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1. In a paper [1], the author discussed the characteristic initial value problem for the non-linear wave equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square u \equiv \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{3}^{2}}=f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, u\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in two space variables and established the existence of a generalized solution of (1) satisfying vanishing initial condition. In this note we shall show some differentiability properties of the generalized solution of (1) with vanishing condition. The main aim of this note is, however, to prove the existence of an ordinary (twice continuously differentiable) solution of (1) with vanishing condition. The proofs will be based on a comparison theorem stated in §2.

Notation. ${ }^{1)}$ The letters $x, \xi$, etc. will stand for the points $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right),\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right)$ in the space time of three dimensions, $x_{1}$ corresponding to the time variable and $x_{2}, x_{3}$ corresponding to the space variables. The Lorentz metric associated with (1) is defined as the form

$$
(x, y)=x_{1} y_{1}-x_{2} y_{2}-x_{3} y_{3}
$$

for the scalar product of two vectors $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)$. All metric notions are to be interpreted according to this Lorentz metric. The (Lorentzian) distance between two points $x$ and $\xi$ will always be denoted by $r$, while the distance of a point $x$ from the origin will be denoted by $r_{x}$. The volume element $d \xi_{1} d \xi_{2} d \xi_{3}$ will be abbreviated to $d \xi$.

Let $S$ be the direct characteristic cone of (1) with vertex at the origin and let $\Sigma$ be a (open) space-like surface which, together with S , encloses a domain $D$. Denote by $\mathrm{S}_{\Sigma}$ that (bounded) portion of S which is cut off by $\Sigma$.

For any point $x$ in $D$, denote by $C^{x}$ the retrograde characteristic cone with vertex at $x$. Denote further by $D_{\mathrm{S}}^{x}$ the subdomain of $D$ which is enclosed by $C^{x}$ and S , and denote also by $\mathrm{S}^{x}$ that (bounded) portion of S which is cut off by $C^{x}$. The one dimensional intersection of $C^{x}$ and S will be denoted by $s^{x}$ and its line element by $d s$.

Let $\varphi(x)$ be in $C^{1}\left[\mathrm{~S}_{\Sigma}\right]$ and let $\underline{\omega}(x)$ and $\bar{\omega}(x) \in C[\bar{D}] \frown C^{1}\left[\mathrm{~S}_{\Sigma}\right]^{2)}$ be such that they are expressible in $D^{\smile} \Sigma$ in the form

1) See also [1] or [2].
2) $\bar{D}$ denotes the closure of $D$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{\omega}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{D_{\mathrm{S}}^{x}} \frac{\square \omega(\xi)}{r} d \xi+\omega(0)-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s^{x}} d s \int_{0}^{R_{x}} \frac{d \omega}{d R} R^{-\frac{1}{2}} d R,^{3)} \\
& \bar{\omega}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{D_{\mathrm{S}}^{x}} \frac{\square \bar{\omega}(\xi)}{r} d \xi+\bar{\omega}(0)-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s^{x}} d s \int_{0}^{R_{x}} \frac{d \bar{\omega}}{d R} R^{-\frac{1}{2}} d R \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively, where $\square \underline{\omega}(x)$ and $\square \bar{\omega}(x)$ are in $C[\bar{D}]$.
We assume that the following inequalities hold on $\mathrm{S}_{\Sigma}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\omega}(0) \leq \varphi(0) \leq \bar{\omega}(0), \quad \frac{\partial \underline{\omega}}{\partial \lambda_{x}} \leq \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_{x}} \leq \frac{\partial \bar{\omega}}{\partial \lambda_{x}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{x}$ denotes the generator of S through a point $x$ on $\mathrm{S}_{\Sigma}$ and the differentiation is carried out in the direction of $\lambda_{x}$ toward infinity.

$$
\mathfrak{D}=\{(x, u) ; x \in \bar{D}, \underline{\omega}(x) \leq u \leq \bar{\omega}(x)\} .
$$

2. Let $u(x)$ be a generalized solution in $D$ of equation (1) satisfying the initial condition $u(x)=\varphi(x)$ on $\mathrm{S}_{\Sigma}$. Then, by definition, ${ }^{4} u(x)$ is a continuous solution of the non-linear integral equation

$$
u(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\nu_{\mathrm{S}}^{x}} \frac{f(\xi, u(\xi))}{r} d \xi+\varphi(0)-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s^{x}} d s \int_{0}^{R_{x}} \frac{d \varphi}{d R} R^{-\frac{1}{2}} d R
$$

of the Volterra type.
Hence, comparing this expression with (2) and (3), we can prove the following comparison theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $f(x, u)$ be continuous in a domain $\Delta: x \in D$, $-\infty<u \leq \bar{\omega}(x)$ and let the inequality $f(x, u) \leq \square \bar{\omega}(x)$ hold in $\Delta$.

Assume that $u(x)$ is a generalized solution in $D$ of (1) with the initial condition $u(x)=\varphi(x)$ on $\mathbf{S}_{\Sigma}$ and that $\bar{\omega}(0)>\varphi(0)$. Then the inequality $u(x)<\bar{\omega}(x)$ holds in $\vec{D}$.

Remark. It is evident that a similar theorem holds for $\underline{\omega}(x)$.
3. In this paragraph we shall prove the existence of a unique ordinary solution of (1) with vanishing initial condition. In what follows, we assume that $\underline{\omega}(x)$ and $\bar{\omega}(x)$ satisfy the inequalities (3) with $\varphi(x) \equiv 0$ on $\mathrm{S}_{\Sigma}$ and that $\square \omega(x) \leq 0 \leq \square \bar{\omega}(x)$ in $\bar{D}$.

The following lemma is due to [1].
Lemma 1. If $f(x)$ is in $C[\bar{D}]$, then the function $u(x)$ defined in $D^{\smile} \Sigma$ by the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{D_{\mathrm{S}}^{x}} \frac{f(\xi)}{r} d \xi \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a unique generalized solution in $D$ of the inhomogeneous equation $\square u=f(x)$ with vanishing initial condition.
3) $R=r^{2}$ and $R_{x}=r_{x}^{2}$. The integral is extended over $\mathrm{S}^{x}$.
4) See Definition 1.2 and Corollary 1.2 in [1].

Lemma 2. If $f(x)$ is in $C^{1.1}[\bar{D}]^{5)}$ and $f(x)=0$ on $\mathrm{S}_{\Sigma}$, then the function $u(x)$ defined in $D^{\smile} \Sigma$ by (4) is in $C^{1}[\bar{D}] \frown C^{2}[D]$ and $\partial^{2} u / \partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}$ is expressible in $D$ in the form

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathrm{S}^{x}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_{j}}\langle d \xi\rangle_{i}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{D_{\mathrm{S}}^{x}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial \xi_{j} \partial \xi_{i}} d \xi \quad(i, j=1,2,3)
$$

where $\langle d \xi\rangle_{i}=d \xi_{2} d \xi_{3}(i=1)$ and $\langle d \xi\rangle_{i}=+d \xi_{j} d \xi_{k}$ or $-d \xi_{j} d \xi_{k}$ according as $\xi_{i}<0$ or $\xi_{i}>0 \quad(i \neq 1)$.

Proof. Setting

$$
I^{\alpha} f(x)=\frac{1}{H_{3}(\alpha)} \int_{D_{\mathrm{S}}^{x}} r^{\alpha-3} f(\xi) d \xi
$$

where $H_{3}(\alpha)=\pi^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\alpha-1} \Gamma(\alpha / 2) \Gamma((\alpha-1) / 2)$, and integrating by parts, we have for sufficiently large $\alpha$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} I^{\alpha} f(x)}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} & =\frac{1}{H_{3}(\alpha)} \int_{D_{\mathrm{S}}^{x}} \frac{\partial^{2} r^{\alpha-3}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} f(\xi) d \xi \\
& =\frac{1}{H_{3}(\alpha)} \int_{D_{\mathrm{S}}^{x}} \frac{\partial^{2} r^{\alpha-3}}{\partial \xi_{i} \partial \xi_{j}} f(\xi) d \xi=-\frac{1}{H_{3}(\alpha)} \int_{D_{\mathrm{S}}^{x}} \frac{\partial r^{\alpha-3}}{\partial \xi_{i}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_{j}} d \xi  \tag{5}\\
& =\frac{1}{H_{3}(\alpha)} \int_{\mathrm{S}^{x}} r^{\alpha-3} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_{j}}\langle d \xi\rangle_{i}+\frac{1}{H_{3}(\alpha)} \int_{D_{\mathrm{S}}^{x}} r^{\alpha-3} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial \xi_{j} \partial \xi_{i}} d \xi,
\end{align*}
$$

since $r^{\alpha-3}=\partial r^{\alpha-3} / \partial \xi_{i}=0$ on $C^{x}$ for $\alpha>5$ and, by assumption, $f(x)=0$ on $\mathrm{S}_{\Sigma}$.

Hence the analytic continuation of (5) to $\alpha=2$ yields the desired expression.

Definition. Let $f(x)$ be continuous in $D$. Then $f(x)$ is said to satisfy Condition (B) if the function $u(x)$ defined in $D^{\smile} \Sigma$ by (4) is in $C^{1}[\bar{D}] \frown C^{2}[D]$ and $\|u\|_{D}^{2}<+\infty$.

Remark. It is easily seen that $f(x)$ satisfies Condition (B) if $f(x)$ is in $C^{1.1}[\bar{D}]$ and coincides with a polynomial in $x$ on $\mathrm{S}_{\Sigma}$.

Setting $f(x, u)=g(x, u)+f(x, 0)$, we now make the following
Assumptions. i) $g(x, u)$ is in $C^{2}[\mathfrak{D}]$ and non-decreasing with respect to $u$,
ii) $f(x, 0)$ is in $C[\bar{D}]$ and satisfies Condition (B).

Under Assumptions i), ii), we can prove the following lemmas.
Lemma 3. Let $u(x)$ be a generalized solution of (1) with vanishing condition such that $\|u\|_{D}^{1}<+\infty$. Then the inequality

$$
\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right|,\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}\right|,\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{3}}\right| \leq e^{\alpha x_{1}}+M
$$

holds in $D$, where $\alpha$ is a constant depending only on Assumptions
5) $C^{1.1}[\bar{D}]$ denotes the set of functions in $C^{1}[\bar{D}]$ whose first derivatives satisfy a Lipschitz condition in $\bar{D}$.
i), ii) and $D$, and $M$ is a constant such that $\left|\partial h / \partial x_{i}\right| \leq M$ in $\bar{D}, h(x)$ being the function defined in $D \smile \Sigma$ by (4) with $f(x)$ replaced by $f(x, 0)$.

For the proof, see Lemma 3.5 in [1].
Lemma 4. Let $u(x)$ be a solution in $C^{1}[\bar{D}] \frown C^{2}[D]$ of (1) with vanishing condition such that $\|u\|_{\nu}^{2}<+\infty$.

Then the inequality

$$
\left|\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right| \leq e^{\beta x_{1}}+N \quad(i, j=1,2,3)
$$

holds in $D$, where $\beta$ and $N$ are constants depending only on Assumptions i), ii) and $D$.

Proof. In virtue of Lemma 2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}=\frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathrm{S}^{x} x} \frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial \xi_{j}}+\frac{\partial g}{\partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_{j}}\right)\langle d \xi\rangle_{i} \\
+ & \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{D_{\mathrm{S}}^{x}} \frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial \xi_{i} \partial \xi_{j}}+\frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial \xi_{j} \partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_{i}}+\frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial \xi_{i} \partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_{j}}+\frac{\partial g}{\partial u} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \xi_{i} \partial \xi_{j}}\right) d \xi .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x)=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathrm{s} x} \frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial \xi_{j}}+\frac{\partial g}{\partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_{j}}\right)\langle d \xi\rangle_{i}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(6) is written as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
v(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{D_{\mathrm{S}}^{x}} \frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial \xi_{i} \partial \xi_{j}}+\frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial \xi_{j} \partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_{i}}+\frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial \xi_{i} \partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_{j}}\right.  \tag{8}\\
\left.+\frac{\partial g}{\partial u}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathrm{S}_{\xi}} \frac{1}{r}(\cdots)\langle d \eta\rangle_{i}+\frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial \xi_{i} \partial \xi_{j}}\right)+\frac{\partial g}{\partial u} v(\xi)\right) d \xi .
\end{array}
$$

Hence it follows immediately from Assumptions i), ii) and Lemma 3 that $v(x)$ is a continuous solution in $\bar{D}$ of the integral equation (8) which vanishes on $\mathrm{S}_{\Sigma}$.

Now, by assumption, we can choose $\beta$ so large that the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta^{2} & \geq\left|\frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right|+\left(\left|\frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x_{i} \partial u}\right|+\left|\frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x_{j} \partial u}\right|\right)\left(e^{\alpha x_{1}}+M\right) \\
& +\frac{\partial g}{\partial u}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\int_{\mathrm{s}^{x}} \frac{1}{r}(\cdots)\langle d \xi\rangle_{i}\right|+\left|\frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right|+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

holds in $D$. Then we can take as $\bar{\omega}(x)$ in Theorem 1 the function $e^{\beta x_{1}}$. Hence $v(x) \leq e^{\beta x_{1}}$ in $\bar{D}$. Similarly $v(x) \geq-e^{\beta x_{1}}$ in $\bar{D}$. It thus follows from (7) and Lemma 3 that

$$
\left|\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right| \leq e^{\beta x_{1}}+N \quad(i, j=1,2,3)
$$

where $N$ is a constant depending only on Assumptions i), ii) and $D$.
Now we can prove the
Theorem 2. Let $f(x, u)$ satisfy Assumptions i), ii) and let the
inequality $\square \underline{\omega}(x) \leq f(x, u) \leq \square \bar{\omega}(x)$ hold in $\mathfrak{D}$.
Then there exists a unique solution in $C^{1}[\bar{D}] \frown C^{2}[D]$ of (1) with. vanishing initial condition.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be the family of all functions $v(x)$ in $C^{1}[\bar{D}]$ such that $v(x)$ satisfies the inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega(x) \leq v(x) \leq \bar{\omega}(x) \\
& \left|\partial v(x) / \partial x_{i}\right| \leq e^{\alpha x_{1}}+M \\
& \left|\partial v(x) / \partial x_{i}-\partial v\left(x^{\prime}\right) / \partial x_{i}\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left(e^{\beta x_{1}}+N\right)\left(\left|x_{1}-x_{1}^{\prime}\right|+\left|x_{2}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right|+\left|x_{3}-x_{3}^{\prime}\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

in $\bar{D}$, where $x_{1} \geq x_{1}^{\prime}$, and $v(x)=0$ on $\mathrm{S}_{\Sigma}$.
Then, obviously, $\mathfrak{F}$ is not empty. It is also evident that $\mathfrak{F}$ is a compact convex set in the Banach space $C^{1}[\bar{D}] .{ }^{6)}$

Since $v(x)$ is in $C[\bar{D}]$, it follows from Lemma 1 that there exists a unique generalized solution $u(x)$ in $D$ of the equation $\square u=f(x, v(x))$ with vanishing condition. Thus we can define a mapping $T: u(x)$ $=T(v(x))$. Then it is seen from Lemmas 2, 3 and 4 that $u(x)$ is in $C^{1}[\bar{D}] \frown C^{2}[D]$ and that $T(\mathfrak{F}) \subset \mathfrak{F}$ for sufficiently large $\alpha, \beta, M$ and $N$. Continuity of $T$ in the Banach space $C^{1}[\bar{D}]$ is obvious.

Hence it follows from the well-known fixed point theorem of Schauder-Tychonoff that there is a function $u(x) \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $u(x)$ $=T(u(x))$. Then $u(x)$ is a solution in $C^{1}[\bar{D}] \frown C^{2}[D]$ of (1) with vanishing condition.

The uniqueness follows from Corollary 2.3 in [1].
4. Let $f(x, u)$ be defined in $\mathfrak{D}$ and non-decreasing with respect to $u$. Then, if $f(x, u)$ is sufficiently differentiable and $\partial^{|\alpha|} f(x, 0) / \partial x^{\alpha}=0^{7)}$ on $\mathrm{S}_{\Sigma}(|\alpha|=0,1,2, \cdots)$, we can prove, by repeating the above argument, the existence of a unique sufficiently differentiable solution $u(x)$ of (1) with vanishing condition. In fact, $\partial^{|\alpha|} u / \partial x^{\alpha}(|\alpha|=1,2, \cdots)$ is then a solution in $D$ of the equation of the form

$$
\square v=\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} v+\sum_{1 \leq \mid \beta]<|\alpha|} g_{\beta}(x, u) \frac{\partial^{|\beta|} u}{\partial x^{\beta}}+\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f}{\partial x^{\alpha}}
$$

satisfying vanishing initial condition. Thus, in particular, we have proved the

Theorem 3. Let $f(x, u)$ be a function in $C^{\infty}[\mathfrak{D}]$ satisfying the conditions: i) $f(x, u)$ is non-decreasing with respect to $u$, ii) $\partial^{|\alpha|} f(x, 0) / \partial x^{\alpha}=0$ on $\mathrm{S}_{\Sigma}(|\alpha|=0,1,2, \cdots)$. Further let the inequality $\square \underline{\omega}(x) \leq f(x, u) \leq \square \bar{\omega}(x)$ hold in $\mathfrak{D}$.
6) $C^{1}[\bar{D}]$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{\bar{D}}^{1}=\max _{x \in \bar{D}}|u(x)|+\sum_{j=1}^{3} \max _{x \in \bar{D}}\left|\partial u / \partial x_{j}\right| \quad\left(u \in C^{1}[\bar{D}]\right) .
$$

7) $\partial x^{\alpha}=\partial x^{\alpha_{1}} \partial x^{\alpha_{2}} \partial x^{\alpha_{3}}$ and $|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}$.

Then there exists a unique solution in $C^{\infty}[\bar{D}]$ of (1) with vanishing initial condition.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 3 is the following Corollary. Let $f(x, u)$ be a function in $C^{\infty}[\mathfrak{D}]$ satisfying the conditions: i) $f(x, u)$ is non-decreasing with respect to $u$, ii) $\partial^{|\alpha|+\beta} f(x, u) / \partial x^{\alpha} \partial u^{\beta}=0$ for $x \in \mathbf{S}_{\Sigma}$ and $\omega(x) \leq u \leq \bar{\omega}(x) \quad(|\alpha|, \beta=0,1,2, \cdots)$. Further let the inequality $\square \underline{\omega}(x) \leq f(x, u) \leq \square \bar{\omega}(x)$ hold in $\mathfrak{D}$ and $\square \underline{\omega}(x) \leq 0 \leq \square \bar{\omega}(x)$ in $\bar{D}$.

Then, if $\varphi(x)$ is a polynomial, there exists a unique solution in $C^{\infty}[\bar{D}]$ of (1) with the initial condition $u(x)=\varphi(x)$ on $\mathbf{S}_{\Sigma}$.
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