

135. On Linear Modulars.

By Sadayuki YAMAMURO.

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Dec. 12, 1951.)

Let R be a modularized semi-ordered linear space¹⁾ with a modular m . If R is semi-regular, we can introduce into R two sorts of norms, namely, *the first norm* $\|a\|$ ($a \in R$) and *the second norm* $\| \| a \| \|$ ($a \in R$), satisfying the condition

$$\| \| a \| \| \leq \| a \| \leq 2 \| \| a \| \| \quad (a \in R).$$

It is proved that, if m is linear or singular²⁾, then we have

$$(*) \quad \| a \| = \| \| a \| \| \quad (a \in R).$$

In this paper we will prove the converse, that is:

Theorem. *If a modularized semi-ordered linear space R with a modular m is semi-regular and the condition (*) is always satisfied, then m is either linear or singular.*

Suppose, in the sequel, that the condition (*) is satisfied and we denote the common value by $\| a \|$ ($a \in R$).

Lemma 1. *The first norm and the second norm by the conjugate modular \bar{m} of m coincide.*

Proof. The first norm by \bar{m} is the conjugate norm of the second norm by m , and the second norm by \bar{m} is the conjugate norm of the first norm by m . Hence our assertion is obtained.

Lemma 2. *For a element a such that $\| a \| = 1 + m(a)$, we have $m(a) = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose $m(a) \geq 1$. Then we have $m(a) \geq \| a \|$ by the definition of the second norm, contradicting the assumption. Thus we have $m(a) < 1$, and hence $\| a \| \leq 1^{3)}$. Therefore, from the assumption, we conclude $m(a) = 0$.

Lemma 3. *If there is a simple domestic element a satisfying the condition $m(a) = 1$, then m is a linear modular on $[a]R$.*

Proof. As a is simple and domestic, we can find a positive element \bar{a} of the conjugate space \bar{R} of R such that

$$\bar{a}(a) = \bar{m}(\bar{a}) + m(a), \quad \text{and} \quad [\bar{a}]^R = [a].$$

From this relation, we conclude $\| \bar{a} \| = \bar{a}(a) = \bar{m}(\bar{a}) + 1$, because, for the first norm $\| \bar{a} \|$ by \bar{m} , we have

$$\| \bar{a} \| = \sup_{m(x) \leq 1} | \bar{a}(x) |, \quad \text{and} \quad | \bar{a}(x) | \leq \bar{m}(\bar{a}) + m(x) \quad (x \in R)$$

Thus we obtain $\bar{m}(\bar{a}) = 0$ by the previous lemma.

1) H. Nakano: Modularized semi-ordered linear spaces. Tokyo Math. Book Series, Vol. I (1950), p. 153.

2) *ibid.*, p. 184.

3) *ibid.*, p. 181.

Next we prove that \bar{m} is singular in $[\bar{a}]\bar{R}$. If this is not so, then we can find a number $\alpha > 1$ and a projector $[\bar{p}]$ such that

$$0 < \bar{m}(\alpha[\bar{p}]\bar{a}) \leq 1.$$

Putting $\bar{b} = (1 - [\bar{p}])\bar{a} + \alpha[\bar{p}]\bar{a}$, we have

$$\bar{m}(\bar{b}) = \bar{m}((1 - [\bar{p}])\bar{a}) + \bar{m}(\alpha[\bar{p}]\bar{a}) \leq 1,$$

and hence

$$\| \alpha \| = \sup_{\bar{m}(\bar{x}) \leq 1} | \bar{x}(a) | \geq \bar{b}(a).$$

However this relation is impossible, because, by the condition $[\bar{a}]^R = [a]$, we have $[\bar{p}]\bar{a}(a) > 0$, and hence, as $\alpha > 1$,

$$\bar{b}(a) = (1 - [\bar{p}])\bar{a}(a) + \alpha[\bar{p}]\bar{a}(a) > \bar{a}(a) = \| \alpha \|.$$

Hence we have proved that \bar{m} is singular in $[\bar{a}]\bar{R}$, that is, m is linear in $[a]R$.

Proof of the theorem. Let N be the totality of linear elements of R . Then m is linear in $[N]R$. For an element $x \in (1 - [N])R$, $m(x) < +\infty$, we have $m(x) \leq 1$. Because, if there is an element $x \in (1 - [N])R$ such that $1 < m(x) < +\infty$, then we can find a number α and a projector $[p]$ such that $m(\alpha[p]x) = 1$ and $\alpha[p]x$ is simple and domestic, and consequently m is linear in $[[p]x]R$ as proved just above. This contradicts the definition of $(1 - [N])R$. Hence, for any $\bar{x} \in \bar{R}(1 - [N])$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{m}(\bar{x}) &= \sup_{x \in R} \{ \bar{x}(x) - m(x) \} \\ &= \sup_{m(x) \leq 1} \{ \bar{x}(x) - m(x) \} \\ &\leq \sup_{m(x) \leq 1} \bar{x}(x) = \| \bar{x} \|, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that \bar{m} is finite in $\bar{R}(1 - [N])$. Then it is easily seen that \bar{m} is linear in $\bar{R}(1 - [N])$, that is, m is singular in $(1 - [N])R$. If $[N]R \neq 0$, $(1 - [N])R \neq 0$, then for $x \in [N]R$, $y \in (1 - [N])R$ we see easily

$$\| x + y \| = \| x \| + \| y \|, \quad \| \| x + y \| \| = \text{Max.} \{ \| \| x \| \|, \| \| y \| \| \},$$

contradicting the assumption (*). Thus it is proved that

$$[N]R = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad (1 - [N])R = 0.$$

Hence m is linear or singular on R .