

54. A Discrepancy Problem with Applications to Linear Recurrences. II

By Péter KISS^{*)},^{†)} and Robert F. TICHY^{**)}

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., June 13, 1989)

This is continued from [0].

The following result gives an estimation for the discrepancy of a special s -dimensional sequence (x_n) , $n=1, 2, \dots$. Let us recall the definition of the *discrepancy* $D_N(x_n)$. Generally speaking the discrepancy is a measure for the distribution behaviour of (x_n) modulo 1. More precisely put

$$A_N(x_n, I) = \text{card} \{n \leq N : \{x_n\} \in I\}$$

for the number indices n such that the (componentwise) fractional part of x_n is contained in a given s -dimensional interval I . Then

$$D_N(x_n) := \sup_I \left| \frac{A_N(x_n, I)}{N} - |I| \right|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all s -dimensional subintervals I of $[0, 1]^s$ with volume $|I|$. Thus, if $|I| \geq 2D_N$, there exists an integer n with $1 \leq n \leq N$, such that $\{x_n\} \in I$. If $D_N(x_n)$ tends to zero (for $N \rightarrow \infty$) then (x_n) is called *uniformly distributed* modulo 1 (cf. [6]).

Theorem 1. *Let y_1, \dots, y_s be a multiplicatively independent system of unimodular complex algebraic numbers and let θ_k be real numbers defined by*

$$y_k = e^{2\pi i \theta_k} \quad (k=1, \dots, s).$$

Set $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s)$ and let $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_s)$ be an arbitrary s -tuple of real numbers. Then the discrepancy of the s -dimensional sequence $(x_n) = (n\theta + \omega)$ satisfies the estimate

$$D_N(x_n) \leq N^{-\delta}$$

for any sufficiently large N , where $\delta(>0)$ depends only on the system y_1, \dots, y_s .

Proof. Let m be an arbitrary positive integer. Then by the inequality of Erdős-Turán-Koksma (cf. [6], p. 116) we have

$$(9) \quad D_N(x_n) \leq c_s \left(\frac{1}{m} + \sum_{0 < \|h\| \leq m} \frac{1}{r(h)} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i \langle h, x_n \rangle} \right| \right),$$

where c_s is a constant depending only on the dimension s , the first sum runs through all integral lattice points $h = (h_1, \dots, h_s) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ with

^{†)} Research partially supported by Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research Grant no. 273.

^{*)} Teachers' Training College, Department of Mathematics, Eger, Hungary.

^{**)} Department of Technical Mathematics, Technical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria.

$\|h\| = \max(|h_1|, \dots, |h_s|) \leq m$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the usual inner product in \mathbf{R}^s and $r(h)$ is defined by

$$r(h) = \prod_{j=1}^s \max(|h_j|, 1).$$

Using the summation formula of geometric series we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i \langle h, x_n \rangle} \right| &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i \langle h, n\theta + \omega \rangle} \right| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i n \langle h, \theta \rangle} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{e^{2\pi i N \langle h, \theta \rangle} - 1}{e^{2\pi i \langle h, \theta \rangle} - 1} \right| \leq \frac{2}{|y_1^{h_1} \dots y_s^{h_s} - 1|} \end{aligned}$$

with $y_k = e^{2\pi i \theta_k}$ ($k=1, \dots, s$). Thus we have by Lemma 3

$$(10) \quad \left| \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i \langle h, x_n \rangle} \right| \leq 2 \|h\|^{c_2}$$

for $\|h\| > n_0$ and a positive constant c_2 only depending on the system y_1, \dots, y_s . Inserting (10) into (9) yields

$$\begin{aligned} D_N(x_n) &\leq c_s \left(\frac{1}{m} + \sum_{0 \leq \|h\| \leq m} \frac{2}{r(h)} \cdot \frac{\|h\|^{c_2}}{N} \right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{m^{c_2} (\log m)^{s-1}}{N} \right) = O\left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{m^{c_3}}{N} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for an arbitrary positive constant c_3 with $c_3 > c_2$. Choosing $m = [N^{1/(c_3+1)}] + 1$

we obtain

$$D_N(x_n) = O\left(N^{-1/(c_3+1)} + \frac{N^{c_3/(c_3+1)}}{N} \right) = O(N^{-1/(c_3+1)}) < N^{-\delta}$$

for sufficiently large N and for any δ with $0 < \delta < (1/(c_2+1))$. Thus the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

3. Main results. In this section we will apply the one-dimensional case of Theorem 1 in order to obtain bounds for the approximation of the characteristic roots by the quotients of subsequent values of second order linear recursive sequences.

Theorem 2. *For any non-degenerate second order linear recurrence R , for which $D < 0$, there is a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\left| |\alpha| - \left| \frac{R_{n+1}}{R_n} \right| \right| < \frac{1}{n^c}$$

for infinitely many n .

Proof. By (1) and $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ we have

$$(11) \quad \left| \frac{R_{n+1}}{R_n} \right| = |\alpha| \cdot \left| \frac{\frac{a}{b} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^{n+1} - 1}{\frac{a}{b} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^n - 1} \right|$$

and by our notation

$$\arg \left(\frac{a}{b} \right) = 2\omega\pi, \quad \arg \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right) = 2\theta\pi.$$

Let N be a given positive integer, and D_N be the discrepancy of the sequence

$(n\theta + \omega)$, $n=1, \dots, N$. Then, by the definition of the discrepancy, we can choose an integer m with $1 \leq m \leq N$ such that

$$(12) \quad \left| 2\omega\pi + \arg \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^m - (2\pi k + \pi - \theta\pi) \right| \leq 2D_N$$

and

$$(13) \quad \left| 2\omega\pi + \arg \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^{m+1} - (2\pi k + \pi + \theta\pi) \right| \leq 2D_N,$$

where k is a suitable integer. Furthermore we have

$$(14) \quad \frac{a}{b} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^m = z + \varepsilon_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{a}{b} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^{m+1} = \bar{z} + \varepsilon_2$$

for some complex numbers $z, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ with $|\varepsilon_j| = O(D_N)$ for $j=1, 2$. Hence we obtain by (11), (12), (13), and (14)

$$\left| \frac{R_{m+1}}{R_m} \right| = |\alpha| \cdot \left| \frac{z - 1 + \varepsilon_2}{\bar{z} - 1 + \varepsilon_1} \right| = |\alpha| \cdot (1 + O(D_N)).$$

Thus, by the one-dimensional case of Theorem 1 we have

$$\left| |\alpha| - \left| \frac{R_{m+1}}{R_m} \right| \right| = O(D_N) = O(N^{-\delta}) < \frac{1}{m^c}$$

for any positive constant $c < \delta$. This completes the proof.

Our final result gives a lower bound for the approximation by the quotients of subsequent terms of second order linear recursive sequences.

Theorem 3. *For any non-degenerate second order linear recurrence R , for which $D < 0$, there is a constant $c' > 0$ such that*

$$\left| |\alpha| - \left| \frac{R_{n+1}}{R_n} \right| \right| > \frac{1}{n^{c'}}$$

for all sufficiently large n .

Proof. Using the notations of the introduction, let z be a complex number defined by

$$(15) \quad z = e^{(\pi - \pi\theta)i}.$$

So we can write

$$(16) \quad e^{2\pi n\theta i + 2\pi\omega i} = z \cdot e^{\lambda i},$$

where $0 \leq \lambda < 2\pi$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \pi(2n+1)\theta + 2\pi\omega - \pi - 2k\pi \\ &= (2n+1) \cdot \arg(\alpha) + \arg(a/b) - (2k+1) \cdot \arg(-1) \\ &= |(2n+1) \cdot \log \alpha - (2n+1) \cdot \log |\alpha| + \log(a/b) - (2k+1) \cdot \log(-1)| \end{aligned}$$

with some non-negative integer $k < n+1$. But $\lambda=0$ holds only for at most one value of n since otherwise α/β would be a root of unity. Thus $\lambda \neq 0$ if n is sufficiently large; furthermore $\alpha, |\alpha|, a/b$, and -1 are algebraic numbers of degree at most 4 and so by Lemma 1 we have

$$|\lambda| > n^{-c_4}$$

with a constant c_4 depending on the parameters of the sequence R .

We can prove similarly the inequalities

$$|\pi - \lambda| > n^{-c_4}$$

and

$$|2\pi - \lambda| > n^{-c_4}.$$

From these

$$(17) \quad |\operatorname{Im}(e^{\lambda i})| > n^{-c_5}$$

follows with any c_5 greater than c_4 if n is sufficiently large.

By (4), (15), and (16) we get

$$\left| \frac{R_{n+1}}{R_n} \right| = |\alpha| \cdot \left| \frac{\bar{z} \cdot e^{\lambda i} - 1}{z \cdot e^{\lambda i} - 1} \right|.$$

Now, since $|z| = |e^{\lambda i}| = 1$, by (17), using Lemma 2 with $w = e^{\lambda i}$,

$$\left| |\alpha| - \left| \frac{R_{n+1}}{R_n} \right| \right| > |\alpha| \cdot c_1 \cdot |\operatorname{Im}(e^{\lambda i})| > n^{-c'}$$

follows for any sufficiently large n and for any c' greater than c_5 . This proves Theorem 3.

Reference^{*)}

- [0] P. Kiss and R. F. Tichy: A discrepancy problem with applications to linear recurrences. I. Proc. Japan Acad., **65A**, 135–138 (1989).

^{*)} For other references, see [0].