

## 19. On Strictly Continuous Convergence of Continuous Functions

By Takesi ISIWATA

Tokyo University of Education, Tokyo

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Feb. 12, 1958)

1. Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $C(X)$  be the set of all real-valued continuous functions defined on  $X$ . A topology of  $C(X)$  is said to be *admissible* provided that  $f(x)$  is jointly continuous with respect to the given topologies of  $X$  and  $C(X)$  respectively. We denote by " $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  (jointly)" that a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$  with respect to some admissible topology of  $C(X)$ . A sequence  $\{f_n\}$  is said to be *continuously convergent* to  $f$  (abbreviated to  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  (cont.)) if  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$  implies  $\{f_n(x_n)\} \rightarrow f(x)$ . A sequence  $\{f_n\}$  is said to be *strictly continuous convergent* to  $f$  (abbreviated to  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  (str. cont.)) if  $\{f(x_n)\} \rightarrow \alpha$ , then  $\{f_n(x_n)\} \rightarrow \alpha$  where  $\alpha$  is a real number. Finally we shall define " $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  (uniformly)" when a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  is uniformly convergent to  $f$ . For simplicity, by the property (S), we shall mean the following:

(S):  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  (cont.) implies  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  (str. cont.).

Recently, Iséki [1-3] investigated the relations between concepts of (strictly) continuous convergence, pseudo-compactness and countable compactness. In this paper, we shall prove the following:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  be a countably compact  $T_1$ -space. Then  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  (jointly) if and only if  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  (str. cont.) (hence by Theorem 2 in [1],  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  (jointly) if and only if  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  (uniformly)).*

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $Z$  be any topological space and  $X$  be any dense subset of  $Z$ . If  $X$  has the property (S), then  $Z$  has the property (S).*

The converse of Theorem 2 is not necessarily true (cf. Example 1 below).

**Corollary.** *Let  $X$  be a completely regular  $T_1$ -space, and  $Z$  be the Čech compactification of  $X$ . If  $X$  has the property (S), then any subspace  $Y$  of  $Z$ ,  $X \subset Y$ , has the property (S).*

From Corollary, we can construct a pseudo-compact space which has the property (S) without being countably compact (cf. Example 2 below). Finally, we shall show the existence of a compact space which has not the property (S), by the following

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $X$  be any discrete space containing infinitely many points, and  $Z$  be the Čech compactification of  $X$ ; then we have the following statements:*

- i)  $Z$  has no convergent sequence.

- ii) For any sequence  $\{f_n\}$  and any function  $f$  (in  $C(Z)$ ), we have  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  (cont.).
- iii) In  $C(Z)$  there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  such that  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f \equiv 0$  (str. cont.) does not hold.

In Theorem 3, i) is equivalent to ii) for any completely regular  $T_1$ -space  $Z$  (cf. Remark 1 below).

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.** Suppose that  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  (jointly) and there is a sequence  $\{x_n; x_n \in X, n=1, 2, \dots\}$  such that  $\{f(x_n)\} \rightarrow \alpha$  ( $\alpha$  being a real number) but not  $\{f_n(x_n)\} \rightarrow \alpha$ . Then there are a subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}; i=1, 2, \dots\}$  ( $=A$ ) of  $\{x_n\}$  and some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$(1) \quad |f_{n_i}(x_{n_i}) - \alpha| \geq \varepsilon.$$

Since  $X$  is countably compact, there exists an accumulation point  $x$  of  $A$ . From the definition of admissible topology, for  $\delta > 0$  such that  $3\delta > \varepsilon$ , there are neighborhoods  $U$  of  $f$  and  $V$  of  $x$  respectively such that if  $U \ni g, V \ni y$ , then

$$|f(x) - g(y)| < \delta.$$

On the other hand, there is an index  $n_0$  such that  $n_0 < n_i$  implies  $f_{n_i} \in U$ , and we have  $x_{n_j} \in V$  for some  $n_j > n_0$ .

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f_{n_j}(x_{n_j})| &< \delta, \\ |f(x) - f(x_{n_j})| &< \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$(2) \quad |f_{n_j}(x_{n_j}) - \alpha| \leq |f_{n_j}(x_{n_j}) - f(x)| + |f(x) - f(x_{n_j})| + |f(x_{n_j}) - \alpha|.$$

Since  $\{f(x_n)\} \rightarrow \alpha$ , we can assume that

$$|f(x_{n_j}) - \alpha| < \delta.$$

Hence we have

$$|f_{n_j}(x_{n_j}) - \alpha| < 3\delta < \varepsilon.$$

This contradicts (1). Thus we have proved that  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  (jointly) implies  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  (str. cont.).

The converse is obvious from the fact that in a pseudo-compact space,  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  (str. cont.) implies  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  (uniformly) (Theorem 2 in [1]).

**3. Proof of Theorem 2.** Suppose that  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  (cont.),  $f(x_n) \rightarrow \alpha$  ( $\alpha =$  a real number) hold and  $\{f_n(x_n)\} \rightarrow \alpha$  does not, where  $C(Z) \ni f, f_n$  and  $Z \ni x_n$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ). Then there are a subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}; i=1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$(3) \quad |f_{n_i}(x_{n_i}) - \alpha| \geq \varepsilon.$$

Then  $\{x_{n_i}\} \cap X$  is a finite set, for if this intersection contains infinitely many points, then the inequality (3) contradicts the property (S) of  $X$ . Hence we can assume that  $\{x_{n_i}\} \subset Z - X$ . We take  $\delta > 0$  so that  $\varepsilon > 2\delta > 0$ . Then there is a neighborhood  $U_i$  of  $x_{n_i}$  for each  $i$  such that if  $z \in U_i$  then

$$(4) \quad |f(x_{n_i}) - f(z)| < \delta/2^i,$$

$$(5) \quad |f_{n_i}(x_{n_i}) - f_{n_i}(z)| < \delta/2^i.$$

Now we choose a point  $z_i$  from  $U_i \cap X$  for each  $i$ . Since  $\alpha = \lim_i f(x_{n_i})$ , we get

$$\alpha = \lim_i f(x_{n_i}) = \lim_i f(z_i)$$

by (4). Since  $\{z_i\} \subset X$  and  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  (cont. on  $Z$  and str. cont. on  $X$ ), we have

$$\lim_i f(z_i) = \lim_i f_{n_i}(z_i).$$

Therefore, from (5) we have

$$\lim_i f_{n_i}(z_i) = \lim_i f_{n_i}(x_{n_i}).$$

Consequently  $\alpha = \lim_i f_{n_i}(x_{n_i})$ . This contradicts the inequality (3), hence  $Z$  has the property (S).

**4. Example 1.** We shall construct an example for which the converse of Theorem 2 does not hold. Let us consider, in 2-plane, the following sets:

$$Z = \{(x, y); 0 \leq x, y \leq 1\}, \quad X = Z - (1, 1).$$

Then  $Z$  is a compactum and hence  $Z$  has the property (S), because Iséki [1] proved that a sequentially compact space has the property (S). But, since  $X$  is not pseudo-compact,  $X$  has not the property (S) (see Remark 2 below).

The proof of Corollary is obvious.

From Corollary, we shall construct a pseudo-compact space which has the property (S) but is not countably compact.

**Example 2.** Let  $Y$  be a sequentially compact space and  $I = [0, 1]$ . Then  $Y \times I$  is sequentially compact and  $\beta(Y \times I) = \beta(Y) \times I$  [4]. There is a completely regular  $T_1$ -space  $X$  which is pseudo-compact but not countably compact (therefore not normal) such that  $Y \times I \cong X \cong \beta(Y \times I)$  [5]. Since  $Y \times I$  is sequentially compact,  $Y \times I$  has the property (S) and hence, by Theorem 2,  $X$  has the property (S). Next we shall give a concrete example having the properties mentioned above; let  $\omega$  and  $\Omega$  be the least ordinal numbers of the second and third classes respectively. Let  $X_0 = [1, \Omega] \times [1, \omega] - (\Omega, \omega)$  where a topology of  $X_0$  is given by the order topology; then  $X_0$  has the properties mentioned above.

**5. Proof of Theorem 3.** i) Let  $\{x_n\}$  ( $=A$ ) be a convergent sequence in  $Z$  and  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$ . We can assume that  $x_n \neq x$  for each  $n$  and each  $x_n$  is an isolated point in  $A$ . Therefore there are open sets  $U_n$  in  $Z$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) containing  $x_n$  such that

$$\overline{U_n} \cap \overline{U_m} = \emptyset \quad (n \neq m),$$

where “ $\overline{\quad}$ ” denotes the closure operation in  $Z$ . Let

$$V_n = X \cap \bar{U}_n \quad (n=1, 2, \dots)$$

$$B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{2n}, \quad C = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{2n+1}.$$

Then  $B$  and  $C$  are disjoint closed sets in  $X$  and  $\bar{B} \cap \bar{C} = \theta$  in  $Z$  since  $X$  is discrete and hence normal. On the other hand we have  $\bar{B} \supset \{x_{2n}\}$  and  $\bar{C} \supset \{x_{2n+1}\}$  which contradict that  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$ .

ii) Obvious.

iii) Let  $\{a_n; n=1, 2, \dots\} (=A) \subset X$ . We shall define a function  $g_n$  on  $X$  for each  $n$  in the following way:

$$g_n(a_m) = n/m,$$

$$g_n(z) = 0 \quad \text{for } z \notin A.$$

Then an extension  $f_n$  of  $g_n$  over  $Z$  is identically zero on  $Z - X$ , because for sufficiently small neighborhood of  $x \in Z - X$  contains either a point of  $X - A$  or a point  $a_m$  with sufficiently large index  $m$ , hence  $f_n(x) = 0$ . Let  $f$  be the function which is identically zero:  $f \equiv 0$ . Then we have  $f(a_n) = 0$  for all  $n$ , but  $f_n(a_n) = 1$  for each  $n$ . Therefore  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f \equiv 0$  (*str. cont.*) does not hold.

**6. Remark 1.** In Theorem 3, i) is equivalent to ii) for any completely regular  $T_1$ -space. To see this, it is sufficient to prove ii)  $\rightarrow$  i). We suppose that there exists a convergent sequence  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$  ( $x_n \neq x$ ;  $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $x_n \neq x_m$  ( $n \neq m$ ). Let  $\{U_n; n=1, 2, \dots\}$  be a family of neighborhoods of  $x$  such that

$$U_n \ni x_j, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$U_n \ni x_i \quad i=n+1, \dots$$

Let  $f_n$  be a continuous function such that

$$f_n(y) = 0 \quad \text{for } y \in X - U_n$$

$$f_n(x) = 1 \quad \text{and } 0 \leq f \leq 1 \text{ on } X.$$

Then  $f_n(x_n) = 0$ , hence  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f \equiv 1$  (*cont.*) does not hold.

**Remark 2.** A sequence  $\{f_n\}$  described in (p. 425 in [1], p. 356 in [2] and p. 527 in [3]) is not necessarily continuously convergent to  $f \equiv 0$ . Such an example is given by the space  $X_0$  described in Example 2. Let  $a_n = (\Omega, n)$ , then  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f \equiv 0$  (*cont.*) does not hold. However, it is true that if a space  $X$  has the property (S), then  $X$  must be pseudo-compact. For, if  $X$  is not pseudo-compact, then there exists a family  $\{U_n; n=1, 2, \dots\}$  of open sets such that  $\bar{U}_n \cap \bar{U}_m = \theta$  ( $n \neq m$ ) and  $(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{U}_n$ . For each  $n$ , we define a continuous function  $f_n$ :

$$f_n(y) = 0 \quad \text{for } y \notin U_n,$$

$$f_n(x_n) = 1 \quad \text{where } x_n \text{ is a fixed point in } U_n,$$

$$0 \leq f \leq 1 \quad \text{on } X.$$

Let  $\{y_n\} \rightarrow y$  be any convergent sequence. If  $y \in \bar{U}_n$  for some  $n$ , then  $f_m(y_m) = 0$  for all  $m > n_0$  ( $n_0$  being a suitable integer). If  $y \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{U}_n$ ,

then a suitable neighborhood of  $y$  is disjoint from  $\bar{U}_n$  for each  $n$ , and hence we have  $f_m(y_m)=0$ . Therefore we can conclude that  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f \equiv 0$  (*cont.*) but not  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f \equiv 0$  (*str. cont.*) because  $f_n(x_n)=1$  and  $f(x)=0$ . From this fact it follows that if  $X$  belongs to the class  $[N_2]$ , then  $X$  is countably compact [6].

### References

- [1] K. Iséki: Pseudo-compactness and strictly continuous convergence, Proc. Japan Acad., **33**, 424-428 (1957).
- [2] K. Iséki: A theorem on continuous convergence, Proc. Japan Acad., **33**, 355-356 (1957).
- [3] K. Iséki: On generalized continuous convergence, Proc. Japan Acad., **33**, 525-527 (1957).
- [4] M. Henriksen and J. R. Isbell: On the Stone-Čech compactification of a product space of two spaces (abstract 332), Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **63** (1957).
- [5] T. Isiwata: On subspaces of Čech compactification spaces, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, **5**, 304-309 (1957).
- [6] T. Isiwata: Some classes of completely regular  $T_1$ -spaces, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, **5**, 287-292 (1957).