

252. On Wiener Functions of Order m

By Hidematu TANAKA

Mathematical Institute, Nagoya University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Dec. 12, 1970)

1. Let Ω be an open subset of the n -dimensional Euclidian space R^n ($n \geq 2$) and f_i be a continuous function on the boundary of Ω ($1 \leq i \leq m$). Riquier's problem for a polyharmonic equation $\Delta^m u = 0$ on Ω is to find a function u such that $\Delta^m u = 0$ in Ω and $(-\Delta)^{i-1} u = f_i$ on the boundary of Ω for each i ($1 \leq i \leq m$).

For a unit disk it was solved by Riquier and for a bounded open set by M. Itô [2].

In this note we shall show that for an unbounded open subset Ω its problem can be solved by means of Wiener ideal boundary Δ_W and Wiener harmonic boundary Γ_W of Ω (Theorem 3).

Let f_i be a continuous function on Δ_W ($1 \leq i \leq m$). Then there exists a function $h_{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)}$ on Ω such that

$$\Delta^m h_{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)} = 0$$

in Ω and for each i ($1 \leq i \leq m$), on Γ_W

$$(-\Delta)^{i-1} h_{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)} = f_i$$

if and only if Ω satisfies the condition

$$\int G_{\partial}^{(m-1)}(x, y) dy < +\infty$$

for some point x in Ω , where G_{∂} being the Green function of Ω ,

$$G_{\partial}^{(m-1)}(x, y) = \int \cdots \int G_{\partial}(x, z_1) G_{\partial}(z_1, z_2) \cdots G_{\partial}(z_{m-2}, y) dz_1 dz_2 \cdots dz_{m-2}.$$

2. Let Ω be an open subset of R^n . We call a real valued function u in the class $C^{2m}(\Omega)$ is polyharmonic of order m in Ω if we have in Ω

$$\Delta^m u = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k^2} \right)^m u = 0.$$

For the Green function G_{∂} of Ω and an integer $i \geq 1$, we put

$$G_{\partial}^{(i)}(x, y) = \int \cdots \int G_{\partial}(x, z_1) G_{\partial}(z_1, z_2) \cdots G_{\partial}(z_{i-1}, y) dz_1 dz_2 \cdots dz_{i-1}.$$

By a suitable normalization we have $(-\Delta_y)^i G_{\partial}^{(i)}(x, y) = \varepsilon_x$ in Ω , where ε_x is the Dirac measure at x .

From now on, let m (≥ 1) be a fixed integer and i be any integer $1 \leq i \leq m$. As to the solution of Riquier's problem, M. Itô [2] proved

Lemma 1. *Let Ω be a bounded open subset of R^n and $(f_i)_{i=1}^m$ be a system of bounded continuous functions on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of Ω . Then there exist m positive Radon measures $\varepsilon_{x, c, \partial}^{(i)}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$) on $\partial\Omega$, and the function*

$$H_\Omega(x; (f_i)_{i=1}^m) = \sum_{i=1}^m \int f_i(y) d\varepsilon_{x,c,\Omega}^{(i)}(y)$$

is polyharmonic of order m in Ω .

Remark 1. The above system $(\varepsilon_{x,c,\Omega}^{(i)})_{i=1}^m$ of balayaged measures of $(\varepsilon_x, 0, \dots, 0)$ on $\partial\Omega$ satisfies

$$\int f(y) d\varepsilon_{x,c,\Omega}^{(1)}(y) = H_f^\Omega(x)$$

and for each $i(1 \leq i \leq m)$

$$\int f(y) d\varepsilon_{x,c,\Omega}^{(i)}(y) = \int H_f^\Omega(z) G_\Omega^{(i-1)}(x, z) dz$$

for any bounded continuous function f on $\partial\Omega$, where H_f^Ω is the solution of the Dirichlet problem in Ω for the boundary value f .

Let Ω be an open subset of R^n and $\{\Omega_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be an exhaustion of Ω namely a sequence of relatively compact open subsets of Ω such that $\bar{\Omega}_k \subset \Omega_{k+1}$ and $\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \Omega_k = \Omega$. Then by Lemma 1 we have a sequence $(\varepsilon_{x,c,\Omega_k}^{(i)})_{k=1}^\infty$ of positive Radon measures for each $i(1 \leq i \leq m)$.

Now we shall define Wiener functions of order i as follows.

Definition. We shall call a bounded continuous function f on Ω a Wiener function of order i if for any exhaustion $\{\Omega_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of Ω the sequence $\left\{ \int f(y) d\varepsilon_{x,c,\Omega_k}^{(i)}(y) \right\}_{k=1}^\infty$ converges at each point x in Ω .

We denote by $W^{(i)}(\Omega)$ the totality of Wiener functions of order i on Ω and for f in $W^{(i)}(\Omega)$ we put

$$h_f^{(i)}(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f(y) d\varepsilon_{x,c,\Omega_k}^{(i)}(y)$$

and $W_0^{(i)}(\Omega) = \{f \in W^{(i)}(\Omega); h_f^{(i)} = 0 \text{ on } \Omega\}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$).

We note that a function in $W^{(1)}(\Omega)$ (or $W_0^{(1)}(\Omega)$) is a usual Wiener function (or Wiener potential) on Ω (cf. [1]).

For brevity we say that Ω satisfies the condition [i] if

$$\int G_\Omega^{(i-1)}(x, y) dy < +\infty$$

for some point x in Ω . By the condition [i] we have the finiteness of the above integral for any point x in Ω .

As to the class $W^{(i)}(\Omega)$ we shall show

Theorem 1. Let Ω be an open subset of R^n . Then we have $W^{(i)}(\Omega) = W^{(1)}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{(i)}(\Omega) = W_0^{(1)}(\Omega)$ if and only if Ω satisfies the condition [i].

Proof. Let f be a bounded continuous function on Ω and $\{\Omega_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be an exhaustion of Ω . By Remark 1, we have

$$\int \left(\int f(y) d\varepsilon_{x,c,\Omega_k}^{(1)}(y) \right) G_{\Omega_k}^{(i-1)}(x, z) dx = \int f(y) d\varepsilon_{x,c,\Omega_k}^{(i)}(y),$$

where G_{Ω_k} is the Green function of Ω_k . If f is in $W^{(1)}(\Omega)$ then for each point x in Ω , $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f(y) d\varepsilon_{x,c,\Omega_k}^{(1)}(y) = h_f^{(1)}(x)$.

By the condition [i], for each point z in Ω

$$\left| \int \left(\int f(y) d\varepsilon_{x, c_{\rho_k}}^{(1)}(y) \right) G_{\rho_k}^{(i-1)}(x, z) dx \right| \leq \sup_{x \in \Omega} |f(x)| \cdot \int G_{\rho}^{(i-1)}(z, y) dy < +\infty,$$

therefore we know $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f(y) d\varepsilon_{x, c_{\rho_k}}^{(i)}(y) = \int h_f^{(i)}(x) G_{\rho}^{(i-1)}(x, z) dx$ and so f is in $W^{(i)}(\Omega)$. Hence we have $W^{(1)}(\Omega) \subset W^{(i)}(\Omega)$ and similarly $W_0^{(1)}(\Omega) \subset W_0^{(i)}(\Omega)$.

We shall show the inverse inclusions $W^{(i)}(\Omega) \subset W^{(1)}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{(i)}(\Omega) \subset W_0^{(1)}(\Omega)$. Its proof is suggested by M. Itô.

Let x_0 be a fixed point in Ω and φ_{x_0} be a non-negative $C^{(i-1)}$ -function with compact support which is invariant under rotation around x_0 and $\int \varphi_{x_0}(y) dy = 1$.

If f is in $W^{(i)}(\Omega)$, then the sequence $\left\{ \int H_f^{\rho_k}(x) G_{\rho_k}^{(i-1)}(x, z) dx \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges at each point z in Ω , so by the condition [i] the sequence $\left\{ \int H_f^{\rho_k}(x) \left(\int G_{\rho_k}^{(i-1)}(x, z) (-\Delta)^{i-1} \varphi_{x_0}(z) dz \right) dx \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges.

Since $\varphi_{x_0}(x) = \int G_{\rho_k}^{(i-1)}(x, z) (-\Delta)^{i-1} \varphi_{x_0}(z) dz$ for sufficient large k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int H_f^{\rho_k}(x) \left(\int G_{\rho_k}^{(i-1)}(x, z) (-\Delta)^{i-1} \varphi_{x_0}(z) dz \right) dx \\ = \int H_f^{\rho_k}(x) \varphi_{x_0}(x) dx = H_f^{\rho_k}(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the sequence $\left\{ \int f(y) d\varepsilon_{x, c_{\rho_k}}^{(1)}(y) \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges at a point x_0 and so f is in $W^{(1)}(\Omega)$. Similarly we have $W_0^{(i)}(\Omega) \subset W_0^{(1)}(\Omega)$.

Conversely if $W^{(i)}(\Omega) = W^{(1)}(\Omega)$, 1 being in $W^{(i)}(\Omega)$, we have the condition [i].

By Theorem 1, we have

Corollary 1. *The condition [m] implies*

$$W^{(1)}(\Omega) = W^{(2)}(\Omega) = \dots = W^{(m)}(\Omega) \text{ and } W_0^{(1)}(\Omega) = W_0^{(2)}(\Omega) = \dots = W_0^{(m)}(\Omega).$$

As to a function $h_f^{(i)}$ we shall show two lemmas.

Lemma 2. *If Ω satisfies the condition [i] and f is in $W^{(1)}(\Omega)$ then we have $\Delta^i h_f^{(i)} = 0$ in Ω .*

Proof. Let f be in $W^{(1)}(\Omega)$ and $\{\Omega_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be an exhaustion of Ω . By Remark 1, $\int f(y) d\varepsilon_{x, c_{\rho_k}}^{(i)}(y) = \int H_f^{\rho_k}(z) G_{\rho_k}^{(i-1)}(x, z) dz$. Since f is in $W^{(1)}(\Omega)$, $h_f^{(i)}(x) = \int h_f^{(i)}(z) G_{\rho}^{(i-1)}(x, z) dz$. Hence $(-\Delta)^{i-1} h_f^{(i)}(x) = h_f^{(i)}(x)$ in Ω and $h_f^{(i)}$ being harmonic, we have $\Delta^i h_f^{(i)} = 0$ in Ω .

Lemma 3. *If Ω satisfies the condition [i] and f is in $W^{(1)}(\Omega)$, then $f - (-\Delta)^{i-1} h_f^{(i)}$ is in $W_0^{(1)}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2, $(-\Delta)^{i-1} h_f^{(i)}$ is harmonic, so it is in $W^{(1)}(\Omega)$ and $f - (-\Delta)^{i-1} h_f^{(i)}$ is in $W^{(1)}(\Omega)$.

Moreover for an exhaustion $\{\Omega_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of Ω we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int (f(y) - (-\Delta)^{i-1} h_f^{(i)}(y)) d\varepsilon_{x, c, \Omega_k}^{(i)}(y) \\ &= \int f(y) d\varepsilon_{x, c, \Omega_k}^{(i)}(y) - \int H_{(-\Delta)^{i-1} h_f^{(i)}}^{(i)}(z) G_{\Omega_k}^{(i-1)}(x, z) dz \\ &= \int f(y) d\varepsilon_{x, c, \Omega_k}^{(i)}(y) - \int (-\Delta)^{i-1} h_f^{(i)}(z) G_{\Omega_k}^{(i-1)}(x, z) dz, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int (f(y) - (-\Delta)^{i-1} h_f^{(i)}(y)) d\varepsilon_{x, c, \Omega_k}^{(i)}(y) \\ &= h_f^{(i)}(x) - \int (-\Delta)^{i-1} h_f^{(i)}(z) G_{\Omega}^{(i-1)}(x, z) dz = h_f^{(i)}(x) - h_f^{(i)}(x) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and so $f - (-\Delta)^{i-1} h_f^{(i)}$ is in $W_0^{(i)}(\Omega) = W_0^{(1)}(\Omega)$.

3. In this section we always assume that Ω is an open set satisfying the condition [m].

Let Ω_W^* be a Wiener compactification of Ω , $\Delta_W = \Omega_W^* - \Omega$ and Γ_W be a harmonic boundary of Ω_W^* (cf. [1]). We note that $\Gamma_W = \{x \in \Delta_W; f(x) = 0 \text{ for any } f \text{ in } W_0^{(1)}(\Omega)\}$.

We shall show the following

Theorem 2. *Let $\{\Omega_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be an exhaustion of Ω , then the sequence $\{\varepsilon_{x, c, \Omega_k}^{(i)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ converges vaguely in Ω_W^* for each point x in Ω ($1 \leq i \leq m$), and if we denote by $\varepsilon_x^{(i)}$ its limit, then*

$$S_{\varepsilon_x^{(i)}} = S_{\varepsilon_x^{(1)}} = \Gamma_W \quad (2 \leq i \leq m),$$

where $S_{\varepsilon_x^{(i)}}$ is the support of the measure $\varepsilon_x^{(i)}$.

Proof. We know that $W^{(1)}(\Omega) = C(\Omega_W^*)$ (cf. [1] Satz 9.3), so for any function in $C(\Omega_W^*)$ its restriction on Ω is in $W^{(1)}(\Omega)$.

Therefore the sequence $\left\{ \int f(y) d\varepsilon_{x, c, \Omega_k}^{(i)}(y) \right\}_{k=1}^\infty$ converges for any f in $C(\Omega_W^*)$. Next we shall show $S_{\varepsilon_x^{(i)}} \subset \Gamma_W$. Let f be a bounded continuous function on Δ_W such that $f = 0$ on Γ_W and f^* be a continuous function on Ω_W^* such that $f^* = f$ on Δ_W . Then f^* being in $W_0^{(i)}(\Omega)$,

$$\int f(y) d\varepsilon_x^{(i)}(y) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f^*(y) d\varepsilon_{x, c, \Omega_k}^{(i)}(y) = 0.$$

This means $S_{\varepsilon_x^{(i)}} \subset \Gamma_W$. Since $S_{\varepsilon_x^{(1)}} = \Gamma_W$ (cf. [1] Satz 8.5), it suffices to show $S_{\varepsilon_x^{(1)}} \subset S_{\varepsilon_x^{(i)}}$.

Let f be in $C(\Delta_W)$ and f^* be in $C(\Omega_W^*)$ such that $f^* = f$ on Δ_W . Then for each k ,

$$\int f^*(y) d\varepsilon_{x, c, \Omega_k}^{(i)}(y) = \int \left(\int f^*(y) d\varepsilon_{z, c, \Omega_k}^{(1)}(y) \right) G_{\Omega_k}^{(i-1)}(x, z) dz.$$

Since the restriction f^* on Ω is in $W^{(1)}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\int f(y) d\varepsilon_x^{(i)}(y) = \int \left(\int f(y) d\varepsilon_z^{(1)}(y) \right) G_{\Omega}^{(i-1)}(x, z) dz.$$

and so $S_{\varepsilon_x^{(1)}} \subset S_{\varepsilon_x^{(i)}}$.

Finally we shall treat Riquier's problem for an open set Ω satisfying the condition [m] and show the following

Theorem 3. *Let f_i be in $C(\Delta_W)$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$). Then there exists a function $h_{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)}$ in Ω such that*

$$\Delta^m h_{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)} = 0$$

in Ω and for each i ($1 \leq i \leq m$), on Γ_W

$$(-\Delta)^{i-1} h_{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)} = f_i.$$

Proof. Let f_i^* be in $C(\Omega_W^*)$ such that $f_i^* = f_i$ on Δ_W ($1 \leq i \leq m$). Then by Corollary 1 the restriction of f_i^* on Ω is in $W^{(i)}(\Omega)$ and so

$$h_{f_i^*}^{(i)}(x) = \int f_i(y) d\varepsilon_x^{(i)}(y).$$

We put

$$h_{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m h_{f_i^*}^{(i)}(x),$$

then by Lemma 2, $h_{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)}$ is polyharmonic of order m in Ω and for each i ($1 \leq i \leq m$),

$$(-\Delta)^{i-1} h_{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)}(x) = (-\Delta)^{i-1} h_{f_i^*}^{(i)}(x) + \sum_{k=i+1}^m (-\Delta)^{i-1} h_{f_k^*}^{(k)}(x).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=i+1}^m (-\Delta)^{i-1} h_{f_k^*}^{(k)}(x) &= (-\Delta)^{i-1} \left(\sum_{k=i+1}^m \int h_{f_k^*}^{(k)}(y) G_\Delta^{(k-1)}(x, y) dy \right) \\ &= \int \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m-i} (-1)^k \int h_{f_{i+k}^*}^{(i+k)}(z) G_\Delta^{(k-1)}(y, z) dz \right) G_\Delta(x, y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

we know it is in $W_0^{(i)}(\Omega)$. On the other hand $(-\Delta)^{i-1} h_{f_i^*}^{(i)}$ is in $W^{(i)}(\Omega)$, hence $(-\Delta)^{i-1} h_{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)}$ can be continuously extended over Ω_W^* .

For each point x in Γ_W , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^{i-1} h_{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)}(x) &= (-\Delta)^{i-1} h_{f_i^*}^{(i)}(x) \\ &= h_{f_i^*}^{(i)}(x) = f_i(x). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2. Conversely if Ω has such a solution as $h_{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)}$, then Ω satisfies the condition [m].

Remark 3. If Ω is an unbounded open subset of R^n ($n \geq 3$) with finite volume, then $\sup_{x \in \Omega} \int G_\Delta(x, y) dy < +\infty$ and for any point x in Ω

$$\int G_\Delta^{(m-1)}(x, y) dy \leq \left(\sup_{x \in \Omega} \int G_\Delta(x, y) dy \right)^{m-1} < +\infty.$$

Hence Ω satisfies the condition [m]. Moreover the point at infinity being contained in $S_x^{(i)}$ for each i ($1 \leq i \leq m$), the above theorem may be an extension of Lemma 1.

4. Let Ω be an open subset of R^n ($n \geq 2$) and p be a non-negative continuously differentiable function on Ω .

We consider on Ω an elliptic differential equation :

$$L_p u = (\Delta - p)u = \Delta u - pu = 0.$$

We shall see that in this case, similarly to the equation $\Delta u = 0$, the

above discussions succeed.

If we assume that p is bounded from below by a positive constant, for the Green function $G_{p,\Omega}$ of the equation $L_p u = 0$ on Ω , we have

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} \int G_{p,\Omega}(x, y) dy < +\infty.$$

Hence in this case we know

$$\int G_{p,\Omega}^{(m-1)}(x, y) dy \leq \left(\sup_{x \in \Omega} \int G_{p,\Omega}(x, y) dy \right)^{m-1} < +\infty$$

for each point x in Ω , where

$$G_{p,\Omega}^{(m-1)}(x, y) = \int \cdots \int G_{p,\Omega}(x, z_1) G_{p,\Omega}(z_1, z_2) \cdots G_{p,\Omega}(z_{m-2}, y) dz_1 dz_2 \cdots dz_{m-2},$$

and so the condition [m] is satisfied in this case.

Let $\Omega_{W_p}^*$ be a p -Wiener compactification of Ω , Δ_{W_p} be its ideal boundary and Γ_{W_p} be its harmonic boundary (cf. [3]), then we shall have analogously to Theorem 3 the following

Theorem 4. *We assume that p is bounded from below by a positive constant. Let f_i be in $C(\Delta_{W_p})$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$). Then there exists a function $h_{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)}^p$ on Ω such that $(L_p)^m h_{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)}^p = 0$ in Ω and for each i ($1 \leq i \leq m$), on Γ_{W_p}*

$$(-L_p)^{i-1} h_{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)}^p = f_i.$$

References

- [1] C. Constantinescu and A. Cornea: Ideale Ränder Riemannscher Flächen. Springer-Verlag (1963).
- [2] M. Itô: Sur les fonctions polyharmoniques et le problème de Riquier. Nagoya Math. J., **37**, 81–90 (1970).
- [3] H. Tanaka: On Wiener compactification of a Riemann surface associated with the equation $\Delta u = pu$. Proc. Japan Acad., **45**, 675–679 (1969).