# 34. On the Behaviour of Analytic Functions on the Ideal Boundary. I 

By Zenjiro Kuramochi<br>Mathematical Institute, Hokkaido University<br>(Comm. by K. Kunugi, m.J.A., April 12, 1962)

The present paper is an application of the previous papers "Potentials on Riemann surfaces" and "Singular points of Riemann surfaces" ${ }^{1)}$ which we abbreviate by $P$ and $S$ respectively. Notations and terminologies are to be referred to them.

Let $R$ be a Riemann surface with positive boundary. Let $R_{n}(n=0,1,2, \cdots)$ be an exhaustion with compact relative boundary $\partial R_{n}$. Let $N(z, p)$ be an $N$-Green's function. We suppose that $N$ Martin's topology is defined on $R-R_{0}+B^{N}$, where $B^{N}$ is the ideal boundary of $R$ obtained by the completion of $R-R_{0}$ with respect to $N$-Martin's topology. We denote by $B_{1}^{N}$ the set of $N$-minimal boundary point. Then $B_{0}^{N}=B^{N}-B_{1}^{N}$ is an $F_{\sigma}^{\prime}$ set of capacity zero. Let $G$ be a domain ${ }^{2)}$ in $R-R_{0}$ and let ${ }_{c G} N(z, p): p \in B_{1}^{N}$ be the least positive superharmonic function in $R-R_{0}$ with ${ }_{C G} N(z, p)=N(z, p)$ on $C G\left[{ }_{C G} N(z, p)\right.$ $=\lim _{M=\infty} U^{M}(z)$, where $U^{M}(z)$ is a harmonic function in $G$ such that $U^{M}(z)=\min (M, N(z, p))$ on $C G$ and $U^{M}(z)$ has M.D.I. (Minimal Dirichlet Integral) over G]. If $N(z, p)>_{C G} N(z, p)$, we say that $G$ contains $p$ $N$-approximately and denote it by $G^{\mathcal{N}} p$.

Let $G(z, p)$ be a Green's function of $R$. Put $K(z, p)=\frac{G(z, p)}{G\left(p_{0}, p\right)}$, where $p_{0}$ is a fixed point. We suppose that $K$-Martin's topology is defined in $R+B^{K}$ by use of $K(z, p)$, where $B^{K}$ is the ideal boundary. Let $B_{1}^{K}$ be the set of $K$-minimal boundary points of $R$. Then $B_{0}^{K}$ $B^{K}-B_{1}^{K}$ is an $F_{\sigma}$ set of harmonic measure zero. Let $G$ be a domain in $R$ and let $K_{C G}(z, p)$ be the least positive superharmonic function in $R$ with $K_{C G}(z, p)=K(z, p): p \in B_{1}^{K}$ on $C G$. If $K(z, p)>K_{C G}(z, p)$, we say that $G$ contains $p K$-approximately and denote it by $G^{\tilde{K}} p$. Then we have the following

Lemma 1. a). 1). If $G_{i}{ }^{N} p: i=1,2, \cdots, l, \bigcap^{\ell} G_{i} \stackrel{N}{\ni} p$. 2). If $G^{N} \not \ni p$, $(\operatorname{int} C G) \stackrel{N}{\ni} p .3) . E\left[z \in R+B^{N}, \operatorname{dist}(z, p)<\frac{1}{n}\right]=v_{n}(p)^{\ni} p$.

[^0]b). 1). If $G_{i}{ }^{K} p: i=1,2, \cdots, l, \bigcap^{\imath} G_{i}{ }^{K} p$.
2). If $G{ }^{\frac{K}{\ni}} p$, (int $C G \stackrel{K}{\ni} p$.
3). $E\left[z \in R+B^{K}, \operatorname{dist}(z, p)<\frac{1}{n}\right]=v_{n}(p)^{\frac{K}{\ni}} p$.

Proof of a). Case 1). $\quad p$ is singular: $\operatorname{Cap}(p)>0$. In this case the proof of a) is given in Theorem 8 of $S$.

Case 2). $\quad p$ is not singular: $\operatorname{Cap}(p)=0$ and $p \in B_{1}^{N}$. At first we show ${ }_{p}\left({ }_{c G} N(z, p)=0\right.$. Since $p$ is one point $\in B_{1}^{N},{ }_{p}\left({ }_{c G} N(z, p)\right)=a_{0} N(z, p)$ : $a_{0} \geqq 0$. Since $\operatorname{Cap}(p)=0, N(z, p)-{ }_{p}\left({ }_{c G} N(z, p)\right)=U_{0}(z)$ is superharmonic by Theorem 6 of $P$ and by mass of $U_{0}(z) \leqq 1$ we have $D(\min (M, U(z))$ $\leqq 2 \pi M$. Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{c G} N(z, p)=a_{0} N(z, p)+U_{0}(z),{ }_{p} U_{0}(z)=a_{1} N(z, p), \\
& \quad U_{n}(z)=a_{n+1} N(z, p)+U_{n+1}(z), \text { where }{ }_{p}\left(U_{n}(z)\right)=a_{n+1} N(z, p): n=1,2, \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $D\left(\min \left(M, U_{n}(z)\right) \leqq 2 \pi M, U_{n}(z)\right.$ is superharmonic, $U_{n}(z) \downarrow U_{\infty}(z)$ and $U_{\infty}(z)$ is also superharmonic by Theorem 4 of $P$. By $N(z, p)$ $\geqq{ }_{c G} N(z, p)=\sum^{\infty} a_{i} N(z, p)+U_{\infty}(z)$ we have $\sum^{\infty} a_{i} \leqq 1$ and $\lim _{n} a_{n}=0$ and

$$
{ }_{p}\left(U_{\infty}(z)\right)=\lim a_{n} N(z, p)=0 .
$$

Suppose $G \stackrel{N}{\ni} p$. Then $N(z, p)>_{G G} N(z, p)$ and $\sum^{\infty} a_{i}<1$, whence $U_{\infty}(z)>0$. Now $U_{\infty}(z)=\left(1-\sum^{\infty} a_{i}\right) N(z, p)$ on $C G$ and $U_{\infty}(z)$ is superharmonic. On the other hand, ${ }_{C G} N(z, p)$ is the least positive superharmonic function with ${ }_{{ }_{G}} N(z, p)=N(z, p)$ on $C G$. Hence $U_{\infty}(z)$ $\geqq\left(1-\sum^{\infty} a_{i}\right)_{C G} N(z, p)$ and ${ }_{p}\left({ }_{c G} N(z, p)\right)=0$. Suppose $G_{i}{ }^{N} p$. Then ${ }_{p}\left(c G_{i} N(z, p)\right)=0$ and ${ }_{p}\left(\sum_{i} c c_{i} N(z, p)\right) \leqq \sum_{i}{ }_{p}\left(c G_{i} N(z, p)\right)=0$. Hence $N(z, p)$ $={ }_{p} N(z, p)>_{p}\left(\bar{\Sigma}_{i} G_{i} N(z, p)\right)$, whence $N(z, p)>_{\Sigma \sigma_{i}} N(z, p)$. Thus $\bigcap^{i} G_{i}{ }^{N} p$. If $G \stackrel{N}{\ni} p,{ }_{p}\left(c a \cap{ }_{p} N(z, p)\right) \leqq{ }_{p}\left({ }_{c G} N(z, p)\right)=0$. Similarly by $\left.\operatorname{int} C G{ }^{N} p_{p}(G \cap p) N(z, p)\right)$ $=0$. Now $N(z, p)={ }_{p} N(z, p)={ }_{p \cap c G} N(z, p)+{ }_{p \cap G} N(z, p)=0$. This is a contradiction. Hence we have a). 2). The proof of a). 3) is given in Theorem 19 of $S$.

Proof of b). Let $U(z)$ be a positive superharmonic function and let $F$ be a closed set of $B^{K}$. Then $U(z)-U_{F}(z)$ is superharmonic i.e. $U(z)-U_{p}(z)$ is superharmonic ( $F$ is not necessarily a closed set of harmonic measure zero). Hence we have b). 1 and b). 2 similarly as a). The proof of b). 3) is given in Theorem 3 of $S$.

Let $w=f(z): z \in R$ be an analytic function whose values fall on the $w$-Riemann sphere. If the spherical area $A(f(z))$ of the image of $R$ by $w=f(z)$ is finite, we call $f(z)$ a function of $D$-type. Map the universal covering surface $R^{\infty}$ of $R$ onto $|\zeta|<1$ conformally by $z=z(\zeta)$. If the function $w=f(z(\zeta))=f(\zeta)$ has angular limits a.e. on $|\zeta|=1$, we call $f(z)$ a function of $F$-type. It is well known, if $w=f(z)$ is of bounded type (the characteristic function of $T(z)$ of $f(z)$ is
bounded), $T(\zeta) \leqq T(z)^{3)}$ and $w=f(\zeta)$ is of $F$-type, where $T(\zeta)$ is the characteristic of $w=f(\zeta)$. Put $M^{N}(p)=\bigcap \overline{f\left(G_{i}\right)}: G_{i}{ }^{N} p$ and $M^{K}(p)$ $=\bigcap \overline{f\left(G_{i}\right)}: G_{i}{ }^{K} p$, where the intersection is taken over all domains containing $N(K)$-approximately. We see that by Lemma 1 that $M^{N}(p)\left(M^{K}(p)\right)$ is closed and consists of only one point or of a continuum. Then we have the following

Theorem a). Denote by $S^{N}\left(S^{K}\right)$ the set of points such that $M^{N}(p)\left(M^{K}(p)\right)$ is a continuum. Then if $w=f(z)$ is of $D$-type, $S^{N}+S_{0}^{N}$ does not contain any closed set of positive capacity.
b). If $w=f(z)$ is of $F$-type, $S^{K}+B_{0}^{K}$ does not contain any closed set of positive harmonic measure.

Lemma 2. a). Let $G$ and $G^{\prime}: G \supset G^{\prime}$ be domains such that $D\left(\omega^{*}(z)\right)<\infty$, where $\omega^{*}(z)$ is a harmonic function in $G-G^{\prime}$ such that $\omega^{*}(z)=0$ on $\partial G, \omega^{*}(z)=1$ on $\partial G^{\prime}$ and $\omega^{*}(z)$ has M.D.I. Let $F$ be a closed subset of $B^{N}$. Then we can define C.P. of $F \cap G^{\prime}$ relative to $G: \omega\left(F \cap G^{\prime}, z, G\right)=\lim \lim \omega_{n, n+i}(z)$, where $\omega_{n, n+i}(z)$ is a harmonic function in $\left(G \cap R_{n+i}\right)-\left(F_{n} \cap G^{\prime}\right): F_{n}=E\left[z \in R+B^{N}\right.$, dist $\left.(F, z) \leqq \frac{1}{n}\right]$ such that $\omega_{n, n+i}(z)=0$ on $\partial G \cap R_{n+i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \omega_{n, n+i}(z)=0$ on $\left(\partial R_{n+i} \cap G\right)-\left(F_{n} \cap G^{\prime}\right)$, $\omega_{n, n+i}(z)=1$ on $F_{n} \cap G^{\prime}$. If $\omega\left(F \cap G^{\prime}, z, G\right)>0, G$ contains at least one point $p \in F \cap B_{1}^{N} N$-approximately.
b). Let $G$ be a domain and let $F$ be a closed set of $B^{K}$. If $w(z, F, G)=\lim _{n} \lim _{i} w_{n, n+i}(z)>0, G$ contains at least one point $p \in B_{1}^{K} \cap F$ $K$-approximately, where $w_{n, n+i}(z)$ is a harmonic function in $\left(G \cap R_{n+i}\right)$ $-F_{n}$ such that $w_{n, n+i}(z)=0$ on $\left(\partial G \cap R_{n+i}\right)+\partial R_{n+i}-F_{n}$ and $w_{n, n+i}(z)=1$ on $F_{n}=E\left[z \in R+B^{K}\right.$, $\left.\operatorname{dist}(z, F) \leqq \frac{1}{n}\right]$.

Proof of a). For the simplicity put $\omega(z)=\omega\left(F \cap G^{\prime}, z, G\right)$. Assume $\omega(z)>0$. Put $D=D(\omega(z))(<\infty)$. Then $\omega(z)$ has M.D.I. over $\Omega=E\left[z, \delta_{1}<\omega(z)<\delta_{2}\right]: 0<\delta_{1}<\delta_{2}<1$ and there exists a regular niveau curve $C_{\delta}$ for almost all $\delta: C_{\delta}=E[z, \omega(z)=\delta]$. Put $U(z)={ }_{c G} \omega(z, F)$ $(\omega(F, z)$ is C.P. of $F$ ). Then $U(z)$ has M.D.I. over $G$, whence $U(z)$ has M.D.I. over $\Omega$. Hence $U(z)=\lim U_{n}(z)$, where $U_{n}(z)$ is a harmonic function in $R_{n} \cap \Omega$ such that $U_{n}(z)=U(z)$ on $C_{\dot{\delta}_{i}}(i=1,2) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} U_{n}(z)=0$ on $\Omega \bigcap \partial R_{n}$, where $C_{\delta_{i}}$ is regular. Then by the Green's formula

$$
\int_{\sigma_{\delta_{1}}} U_{n}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \omega_{n}(z) d s=\int_{\sigma_{\delta_{\delta_{2}}}} U_{n}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \omega_{n}(z) d s,
$$

where $\omega_{n}(z)$ is a harmonic function in $\Omega \bigcap R_{n}$ such that $\omega_{n}(z)=\delta_{i}$ on

[^1]$C_{\delta_{i}}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial n} \omega_{n}(z)=0$ on $\partial R_{n} \cap \Omega$ and $\lim _{n} \omega_{n}(z)=\omega(z)$. Let $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then by Theorem 3 of $P$ we have $\int_{c_{\delta_{1}}} U(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \omega(z) d s=\int_{c_{\delta_{2}}} U(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \omega(z) d s$, $D=\int_{c_{\delta_{i}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \omega(z) d s: i=1,2$. Now $U(z)<1$ in $R-R_{0}$, whence there exists a positive number $\varepsilon_{0}$ such that
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{c_{\delta_{1}}} U(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \omega(z) d s<D\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}\right) \text {. Let } \delta_{2} \rightarrow 1 \text {. Then } \\
& \int_{\delta_{\delta_{2}}} U(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \omega(z) d s<D\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}\right)<\int_{\delta_{\delta_{2}}} \omega(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \omega(z) d s=D_{\delta_{2}} \text { for } \delta_{2}>1-\varepsilon_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Whence

$$
{ }_{c G} \omega(F, z)<\omega\left(F \cap G^{\prime}, z, G\right) \leqq \omega(F, z) \text { in } G .
$$

Now by Theorem 13 of $P \omega(F, z)$ is represented by a positive mass on $F \cap B_{1}^{N}: \omega(F, z)=\int N(z, p) d \mu(p)$. And by Theorem 4 of $P$ ${ }_{(C G)_{n}} \omega(F, z) \uparrow \omega(F, z)$, where $(C G)_{n}=C G \cap R_{n}$. Since $N(z, p)$ is uniformly continuous with respect to $p$ in every compact set not containing $p$, $N\left(z, p_{i}\right) \rightarrow N(z, p)$ on $(C G)_{n}$ as $p_{i} \rightarrow p$. Now $N\left(z, p_{i}\right)$ and $N(z, p)$ are harmonic in $R-R_{0}-(C G)_{n}$, whence by the $\stackrel{*}{m}$ aximum principle

$$
\max _{z \in R-R_{0}-(C G)_{n}}\left|N\left(z, p_{i}\right)-N(z, p)\right| \leqq \max _{z \in \partial(C G)_{n}}\left|N\left(z, p_{i}\right)-N(z, p)\right| .
$$

Hence we can find a sequence of linear forms $V_{m}(z)=\sum^{m} c_{i} N\left(z, p_{i}\right)$ : $c_{i}>0: m=1,2, \cdots$ such that $V_{m}(z) \rightarrow \omega(F, z)$ uniformly on $(C G)_{n}$ and ${ }_{(C G)_{n}} V_{m}(z)=\sum^{m} c_{i(G G) n} N(z, p) \rightarrow \int{ }_{(C G)_{n}} N(z, p) d \mu(p)$ uniformly on $(C G)_{n}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, whence by the $\stackrel{*}{\text { maximum principle }}{ }_{(C G)_{n}} V_{m}(z) \rightarrow \int_{(C G)_{n}} N(z, p) d \mu(p)$ not only on $(C G)_{n}$ but also on $(C G)_{n}+\left(R-R_{0}-(C G)_{n}\right)=R-R_{0}$, because ${ }_{(C G) n}\left(\sum^{m} c_{i} N\left(z, p_{i}\right)\right)=\sum^{m} c_{i(G G) n} N\left(z, p_{i}\right)$ is clear. Let $m \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$
{ }_{(C G)_{n}} \omega(F, z)_{(C G)_{n}}\left(\int N(z, p) d \mu(p)\right)=\int_{(C G)_{n}} N(z, p) d \mu(p)
$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then by ${ }_{(c G)} N(z, p) \uparrow{ }_{c G} N(z, p)$ we have ${ }_{c G} \omega(F z$, $=\int_{c G} N(z, p) d \mu(p)$ and

$$
\omega(F, z)=\int N(z, p) d \mu(p)>\int_{C G} N(z, p) d \mu(p)={ }_{c G} \omega(F, z) .
$$

Hence there exists at least a point $p \in F \cap B_{1}^{N}$ such that $N(z, p)>{ }_{c G} N(z, p)$, i.e. $G \stackrel{N}{\ni} p$.

Proof of $b)$. Assume $w(F, z, G)>0$. Put $w(\partial G, z, G)=1-w(z, G$ $\left.\cap B^{K}, G\right)(\leqq 1-w(F, z, G))$. Put $G_{1-\varepsilon}=E[z \in G, w(F, z, G)<1-\varepsilon]$ and $G_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}=E[z \in G, w(\partial G, z, G)>\varepsilon]: \frac{1}{3}>\varepsilon>0$. Then by P.H. 3 of $P w(F$ $\cap G_{1-\varepsilon}, z, G,=0=w\left(G_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \cap B^{K}, z, G\right) \geqq w\left(F \cap G_{s}^{\prime}, z, G\right)$. Hence by $w\left(F \cap\left(G_{1-\varepsilon}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.+G_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right), z, G\right) \leqq w\left(F \cap G_{1-\varepsilon}, z, G\right)+w\left(F \cap G_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, z, G\right)=0$ and by $w\left(F \cap\left(C\left(G_{1-\varepsilon}\right.\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.\left.+G_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}\right)\right), z, G\right)+w\left(F \cap\left(G_{1-e}, z, G_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}\right), G\right) \geqq w(F, z, G)>0$ we have $w\left(F \cap C G_{1-\mathrm{e}}\right.$ $\left.\cap C G_{e}^{\prime}, z, G\right)>0$ and $C G_{1-\varepsilon} \cap C G_{s}^{\prime}$ is non void. Whence $w(F, z)-w_{c G}(F, z)$ $\geqq w(F, z, G)-w(\partial G, z, G) \geqq 1-2 \varepsilon>0$ in $C G_{1-\varepsilon} \cap C G_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$. Hence $w(F, z)$ $\geqq w_{C G}(F, z)$. Thus we have (b) as above.

We denote by $\delta M(p)$ the spherical diameter of $M(p)$. Let $C$ be a circle in the $w$-sphere. Then $f^{-1}(C)$ consists of enumerably infinite number of domains. Then by the definition of $\delta M(p)$ we have easily the following

Lemma 3. If dia $(C)<\delta_{0}$, any domain of $f^{-1}(C)$ does not contain $N($ or $K)$ approximately a point such that $\delta M^{N}(p)\left(\delta M^{K}(p)\right)>\delta_{0}$.

We have by Lemma 1 the following
Lemma 4. If $M(p)=q$ (one point), there exists an asymptotic path $L$ tending to $p$ on which $f(z) \rightarrow q$ as $z \rightarrow p$.

Lemma 5. Let $G$ be a domain in $R$. Then $E\left[p \in B_{1}^{L}, p \notin G\right]$ is a $G_{o}$ set in $B_{1}^{L}$. Let $C_{n, i}(i=1,2, \cdots)$ be a system of spherical circles with radius $\frac{1}{n}$ such that any circle with radius $\frac{1}{3 n}$ is contained in a certain $C_{n, i}$. Put $T_{n, i}^{L}=E\left[p \in B_{1}^{L}, p \stackrel{L}{\ddagger}\right.$ any component of $\left.f^{-1}\left(C_{n, i}\right)\right]$ and $S_{n}^{L}=E\left[p \in B_{1}^{L}, \delta M(p) \geqq \frac{1}{n}\right]$. Then $\bigcup_{n} S_{n}^{L}=\bigcup_{n}\left(\bigcap_{i} T_{n, i}^{L}\right)$ is a $G_{\delta \sigma}$ set in $B_{1}^{L}$, where $L=N$ or $K$.

Proof. By the compactness of $(C G)_{n}(C G)_{n} N\left(z, p_{i}\right) \rightarrow_{(O G)_{n}} N(z, p)$ as $p_{i} \rightarrow p$ and by ${ }_{(c G)_{n}} N(z, p) \uparrow{ }_{c G} N(z, p)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty, N(z, p)-{ }_{C G} N(z, p)$ is upper semicontinuous and $E\left[p \in B_{1}^{L}, N(z, p)-{ }_{c G} N(z, p)=0\right]$ is a $G_{\dot{\delta}}$ set in $B$. Now $f^{-1}\left(C_{n, i}\right)$ consists of at most enumerably infinite number of domains. Hence $T_{n, i}^{N}$ is also a $G_{\delta}$ set. Clearly by $\operatorname{dia}\left(C_{n, i}\right)=\frac{1}{n}$ $S_{n} \subset \bigcap_{i} T_{3 n, i}$. Next assume $p \notin S_{3 n}^{N}$. Then $\delta M(p)<\frac{1}{3 n}$ and there exists a domain $G$ such that $\operatorname{dia}(f(G))=\frac{1}{3 n}, G_{n, i}{ }^{N} p$ and a circle $C_{n, i} \supset f(G)$. Hence $p \stackrel{N}{\oplus} \bigcap_{i} T_{n, i}$ and $S_{3 n}^{N} \supset \bigcap_{i} T_{n, i}^{N}$ and $\cup S_{n}^{N}=\bigcup_{n}\left(\bigcap_{i} T_{n, i}\right)$. Above facts for $K(z, p)$ are proved similarly.

Proof of the theorem. Proof of a). Assume that there exists a number $n_{0}$ such that $\bigcap_{i} T_{n_{0}, i}^{N}+B_{0}^{N}$ has a closed set $F$ of positive capacity. Let $C_{i}$ be a circle with radius $\frac{1}{5 n_{0}}$ such that $\sum_{i} \operatorname{int} C_{i}$ covers the $w$-sphere. Then by $\sum_{i, j} G_{i, j} \supset R-R_{0}$ ( $G_{i, j}$ is a component of $\left.f^{-1}\left(C_{i}\right)\right)$ and by $\sum_{i, j} \omega\left(G \cap G_{i, j} \cap F^{\prime}, z\right) \geqq \omega(F, z)$, there exists at least one domain $G$ of $G_{i, j}$ such that $\omega(F \cap G, z)>0$, where $\omega(F \cap G, z)$ is C.P. of $F \cap G$. Let $\widetilde{C}_{i}$ be a spherical circle with the same centre as that of $C_{i}$ and with radius $\frac{2}{5 n_{0}}$. Now by a rotation of the $w$-sphere we
can suppose without loss of generality that the closure of $\widetilde{C}_{i}$ does not contain the north pole of the sphere. Let $\widetilde{G}$ be a component of $f^{-1}\left(\widetilde{C}_{i}\right)$ containing $G$. Let $U(z)$ be a continuous function in the $w$ sphere snch that $U(z)$ is harmonic in $\widetilde{C}_{i}-C_{i}, U(z)=0$ on the complementary set of $\widetilde{C}_{i}$ and $U(w)=1$ on the closure of $C_{i}$. Then $\max$ $\left(\left|\frac{\partial U(w)}{\partial u}\right|,\left|\frac{\partial U(w)}{\partial v}\right|\right)=M<\infty: w=u+i v$. Consider the function $U(z)$ $=U\left(f^{-1}(w)\right): z \in R-R_{0}$. Then $D(U(z))<M^{2} A K$, where $A$ is the spherical area of the image of $R$ and $K=$ maximum of $\frac{\text { area element }}{\text { spherical area element }}$ in $\overline{\widetilde{C}}_{i}$ (clearly $K<\infty$ ). Let $\omega_{n}(z)$ be a continuous function in $R-R_{0}$ such that $\omega_{n}(z)$ is harmonic in $R-R_{0}-\left(F_{n} \cap G\right)\left(F_{n}=E\left[z \in R+B^{N}\right.\right.$, dist $\left.\left.(z, F) \leqq-\frac{1}{n}\right]\right), \omega_{n}(z)=0$ on $\partial R_{0}, \omega_{n}(z)=1$ on $\left(F_{n} \cap G\right)$ and has M.D.I. Then there exists a number $n^{\prime}$ such that $D\left(\omega_{n}(z)\right)<L<\infty$ for $n \geqq n^{\prime}$ and $0<\omega(F \cap G, z)=\lim _{n} \omega_{n}(z)$. Put $\widetilde{\omega}_{n}(z)=\min \left(U(z), \omega_{n}(z)\right)$. Then $\widetilde{\omega}_{n}(z)=0$ on $\partial R_{0}+\partial \widetilde{G}, \widetilde{\omega}_{n}(z)=1$ on $\left(F_{n} \cap G\right)$ and $D\left(\widetilde{\omega}_{n}(z)\right) \leqq D\left(\omega_{n}(z)\right)+D(U(z))<L^{\prime}$ $<\infty$ for $n \geqq n^{\prime}$. Let $\widetilde{\widetilde{\omega}}_{n}(z)$ be a harmonic function in $\widetilde{G}-\left(F_{n}^{\prime} \cap G\right)$ such that $\widetilde{\widetilde{\omega}}_{n}(z)=0$ on $\partial \widetilde{G}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\omega}}(z)=1$ on $F_{n} \cap G$ and has M.D.I. Then by the Dirichlet principle

$$
0<D(\omega(F \cap G, z)) \leqq D\left(\widetilde{\widetilde{\omega}}_{n}(z)\right) \leqq D\left(\widetilde{\omega}_{n}(z)\right)<L^{\prime} \quad \text { for } n \geqq n^{\prime} .
$$

Hence $\lim _{n} \widetilde{\widetilde{\omega}}_{n}(z)=\omega(F \cap G, z, \widetilde{G})>0$. Hence by Lemma $2 \widetilde{G}$ contains at least one point $p \in F \cap B_{1}^{N} N$-approximately, on the hand, by Lemma 3 $G$ does not contain any point of $F$ by $\operatorname{dia}(\widetilde{C})=\frac{4}{5 n_{0}}<\delta M(p) \geqq \frac{1}{n_{0}}$. This is a contradiction. Hence we have a) by Lemma 5.

Proof of b). Assume $\bigcap_{i} T_{n_{0}, i}^{K}+B_{0}^{K}$ has a closed set $F$ of positive harmonic measure. Then similarly as above, we can find domains $G$ and $\widetilde{G}$ such that $w(F \bigcap G, z)>0$ and $\operatorname{dist}(f(G), f(\partial \widetilde{G})) \geqq \frac{1}{5 n_{0}}>0$.
Since $f(\zeta)$ is of $F$-type, we have mes $E>0$ by $w(F \cap G, z)>0$, where $E$ is the set on $|\zeta|=1$ on which $f(\zeta)$ has angular limits contained in $\overline{f( } \bar{G})$ and $w(F \cap G, z)=1$ a.e. on $E$. Now $f(\partial \widetilde{G})$ does not tend to $E$ by $\operatorname{dist}(f(G), f(\widetilde{G}))>0$ and we see $\omega(F \cap G, z, \widetilde{G})>0$. Thus we have b) similarly as a).


[^0]:    1) Z. Kuramochi: Potentials on Riemann surfaces; Singular points: Journ. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. 14 (1962).
    2) We suppose that $\partial G$ consists of at most enumerably infinite number of analytic curves clustering nowhere in $R$.
[^1]:    3) Z. Kuramochi: Dirichlet problem on Riemann surfaces. 1, Proc. Japan Acad., 30, 731-735 (1954).
