

123. A Counterexample to a Problem on Commuting Matrices

By Jiro SEKIGUCHI

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Metropolitan University

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., Nov. 12, 1983)

§ 1. Formulation of the problem. Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex semi-simple Lie algebra and put

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}; [X, Y] = 0\}.$$

It is known (cf. [4]) that \mathcal{C} is an irreducible algebraic variety. As an easy consequence of this result, we find that

$$(1) \quad \dim(\mathfrak{g}_X \cap \mathfrak{g}_Y) \geq \text{rank } \mathfrak{g} \quad \text{for any } (X, Y) \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Here \mathfrak{g}_X and \mathfrak{g}_Y denote the centralizers of X and Y , respectively. Then Prof. M. Kashiwara asked the author the following

Problem. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then does there exist a $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that Y commutes with X and that $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_X \cap \mathfrak{g}_Y) = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$?*

This problem connects with the study of the holonomic system of differential equations which governs an invariant eigendistribution on a real form of \mathfrak{g} . For the details, see [2, § 6].

The purpose of this short note is to give a counterexample to this problem when \mathfrak{g} is simple of type F_4 and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a certain nilpotent element.

We note here some remarks on the problem.

(1) If $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is regular, that is, $\dim \mathfrak{g}_X = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$, it is known (cf. [3]) that \mathfrak{g}_X is abelian and therefore $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_X \cap \mathfrak{g}_Y) = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$ for any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_X$.

(2) It is easy to reduce the problem to the case when X is a distinguished nilpotent element of \mathfrak{g} .

(3) Assume that \mathfrak{g} is simple and the type of \mathfrak{g} is one of $A_l, B_l, C_l, D_l, E_6, E_7, G_2$. Then for any distinguished nilpotent $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, there exists a $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that Y commutes with X and $\dim \mathfrak{g}_X \cap \mathfrak{g}_Y = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$. Namely, the problem is true in these cases. The details of this result will be published elsewhere.

(4) In the case when \mathfrak{g} is simple of type E_8 , the problem is rest open.

§ 2. A counterexample to the problem. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra of type F_4 and let X be a nilpotent element of \mathfrak{g} whose weighted Dynkin diagram is $02 \Rightarrow 00$ (cf. [1]).

Claim. *For any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_X$, we have*

$$\dim(\mathfrak{g}_X \cap \mathfrak{g}_Y) \geq 6.$$

Since $\text{rank } \mathfrak{g}=4$, this is a counterexample to the problem.

Proof of the claim. By Jacobson-Morozov lemma, there exist $H, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying the commutation relation

$$[H, X]=2X, \quad [H, Y]=-2Y, \quad [X, Y]=H.$$

Then $\text{ad}H$ induces an endomorphism of \mathfrak{g}_X . It is known (cf. [1]) that $\dim \mathfrak{g}_X=12$. By direct calculation, we find that the eigenvalues of $\text{ad}H|_{\mathfrak{g}_X}$ are 2, 4, 6 and that if we put $V_k=\{Z \in \mathfrak{g}_X; [H, Z]=kZ\}$, then $\dim V_2=6, \dim V_4=4, \dim V_6=2$.

We are now going to prove the claim. Let Z be an arbitrary element of \mathfrak{g} commuting with X . Put $Z=Z_2+Z_4+Z_6$, where $[H, Z_k]=kZ_k$ ($k=2, 4, 6$). Then it is clear that $Z_k \in V_k$ ($k=2, 4, 6$). We denote $\mathfrak{z}=\mathfrak{g}_X \cap \mathfrak{g}_Z$. Since Z_6 is contained in the center of \mathfrak{z} , it follows that $\mathfrak{z}=\mathfrak{g}_X \cap \mathfrak{g}_{Z_2+Z_4}$. Hence we may assume that $Z_6=0$ without loss of generality.

First assume that $Z_4=0$. Then $Z=Z_2 \in V_2$. If Z is a constant multiple of X , we have nothing to prove. Hence we assume that $Z \notin CX$. Since $[Z, V_4] \subset V_6$ and since $\dim V_4=4$ and $\dim V_6=2$, there exist linearly independent elements $u_1, u_2 \in V_4$ such that $[Z, u_i]=0$ ($i=1, 2$). Then u_1, u_2, X, Y and V_6 are contained in \mathfrak{z} and this implies that $\dim \mathfrak{z} \geq 6$.

Next we consider the case when $Z_4 \neq 0$. If $A \in V_4$, then $[Z, A]=0$ is equivalent to $[Z_2, A]=0$. Then by an argument similar to the above case, we find that there are linearly independent elements $u_1, u_2 \in V_4$ commuting with Z . Hence also by an argument similar to the above, we conclude that $\dim \mathfrak{z} \geq 6$. Q.E.D.

References

- [1] G. B. Elkington: Centralizers of unipotent elements in semisimple algebraic groups. *J. Algebra*, **23**, 137–163 (1972).
- [2] R. Hotta and M. Kashiwara: The invariant holonomic system on a semi-simple Lie algebra. RIMS preprint, no. 429 (1983).
- [3] B. Kostant: The principal three dimensional subgroup and the Betti numbers of a complex simple Lie group. *Amer. J. Math.*, **81**, 973–1032 (1959).
- [4] R. W. Richardson: Commuting varieties of semi-simple Lie algebras and algebraic groups. *Compositio Math.*, **38**, 311–322 (1979).