## 96. On Differential Operators and Congruences for Siegel Modular Forms of Degree Two

## By Takakazu Satoh

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology (Communicated by Kunihiko Kodaira, M. J. A., Nov. 12, 1984)

- § 1. Introduction. We study congruences between Siegel modular forms of degree two and different weight by using differential operators. In the degree one case, such congruences were studied by Serre [6] and Swinnerton-Dyer [8]. For the degree two case, we refer to Kurokawa [2]. We denote by  $M_k(\Gamma_n)$  (resp.  $M_k^{\infty}(\Gamma_n)$ ,  $S_k(\Gamma_n)$ ) the C-vector space of holomorphic Siegel modular forms (resp.  $C^{\infty}$ -modular forms, holomorphic cusp forms) of degree n and weight k. For a subring R of C, we denote by  $M_k(\Gamma_n)_R$  the R-submodule of  $M_k(\Gamma_n)$  consisting of Siegel modular forms which have Fourier coefficients in R. This paper is an abstract of [5].
- § 2. General results. We introduce certain differential operators. For a variable  $Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_3 \\ z_3 & z_2 \end{pmatrix}$  on  $H_2$  of Siegel upper half plane of degree two, we put

$$Y = rac{1}{2i} \left( Z - ar{Z} 
ight) = inom{y_1 \ y_3}{y_3 \ y_2}, \qquad rac{d}{dZ} = inom{rac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \quad rac{1}{2} \cdot rac{\partial}{\partial z_3}}{rac{1}{2} \cdot rac{\partial}{\partial z_3}}$$

and  $dY = dy_1 dy_2 dy_3$ . For integers k and  $r \ge 0$ , we define a differential operator  $\delta_k$  acting on a  $C^{\infty}$ -function f on  $H_2$  by

$$\delta_k f = |Y|^{-k + (1/2)} \left| \frac{d}{dZ} \right| (|Y|^{k - (1/2)} f)$$

and put  $\delta_k^r = \delta_{k+2r-2} \cdots \delta_{k+2} \delta_k$ . We understand that  $\delta_k^0$  is the identity operator. These differential operators were studied by Maass [4]. By Harris [1, 1.5.3],  $\delta_k^r$  maps  $M_k^{\infty}(\Gamma_2)$  to  $M_{k+2r}^{\infty}(\Gamma_2)$ .

Next, we make a survey of a holomorphic projection. We set  $V = \{Y \in M(2, \mathbb{R}) | Y > 0\}$ . For  $f \in M_w^{\infty}(\Gamma_2)$ , let  $f(Z) = \sum_T a(T, Y, f)q^T$  be its Fourier expansion, where  $q^T = \exp(2\pi i \operatorname{Tr}(TZ))$  and T runs over all half-integral matrices of size two. We put

$$P_w(f) = \sum_{T>0} P(w, T, a(T, Y, f)) q^T,$$

where

$$P(w, T, a(T, Y, f)) = \frac{\int_{V} a(T, Y, f) e^{-4\pi \operatorname{Tr} (TY)} |Y|^{w-3} dY}{\int_{V} e^{-4\pi \operatorname{Tr} (TY)} |Y|^{w-3} dY}$$

and T runs over all half-integral positive definite matrices. Then,  $P_w(f)$  belongs to the ring of formal power series  $C[q_3, q_3^{-1}][[q_1, q_2]]$  where  $q_j = \exp{(2\pi i z_j)}$ . It is known that if f is of bounded growth in the sense of Sturm [7, § 2(6)], then  $P_w(f)$  converges for all  $Z \in H_2$  and it is a holomorphic cusp form of weight w. (See Sturm [7, Theorem 1].) For complex numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , we put

$$\varepsilon(\alpha,\beta) = \begin{cases} \alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\beta+1)\beta & \text{if } \alpha-\beta \text{ is a non-negative integer,} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\eta(\alpha,\beta) = \begin{cases} \alpha \Big(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\Big) \cdots \Big(\beta + \frac{1}{2}\Big)\beta & \text{if } 2(\alpha - \beta) \text{ is a non-negative integer,} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1. Let R be a subring (not necessarily containing 1) of C satisfying  $(1/2)R \subset R$ . Let  $f \in M_{k_1}(\Gamma_2)_R$  and  $g \in M_{k_2}(\Gamma_2)_R$  with  $k_1 + k_2 > 4$ . Suppose that I is an ideal of R satisfying

- (1)  $(1/2)I\subset I$ ,
- (2)  $a(T, g) \in I \text{ for all } T \neq 0.$

Let  $r_1$  be a non-negative integer and  $r_2$  be a positive integer. We put  $r=r_1+r_2$  and  $w=k_1+k_2+2r$ . Then for any positive integer m,

$$(2\pi i)^{-2r}\xi a(mE, P_w(\delta_{k_1}^{r_1}f\cdot\delta_{k_2}^{r_2}g)) - \nu m^{2r}a(mE, fg)$$

belongs to (2w-2r-3)I, where  $\xi = \varepsilon(w-3, w-r-2)\varepsilon(w-(5/2), w-r-(3/2))$  and  $\nu = \eta(k_1+r_1-1, k_1-(1/2))\eta(k_2+r_2-1, k_2-(1/2))$ .

Theorem 2. Let  $f \in M_k(\Gamma_2)$  and  $g \in M_l(\Gamma_2)$  with w > 4 where k+l = w. Let r and s be non-negative integers. Then we have the following:

- (1)  $\delta_k^r f \cdot \delta_l^s g$  is of bounded growth for  $r+s \geq 3$ . Especially,  $P_{w+2r}(g\delta_k^r f)$  belongs to  $S_{w+2r}(\Gamma_2)$  for  $r \geq 3$ .
- (2) If at least one of f and g is a cusp form, then  $\delta_k^r f \cdot \delta_i^s g$  is of bounded growth for all  $r, s \ge 0$ .
- (3)  $P_{w+2}(g\partial_k f + f\partial_l g)$  belongs to  $S_{w+2}(\Gamma_2)$  where  $\partial_k^r = \varepsilon(k+r-(3/2), k-(1/2))^{-1}\delta_k^r$ . Especially,  $P_{2k+2}(f\delta_k f)$  belongs to  $S_{2k+2}(\Gamma_2)$ .
  - (4)  $P_{w+4}(g\partial_k^2 f + 2\partial_k f \cdot \partial_t g + f\partial_t^2 g)$  belongs to  $S_{w+4}(\Gamma_2)$ .

For each integer  $m \ge 1$ ,  $T(m): M_k(\Gamma_n) \to M_k(\Gamma_n)$  denotes the m-th Hecke operator. If  $n \le 2$  and f is a non-zero eigen function of all Hecke operators T(m), we call f an eigen form and denote the eigenvalue of T(m) by  $\lambda(m, f)$ .

Theorem 3. Let K be an algebraic number field,  $O_K$  be its ring of integers,  $\mathfrak p$  be its prime ideal not dividing the ideal (2), and R be the localization of  $O_K$  at  $\mathfrak p$ . Let  $f \in M_{w-2r}(\Gamma_2)_R$  and  $g \in S_w(\Gamma_2)_R$  be eigen forms with 4 < w - 2r < w. Suppose that all the following conditions (1)–(6) are satisfied:

(1) There exist positive integers  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  such that

$$N_{L/K}|(\lambda(m_i, f_i))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}| \not\equiv 0 \mod \mathfrak{p}$$

where  $n = \dim S_w(\Gamma_2)$  and  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  is an eigen basis of  $S_w(\Gamma_2)$  and L is the composite field of K and  $Q(\lambda(m, f_j) | m \ge 1)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

(2) There exist a positive integer e and 2s ( $s \ge 1$ ) modular forms  $h_{1,t} \in M_{k_{1,t}}(\Gamma_2)_R$ ,  $h_{2,t} \in M_{k_{2,t}}(\Gamma_2)_R$  with  $k_{1,t} + k_{2,t} = w - 2r$ ,  $r_{1,t} \ge 0$ ,  $r_{2,t} \ge 1$  and  $r_{1,t} + r_{2,t} = r$  for  $t = 1, \dots, s$  such that

$$a(mE, f) \equiv a\Big(mE, \sum_{t=1}^{s} \nu_t h_{1,t} h_{2,t}\Big) \mod \mathfrak{p}^e$$

for all  $m \ge 1$ , where

$$\nu_{t} = \eta \left(k_{1,t} + r_{1,t} - 1, k_{1,t} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \eta \left(k_{2,t} + r_{2,t} - 1, k_{2,t} - \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

- (3)  $\mathfrak{p}^e$  divides (2w-2r-3)I where I is the ideal of R generated by  $a(T, h_{2,t})$  for  $T \geq 0$ ,  $T \neq 0$  and  $t = 1, \dots, s$ .
  - (4)  $a(E, f) \equiv a(E, g) \mod \mathfrak{p}^e$  and  $a(E, f) \not\equiv 0 \mod \mathfrak{p}$
  - (5)  $m_i^{2r} \lambda(m_i, f) \equiv \lambda(m_i, g) \mod \mathfrak{p}^e \text{ for } i=1, \dots, n.$
  - (6)  $\sum_{t=1}^{s} P_w(\delta_{k_1,t}^{r_1,t} h_{1,t} \cdot \delta_{k_2,t}^{r_2,t} h_{2,t})$  belongs to  $S_w(\Gamma_2)$ .

Then we have:

$$m^{2r}\lambda(m, f) \equiv \lambda(m, g) \mod \mathfrak{p}^e$$
 for all  $m \ge 1$ .

§ 3. Examples. We prove some congruences between Siegel modular forms of degree two and different weight by using Theorem 3. Let  $\Phi$  be the Siegel  $\Phi$ -operator. For an eigen form  $f \in M_k(\Gamma_1)$ , there is a unique eigen form  $[f] \in M_k(\Gamma_2)$  such that  $\Phi[f] = f$ . Let  $\sigma_k$  be Saito-Kurokawa lifting  $M_{2k-2}(\Gamma_1) \to M_k(\Gamma_2)$ . Let  $S_k^{II}(\Gamma_2)$  be the orthogonal complement of  $\sigma_k(S_{2k-2}(\Gamma_1))$  in  $S_k(\Gamma_2)$  with respect to the Petersson inner product. We may call an element of  $S_k^{II}(\Gamma_2)$  a generic form since it does not lie in the image of Eisenstein lifting and Saito-Kurokawa lifting. We use the usual notation for modular forms such as  $\Delta_k$ ,  $\chi_k$  and  $\varphi_k$ . The modular form  $\chi_{20}^{(3)} \in S_{20}^{II}(\Gamma_2)$  defined by  $4\chi_{10}\varphi_4\varphi_6-12\chi_{12}\varphi_4^2+28569600\chi_{10}^2$  has the minimal weight 20 among generic forms. (See Kurokawa [3, §5].) By using Theorem 3, we have the following congruences.

Theorem 4. The following congruences hold for all  $m \ge 1$ :

$$\lambda(m, \chi_{20}^{(3)}) \equiv m^2 \lambda(m, [\Delta_{18}]) \mod 7,$$
 $\lambda(m, \chi_{10}) \equiv m^2 \lambda(m, \varphi_8) \mod 5,$ 
 $\lambda(m, \chi_{12}) \equiv m^4 \lambda(m, \varphi_8) \mod 17,$ 
 $\lambda(m, \chi_{14}) \equiv m^6 \lambda(m, \varphi_8) \mod 19.$ 
 $(*)$ 

Remark. In the proof of (\*), we use Theorem 3 with slight modification.

## References

[1] M. Harris: Special values of zeta functions attached to Siegel modular forms. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., 14, 77-120 (1981).

- [2] N. Kurokawa: Congruences between Siegel modular forms of degree two. Proc. Japan Acad., 55A, 417-422 (1979); II. ibid., 57A, 140-145 (1981).
- [3] —: Examples of eigenvalues of Hecke operators on Siegel cusp forms of degree two. Invent. Math., 49, 149-165 (1978).
- [4] H. Maass: Die Differentialgleichungen in der Theorie der Siegelschen Modulfunktionen. Math. Ann., 126, 44-68 (1953).
- [5] T. Satoh: Differential operators and congruences for Siegel modular forms of degree two (1984) (preprint).
- [6] J.-P. Serre: Congruences et forms modulaires. Séminaire Bourbaki, Exp. 416 (June 1972); Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 317, Springer, pp. 319-339 (1973).
- [7] J. Sturm: The critical values of zeta functions associated to the symplectic group. Duke Math. J., 48, 327-350 (1981).
- [8] H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer: On l-adic representations and congruences for coefficients of modular forms. Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 350, Springer, pp. 1-55 (1973).