## 209. Hypersurfaces of a Euclidean Space $R^{4m}$

By Susumu TSUCHIYA and Minoru KOBAYASHI Department of Mathematics, Josai University, Saitama

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Sept. 13, 1971)

Introduction. K. Yano and M. Okumura [5] have shown that the existence of the so called  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure on hypersurfaces of an almost contact manifold and on submanifolds of codimension 2 of an almost Hermitian manifold.

D. E. Blair, G. D. Ludden and K. Yano [1] have studied complete hypersurfaces immersed in  $S^{2n+1}$  and showed that (1) if the Weingarten map of the immersion and f commute then the hypersurface is a sphere, and (2) if the Weingarten map K of the immersion and f satisfy fK+Kf=0 and the hypersurface is of constant scalar curvature, then it is a great sphere or  $S^n \times S^n$ .

On the other hand, Y. Y. Kuo [2] has shown the existence of an almost contact 3-structure on  $R^{4m+3}$  and that of a Sasakian 3-structure on  $S^{4m+3}$  and on the real projective space  $P^{4m+3}$ .

The main purpose of this paper is, after showing that an orientable hypersurface of a Hermitian manifold with quaternion structure admits an almost contact 3-structure  $(\phi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i)$ , i=1, 2, 3, to classify complete hypersurfaces of  $R^{4m}$  satisfying  $\phi_i H - H \phi_i = 0$ , i=1, 2, 3 and those satisfying  $\phi_i H + H \phi_i = 0$ , i=1, 2, 3. The results are:

**Theorem 1.** Let N be a complete hypersurface of  $R^{4m}(m \ge 2)$ . If the Weingarten map of the immersion and  $\phi_i$ , i=1, 2, 3 commute, then N is one of the following

(i) a hyperplane,

(ii) a sphere,

(iii)  $R^{4t} \times S^{4s+3}, t+s=m-1, t \ge 1, s \ge 0.$ 

**Theorem 2.** Let N be a complete hypersurface of  $R^{4m}(m \ge 1)$ . If the Weingarten map H of the immersion and  $\phi_i$  satisfy  $\phi_i H + H \phi_i = 0$ , then it is a hyperplane.

For the case m=1 in Theorem 1, we have, as a corollary,

**Corollary.** Let N be a complete hypersurface of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . If the Weingarten map of the immersion and  $\phi_i$ , i=1, 2, 3 commute, then N is either a hyperplane or a sphere.

1. Preliminaries. First, let  $M = M^{4m}$  be a differentiable manifold with quaternion structure  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ , where a quaternion structure is, by definition, a pair of two almost complex structures  $\Phi_1$ ,  $\Phi_2$  such that (1)  $\Phi_1 \Phi_2 + \Phi_2 \Phi_1 = 0.$  It is known that there exists a Riemannian metric G such that (2)  $G(\Phi_1 X, \Phi_1 Y) = G(\Phi_2 X, \Phi_2 Y) = G(X, Y).$ We call a manifold with  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$  and G satisfying (2) a Hermitian manifold with quaternion structure. If, furthermore, G is Kaehlerian with respect to both  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$ , such a manifold is called a Kaehlerian manifold with quaternion structure.  $R^{4m}$  is an example of a Kaehlerian manifold with quaternion structure. If we put  $\Phi_3 = \Phi_1 \Phi_2$ , then  $\Phi_3$  is also an almost complex structure and  $\Phi_i$ , i=1, 2, 3 satisfy (3)  $\Phi_i \Phi_j = -\Phi_j \Phi_i = \Phi_k,$ 

where (i, j, k) is any cyclic permutation of (1, 2, 3).

Secondly, let  $N = N^{4n+3}$  be a differentiable manifold with an almost contact 3-structure  $(\Phi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i)$ , i=1, 2, 3, where an almost contact structure is, by definition, a pair of three almost contact structure  $(\phi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i)$ , i=1, 2, 3 satisfying

(4) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta_i(\xi_j) = \eta_j(\xi_i) = 0, \\ \phi_i\xi_j = -\phi_j\xi_i = \xi_k, \\ \eta_i \circ \phi_j = -\eta_j \circ \phi_i = \eta_k, \\ \phi_i\phi_j - \xi_i \otimes \eta_j = -\phi_j\phi_i + \xi_j \otimes \eta_i = \phi_k, \end{pmatrix}$$

for any cyclic permutation (i, j, k) of (1, 2, 3).

There exists a Riemannian metric g such that

$$(5) g(\xi_i, X) = \eta_i(X),$$

(6)  $g(\phi_i X, \phi_i Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta_i(X)\eta_i(Y),$ 

(i=1,2,3), for any vectors X and Y. This metric is called an *associated metric of the 3-structure*. If, furthermore,  $\xi_i$  (i=1,2,3) are mutually orthogonal Sasakian structure, such a structure is called a *Sasakian 3-structure*.

2. Hypersurfaces of a Hermitian manifold with quaternion structure. Let  $M=M^{4m}$  be a Hermitian manifold with quaternion structure  $(\Phi_i, G)$ , i=1, 2, 3,  $N=N^{4m-1}$  be an orientable hypersurface of M and  $\pi: N \to M$  be its imbedding. If we put

(7)  $g(X, Y) = G(\pi_* X, \pi_* Y),$ 

then g is a Riemannian metric on N.

Let C be a field of unit normals defied on  $\pi(N)$  and put  $(\phi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i)$ , i=1, 2, 3 by

 $(9) \qquad \qquad \Phi_i C = -\pi_* \xi_i,$ 

then we can easily see that  $(\phi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i)$ , i=1, 2, 3 satisfy (4) and g satifies (5) and (6). Thus, we have

Proposition 1. An orientable hypersurface N of a Hermitian manifold with quaternion structure admits an almost contact 3-structure and the natually induced metric g on N is an associated metric of the above almost contact 3-structure.

Now, we assume further that M is a Kaehlerian manifold with quaternion structure. We put

(10)  $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\pi_* X} \pi_* Y = \pi_* \mathcal{V}_X Y + h(X, Y)C,$ (11)  $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\pi_* X} C = -\pi_* HX,$ 

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$  is the Kaehlerian connection of G, h(X, Y) is the second fundamental form and H is the corresponding Weingarten map.

Calculating both  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\pi_*X} \Phi_i \pi_* Y$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\pi_*X} \Phi_i C$  in two ways, we have  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\pi_*X} \Phi_i \pi_* Y = \pi_* \phi_i \mathcal{V}_X Y + \eta_i (\mathcal{V}_X Y) C - h(X, Y) \pi_* \xi_i$   $= \pi_* [(\mathcal{V}_X \phi_i) Y + \phi_i \mathcal{V}_X Y - \eta_i (Y) HX] + ((\mathcal{V}_X \eta_i) (Y) + \eta_i (\mathcal{V}_X Y) + h(X, \phi_i Y)) C,$   $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\pi_*X} \Phi_i C = -\pi_* \phi_i HX - \eta_i (HX) C$  $= -\pi_* \mathcal{V}_X \xi_i - h(X, \xi_i) C,$ 

from which we have

Suppl.]

- (12)  $(\nabla_{X}\phi_{i})Y = \eta_{i}(Y)HX h(X, Y)\xi_{i},$ (13)  $(\nabla_{X}\eta_{i})(Y) = -h(X, \phi_{i}Y),$
- (14)  $\begin{array}{c} \nabla_{X} \varphi_{i} = \phi_{i} H X. \end{array}$

The following lemmas are needed later.

**Lemma 2.** If  $H\phi_i = \phi_i H$ , i=1, 2, 3, then  $\xi_1$ ,  $\xi_2$  and  $\xi_3$  are the characteristic vectors of H and the corresponding characteristic roots are the same, that is we have

(15)  $H\xi_i = \lambda \xi_i$  (i=1, 2, 3),

for some scalar  $\lambda$ .

**Proof.** By assumption, we may put  $H\xi_i = \lambda_i \xi_i$  (i=1, 2, 3). Thus, using (4) and (6) we have

$$egin{aligned} &\lambda_i = g(H\xi_i,\xi_i) = g(\phi_k H\xi_i,\phi_k\xi_i) + \eta_k(H\xi_i)\eta_k(\xi_i) \ &= g(H\phi_k\xi_i,\phi_k\xi_i) \ &= g(H\xi_j,\xi_j) \ &= \lambda_j. \end{aligned}$$
 q.e.d.

**Lemma 3.** If  $H\phi_i = -\phi_i H$ , i=1, 2, 3, then  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  and  $\xi_3$  are the characteristic vectors of H corresponding to the characteristic root 0.

**Proof.** As in Lemma 2, we may put  $H\xi_i = \mu_i \xi_i$ , i=1, 2, 3. Then we have

$$egin{aligned} \mu_i \!=\! g(H \xi_i, \xi_i) \!=\! g(\phi_k H \xi_i, \phi_k \xi_i) \!+\! \eta_k(H \xi_i) \eta_k(\xi_i) \ &= \! -g(H \phi_k \xi_i, \phi_k \xi_i) \ &= \! -g(H \xi_j, \xi_j) \ &= \! -\mu_j, \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $\mu_i = 0$ , (i = 1, 2, 3).

3. Proofs of Theorems. Let N be an orientable hypersurface of  $R^{4m}$ . Hereafter we use the same notations which were used in the previous section by identifying  $R^{4m}$  with M. Then the Codazzi equation of the hypersurface can be given by

(16) 
$$(\nabla_X H)Y = (\nabla_Y H)X.$$

q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem 1. Setting Y equal to  $\xi_i$  in (16), we have (17)  $(\nabla_X H)\xi_i = (\nabla_{\xi_i} H)X.$ But, since

$$\begin{split} (\mathcal{V}_{X}H) &\xi_{i} = \mathcal{V}_{X}H\xi_{i} - H\mathcal{V}_{X}\xi_{i} \\ &= (\mathcal{V}_{X}\lambda)\xi_{i} + \lambda\mathcal{V}_{X}\xi_{i} - H\mathcal{V}_{X}\xi_{i} \qquad \text{(by (15))} \\ &= (\mathcal{V}_{X}\lambda)\xi_{i} + \lambda\phi_{i}HX - H\phi_{i}HX \qquad \text{(by (14))} \\ &= (\mathcal{V}_{X}\lambda)\xi_{i} + \lambda\phi_{i}HX - \phi_{i}H^{2}X, \end{split}$$

we have

(18)  $(\nabla_{\varepsilon_{i}}H)X = (\nabla_{x}\lambda)\xi_{i} + \lambda\phi_{i}HX - \phi_{i}H^{2}X.$ Setting X equal to  $\xi_{k}$  in (18), we have  $(\nabla_{\varepsilon_{i}}H)\xi_{k} = (\nabla_{\varepsilon_{k}}\lambda)\xi_{i} + \phi_{i}H\xi_{k} - \phi_{i}H^{2}\xi_{k}$   $= (\nabla_{\varepsilon_{k}}\lambda)_{i} + \lambda^{2}\phi_{i}\xi_{k} - \lambda^{2}\phi_{i}\xi_{k}$   $= (\nabla_{\varepsilon_{k}}\lambda)\xi_{i}.$ Since  $(\nabla_{\varepsilon_{k}}H)\xi_{k} = (\nabla_{\varepsilon_{k}}\lambda)\xi_{k}$ 

Since  $(\nabla_{\xi_i} H) \xi_k = (\nabla_{\xi_k} H) \xi_i$  by the Codazzi equation, we have  $(\nabla_{\xi_i} \lambda) \xi_i = (\nabla_{\xi_i} \lambda) \xi_k$ ,

which implies

(19)  $\nabla_{\xi_i} \lambda = 0,$ 

(20)  $(\nabla_{\xi_i} \dot{H}) \xi_k = 0.$ 

Therefore, taking account of  $\nabla_{\xi_i} \dot{\xi}_i = \phi_i H \dot{\xi}_i = \lambda \phi_i \dot{\xi}_i = 0$ , we have from (18)  $\nabla_X \lambda = g((\nabla_{\xi_i} H)X, \dot{\xi}_i)$   $= g(\nabla_{\xi_i} HX, \dot{\xi}_i) - g(H\nabla_{\xi_i} X, \dot{\xi}_i)$  $= g(\nabla_{\xi_i} HX, \dot{\xi}_i) - g(H\nabla_{\xi_i} X, \dot{\xi}_i)$ 

$$= \nabla_{\xi_i}(g(HX,\xi_i)) - g(\nabla_{\xi_i}X,H\xi_i)$$
  
=  $\lambda g(\nabla_{\xi_i}X,\xi_i) - \lambda g(\nabla_{\xi_i}X,\xi_i)$  (by (19))  
= 0

Hence  $\lambda$  is constant and consequently (18) reduces to (21)  $(\nabla_{\varepsilon_i} H) X = \lambda \phi_i H X - \phi_i H^2 X.$ 

Let  $\{e_s, \phi_1 e_s, \phi_2 e_s, \phi_3 e_s, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$  be an orthonormal basis which diagonalizes H. We denote the principal curvature corresponding to  $e_s$  by  $\alpha_s$  that is also the principal curvature corresponding to  $\phi_1 e_s, \phi_2 e_s$  and  $\phi_3 e_s$ , since  $H\phi_i = \phi_i H$ , i = 1, 2, 3. Consider  $\nabla_{\xi_1} H$  as a tensor of type (1,1) on N. By (20),  $\xi_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3 are characteristic vectors corresponding to the characteristic root 0. Let  $X = \sum_{s=1}^{m} (a_s e_s + b_s \phi_1 e_s + c_s \phi_2 e_s + d_s \phi_3 e_s)$  be a characteristic vector of  $\nabla_{\xi_1} H$  other than  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  and  $\xi_3$ . Let  $\beta$  be its corresponding characteristic root. Then we have  $(\nabla_{\xi_1} H)X = \beta X$ . But the left hand side can be calculated as

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_1}H)X = \phi_1 H \sum_s (a_s e_s + b_s \phi_1 e_s + c_s \phi_2 e_s + d_s \phi_3 e_s)$$
  
$$-\phi_1 H^2 \sum_s (a_s e_s + b_s \phi_1 e_s + c_s \phi_2 e_s + d_s \phi_3 e_s)$$
  
$$= \sum_s \{\alpha_s (\lambda - \alpha_s) a_s \phi_1 e_s - \alpha_s (\lambda - \alpha_s) b_s e_s + \alpha_s (\lambda - \alpha_s) c_s \phi_3 e_s - \alpha_s (\lambda - \alpha_s) d_s \phi_2 e_s\},$$

by virtue of (21) with i=1 and (4).

[Vol. 47,

Suppl.]

Thus, comparing the coefficients of  $e_s$ ,  $\phi_1 e_s$ ,  $\phi_2 e_s$  and  $\phi_3 e_s$  of the above equation we have

$$egin{aligned} & (eta a_s + lpha_s (\lambda - lpha_s) b_s = 0, \ & lpha_s (\lambda - lpha_s) a_s - eta b_s = 0, \ & eta c_s + lpha_s (\lambda - lpha_s) d_s = 0, \ & lpha_s (\lambda - lpha_s) c_s - eta d_s = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X \neq 0$ , we must have

$$\beta^2 + \alpha_s^2 (\lambda - \alpha_s)^2 = 0$$

from the theory of a system of linear equations. Hence we have  $\beta = 0$  and consequently (21) with i=1 reduces to (22)  $\lambda \phi_1 H X - \phi_1 H^2 X = 0$ ,

K

for any vector X on the hypersurface.

Therefore, putting  $X=e_s$ ,  $s=1, \dots, m-1$ , we have  $\alpha_s(\lambda-\alpha_s)=0$ , which shows that the hypersurface has distinct principal curvatures at most two and they are constant. There are three possibilities: if  $\lambda=0$ , then all  $\alpha_s$  are automatically equal to 0 and the hypersurface is totally geodesic thereby it is a hyperplane. If  $\lambda \neq 0$  and none of  $\alpha_s$  are 0, then all  $\alpha_s$  are equal to  $\lambda$  and the hypersurface is totally umbilical thereby it is a sphere. The last possibility gives that the hypersurface is  $R^{4t} \times S^{4s+3}$ , t+s=m-1,  $t \geq 1$ ,  $s \geq 0$  by the same argument as in [4], which completed the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2. We have  $\nabla_X H \phi_i Y = (\nabla_X H) \phi_i Y + H (\nabla_X \phi_i) Y + H \phi_i \nabla_X Y$  $= (\mathcal{V}_{X}H)\phi_{i}Y + H(\eta_{i}(Y)HX - h(X, Y)\xi_{i}) + H\phi_{i}\mathcal{V}_{X}Y$ (by (12)) $= (\nabla_X H)\phi_i Y + \eta_i (Y)H^2 X + H\phi_i \nabla_X Y \qquad \text{(since } H\xi_i = 0\text{)}.$ But, since  $H\phi_i Y = -\phi_i HY$ , we have  $\nabla_X H \phi_i Y = -\nabla_X \phi_i H Y$  $= -(\nabla_{X}\phi_{i})HY - \phi_{i}(\nabla_{X}H)Y - \phi_{i}H\nabla_{X}Y$  $= -(\eta_i(HY)HX - h(X, HY)\xi_i) - \phi_i(\nabla_X H)Y - \phi_iH\nabla_X Y$  $=g(HX, HY) - \phi_i (\nabla_X H) Y - \phi_i H \nabla_X Y.$ Hence we have  $(\nabla_X H)\phi_i Y + \eta_i(Y)H^2 X = g(HX, HY)\hat{\xi}_i - \phi_i(\nabla_X H)Y.$ Thus we have (23) $g((\nabla_x H)\phi_i Y, \xi_i) = g(HX, HY).$ But we have  $g((\nabla_X H)\phi_i Y, \xi_i) = g((\nabla_{\phi_i Y} H)X, \xi_i) \qquad \text{(by (16))}$  $= V_{\phi_iY}(g(HX,\xi_i)) - g(HX,V_{\phi_iY}\xi_i)$  $=-g(HX,\phi_iH\phi_iY)$ (since  $H\phi_i = -\phi_i H$ )  $=g(HX,\phi_i^2HY)$ = -g(HX, HY)(by (4)),

which, together with (23), implies H=0 and hence the hypersurface is totally geodesic thereby it is a hyperplane.

## References

- [1] D. E. Blair, G. D. Ludden and K. Yano: Hypersurfaces of an odd dimensional sphere (to appear).
- [2] Y. Y. Kuo: On almost contact 3-structure. Tohoku Math. J., 22, 325-332 (1970).
- [3] M. Obata: Hermitian manifolds with quaternion structure. Tohoku Math. J., 10, 11-18 (1958).
- [4] P. J. Ryan: Homogeneity and some curvature conditions for hypersurfaces. Töhoku Math. J., 21, 363-388 (1969).
- [5] K. Yano and M. Okumura: On (f,g,u,v,λ)-structures. Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., 22, 401-423 (1970).