128. On Certain Reduction Theorems for Systems of Differential Equations which Contain a Turning Point

By Kenjiro OKUBO

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Metropolitan University (Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Nov. 13, 1961)

1. Introductions. In this paper we consider a system of linear ordinary differential equations

(1.1)
$$\varepsilon dx/dt = A(t, \varepsilon)x,$$

where x is an n-vector: $A(t, \epsilon)$ is a matrix of type (n, n), which admits a uniformly asymptotic expansion

(1.2)
$$A(t, \varepsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j(t) \varepsilon^{j}$$

for $|t| < t_0$, as ε tends to zero through a domain $|\arg \varepsilon - \theta| < \varepsilon_0$. The coefficients of this expansion, $A_j(t)$ are holomorphic functions of t in the domain $|t| < t_0$.

The system has a turning point at the origin, if $A_0(t)$ has a set of eigenvalues: $\lambda_{j_1}(t), \dots, \lambda_{j_p}(t) (p \le n)$, which are zero for t=0, but at least a pair of eigenvalues are not identically equal, where, by a theorem due to Sibuya, (cf. Sibuya, Y. [3]), we may assume p=n.

Though a general method to treat such a system is not yet known, all the known results are obtained by reducing the coefficient matrix $A(t, \varepsilon)$ to a matrix, whose elements are polynomials in the independent variable. Moreover, if there is a formal transformation

(1.3)
$$y = P(t, \varepsilon)x \quad P(t, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P_j(t)\varepsilon^j$$

such that

(1.4) det $P_0(0) \neq 0$, $P_i(t)$: holomorphic for $|t| < t_0$

which reduces the system (1.1) to a system with polynomial coefficients, then, in a sectorial domain, there is a matrix $Q(t, \varepsilon)$ which has the same asymptotic expansion as $P(t, \varepsilon)$. (cf. Sibuya, Y. [4]). We shall call a formal transformation (1.3) with the properties (1.4), a formal admissible transformation.

Our results are stated in two theorems:

Theorem 1. If in (1.2) $A_0(t)$ is in the form

(1.5.1)
$$A_{0}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \ 1 \ 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \cdots 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \cdots 1 \\ t \ 0 \ 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

then there is a formal admissible series (1.3) such that $\varepsilon dy/dt = A_0(t)y.$

No. 9] On Certain Reduction Theorems for Systems of Differential Equations

Theorem 2. If in (1.2)
$$A_0(t)$$
 is in the form
(1.5.2) $A_0(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & t & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$,

then there is a formal admissible transformation (1.3) such that $\varepsilon dy/dt = B(t, \varepsilon)y$,

where

$$B(t,\varepsilon)=A_0(t)+\varepsilon\sigma\begin{pmatrix}0&0\cdots&0\\0&0\cdots&0\\\vdots&\vdots\\1&0\cdots&0\end{pmatrix}.$$

 σ is a formal power series of ε with constant coefficients

(1.6)
$$\sigma \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_k \varepsilon^k.$$

Remark. Those theorems can be applied when, in (1.5.1) and (1.5.2), t is replaced by an holomorphic function $\varphi(t)$ such that $\varphi(0)$

$$(0)=0$$
, and $\varphi'(0) \neq 0$.

The system (1.1) with $A_0(t)$ in the form (1.5.1), contains the second order equation treated in Langer, R. E. [1], and with $A_0(t)$ in the form (1.5.2), contains the third order equation treated in Langer, R. E. [2] in its special cases.

2. Angorithms. We shall use the following lemma.

Lemma. Let $\mu(t, \varepsilon)$ be a row-vector with n components, using the matrix $A(t,\varepsilon)$ in (1.2), and define a set of row vectors $p_k(t,\varepsilon), k$ $=1, 2, \cdots, n+1$, as follows

(2.1)
$$\begin{cases} p_1(t,\varepsilon) = \mu(t,\varepsilon) \\ p_k(t,\varepsilon) = \varepsilon dp_{k-1}/dt + p_{k-1}(t,\varepsilon)A(t,\varepsilon) \quad (2 \le k), \end{cases}$$

then

(2.2)
$$p_{k+1} = \mu A_0^k + \varepsilon (k\mu' A_0^{k-1} + \mu \Psi_k(A_0)) + \varepsilon^2 f_k(t, \mu, \varepsilon)$$

where

(2.3)
$$\psi_k(A_0) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} ((A_0^j)' A_0^{k-j-1} + A_0^j A_1 A_0^{k-j-1})$$

and $f_k(t, \mu, \varepsilon)$ is a linear form in $\mu, \mu', \mu'', \dots, \mu^{(k)}$: the coefficients of this linear form are polynomials in $A, A', \dots, A^{(k)}$.

Proof. By iteration

$$p_2 = \varepsilon \mu' + \mu A$$

$$p_3 = \varepsilon^2 \mu'' + \varepsilon (2\mu' A + \mu A') + \mu A^2$$

.....

(2.4)
$$p_{k+1} = \mu A^k + \varepsilon (k\mu' A^{k-1} + \mu \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (A^j)' A^{k-j-1}) + \varepsilon^2 (\cdots) + \cdots + \varepsilon^k \mu^{(k)}.$$

Substituting the expression (1.2) into (2.4) we have the lemma.

We shall consider a transformation y = Px which carries the system (1.1) to a system

545

[Vol. 37,

(2.5)
$$\varepsilon dy/dt = B(t, \varepsilon)y,$$

where P is a matrix whose row-vectors are p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n ; namely

(2.6)
$$P(t, \varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} p_1(t, \varepsilon) \\ p_2(t, \varepsilon) \\ \cdots \\ p_n(t, \varepsilon) \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the expression

$$arepsilon dy/dt = (arepsilon P' + PA)P^{-1}y = egin{pmatrix} p_2(t,\,arepsilon) \\ p_3(t,\,arepsilon) \\ \cdots \\ p_{n+1}(t,\,arepsilon) \end{pmatrix}P^{-1}(t,\,arepsilon)y$$

 $B(t,\varepsilon)$, is necessarily of the form

(2.7)
$$B(t, \varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ b_1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

So in order to find a matrix $P(t, \varepsilon)$, such that (2.5) holds for arbitrarily given set (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) , it is sufficient to find a vector μ , such that (2.8) $p_{n+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k p_k$

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Set $b_1=t, b_k=0$ for $k=2, 3, \dots, n$ in (2.8), and using the lemma,

(3.1) $\mu A_0^n + \varepsilon (n\mu' A_0 + \mu \psi_n(A_0)) + \varepsilon^2 f_n(t, \mu, \varepsilon) = t\mu.$

Subtracting $\mu A_0^n = t\mu$ (Cayley-Hamilton theorem) and multiplying A_0 from the right,

(3.2)
$$nt\mu'+\mu\psi_n(A_0)A_0+\varepsilon f_n(t,\,\mu,\,\varepsilon)A_0=0.$$

Substituting the formal expression

(3.3)
$$\mu(t, \varepsilon) \sim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j(t) \varepsilon^j$$

we have an infinite sequence of systems of differential equations (3.4.0) $t\mu'_0=\mu_0G(t)$

(3.4.k) $t\mu'_k = \mu_k G(t) + g_k(t, \mu) \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$

where $G(t) = -1/n \cdot \psi_n(A_0)A_0$, and $g_k(t, \mu)$ is a linear form in $\mu_0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{k-1}$ and their derivatives.

Each system has a regular singular point at the origin, and the eigenvalues of G(0) are calculated from the equality

$$A_0^k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_{n-k} \\ tE_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where E_{n-k} is a unit matrix of order n-k. If we set $A_1(t) = \begin{pmatrix} Q & R \\ S & T \end{pmatrix}$, where Q, R, S and T are matrices of type (k, k), (k, n-k), (n-k, k)and (n-k, n-k) respectively:

$$A_0^{k}A_1A_0^{n-k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_{n-k} \\ tE_k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Q & R \\ S & T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_k \\ tE_{n-k} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} tT & S \\ t^2R & tQ \end{pmatrix}$$

546

We see that this matrix has diagonal elements all zero. On the other hand

$$(A_0^k)'A_0^{n-k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ E_k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_k \\ tE_{n-k} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & E_k \end{pmatrix}$$

so that $G(0) = -1/n \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (A_0^k A_1 A_0^{n-k} + (A_0^k)' A_0^{n-k})_{t=0}$ is a triangular matrix with diagonal elements,

(3.5) 0, -1/, $n, \dots, -2/n, \dots, -(n-1)/n$ and these are the eigenvalues of G(0).

Since there is 0 among the eigenvalues, and $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is a corresponding eigenvector of G(0), there is an holomorphic solution with the initial condition $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ of the system (3. 4. 0), moreover this choice of the initial condition enables us to satisfy

det $P_0(0) \neq 0$.

If we suppose that we have found $\mu_0(t), \mu_1(t), \dots, \mu_{k-1}(t)$, which are holomorphic at the origin, then we can find a solution of (3.4.k) which is holomorphic at the origin, for $g_k(t, \mu)$ is a row vector with components holomorphic functions at the origin, and the first component of $g_k(t, \mu)A_0$ is zero at t=0. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

4. Proof of Theorem 2. We put $b_1 = \varepsilon \sigma$, $b_2 = t$ in (2.8) with an undetermined formal series (1.6) and using Lemma

 $\mu t A_0 + \varepsilon (n\mu' A_0^{n-1} + \mu \Psi_n(A_0)) + \varepsilon^2 f_n(t, \mu, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon \sigma \mu + \varepsilon t \mu' + \mu t A.$ Subtracting $\mu t A_0$ from both sides,

(4.1)
$$\mu'(nA_0^{n-1}-t) = -\mu(\psi_n(A_0)-\sigma-tA_1)+\varepsilon(-f_n+\mu t\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}A_k\varepsilon^k).$$

The calculation of $\psi_n(A_0)$ follows from the expression

$$A_{0}^{k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_{n-k} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \\ 0 & \vdots & & \\ \vdots & & tE_{k} & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ 1 & k & (n-k-1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n-k \\ \vdots \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$

We have

$$(A_0^{k})'A_0^{n-k-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & E_k \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_0^{k}A_1A_0^{n-k-1})_{t=0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_{n-k} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A_1(0) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_{k+1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_k^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$A_{k}^{*} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{k+1,1} \cdots a_{k+1,k+1} \\ \cdots \\ a_{n,1} \cdots a_{n,k+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

K. OKUBO

[Vol. 37,

when (j, k) element of $A_1(0)$ is denoted by a_{jk} . So, at the turning point $t=0, \psi_n(A_0)$ is a triangular matrix, i.e., all the elements below the main diagonal are zero. And the diagonal elements are accordingly eigenvalues of $\psi_n(A_0)$ at the origin, they are

$$(a_{n,1}, a_{n,1}+1, \cdots, a_{n,1}+(n-1)).$$

The coefficient matrix on the left-hand side of (4.1) is

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} -t & 0 & \cdots & n \\ 0 & (n-1)t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (n-1)t \\ & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & (n-1)t \end{pmatrix}.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1, we substitute

$$\mu(t,\varepsilon) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mu_j(t) \varepsilon^j$$

into (4.1) to have an infinite sequence of systems of differential equations.

(4.2.0)

 $\mu_0' H(t) = \mu_0 G(t),$ $\mu_k' H(t) = \mu_k G(t) + \sigma_k \mu_0 + g_k(t, u),$ (4.2.k)

where

(4.3)
$$G(t) = \sigma_0 E - \psi_n(A_0) + tA_1$$

and σ_k is the constant defined in (1.9); the vector $g_k(t, \mu)$ depends linearly on $\mu_0, \cdots \mu_{k-1}$ and their derivatives. Eigenvalues of G(0) are $\sigma_0 - a_{n,1} - (k-1)$ $k=1, 2, \cdots, n.$

Let us define (4.4)

$$\sigma_0 = a_{n,1}.$$

Then multiplying the matrix

from the right to (4.2.0.), we can diagonalize this system to $t\mu_0' = \mu_0 G^*(t).$ $(4.2.0)^*$ The eigenvalues of the matrix $G^*(0)$ are

$$0, -1/(n-1), \cdots, -1,$$

since the (n, 1) element of G(t) is identically zero.

Suppose we have obtained a sequence of holomorphic solutions $\mu_0(t), \mu_1(t), \dots, \mu_{k-1}(t)$, then in order that the system (4.2.k) has an holomorphic solution, we must determine the constant σ_k so that the first component of

$$\sigma_k \mu_0 + g_k(t, \mu)$$

must be zero for t=0. This choice is possible. Indeed $G^*(0)$ has 0 as an eigenvalue, and $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is the corresponding eigenvector. Consequently, there is a solution such that $\mu_0(0) = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$. This

No. 9] On Certain Reduction Theorems for Systems of Differential Equations 549

completes the proof of Theorem 2.

References

- Langer, R. E.,: The asymptotic solutions of ordinary linear differential equations of the second order, with special reference to a turning point, Transaction of the American Mathematical Society, 67 (1949).
- [2] ——: The solutions of a class of ordinary linear differential equations of the third order in a region containing a multiple turning point, Duke Mathematical Journal, 23 (1956).
- [3] Sibuya, Y.: Sur réduction analytique d'un système d'équations differéntielles ordinaires linéarires contenant un paramètre, Journal of the Faculty of Science, University of Tokyo I, 7 (1958).
- [4] —: On the problem of turning points, MRC Technical Summary Report, 105, Mathematics Research Center, U.S. Army, University of Wisconsin (1959).
- [5] Wasow, W.,: Turning point problems for certain systems of linear differential equations, ibid., 184 (1960).