w} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{a_m(n)}{n^{s+w}} dw,$$

$$J_2 = \sum_{n > x} \frac{a_m(n)}{n^s} e^{-(n/x)^2},$$

$$J_3 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\beta)} (\pi^2 x)^w \frac{\Gamma(1-s-w+2m)}{\Gamma(s+w+2m)} \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{w}{2})}{w} \times \sum_{n \leq y} \frac{\overline{a_m(n)}}{n^{1-s-w}} dw,$$

$$J_4 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-\alpha)} (\pi^2 x)^w \frac{\Gamma(1-s-w+2m)}{\Gamma(s+w+2m)} \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{w}{2})}{w} \times \sum_{n > y} \frac{\overline{a_m(n)}}{n^{1-s-w}} dw.$$

Let C_1 be a compact set in $\{s \in \mathbf{C} \mid (1/2) < \sigma < 1\}$ such that $C \subset C_1$. We will compute the integral

$$I = \sum_{m=T}^{2T} \int_T^{2T} \iint_{C_1} \left| \frac{L(s+it, \lambda^m)}{L_z(s+it, \lambda^m)} - 1 \right|^2 d\sigma d\tau dt.$$

By changing the order of the integration and the sum, it follows that

$$I = \iint_{C_1} \sum_{m=T}^{2T} \int_T^{2T} \left| \frac{L(s+it, \lambda^m)}{L_z(s+it, \lambda^m)} - 1 \right|^2 dt d\sigma d\tau.$$

By Lemma 3.1 we have

(3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=T}^{2T} \int_T^{2T} \left| \frac{L(s+it, \lambda^m)}{L_z(s+it, \lambda^m)} - 1 \right|^2 dt \\ &= \sum_{m=T}^{2T} \int_T^{2T} \left| \frac{A + B + J_1 + J_2 - \frac{W(m)}{2\pi i} \pi^{2s-1} (J_3 + J_4)}{L_z(s+it, \lambda^m)} - 1 \right|^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll \sum_{m=T}^{2T} \int_T^{2T} \left| \frac{A}{L_z(s+it, \lambda^m)} - 1 \right|^2 dt \\ &+ \sum_{m=T}^{2T} \int_T^{2T} \left| \frac{B}{L_z(s+it, \lambda^m)} \right|^2 dt \\ &+ \dots \\ &+ \sum_{m=T}^{2T} \int_T^{2T} \left| \frac{W(m)}{2\pi i} \pi^{2s-1} \frac{J_4}{L_z(s+it, \lambda^m)} \right|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

We will compute each term in (3.1) which we put as $I_A, I_B, I_{J_1}, \dots, I_{J_4}$. By putting $x = T$ we have for some coefficients $b_m(n)$ with $|b_m(n)| < n^\varepsilon$ such that

$$L_z(s, \lambda^m)^{-1} \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{a_m(n)}{n^s} = 1 + \sum_{z < n < z^\varepsilon T} \frac{b_m(n)}{n^s}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad I_A &= \sum_{m=T}^{2T} \int_T^{2T} \left| \frac{A}{L_z(s+it, \lambda^m)} - 1 \right|^2 dt \\ &= \sum_{m=T}^{2T} \int_T^{2T} \left| \sum_{z < n < z^\varepsilon T} \frac{b_m(n)}{n^s} \right|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

By the theorem of Montgomery-Vaughn, (3.2) is estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad T \left(T \sum_{z < n < z^\varepsilon T} \frac{1}{n^{2\sigma-\varepsilon}} + \sum_{z < n < z^\varepsilon T} \frac{1}{n^{2\sigma-\varepsilon-1}} \right) \\ \ll T^2(z^{1-2\sigma+\varepsilon} + T^{1-2\sigma+\varepsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

The contribution I_B from the term B to (3.1) is computed by using Stirling's formula as

$$(3.4) \quad I_B \ll T^{3-2\sigma+\varepsilon}.$$

The third term I_{J_1} from J_1 is dealt with by our using the Cauchy inequality as

$$(3.5) \quad I_{J_1} \ll T^{3-2\sigma+\varepsilon}.$$

The remaining terms I_{J_2}, \dots, I_{J_4} are similarly estimated. Taking (3.3), (3.4), (3.5) into account we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=T}^{2T} \iint_{C_1} \int_T^{2T} \left| \frac{L(s+it, \lambda^m)}{L_z(s+it, \lambda^m)} - 1 \right|^2 dt d\sigma d\tau \\ \ll_{C_1} T^2(z^{1-2\sigma_1+\varepsilon} + T^{1-2\sigma_1+\varepsilon}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_1 = \min\{\sigma \in C_1\}$. Since $\sigma_1 > (1/2)$, by taking z_0 as $z_0^{1-2\sigma_1+\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^3$, we have

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{m=T}^{2T} \int_T^{2T} \left(\iint_{C_1} \left| \frac{L(s+it, \lambda^m)}{L_z(s+it, \lambda^m)} - 1 \right|^2 d\sigma d\tau \right) dt < \varepsilon^3$$

for $z > z_0, T > T_0(z)$. It follows from (3.6) that there exists a subset A_T of $[0, T] \times \{0, \dots, [T]\}$ with positive density greater than $1 - \varepsilon$ such that

$$\iint_{C_1} \left| \frac{L(s+it, \lambda^m)}{L_z(s+it, \lambda^m)} - 1 \right|^2 d\sigma d\tau < \varepsilon^2$$

for any $(t, m) \in A_T$. We then have

$$\max_{s \in C} \left| \frac{L(s+it, \lambda^m)}{L_z(s+it, \lambda^m)} - 1 \right| \ll_{C, C_1} \varepsilon.$$

This means that

$$\max_{s \in C} |\log L(s+it, \lambda^m) - \log L_z(s+it, \lambda^m)| \ll_{C, C_1} \varepsilon$$

for $(t, m) \in A_T$.

Remark 3.2. Duke's conjecture [D] would make it possible to deal with the variables m and t separately.

4. Proof of Proposition 2.2.

Lemma 4.1 (Gonek [G]). *Let C be a simply connected compact set of the strip $(1/2) < \sigma < 1$. Let $h(s)$ be a continuous function on C which is regular on $\text{Int}(C)$. For any $y > 0$ there exist $\nu_0 = \nu_0(C, h, y)$ and $\theta_p^{(0)} \in [0, 1]$ such that*

$$\max_{s \in C} \left| h(s) - \sum_{\substack{y < p \leq \nu \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}} \frac{e(\theta_p^{(0)})}{p^s} \right| \ll_C y^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

for any $\nu > \nu_0$, where p denotes the prime numbers.

Lemma 4.2 ([KV] Theorems 8.1, 8.2). *Let $a_n \in \mathbf{R}$ ($1 \leq n \leq N$) be linearly independent over \mathbf{Q} . Then we have*

(i) *If we put*

$$I_A(T) := \{t \in [0, T] \mid (\{a_1 t\}, \dots, \{a_N t\}) \in A\}$$

for any closed Jordan measurable set $A \subset [0, 1]^N$ and for $T > 0$, where $\{x\} = x - [x]$, it holds that $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} (\mu(I_A(T))/T) = \mu_N(A)$ with μ_N the Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{R}^N .

(ii) *Let Ω be a set of continuous functions on A . If Ω is uniformly bounded and is equicontinuous, it holds uniformly on $f \in \Omega$ that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{I_A(T)} f(\{a_1 t\}, \dots, \{a_N t\}) dt \\ &= \int \cdots \int_A f(x_1, \dots, x_N) dx_1 \cdots dx_N. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $(p) = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ with \mathfrak{p} a prime ideal in K . We put θ_p as $\lambda(\mathfrak{p}) = e^{i\theta_p}$. Then $\{\theta_p\}_{p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}$ is linearly independent over \mathbf{Q} .*

Proof. Putting $\mathfrak{p} = (a + bi)$ ($a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$), we have $|\alpha| = \sqrt{p}$ and so $\lambda(\mathfrak{p}) = ((a + bi)/\sqrt{p})^4$. Thus $\cos \theta_p, \sin \theta_p \in \mathbf{Z}[1/\sqrt{p}]$. Assume an algebraic dependence as $M\theta_p = m_1\theta_{p_1} + \cdots + m_r\theta_{p_r}$ with $M, m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then in the equation $\cos(M\theta_p) = \cos(m_1\theta_{p_1} + \cdots + m_r\theta_{p_r})$, the left hand side belongs to $\mathbf{Z}[1/\sqrt{p}]$, whereas the right hand side is in $\mathbf{Z}[1/\sqrt{p_1}, \dots, 1/\sqrt{p_r}]$. Hence it holds if and only if $\cos(M\theta_p) \in \mathbf{Z}$. Therefore we have $M = 0$. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.2. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \log L_z(s, \lambda^m) &= \sum_{\substack{p \leq z \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 \cos(km\theta_p)}{kp^s} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{p \leq z \\ p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kp^{2ks}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k2^{ks}}. \end{aligned}$$

We split the sums over $p \leq z$ into the ones over $p \leq y$ and $y < p \leq z$ with $0 < y < z$. We also divide the sum over $1 \leq k < \infty$ into $k = 1, 2 \leq k < N$, and $k \geq N$ with $N = \lceil \sigma \log_2 y \rceil$. For partial sums we have the estimates $\sum_{y < p \leq z} \sum_{2 \leq k < N} (2 \cos(km\theta_p)/kp^s) \ll y^{1-2\sigma}$ and $\sum_{p \leq y} \sum_{k \geq N} (2 \cos(km\theta_p)/kp^s) \ll y^{2-N\sigma} \ll y^{1-2\sigma}$. Hence

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \log L_z(s + it, \lambda^m) &= \sum_{\substack{y < p \leq z \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}} \frac{2 \cos(m\theta_p)}{p^s} \\ &+ l(s + it, y, m) + O(y^{1-2\sigma}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} l(s, y, m) &= \sum_{\substack{p \leq y \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}} \sum_{k \leq N} \frac{2 \cos(km\theta_p)}{kp^{ks}} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{p^2 \leq y \\ p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}}} \sum_{k \leq N} \frac{1}{kp^{2ks}} + \sum_{k \leq N} \frac{1}{k2^{ks}}. \end{aligned}$$

We fix sufficiently large y which satisfies $y^{1-2\sigma} < \varepsilon$ and $y^{-(1/2)} < \varepsilon$. Apply Lemma 4.1 for $h(s) =$

$(1/2)(g(s) - l(s, y, 0))$ and fix $\nu > \nu_0$. Then for any $z > \nu$,

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\log L_z(s + it, \lambda^m) - g(s)| \\ & \leq \left| \sum_{\substack{y < p \leq \nu \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}} \frac{2 \cos(m\theta_p)}{p^{s+it}} - \sum_{y < p \leq \nu} \frac{2e(\theta_p^{(0)})}{p^s} \right| \\ (4.4) \quad & + |l(s + it, y, m) - l(s, y, 0)| \\ (4.5) \quad & + \left| \sum_{\substack{\nu < p \leq z \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}} \frac{2 \cos(m\theta_p)}{p^{s+it}} \right| + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We first deal with (4.3). It is less than

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{y < p \leq \nu \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}} \frac{2}{p^\sigma} \left| \frac{\cos(m\theta_p)}{p^{it}} - e(\theta_p^{(0)}) \right| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{y < p \leq \nu \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}} \frac{2}{p^\sigma} \left| \cos(m\theta_p) e^{-it \log p} - e(\theta_p^{(0)}) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if we take a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ and put

$$\begin{aligned} V_T^{(1)} &= \{0 \leq m \leq T \mid \|m\theta_p\| < \delta \\ & \quad (y < p \leq \nu, p \equiv 1 \pmod{4})\}, \\ U_T^{(1)} &= \left\{t \in [0, T] \mid \left\| t \frac{\log p}{2\pi} - \theta_p^{(0)} \right\| < \delta \right. \\ & \quad \left. (y < p \leq \nu, p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

then for any $(m, t) \in V_T^{(1)} \times U_T^{(1)}$, it holds that (4.6) $< \varepsilon$. By Lemmas 4.2, 4.3, and the linear independence over \mathbf{Q} of $\{\log p\}$, we have

$$(4.7) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu'(V_T^{(1)} \times U_T^{(1)})}{T^2} = \sharp(V^{(1)}) \times \mu(U^{(1)})$$

for some $V^{(1)}, U^{(1)} \subset \mathbf{R}^{\pi(\nu) - \pi(y)}$ with $\pi(x)$ the number of primes not greater than x .

Next we consider (4.4). It is less than

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{p \leq y \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq N} \frac{1}{kp^{k\sigma}} \left| \frac{2 \cos(km\theta_p)}{p^{ikt}} - 2 \right| \\ & + \sum_{\substack{p^2 \leq y \\ p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}}} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq N} \frac{1}{kp^{2k\sigma}} \left| \frac{1}{p^{2ikt}} - 1 \right| \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq N} \frac{1}{2^{k\sigma}} \left| \frac{1}{2^{ikt}} - 1 \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Again we take a sufficiently small $\delta' > 0$ and put

$$V_T^{(2)} = \{0 \leq m \leq T \mid \|m\theta_p\| < \delta' \\ (p \leq y, p \equiv 1 \pmod{4})\},$$

$$U_T^{(2)} = \left\{t \in [0, T] \mid \left\|t \frac{\log p}{2\pi}\right\| < \delta'(p \leq y)\right\}.$$

Then for any $(m, t) \in V_T^{(2)} \times U_T^{(2)}$, it holds that (4.8) $< \varepsilon$.

We put

$$V_T = \{0 \leq m \leq T \mid \\ \|m\theta_p\| < \delta \ (y < p \leq \nu, p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}), \\ \|m\theta_p\| < \delta' \ (p \leq y, p \equiv 1 \pmod{4})\}$$

and

$$U_T = \left\{t \in [0, T] \mid \left\|t \frac{\log p}{2\pi} - \theta_p^{(0)}\right\| < \delta \ (y < p \leq \nu, p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}), \right. \\ \left. \left\|t \frac{\log p}{2\pi}\right\| < \delta' \ (p \leq y)\right\}.$$

Then (4.3) and (4.4) are bounded by ε for any $(m, t) \in V_T \times U_T$, and we have

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#V_T}{T} = \text{vol}(V) = (2\delta)^{\frac{\pi(\nu)-\pi(y)}{2}} (2\delta')^{\frac{\pi(y)}{2}} \\ \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(U_T)}{T} = \text{vol}(U) = (2\delta)^{\pi(\nu)-\pi(y)} (2\delta')^{\pi(y)},$$

where U and V are subsets of $[0, 1]^{\pi(\nu)}$. Here we have proved that (4.3) and (4.4) are less than ε for any (m, t) in a set with positive density.

Lastly we can check that (4.5) is less than ε for almost all $(m, t) \in U_T \times V_T$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

References

- [D] Duke, W.: Some problems in multidimensional analytic number theory. *Acta Arith.*, **52**, 203–228 (1989).
- [G] Gonek, S. M.: Analytic properties of zeta and L -functions. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Michigan (1979).
- [KV] Karatsuba, A. A., and Voronin, S. M.: *The Riemann Zeta-Function*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York (1992).
- [M1] Mishou, H.: The universality theorem for L -functions associated with ideal class characters. *Acta Arith.*, **98**, 395–410 (2001).
- [M2] Mishou, H.: The universality theorem for Hecke L -functions. (Preprint).
- [M3] Mishou, H.: The universality theorem for Hecke L -functions in the (m, t) -aspect. (Preprint).
- [N1] Nagoshi, H.: The universality of families of automorphic L -functions. (Preprint).
- [N2] Nagoshi, H.: The universality of L -functions attached to eigenfunctions for $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$. (Preprint).
- [V] Voronin, S. M.: A theorem on the “universality” of the Riemann zeta-function. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, **39**, 475–486 (1975). (In Russian); *Math. USSR-Izv.*, **9**, 443–453 (1975). (English Translation).
- [PS] Petridis, Y., and Sarnak, P.: Quantum unique ergodicity for $SL_2(\mathcal{O}) \backslash H^3$ and estimates for L -functions. *J. Evol. Equ.*, **1** (3), 277–290 (2001).