72. Fourier Series. XVI. The Gibbs Phenomenon of Partial Sums and Cesàro Means of Fourier Series. 1

By Shin-ichi IZUMI and Masako SATÔ

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaidô University, Sapporo, Japan (Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., June 12, 1957)

1. There are many literatures concerning the Gibbs phenomenon of partial sums and Cesàro means of Fourier series of functions at jump points but a few concerning that at the points of discontinuity of the second kind (see B. Kuttner [1-4], O. Szász [5], S. Izumi and M. Satô [6] and K. Ishiguro [7, 8]). In our paper [6] we have proved

Theorem 1. Suppose that

 $f(t) = a\psi(t - \xi) + g(t)$

where $\psi(t)$ is a periodic function with period 2π such that

$$\psi(t) = (\pi - t)/2$$
 (0\pi)

and
$$-a\pi/2 \leq \liminf_{t \neq \xi} f(t) \leq \limsup_{t \neq \xi} f(t) \leq a\pi/2.$$

If

$$\int_{0}^{t} g(\xi+u) du = o(|t|),$$

and

$$\int_{0}^{t} (g(x+u) - g(x-u)) du = o(|t|)$$

uniformly for all x in a neighbourhood of ξ , then the Gibbs phenomenon of f(t) appears at $t=\xi$, and the Gibbs set contains the interval $[-a(H+1)\pi/4, a(H+1)\pi/4]$.

Theorem 2. There is a function which does not present the Gibbs phenomenon at $t=\xi$ and has $t=\xi$ as the second kind discontinuity.

We shall here prove

Theorem 3. If

$$(1) \quad \int_{0}^{h} (f(x+u)-f(x-u)) du = o\left(h/\log\frac{1}{h}\right), \quad uniformly \ in \ x,$$

then the partial sums of Fourier series of f(t) do not present the Gibbs phenomenon at all points.

Using Theorem 3, we give a simple proof of Theorem 2. Further, as a particular case, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 4. If f(t) is continuous at a point x (or in an interval (α, β) or in $(0, 2\pi)$), and (1) holds, then the Fourier series of f(t) converges uniformly at x (or in a closed interval contained in (α, β) or in $(0, 2\pi)$).

No. 6]

This is a theorem of R. Salem [9] (the interval case) and one of us [10] (the point case), and proof of Theorem 3 gives a simple proof of Theorem 4.

Concerning Cesàro means

(2)
$$\sigma_{n}^{r}(x, f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x+t) K_{n}^{r}(t) dt, K_{n}^{r}(t) \\ = \sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{n-k}^{r-1} D_{k}(t) / A_{n}^{r},$$

we prove

Theorem 5. If

$$(3) \qquad \int_0^h (f(x+u)-f(x-u))du = o(h), \quad uniformly \ in \ x,$$

then Cesàro means of Fourier series of f(t) of positive order do not present the Gibbs phenomenon at t=0.

From this we get the following theorem due to K. Ishiguro [8]: Theorem 6. If $f(t) = a\psi(t) + g(t)$

where a is a constant and g(t) satisfies the condition (3) in Theorem 5 and further

 $\limsup_{t \to 0} f(t) \leq a\pi/2, \quad \liminf_{t \to 0} f(t) \geq -a\pi/2$

then the Cesàro means $\sigma_n^r(x, f)$ of the Fourier series of f(t) present the Gibbs phenomenon at t=0 for $r < r_0$ and not for $r \ge r_0$, r_0 being the Cramér constant.

Theorem 7. There is a function f(t) such that the partial sums $s_n(x, f)$ present the Gibbs phenomenon, but not the Cesàro means $\sigma_n^r(x, f)$ for any positive order r.

On the other hand, B. Kuttner [1] has proved

Theorem 8. For any r (0<r<1), there is a function f(t) such that Cesàro means $\sigma_n^r(x, f)$ present the Gibbs phenomenon.

His example is an unbounded function. We prove

Theorem 9. There is a bounded function f(t) such that the Cesàro means $\sigma_n^r(x, f)$ present the Gibbs phenomenon for any $r, 0 \leq r < 1$, at a point x=0.

2. Proof of Theorem 3. We shall use the notations in [11]. Let $s_n(x, f)$ be the *n*th partial sum of Fourier series of f(t) and let

$$\varphi_x(t) = f(x+t) + f(x-t).$$

Then

$$s_n(x, f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \varphi_x(t) \frac{\sin nt}{t} dt + o(1),$$

where the term o(1) tends to zero uniformly as $n \to \infty$. After R. Salem (cf. [10]) we write

$$s_n(x, f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \int_0^{\pi/n} \frac{\varphi_x(t+k\pi/n)}{t+k\pi/n} \sin nt \, dt + o(1)$$

S. IZUMI and M. SATÔ

[Vol. 33,

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \int_{0}^{\pi/n} \left[\frac{\varphi_x(t+2k\pi/n)}{t+2k\pi/n} - \frac{\varphi_x(t+(2k+1)\pi/n)}{t+(2k+1)\pi/n} \right] \sin nt \, dt + o(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \int_{0}^{\pi/n} \varphi_x(t+2k\pi/n) \left[\frac{1}{t+2k\pi/n} - \frac{1}{t+(2k+1)\pi/n} \right] \sin nt \, dt \\ &+ \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \int_{0}^{\pi/n} \frac{\varphi_x(t+2k\pi/n) - \varphi_x(t+(2k+1)\pi/n)}{t+(2k+1)\pi/n} \sin nt \, dt + o(1) \\ &= I + J + o(1). \end{split}$$

In order to prove the theorem it is sufficient to prove that $\liminf_{x \to 0} f(x) \ge 0$ implies

$$(4) \qquad \qquad \liminf_{n\to\infty,x\to0} s_n(x, f) \ge 0.$$

We can suppose $f(x) \ge 0$ by the local property of the partial sums. Then $I \ge 0$ and hence it is sufficient to prove that J=o(1).^{*)} By the second mean value theorem, for $0 \le \eta_k < \hat{\epsilon}_k \le \pi/n$,

$$\begin{split} J &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{n}{(2k+1)\pi} \int_{\eta_k}^{\eta_k} [\varphi_x(t+2k\pi/n) - \varphi_x(t+(2k+1)\pi/n)] dt \\ &= o \Big(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{n}{k} \frac{1}{n \log n} \Big) = o(1). \end{split}$$

For, if we write

$$\begin{split} J' &= \int_{\eta}^{\xi} [\varphi_x(t+2k\pi/n) - \varphi_x(t+(2k+1)\pi/n)] dt \\ &= \int_{\eta}^{\xi} [f(x+t+2k\pi/n) - f(x+t+(2k+1)\pi/n)] dt \\ &\quad + \int_{\eta}^{\xi} [f(x-t-2k\pi/n) - f(x-t-(2k+1)\pi/n)] dt \\ &= J_1' + J_2', \end{split}$$

then

$$\begin{split} J' &= \int_{\eta}^{\xi} [f(x + 2k\pi/n + t) - f(x + 2k\pi/n - t)] dt \\ &- \int_{\eta}^{\xi} [f(x + (2k+1)\pi/n + t) - f(x + 2k\pi/n - t)] dt \\ &= \Big[\int_{0}^{\xi} - \int_{0}^{\eta} \Big] [f(x + 2k\pi/n + t) - f(x + 2k\pi/n - t)] dt \\ &- \Big[\int_{0}^{\xi} - \int_{0}^{\eta} \Big] [f(x + (2k+1)\pi/n + t) - f(x + 2k\pi/n - t)] dt, \end{split}$$

where each integral is of order $o(1/n \log n)$ by the condition (1), uniformly in $k \leq n$. Accordingly $J'_1 = o(1/n \log n)$, and similarly $J'_2 = o(1/n \log n)$; and hence $J' = o(1/n \log n)$ uniformly in $k \leq n$.

3. Proof of Theorem 2. It is sufficient to prove that there is

286

^{*)} Proof of J=o(1) is the same as in [10].

No. 6]

a function satisfying the condition (1) and having a point of discontinuity of the second kind.

We can take an even function $f_k(x)$ such that

$$f_k(0) = 1, \quad f_k(x) > 0 \quad (0 \leq x < b_k), \quad f_k(x) = 0 \quad (x \geq b_k)$$

and

$$(5) \qquad \left|\int_{0}^{t} (f_{k}(x+u)-f_{k}(x-u)) du\right| \leq t / \left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^{2}$$

for all t and x. For, if we take $f_k(x)$ such that the graph of $f_k(x)$ is concave in the interval $(0, b_k)$ and touches x-axis at $x=b_k$ and y-axis at y=1 and the integral of $f_k(x)$ on the interval (0,t) $(0 < t < b_k)$ minus $tf_k(t)$ is less than $1/2t (\log 1/t)^2$, then the condition (5) is satisfied.

Let (a_k) and (b_k) be sequences rapidly tending to zero such that

$$a_{k+1} < a_k/4$$
, $4b_k < a_k$, $a_{k+1} + 2b_{k+1} < a_k - 2b_k$,

and let

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{f_k(x+a_k+b_k) - f_k(x+a_k-b_k)\}.$$

Then

$$\int_{0}^{t} [f(x+u)-f(x-u)] du = o\left(t/\log\frac{1}{t}\right), \quad \text{as } t \to 0,$$

uniformly in x; and hence f(x) has x=0 as a point of discontinuity of the second kind and the Gibbs phenomenon does not appear at x=0 by Theorem 3.

4. Proof of Theorem 5. Without any loss of generality we can suppose f(0)=0. As is well known [11, p. 184],

$$\sigma_n^r(x,f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \varphi_x(t) K_n^r(t) dt$$

where

$$\begin{split} K_n^r(t) &= \frac{\sin\left[(n+(r+1)/2)t - r\pi/2\right]}{A_n^r(2\sin t/2)^{1+r}} + \frac{r}{n+1} \frac{1}{(2\sin t/2)^2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4A_n^r} \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} A_{\nu+1}^{r-2} \frac{\cos\left(\nu-n\right)t}{(2\sin t/2)^2} \\ &= L_n^{(1)}(t) + L_n^{(2)}(t) + L_n^{(3)}(t), \\ \text{tting } N &= n + (r+1)/2 \text{ and } \alpha = (2-r/2)\pi/N. \end{split}$$

say. Put +(r+1)/2 and $\alpha = (2-r/2)\pi/N$,

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \varphi_{x}(t) K_{n}(t) dt = \int_{0}^{a} + \int_{a}^{\pi} = I + J.$$

We have $I \ge 0$. Let

$$\begin{split} J &= \int_{a}^{\pi} \varphi_{x}(t) L_{n}^{(1)}(t) dt + \int_{a}^{\pi} \varphi_{x}(t) L_{n}^{(2)}(t) dt + \int_{a}^{\pi} \varphi_{x}(t) L_{n}^{(3)}(t) dt \\ &= J_{1} + J_{2} + J_{3}, \end{split}$$

then

$$\begin{split} J_{1} &= \int_{a}^{\pi} \varphi_{x}(t) \frac{\sin (Nt - r\pi/2)}{A_{n}^{r}(2 \sin t/2)^{1+r}} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{A_{n}^{r}} \int_{a}^{\pi} \frac{\varphi_{x}(t)}{t^{1+r}} \sin (Nt - r\pi/2) dt + o(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{A_{n}^{r}} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor (N-1)/2 \rfloor} \int_{a}^{a+\pi/N} \left\{ \frac{1}{(t + 2k\pi/N)^{1+r}} - \frac{1}{(t + (2k+1)\pi/N)^{1+r}} \right\} \\ &\quad \cdot \varphi_{x}(t + 2k\pi/N) \sin (Nt - r\pi/2) dt \\ &+ \frac{1}{A_{n}^{r}} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor (N-1)/2 \rfloor} \int_{a}^{a+\pi/N} \frac{\varphi_{x}(t + 2k\pi/N) - \varphi_{x}(t + (2k+1)\pi/N)}{(t + (2k+1)\pi/N)^{1+r}} \\ &\quad - \sin (Nt - r\pi/2) dt + o(1) \\ &= J_{11} + J_{12} + o(1). \end{split}$$

It is sufficient to prove that $f(t) \ge 0$ implies $\sigma_n^r(x, f) \ge o(1)$ where o(1) is the term tending to zero as $n \to \infty$. Evidently $J_{11} \ge 0$. By the second mean value theorem

$$|J_{12}| \leq A \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor (N-1)/2 \rfloor} \frac{N}{k^{1+r}} \left| \int_{\xi_k}^{\eta_k} (\varphi_x(t+2k\pi/N) - \varphi_x(t+(2k+1)\pi/N)) \, dt \right|$$

where $\alpha \leq \xi_k < \eta_k \leq \alpha + \pi/N$. By the condition (3), the right is o(1), and then $J_1 \geq o(1)$. We have also $J_2 \geq 0$. It remains to prove that $J_3 \geq o(1)$. Now we put

$$J_{3} = \frac{1}{4A_{n}^{r}} \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} A_{n}^{r-2} \int_{a}^{\pi} \frac{\varphi_{x}(t) \cos(\nu - n)t}{(2 \sin t/2)^{2}} dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{4A_{n}^{r}} \left(\sum_{\nu=n+1}^{n+n_{0}} + \sum_{\nu=n+n_{0}+1}^{\infty} \right) = J_{31} + J_{32}$$

for an n_0 . For a large but fixed n_0 , $J_{31} \ge o(1)$ and $J_{32} = o(1)$ by the condition (3), using the estimation as in J_1 . Thus the theorem is proved.

References

- B. Kuttner: Note on the Gibbs phenomenon, Jour. London Math. Soc., 20, 136-139 (1945).
- [2] —: A further note on the Gibbs phenomenon, Jour. London Math. Soc., 22, 295-298 (1947).
- [3] —: On the Riesz means of a Fourier series (II), Jour. London Math. Soc., 19, 77-84 (1944).
- [4] —: On the Gibbs phenomenon for Riesz means, Jour. London Math. Soc., 19, 153-161 (1944).
- [5] O. Szász: On some trigonometric summability methods and Gibbs' phenomenon, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 54, 483–497 (1943).
- [6] S. Izumi and M. Satô: Fourier series X. Rogosinskis' lemma, Kodai Seminar Reports, 18 (1957).
- [7] K. Ishiguro: Fourier series XI. Gibbs' phenomenon, ibid.
- [8] ----: Fourier series. XV. Gibbs' phenomenon, Proc. Japan Acad., 33 (1957).
- [9] R. Salem: New theorems on the convergence of Fourier series, Indagationes Math., 16 (1954).
- [10] M. Satô: Uniform convergence of Fourier series. VI, Proc. Japan Acad., 32, 99-104 (1956).
- [11] A. Zygmund: Trigonometrical series, Warszawa (1936).

288