

## 64. On Capitulation of Ideals of an Algebraic Number Field

By Katsuya MIYAKE

Department of Mathematics, College of General Education,  
University of Nagoya

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., June 12, 1984)

**1. Introduction and the main result.** Let  $k$  be a fixed algebraic number field of finite degree, and  $K$  be an unramified abelian extension of  $k$ . We denote the absolute ideal class groups of  $k$  and  $K$  by  $C\ell(k)$  and by  $C\ell(K)$ , respectively. Let  $\lambda_{K/k}: C\ell(k) \rightarrow C\ell(K)$  be the homomorphism defined naturally by lifting ideals of  $k$  to the ones of  $K$ , and put  $P_k(K) = \text{Ker}(\lambda_{K/k})$ . Then this is the subgroup of  $C\ell(k)$  consisting of those classes the ideals of which become principal in  $K$ . Let  $S_k(K)$  be the unramified abelian extension of  $k$  corresponding to  $P_k(K)$  by class field theory. Among the family of unramified abelian extensions of  $k$ , the members of the form  $S_k(K)$  are very special. Our concern in this note is to characterize these members.

Since  $K$  and  $S_k(K)$  are abelian over  $k$ , they are expressed as the compositions of the maximal  $p$ -subextensions  $K^{(p)}$  and  $S_k^{(p)}(K)$ , respectively, for a prime  $p$  running over the prime divisors of  $|C\ell(k)|$ . Since we can show  $S_k^{(p)}(K) = S_k^{(p)}(K^{(p)})$  (Proposition 1), we may restrict ourselves to  $p$ -extensions of  $k$  for a fixed prime  $p$ .

Let  $\mathcal{K}^{(p)} = \mathcal{K}^{(p)}(k)$  be the family of all unramified abelian  $p$ -extensions of  $k$ . For  $K \in \mathcal{K}^{(p)}$ , the maximal unramified abelian  $p$ -extension of  $K$  is denoted by  $\tilde{K}$ . Then  $\tilde{K}$  is the genus field of the relative abelian extension  $\tilde{k}/K$  in the sense of Furuta [2]. Put  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(p)} = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(p)}(k) = \{\tilde{K} \mid K \in \mathcal{K}^{(p)}\}$ . For our purpose, it is natural to classify the members of  $\mathcal{K}^{(p)}$  using  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(p)}$ . The subfamily of  $\mathcal{K}^{(p)}$  determined by  $L \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(p)}$  as  $\mathcal{G}_L^{(p)} = \mathcal{G}_L^{(p)}(k) = \{K \in \mathcal{K}^{(p)} \mid \tilde{K} = L\}$  will be called a  $p$ -genus of capitulation over  $k$ , or simply, a  $p$ -cap.-genus. A  $p$ -cap.-genus  $\mathcal{G}_L^{(p)}$  will be called regular if the  $p$ -group  $\text{Gal}(L/k)$  is regular. (See Hall [3, § 4] or Huppert [4, Ch. III, § 10].)

The main result of this note is

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that the  $p$ -cap.-genus  $\mathcal{G}_L^{(p)}(k)$  with  $L \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(p)}(k)$  is regular. Then for  $K \in \mathcal{G}_L^{(p)}(k)$ ,  $S_k^{(p)}(K)$  is determined by  $L$  and the degree  $[K:k]$ ; more precisely, we have*

$$\text{Gal}(L/S_k^{(p)}(K)) = \{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k) \mid \sigma^{[K:k]} = 1\}.$$

An immediate consequence of the theorem is

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be unramified abelian  $p$ -extensions of*

*k*. If  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  belong to the same regular  $p$ -genus of capitulation, then  $S_k^{(p)}(K_1)$  contains  $S_k^{(p)}(K_2)$  if and only if  $[K_1 : k] \leq [K_2 : k]$ .

**Remark 1.** A  $p$ -group  $G$  is regular if one of the following conditions (1)~(5) is satisfied :

- (1) The class of  $G$  is less than  $p$  ;
- (2) The order of  $G$  is less than or equal to  $p^p$  ;
- (3) The commutator subgroup  $[G, G]$  of  $G$  is cyclic, and  $p > 2$  ;
- (4) The exponent  $G$  is equal to  $p$  ;
- (5) The index of the subgroup  $\langle \sigma^p \mid \sigma \in G \rangle$  of  $G$  is less than or equal to  $p^{p-1}$ .

(See Huppert [4, Ch. III, 10.2 and 10.13].)

In the final section, two types of examples are shown. An irregular case for  $p=3$  is actually contained. (See Remark 2.)

**2. The  $p$ -part of  $S_k(K)$ .** Let  $p$  be the fixed prime number dividing  $|\text{Cl}(k)|$ . For an unramified abelian extension  $K$  of  $k$ , let  $K^{(p)}$ ,  $S_k^{(p)}(K)$  and  $S_k^{(p)}(K^{(p)})$  be the maximal  $p$ -subextensions of  $K$ ,  $S_k(K)$  and  $S_k(K^{(p)})$ , respectively, over  $k$ . We prove

**Proposition 1.**  $S_k^{(p)}(K) = S_k^{(p)}(K^{(p)})$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\text{Cl}^{(p)}(k)$  be the  $p$ -Sylow group of  $\text{Cl}(k)$ , and  $\text{Cl}^{(p)'}(k)$  be the product of all Sylow groups of  $\text{Cl}(k)$  other than  $\text{Cl}^{(p)}(k)$ . Then  $\text{Cl}(k)$  is a direct product of  $\text{Cl}^{(p)}(k)$  and  $\text{Cl}^{(p)'}(k)$ . Therefore

$$\text{Gal}(S_k^{(p)}(K)/k) \cong \text{Cl}(k)/P_k(K) \cdot \text{Cl}^{(p)'}(k) \cong \text{Cl}^{(p)}(k)/P_k(K) \cap \text{Cl}^{(p)}(k).$$

Let  $c$  be an element of  $\text{Cl}^{(p)}(k)$ . Then we have  $\lambda_{K/k}(c) = \lambda_{K/K^{(p)}}(\lambda_{K^{(p)}/k}(c))$  and  $N_{K/K^{(p)}}(\lambda_{K/k}(c)) = \lambda_{K^{(p)}/k}(c)^{[K:K^{(p)}]}$ , where  $N_{K/K^{(p)}} : \text{Cl}(K) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(K^{(p)})$  is the norm homomorphism. Since the degree  $[K:K^{(p)}]$  is relatively prime to the order of  $\lambda_{K^{(p)}/k}(c)$  which is a power of  $p$ , we easily see that

$$\lambda_{K/k}(c) = 1 \iff \lambda_{K^{(p)}/k}(c) = 1.$$

This shows that  $P_k(K) \cap \text{Cl}^{(p)}(k) = P_k(K^{(p)}) \cap \text{Cl}^{(p)}(k)$ . Therefore we have  $|\text{Gal}(S_k^{(p)}(K)/k)| = |\text{Gal}(S_k^{(p)}(K^{(p)})/k)|$  and  $[S_k^{(p)}(K) : k] = [S_k^{(p)}(K^{(p)}) : k]$ . It is clear by the definition that  $S_k(K) \subset S_k(K^{(p)})$  since  $K \supset K^{(p)}$ . Therefore we have  $S_k^{(p)}(K) \subset S_k^{(p)}(K^{(p)})$ . Hence we conclude that  $S_k^{(p)}(K) = S_k^{(p)}(K^{(p)})$  by comparing their degrees over  $k$ . Q.E.D.

**3. The proof of Theorem 1.** Let the notation and the assumptions be as in the theorem. Put  $G = \text{Gal}(L/k)$  and  $A = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ . Then  $A$  is a normal abelian subgroup of  $G$ , and contains the commutator subgroup  $[G, G]$  of  $G$ . We have  $[G, G] = \text{Gal}(L/\tilde{k})$  because  $\tilde{k}$  is the maximal abelian extension of  $k$  in  $L$ . Let  $\text{Cl}^{(p)}(k)$  be the  $p$ -Sylow group of  $\text{Cl}(k)$  and  $\text{Cl}^{(p)'}(k)$  be as in the preceding section. Since  $\tilde{k}$  is the maximal unramified abelian  $p$ -extension of  $k$ , it is the class field of  $k$  corresponding to the subgroup  $\text{Cl}^{(p)'}(k)$  of  $\text{Cl}(k)$ . Therefore  $\text{Cl}(k)/\text{Cl}^{(p)'}(k)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{k}/k) = G/[G, G]$ . Define  $\text{Cl}^{(p)}(K)$  and  $\text{Cl}^{(p)'}(K)$  similarly for  $K$  in place of

$k$ . Because  $L = \tilde{K}$ , we have  $C\ell^{(p)'}(K) = N_{L/K}(C\ell(L))$  where  $N_{L/K}$  is the norm homomorphism, and also, a canonical isomorphism of  $C\ell(K)/C\ell^{(p)'}(K)$  onto  $A = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ . Let  $\lambda: C\ell(k)/C\ell^{(p)'}(k) \rightarrow C\ell(K)/C\ell^{(p)'}(K)$  be the homomorphism induced from  $\lambda_{K/k}$ , and  $V_{G \rightarrow A}: G \rightarrow A$  be the transfer of  $G$  to  $A$ . The latter induces a homomorphism  $V: G/[G, G] \rightarrow A$  since  $A$  is abelian. By Artin [1], we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C\ell(K)/C\ell^{(p)'}(K) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & A = \text{Gal}(L/K) \\ \lambda \uparrow & & \uparrow V \\ C\ell(k)/C\ell^{(p)'}(k) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & G/[G, G] = \text{Gal}(\tilde{k}/k). \end{array}$$

Now,  $C\ell(k)$  is a direct product of  $C\ell^{(p)}(k)$  and  $C\ell^{(p)'}(k)$ . Since the  $p$ -group  $\lambda_{K/k}(C\ell^{(p)}(k))$  has only 1 in common with  $C\ell^{(p)'}(K)$ , we have  $\text{Ker}(\lambda) = P_k(K) \cdot C\ell^{(p)'}(k)/C\ell^{(p)'}(k)$ . As for the kernel of  $V$ , we can use Theorem 4 of [5, I-1], because  $G$  is a regular  $p$ -group by the assumption, and obtain  $V_{G \rightarrow A}(\sigma) = \sigma^{[G:A]} = \sigma^{[K:k]}$  for every  $\sigma \in G$ . Therefore we have  $\text{Ker}(V) = \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma^{[K:k]} = 1\}/[G, G]$ . Hence the class field  $S_k^{(p)}(K)$  of  $k$  corresponding to the subgroup  $P_k(K) \cdot C\ell^{(p)'}(k)$  of  $C\ell(k)$  is the subfield of  $L$  corresponding to the subgroup  $\text{Ker}(V_{G \rightarrow A}) = \{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k) \mid \sigma^{[K:k]} = 1\}$  of  $G = \text{Gal}(L/k)$ . The proof is completed.

4. **Examples.** Let  $\tilde{k}$  be the maximal unramified abelian  $p$ -extension of  $\tilde{k}$ , and put  $G = \text{Gal}(\tilde{k}/k)$ . For a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , we denote the subfield of  $\tilde{k}$  corresponding to  $H$  by  $H^*$ . Hence we have  $H = \text{Gal}(\tilde{k}/H^*)$ , and  $[G, G]^* = \tilde{k}$  for example. We consider the two cases where  $G$  is isomorphic to either one of the following  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  for  $p \geq 5$ :

- (1)  $G_1 = \langle a, b, c \rangle: a^{p^2} = b^{p^2} = c^p = 1, \quad a^{-1}b^{-1}ab = c, \quad a^{-1}c^{-1}ac = b^p, \\ b^{-1}c^{-1}bc = 1;$
- (2)  $G_2 = \langle a, b, c \rangle: a^{p^2} = b^{p^2} = c^p = 1, \quad a^{-1}b^{-1}ab = a^p, \quad a^{-1}c^{-1}ac = b^p, \\ b^{-1}c^{-1}bc = 1.$

Both groups are of order  $p^5$ , and their commutator subgroups are abelian and of type  $(p, p)$ .  $G_1/[G_1, G_1]$  is of type  $(p^2, p)$ , but  $G_2/[G_2, G_2]$  is of type  $(p, p, p)$ .

- (1) *Subgroups of  $G_1$  containing  $[G_1, G_1]$ :  $H_0 = G_1$ .*  
 $H_{1,0} = \langle a^p, b, c \rangle, \quad H_{1,m} = \langle ab^m, b^p, c \rangle \ (m = 1, 2, \dots, p).$   
 $H_{2,0} = \langle a^p, b^p, c \rangle, \quad H_{2,m} = \langle ba^{p^m}, c \rangle \ (m = 1, 2, \dots, p).$   
 $H_3 = [G_1, G_1] = \langle c, b^p \rangle.$   
*Commutators:  $H'_0 = \langle b^p, c \rangle, \quad H'_{1,m} = \langle b^p \rangle \ (m = 1, 2, \dots, p),$*   
*and  $H' = [H, H] = 1$  for every other  $H$  on the list.*
- (2) *Subgroups of  $G_2$  containing  $[G_2, G_2]$ :  $H_0 = G_1$ .*  
 $H_{1,0} = \langle a^p, b, c \rangle, \quad H_{1,1,m} = \langle ab^m, c, b^p \rangle \ (m = 1, 2, \dots, p).$   
 $H_{1,0,m,n} = \langle ac^m, bc^n \rangle \ (m, n = 1, 2, \dots, p).$   
 $H_{2,\ell,m,n} = \langle a^\ell b^m c^n, a^p, b^p \rangle$  where  $(\ell, m, n) = (0, 0, 1), (0, 1, n)$

with  $n=1, 2, \dots, p$ , or  $(1, m, n)$  with  $m, n=1, 2, \dots, p$ .  
 $H_3=[G_2, G_2]=\langle a^p, b^p \rangle$ .  
 Commutators:  $H'_0=\langle a^p, b^p \rangle$ ,  $H'_{1,1,m}=\langle b^p \rangle$ ,  $H'_{1,0,m,n}=\langle a^p b^{pn} \rangle$   
 $(m, n=1, 2, \dots, p)$ , and  $H'=1$  for every other  $H$  on the list.

Case 1.  $G=G_1$ . In this case, only three among  $(p+3)$  intermediate fields of  $\tilde{k}/\tilde{k}$  appear in  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(p)}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(p)} &= \{[G_1, G_1]^* = \tilde{k}, \langle b^p \rangle^* (=L, \text{ say}), 1^* = \tilde{k}\}. \\ \mathcal{G}_{\tilde{k}}^{(p)} &= \{k\}. \quad \mathcal{G}_L^{(p)} = \{H_{1,m}^* (m=1, 2, \dots, p)\}. \\ \mathcal{G}_{\tilde{k}}^{(p)} &= \{H_{1,0}^*, H_{2,m}^* (m=0, 1, 2, \dots, p), H_3^* = \tilde{k}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $K \in \mathcal{G}_L^{(p)}$ , we have  $[K:k]=p$ , and  $S_k^{(p)}(K)=H_{1,0}^*$ . As for  $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{k}}^{(p)}$  there are two  $S_k^{(p)}(K)$ 's:  $S_k^{(p)}(\tilde{k})=S_k^{(p)}(H_{2,m}^*)=k \subseteq S_k^{(p)}(H_{1,0}^*)=H_{2,0}^*$ .

Case 2.  $G=G_2$ . In this case, all of the intermediate fields of  $\tilde{k}/\tilde{k}$  appear in  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(p)}$ , i.e.  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(p)}=\{L|\tilde{k} \subset L \subset \tilde{k}\}$ .

$\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{k}}^{(p)}=\{k\}$ . For  $L=\langle b^p \rangle^*$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_L^{(p)}=\{H_{1,1,m}^* (m=1, 2, \dots, p)\}$ , and  $S_k^{(p)}(K)=H_{1,0}^*$  for  $\forall K \in \mathcal{G}_L^{(p)}$ . For  $L=\langle a^p b^{pn} \rangle^* (1 \leq n \leq p)$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_L^{(p)}=\{H_{1,0,m,n}^* (m=1, 2, \dots, p)\}$ , and  $S_k^{(p)}(K)=H_{1,1,n}^*$  for  $\forall K \in \mathcal{G}_L^{(p)}$ .  $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{k}}^{(p)}=\{H_{1,0}^*$ , all of  $H_{2,\ell,m,n}^*, H_3^* = \tilde{k}\}$ , and  $S_k^{(p)}(\tilde{k})=S_k^{(p)}(H_{2,\ell,m,n}^*)=k \subseteq S_k^{(p)}(H_{1,0}^*)=H_{2,0,0,1}^*$ .

Remark 2. If  $p=3$ ,  $G_2$  is regular, and the above results of Case 2 hold. But  $G_1$  is not regular, and Theorem 4 of [5, I-1] is not applicable to  $A=H_{1,0}$ . In fact, we have  $V_{G \rightarrow A}(b)=b^p c^{-\binom{p}{2}}(b^p)^{\binom{p}{3}}=(b^p)^{1+\binom{p}{3}}$  which is not equal to  $b^p$  if  $p=3$ . But we have  $\text{Ker}(V_{G \rightarrow A})=H_{2,0}$ , too, and all of the above results of Case 1 for  $p=3$ .

Remark 3. A direct product of regular  $p$ -groups is no longer regular in general (c.f. Huppert [4, Ch. III, 10.3c]). But it is not hard to see that the transfer homomorphism of a direct product  $G$  of regular  $p$ -groups to a normal abelian subgroup  $A$  is always equal to the  $[G:A]$ -th power map. Therefore Theorems 1 and 2 hold for a much wider class of  $p$ -cap.-genera than for the class of regular ones.

### References

[1] E. Artin: Idealklassen in Oberkörpern und allgemeine Reziprozitätsgesetze. Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg, **7**, 46–51 (1930).  
 [2] Y. Furuta: The genus field and genus number in algebraic number fields. Nagoya Math. J., **29**, 281–285 (1967).  
 [3] P. Hall: A contribution to the theory of groups of prime-power order. Proc. London Math. Soc. (2), **36**, 29–95 (1933).  
 [4] B. Huppert: Endliche Gruppen I. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, New York (1967).  
 [5] K. Miyake: The application of the Principal Ideal Theorem to  $p$ -groups. Preprint series, 1984, No. 3, Dept. of Math., College of General Education, Nagoya Univ. (1984).

