

38. Some Remarks on C -semigroups and Integrated Semigroups

By Naoki TANAKA and Isao MIYADERA

Department of Mathematics, Waseda University

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., May 12, 1987)

1. Introduction. Let X be a Banach space and let $B(X)$ be the set of all bounded linear operators from X into itself. Let C be an injective operator in $B(X)$ and the range $R(C)$ be dense in X . According to Davies and Pang [3], a family $\{S(t); t \geq 0\}$ in $B(X)$ is called C -semigroup, if

$$(1.1) \quad S(t+s)C = S(t)S(s) \text{ for } t, s \geq 0, \text{ and } S(0) = C,$$

$$(1.2) \quad S(\cdot)x: [0, \infty) \rightarrow X \text{ is continuous for } x \in X,$$

$$(1.3) \quad \text{there are } M \geq 0 \text{ and } a \in \mathbf{R} \equiv (-\infty, \infty) \text{ such that } \|S(t)\| \leq Me^{at} \text{ for } t \geq 0.$$

We define an operator G by $Gx = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} (C^{-1}S(t)x - x)/t$ for $x \in D(G) \equiv \{x \in R(C); \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} (C^{-1}S(t)x - x)/t \text{ exists}\}$. It is known that G is densely defined and closable, $\lambda - \bar{G}$ is injective for $\lambda > a$ and

$$(1.4) \quad (\lambda - \bar{G}) \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x dt = Cx \text{ for } x \in X \text{ and } \lambda > a.$$

(See [3], [4].) The closure \bar{G} is called the C -c.i.g. of $\{S(t); t \geq 0\}$.

Let n be a positive integer. A family $\{U(t); t \geq 0\}$ in $B(X)$ is called n -times integrated semigroup (see [2]), if

$$(1.5) \quad U(\cdot)x: [0, \infty) \rightarrow X \text{ is continuous for } x \in X,$$

$$(1.6) \quad U(t)U(s)x = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \left(\int_t^{s+t} (s+t-r)^{n-1} U(r)x dr - \int_0^s (s+t-r)^{n-1} U(r)x dr \right)$$

for $x \in X$ and $s, t \geq 0$, and $U(0) = 0$,

$$(1.7) \quad U(t)x = 0 \text{ for all } t > 0 \text{ implies } x = 0,$$

$$(1.8) \quad \text{there are } M \geq 0 \text{ and } \omega \in \mathbf{R} \text{ such that } \|U(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t} \text{ for } t \geq 0.$$

For convenience we call a C_0 -semigroup also 0-times integrated semigroup.

It is known [2] that if $\{U(t); t \geq 0\}$ is an n -times integrated semigroup, then there exists a unique closed linear operator A such that $(\omega, \infty) \subset \rho(A)$ (the resolvent set of A) and

$$(1.9) \quad R(\lambda; A)x (\equiv (\lambda - A)^{-1}x) = \int_0^\infty \lambda^n e^{-\lambda t} U(t)x dt \text{ for } x \in X \text{ and } \lambda > \omega.$$

The operator A is called the generator of $\{U(t); t \geq 0\}$.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1. Let A be a densely defined closed linear operator in X with $\rho(A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $c \in \rho(A)$ and $n \geq 0$ be an integer. The following (i)–(iii) are equivalent:

(i) A is the generator of an n -times integrated semigroup $\{U(t); t \geq 0\}$.

(ii) A is the C -c.i.g. of a C -semigroup $\{S(t); t \geq 0\}$ with $C = R(c; A)^n$.

(iii) *There exist $M \geq 0$ and $a \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $(a, \infty) \subset \rho(A)$ and $\|R(\lambda; A)^m R(c; A)^n\| \leq M/(\lambda - a)^m$ for $m \geq 1$ and $\lambda > a$.*

In this case, we have

$$(1.10) \quad U(t)x = (c - A)^n \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} S(t_n)x dt_n \cdots dt_2 dt_1, \text{ for } x \in X \text{ and } t \geq 0.$$

As a direct consequence of Theorem 1 we have

Theorem 2 ([1]). *Let E be an ordered Banach space whose positive cone is generating and normal. If A is a densely defined closed linear operator in E such that $(\omega, \infty) \subset \rho(A)$ for some $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$ and $R(\lambda; A) \geq 0$ for $\lambda > \omega$ (i.e., A is resolvent positive), then A is the generator of a once integrated semigroup $\{U(t); t \geq 0\}$ satisfying $0 \leq U(s) \leq U(t)$ for $0 \leq s \leq t$.*

Remarks. 1) The case $n = 0$ in Theorem 1 is the Hille-Yosida theorem. 2) A in Theorem 1 (ii) coincides with the generator of $\{S(t); t \geq 0\}$ in the sense of [3]. 3) If $\sup_{x \neq 0, x \in R(C)} \|(c - A)^n S(t)x\|/\|x\|$ is bounded on $[\varepsilon, 1/\varepsilon]$ for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, then $\{(c - A)^n S(t); t \geq 0\}$ becomes a semigroup (see [5, Theorem 2.2]). So, roughly speaking, (1.10) means that $U(t)$ may be represented as n -times integral of the semigroup $(c - A)^n S(t), t \geq 0$. 4) Our proof of Theorem 2 seems to be simpler than the method in [1]. 5) In Theorem 2, it is shown that $R(\lambda; A) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} dS(t) = \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda t} S(t) dt$ for $\lambda > s(A) \equiv \inf \{\omega \in \mathbf{R}; (\omega, \infty) \subset \rho(A) \text{ and } R(\lambda; A) \geq 0 \text{ for } \lambda > \omega\}$ (see [1, Theorem 4.1]).

2. Proof of Theorems. We start with the following

Lemma. *Let A be the C-c.i.g. of a C-semigroup $\{S(t); t \geq 0\}$ with $C = R(c; A)^n$, where $c \in \rho(A)$ and n is a positive integer, and let $\|S(t)\| \leq M e^{at}$ for $t \geq 0$, where $M \geq 0$ and $a > 0$ are constants. Define $V_k(t), k \geq 0$, by $V_0(t) = S(t)$ and $V_k(t)x = \int_0^t \cdots \int_0^{t_{k-1}} S(t_k)x dt_k \cdots dt_1$ for $x \in X$ and $t \geq 0$.*

Then for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad V_k(t)x \in D(A^k) \text{ and } \int_0^t (c - A)^{k-1} V_{k-1}(s)x ds \in D(A) \text{ for } x \in X \text{ and } t \geq 0,$$

$$(2.2) \quad (c - A)^k V_k(t) \in B(X) \text{ and } \|(c - A)^k V_k(t)\| \leq M_k e^{at} \text{ for } t \geq 0, \text{ where } M_k \geq 0 \text{ is a constant,}$$

$$(2.3) \quad (c - A)^k V_k(\cdot)x : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X \text{ is continuous for } x \in X,$$

$$(2.4) \quad (c - A)^k V_k(t) = c(c - A)^{k-1} V_k(t) - (c - A)^{k-1} V_{k-1}(t) + (t^{k-1}/(k-1)!) \times (c - A)^{k-1} C \text{ for } t \geq 0.$$

Proof. By (2.1) in [6]

$$(2.5) \quad \int_0^t S(s)x ds \in D(A) \text{ and } S(t)x - Cx = A \int_0^t S(s)x ds \text{ for } x \in X \text{ and } t \geq 0,$$

and hence (2.1)–(2.4) hold for $k = 1$. The conclusion follows from induction with respect to k . Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 1. By virtue of [6, Theorem 2.1], (iii) implies (ii). To show that (ii) implies (i), let A be the C-c.i.g. of a C-semigroup $\{S(t); t \geq 0\}$ with $C = R(c; A)^n$ and $\|S(t)\| \leq M e^{at}$ for $t \geq 0$, where $a > 0$. Define $U(t), t \geq 0$, by $U(t)x = (c - A)^n V_n(t)x$ for $x \in X$. By Lemma, $U(t) \in B(X)$ for $t \geq 0$ and $\{U(t); t \geq 0\}$ satisfies (1.5), (1.7) and (1.8). Since

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda^k e^{-\lambda t} (c-A)^{k-1} V_k(t) x dt = \int_0^\infty \lambda^{k-1} e^{-\lambda t} (c-A)^{k-1} V_{k-1}(t) x dt,$$

we see from (2.4) that

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda^k e^{-\lambda t} (c-A)^k V_k(t) x dt = (c-\lambda) \int_0^\infty \lambda^{k-1} e^{-\lambda t} (c-A)^{k-1} V_{k-1}(t) x dt + (c-A)^{k-1} Cx,$$

$$\text{i.e., } \int_0^\infty \lambda^k e^{-\lambda t} (c-A)^k V_k(t) x dt / (c-\lambda)^k$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \lambda^{k-1} e^{-\lambda t} (c-A)^{k-1} V_{k-1}(t) x dt / (c-\lambda)^{k-1} + (c-A)^{k-1} Cx / (c-\lambda)^k$$

for $x \in X$, $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $\lambda > a$. This implies that

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda^n e^{-\lambda t} U(t) x dt = \int_0^\infty \lambda^n e^{-\lambda t} (c-A)^n V_n(t) x dt = (c-\lambda)^n \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t) x dt + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (c-\lambda)^k R(c; A)^{k+1} x.$$

Hence

$$(\lambda-A) \int_0^\infty \lambda^n e^{-\lambda t} U(t) x dt = (c-\lambda)^n (\lambda-A) \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t) x dt + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} ((c-\lambda)^k R(c; A)^k x - (c-\lambda)^{k+1} R(c; A)^{k+1} x) = x$$

for $x \in X$ and $\lambda > a$, because $(\lambda-A) \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t) x dt = Cx = R(c; A)^n x$ by (1.4).

Consequently, $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ and $\int_0^\infty \lambda^n e^{-\lambda t} U(t) x dt = R(\lambda; A)x$ for $x \in X$ and $\lambda > a$.

It follows from [2, Theorem 3.1] that $U(t)$, $t \geq 0$, satisfy (1.6). So that $\{U(t); t \geq 0\}$ is an n -times integrated semigroup and its generator is A .

Finally, to prove that (i) implies (iii) let A be the generator of an n -times integrated semigroup $\{U(t); t \geq 0\}$ and $\|U(t)\| \leq Ke^{at}$ for $t \geq 0$. Then, by the definition of the generator, $(\omega, \infty) \subset \rho(A)$ and $R(\lambda; A)x = \int_0^\infty \lambda^n e^{-\lambda t} U(t) x dt$ for $x \in X$ and $\lambda > \omega$. So, $(\lambda-A) \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} (\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (t^k/k!) A^k x + U(t) A^n x) dt = (\lambda-A) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^{-(k+1)} A^k x + \lambda^{-n} A^n x = x$ for $x \in D(A^n)$ and $\lambda > |\omega|$ and hence $R(\lambda; A)x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} (\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (t^k/k!) A^k x + U(t) A^n x) dt$ for $x \in D(A^n)$ and $\lambda > |\omega|$. Differentiating $m-1$ times with respect to λ ,

$$(-1)^{m-1} (m-1)! R(\lambda; A)^m x = \int_0^\infty (-t)^{m-1} e^{-\lambda t} (\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (t^k/k!) A^k x + U(t) A^n x) dt$$

for $x \in D(A^n)$ and $\lambda > |\omega|$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (m-1)! \|R(\lambda; A)^m R(c; A)^n x\| \\ & \leq \int_0^\infty t^{m-1} e^{-\lambda t} (\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (t^k/k!) \|A^k R(c; A)^n\| + Ke^{at} \|A^n R(c; A)^n\|) \|x\| dt \\ & \leq (m-1)! M \|x\| / (\lambda-a)^m \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in X$, $\lambda > a$ and $m \geq 1$, where $a = \max\{1, |\omega|\}$ and $M = 2 \max\{\|A^k R(c; A)^n\|, k=0, 1, \dots, n-1; K \|A^n R(c; A)^n\|\}$. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 2. We may assume that $s(A) < 0$ by replacing A by $A-w$. (See [1, Proof of Theorem 4.1].) By [1, Lemma 2.1]

(2.6) $R(0; A) = R(\lambda; A) + \lambda R(\lambda; A)^2 + \dots + \lambda^{m-1} R(\lambda; A)^m + \lambda^m R(\lambda; A)^m R(0; A)$ for $m \geq 1$ and $\lambda \geq 0$, and

$$\sup\{\|\lambda^m R(\lambda; A)^m R(0; A)\|; m \geq 1, \lambda \geq 0\} < +\infty.$$

By virtue of Theorem 1, A is the generator of a once integrated semigroup $\{U(t); t \geq 0\}$ and the C -c.i.g. of a C -semigroup $\{S(t); t \geq 0\}$ with $C=R(0; A)$, and by (1.10) and (2.5) $U(t)x = -A \int_0^t S(s)x ds = R(0; A)x - S(t)x$ for $x \in E$ and $t \geq 0$. It follows from (2.6) that for $0 \leq s \leq t$ and $\lambda > 0$, $(\lambda R(\lambda; A))^{[\lambda s]}R(0; A) - (\lambda R(\lambda; A))^{[\lambda t]}R(0; A) = (\lambda R(\lambda; A))^{[\lambda s]}(R(0; A) - (\lambda R(\lambda; A))^{[\lambda t] - [\lambda s]}R(0; A)) = (\lambda R(\lambda; A))^{[\lambda s]} \sum_{k=0}^{[\lambda t] - [\lambda s] - 1} \lambda^k R(\lambda; A)^{k+1}$ if $[\lambda t] > [\lambda s]$, $= 0$ if $[\lambda t] = [\lambda s]$, which yields $(\lambda R(\lambda; A))^{[\lambda s]}R(0; A) \geq (\lambda R(\lambda; A))^{[\lambda t]}R(0; A)$, where $[\]$ denotes the Gaussian bracket. Since $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda R(\lambda; A))^{[\lambda t]}R(0; A)x = S(t)x$ for $x \in E$ and $t \geq 0$ (see [6, Theorem 1.3]), we have that $S(s) \geq S(t)$ for $0 \leq s \leq t$. Combining this with $U(t) = R(0; A) - S(t)$, we see that $0 = U(0) \leq U(s) \leq U(t)$ for $0 \leq s \leq t$.

Q.E.D.

References

- [1] W. Arendt: Resolvent positive operator. Proc. London Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [2] —: Vector valued Laplace transforms and Cauchy problems (preprint 1986).
- [3] E. B. Davies and M. M. H. Pang: The Cauchy problem and a generalization of the Hille-Yosida theorem (preprint 1986).
- [4] I. Miyadera: On the generators of exponentially bounded C -semigroups. Proc. Japan Acad., **62A**, 239–242 (1986).
- [5] I. Miyadera and N. Tanaka: Exponentially bounded C -semigroups and generation of semigroups (preprint 1987).
- [6] N. Tanaka: On the exponentially bounded C -semigroups. Tokyo J. Math. (to appear).