226. A Note on Nuclear Operators in Hilbert Space

By Isamu KASAHARA*' and Takako SAKAGUCHI**'

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Nov. 12, 1971)

1. Introduction. Let \mathfrak{H} be a separable Hilbert space and T a (bounded linear) operator on \mathfrak{H} . Then T is said to be *nuclear* (or of *trace class*) if there is an orthonormal basis (e_n) in \mathfrak{H} such that

(1)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|T| e_n | e_n) < +\infty,$$

where |T| is the absolute of T. Let \mathfrak{T} be the set of all nuclear operators. Then \mathfrak{T} is an algebraical ideal of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ which is the algebra of all operators on \mathfrak{H} . If $T \in \mathfrak{T}$, then there are orthonormal sets (e_n) and (f_n) such that

$$(2) T = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n \otimes f_n,$$

where (a_n) is a sequence of positive numbers such as

$$(3) \qquad \qquad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < +\infty$$

 and

$$(4) \qquad (e \otimes f)g = (g \mid f)e,$$

in the notation of Schatten [2].

According to [1], K. Maurin conjectured that an operator T in nuclear if and only if T satisfies

(5)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||Te_n|| < +\infty$$

for any orthonormal set (e_n) . The conjecture is recently disproved by J. R. Holub [1]:

Theorem 1. There is a nuclear operator T which does not satisfy (5) for an orthonormal basis (e_n) .

Moreover, Holub [1] proves by virtue of a result of J. Lindenstrauss and A. Pelczynski on absolutely summing operators.

Theorem 2. An operator T is nuclear if and only if there is an orthonormal basis (e_n) which satisfies (5).

In the present note, we shall give simplified proofs of the above theorems in \S 2–3. Incidentally, we shall characterize operators which satisfy Maurin's conjecture in § 4.

2. Proof of Theorem 2. It seems to us that Theorem 2 is already known, e.g. [3, Ex. 3-B, No. 30, p. 69]. However, for the sake of

^{*)} Momodani Senior Highschool.

^{**&#}x27; Ikeda Senior Highschool attached to Osaka Kyoiku University.

Suppl.]

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|Tf_n\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m (e_m \otimes f_m) f_n \right\|$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m (f_n | f_m) e_m \right\|$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|a_n e_n\|$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < +\infty,$$

so that (f_m) satisfies (5).

Conversely, suppose that T satisfies (5) for an orthonormal basis (h_m) . Then we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|T| h_n | h_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (VTh_n | h_n)$$
$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||Th_n|| < +\infty,$$

where V is a partial isometry such that |T| = VT. Hence T is nuclear.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. We shall show that a one-dimensional projection

 $(6) P = e \otimes e (||e|| = 1)$

disproves the conjecture. For an orthonormal basis (e_n) , put

$$e = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} e_n.$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|Pe\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(e_n | e)e\| = rac{\sqrt{6}}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} rac{1}{n} = +\infty.$$

4. The class of operators satisfying Maurin's conjecture. Let \mathfrak{M} be the set of operators which satisfy (5) for every orthonormal set (e_n) . We shall show

Theorem 3. M contains no non-trivial operator.

At first, we shall show a lemma which is suggested by $\S 3$.

Lemma 1. M excludes any one-dimensional projection.

Proof. Let $P = e \otimes e$ with ||e|| = 1. Take an orthonormal set (e_n) such that

$$(e \mid e_n) = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{n\pi}.$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|Pe_n\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(e \otimes e)e_n\|$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(e \mid e_n)|$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{6}}{n\pi} = +\infty.$$

Hence P is not in \mathfrak{M} .

Lemma 2. \mathfrak{M} is an algebraical left ideal of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{H})$.

Proof. Clearly, \mathfrak{M} is a linear space. If $A \in \mathfrak{B}$ (§) and $B \in \mathfrak{M}$, then we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|ABe_n\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|A\| \|Be_n\|$$

= $\|A\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|Be_n\| < +\infty$

for every orthonormal basis (e_n) . Hence $AB \in \mathfrak{M}$.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $T \in \mathfrak{M}$. Then T is compact. By Lemma 2, we can assume without loss of generality that T is positive. By [2], we can express T in

$$T=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_{n}e_{n}\otimes e_{n},$$

where (e_n) is orthonormal and $a_n \downarrow 0$. Put $Q = e_1 \otimes e_1$.

Then we have

$$QT = a_1 e_1 \otimes e_1$$
.

By Lemma 2, $QT \in \mathfrak{M}$. On the other hand, $QT \notin \mathfrak{M}$ by Lemma 1. This contradiction proves the theorem.

References

- J. R. Holub: Characterization of nuclear operators in Hilbert space. Rev. Roum. Math. Pure Appl., 16, 687-690 (1971).
- [2] R. Schatten: A Theory of Cross Spaces. Ann. Math. Studies, No. 26, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton (1950).
- [3] O. Takenouchi: Exercises in Functional Analysis (in Japanese). Asakura, Tokyo (1968).

1014