

## 51. On the Property of Lebesgue in Uniform Spaces

By Kiyoshi ISÉKI

Kobe University

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., April 12, 1955)

In this Note, we shall introduce a new concept, the property of Lebesgue in a uniform space.\*<sup>1)</sup> Some properties of Lebesgue property in metric spaces were studied in 1950 by A. A. Monteiro and M. M. Peixoto ((2), (3)).

Let  $S$  be a topological space. A covering of  $S$  is a family of open sets whose union is  $S$ . The covering is called *binary* if it consists of two open sets or *finite* if it consists of a finite family of open sets.

Now we shall consider a separated uniform space  $E$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be the filter of surroundings of  $E$ . If  $A \subset E$ , and  $V \in \mathfrak{S}$ , we denote by  $V(A)$  the image of the set  $(E \times A) \cap V$  by the projection of  $E \times E$  onto the first factor  $E$ .

We say that a covering  $\mathfrak{F} = \{O_\alpha\}$  of  $E$  has the *Lebesgue property* if there is a surrounding  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$  such that, for each  $x$  of  $E$ , we can find an open set  $O_\alpha$  satisfying  $V(x) \subset O_\alpha$ .

It is clear that, if any finite covering has the Lebesgue property, so is binary covering. We shall prove the following

*Theorem 1.* *If a uniform space induced by  $\mathfrak{S}$  is normal and every binary covering has the Lebesgue property, then every finite covering has the Lebesgue property.*

*Proof.* Let  $O_i (i=1, 2, \dots, n)$  be a finite covering of  $E$ . By the normality of  $E$ , we can find a covering  $\{G_i\}$  such that  $G_i \subset \overline{G_i} \subset O_i$ . Therefore  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{G_i} = E$ . Let  $H_i = E - \overline{G_i}$ , then, for each  $i$ ,  $\{O_i, H_i\}$  is a binary covering of  $E$ , and it has the Lebesgue property. Let  $V_i$  be a surrounding for the covering  $\{O_i, H_i\}$ , and put  $V = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i$ , then  $V(x) \subset V_i(x) (i=1, 2, \dots, n)$  for every  $x$  of  $E$ . Suppose that  $V(x) \subset H_i (i=1, 2, \dots, n)$ , then

$$V(x) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n H_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (E - \overline{G_i}) = E - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{G_i} = \text{empty.}$$

Hence there is at least one of  $i$  such that  $V(x) \subset O_i$ . Q.E.D.

To prove that any compact space has the Lebesgue property, we shall show the following

*Theorem 2.* *The following two properties are equivalent:*

---

\*<sup>1)</sup> Throughout this Note, we use the basic concepts of uniform spaces in N. Bourbaki (1). We shall use the terminologies in P. Samuel (4).

(1) *Any binary covering of a uniform space  $E$  has the Lebesgue property.*

(2) *For every pair of disjoint closed sets  $F_1, F_2$ , there is a surrounding  $V$  such that  $V(F_1) \cap F_2 = F_1 \cap V(F_2) = O$ .*

Proof. (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2). Let  $O_i = E - F_i$  ( $i=1, 2$ ), then  $\{O_1, O_2\}$  is a binary covering of  $E$ . Since the covering  $\{O_1, O_2\}$  has the Lebesgue property, there is a surrounding  $V$  such that  $V(x) \subset O_1$  or  $V(x) \subset O_2$  for any  $x$  of  $E$ . If  $x \in F_1$ , then  $x \notin O_1$ , hence  $V(x) \subset O_2$ . This shows that  $V(F_1) \cap F_2 = O$ . Similarly we have  $F_1 \cap V(F_2) = O$ .

(2)  $\rightarrow$  (1). Let  $\mathfrak{F} = \{O_1, O_2\}$  be a binary covering of  $E$ . If  $E = O_1$  or  $E = O_2$ , the theorem is trivial. Let  $E \neq O_i$  ( $i=1, 2$ ), then two sets  $F_1 = E - O_1$ ,  $F_2 = E - O_2$  are non-empty, disjoint and closed. Therefore, there is a symmetric surrounding  $V$  such that  $V(F_1) \cap F_2 = F_1 \cap V(F_2) = O$ . Let  $U$  be a symmetric surrounding such that  $U \circ U \subset V$ . Then we show  $U(x) \subset O_1$  or  $U(x) \subset O_2$ . Suppose that there is an element  $a$  such that  $U(a) \not\subset O_1$  and  $U(a) \not\subset O_2$ . Therefore, there are  $x_1 \in O_1$ ,  $x_2 \in O_2$  such that  $(a, x_1) \in U$  and  $(a, x_2) \in U$ . Since  $x_1 \in F_1$ ,  $x_2 \in F_2$ , and  $(x_1, x_2) \in U \circ U \subset V$ , we have  $V(F_1) \cap F_2 \neq O$ , which is contradiction. Hence the equivalence is proved. Q.E.D.

From Theorems 1, 2, and a well-known theorem (see N. Bourbaki (1), Chap. 2, p. 162), we have

*Theorem 3. If a uniform space is compact, it has the Lebesgue property.*

Theorem 3 is a generalisation of a theorem by A. A. Monteiro and M. M. Peixoto ((3), p. 112). The relation between the Lebesgue property and uniform continuity will appear in a later paper.

### References

- 1) N. Bourbaki: Topologie générale, Chap. 1-10, Hermann, Paris (1940-1949).
- 2) A. A. Monteiro and M. M. Peixoto: Note on uniform continuity, Proc. International Congress Mathematicians, **1**, 385 (1950).
- 3) A. A. Monteiro and M. M. Peixoto: Le nombre de Lebesgue et la continuité uniformé, Port. Math., **10**, 105-113 (1951).
- 4) P. Samuel: Ultrafilters and compactification of uniform spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **64**, 100-132 (1948).