

## 69. Projective Limits and Metric Spaces with $u$ -Extension Properties

By Masahiko ATSUJI

Senshu University, Tokyo

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., July 12, 1962)

A metric space is said to *have a  $u$ -extension property* if any uniformly continuous real map defined on any subspace can always be extended uniformly over the whole space. Corson and Isbell [6] proved the theorem that a metric space has a  $u$ -extension property if and only if its completion is a projective limit [5] of fine metric spaces. We know [1, 3] some conditions characterizing a metric space with a  $u$ -extension property. Using the conditions and applying the idea of Flachsmeier [7], we are, in this note, going to prove the same theorem with a somewhat simpler projective system.

We know (Theorem 2, [1]) that a *metric complete space  $S$  has a  $u$ -extension property if and only if, for any natural number  $n$ , there is a compact subset  $K_n$  such that for any open set  $G$  containing  $K_n$  there is a natural number  $m$  satisfying  $V_{1/m}^\infty(x) \subset V_{1/n}(x)$  for every point  $x \in G$ , where  $V_{1/n}$  is the entourage  $\{(x, y); d(x, y) < 1/n\}$  of the uniform structure of the space and  $V_{1/m}^\infty(x)$  is the set of all points which are joined with  $x$  by  $V_{1/m}$ -chains.*

$K_n$  in this statement is taken as the set of all points  $x$  satisfying  $V_{1/i}^\infty(x) \subset V_{1/n}(x)$  for any  $i$  [3]. For each  $x \in K_n$ , we take the least natural number  $i(n, x)$  of numbers  $j$  with  $V_{1/j}^\infty(x) \subset V_{1/n}(x)$ , and put

$$H_n(x) = V_{1/i(n, x)}^\infty(x).$$

(1)  $H_m(y) \supset H_n(x)$  if and only if  $H_m(y) \cap H_n(x) \neq \phi$  and  $i(m, y) \leq i(n, x)$ .

In fact, if  $H_m(y) \supset H_n(x)$  and  $i(m, y) > i(n, x)$ , then  $H_n(x) \supset V_{1/i(n, x)}^\infty(y)$ , and so  $V_{1/i(n, x)}^\infty(y) = V_{1/i(m, y)}^\infty(y)$ , which contradicts the definition of  $i(m, y)$ .

Hence there is the greatest  $H_n(y)$  containing  $H_n(x)$  whose  $i(n, y)$  is the least of  $i(n, z)$  with  $H_n(z) \supset H_n(x)$ , such the  $H_n(y)$  is denoted by  $G_n(x)$ .

(2)  $G_n(x) \neq G_n(y)$  implies  $G_n(x) \cap G_n(y) = \phi$ .

We put

$$J_n = K_n - \bigcup_{x \in K_n} G_n(x)$$

and have the equivalent relation  $R_n$  on  $S$  defined by the cover

$$\alpha_n = \{(p), G_n(x); p \in J_n, x \in S - K_n\},$$

where  $(p)$  is the singleton, namely,  $xR_n y$  if no member of  $\alpha_n$  includes

only one of the points  $x$  and  $y$  [7].

(3)  $\alpha_{2n}$  refines  $\alpha_n$ .

In fact, if  $x \in S - K_{2n}$ , then  $x \in S - K_n$ . We assume  $G_n(x) = H_n(x_1)$ ,  $G_{2n}(x) = H_{2n}(x_2)$  and  $i(n, x_1) > i(2n, x_2)$ , then  $H_n(x_1) \subset H_{2n}(x_2)$  and  $d(x_2, x_1) < 1/2n$ . On the other hand,  $H_n(x_1) \subset V_{1/i(n, x_1)}^\infty(x_1) = V_{1/i(2n, x_2)}^\infty(x_2)$ , so we have  $V_{1/i(2n, x_2)}^\infty(x_1) \subset V_{1/n}(x_1)$ , which contradicts the definition of  $i(n, x_1)$ . Hence we have  $i(n, x_1) \leq i(2n, x_2)$  and  $G_n(x) \supset G_{2n}(x)$  by (1).

(4)  $xR_{2n}y$  implies  $xR_ny$ . Therefore, we can now write  $R_n \leq R_{2n}$  (cf. [7]).

We define the distance function  $\delta(u, v)$  of the points  $u$  and  $v$  in the set  $S/R_n$  by  $\delta(u, v) = d(u', v')$  which is the distance between the inverse images  $u'$  and  $v'$  in  $S$  of  $u$  and  $v$  by the canonical map  $\varphi_n$  on  $S$  to  $S/R_n$ .

(5)  $\delta$  is compatible with the topology of the quotient space  $S/R_n$ .

In fact, let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the quotient topology and  $U$  an open neighborhood in  $\mathcal{T}$  of a point  $u$  of  $S/R_n$ , then  $U' = \varphi_n^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $S$ . (i) When  $u' \cap J_n = \phi$ ,  $u' = \varphi_n^{-1}(u)$ , then there is  $x \in S - K_n$  such that  $u' = G_n(x)$ , and we have  $V_{1/i(n, x)}(u') = u'$ ,  $V_{1/i(n, x)}(u) = (u)$ . (ii) When  $u' \cap J_n \neq \phi$ , then  $u' = x \in J_n$ , and we have  $V_{1/m}(x) \subset U'$  for some  $m$ , so  $V_{1/m}(u) \subset U$  because  $\varphi_n^{-1}(v) \cap U' \neq \phi$ ,  $v \in S/R_n$ , implies  $\varphi_n^{-1}(v) \subset U'$ . Conversely, since  $\cup\{v'; \delta(u, v) < 1/m\}$  is open in  $S$ ,  $\{v; \delta(u, v) < 1/m\}$  is open in  $\mathcal{T}$ .

(6)  $\{R_n; n=1, 2, \dots\}$  is fundamental [7], namely, all open sets in  $S$  which are saturated with respect to the relations build a basis of open sets in  $S$ , and no two different points in  $S$  are equivalent to each other with respect to all the relations.

In fact, let  $E$  be an open set in  $S$  including a point  $x$ , then we have  $V_{1/n}(x) \subset E$  for some  $n$ . When  $x \in J_{4n}$ , then we have  $E \supset \cup\{u'; u \in \alpha_{4n}, \delta(x, u) < 1/4n\}$ . When  $x \notin J_{4n}$ , then  $G_{4n}(x) \subset E$ . Moreover, if  $d(x, y) > 1/n$ , then  $x\bar{R}_{2n}y$  because  $\text{dia } G_{2n}(z) < 1/n$  for any  $z \in S$ .

(7) Consequently, when we write  $f_{n, 2n}$  for the canonical map of  $S/R_{2n}$  to  $S/R_n$ , which maps an  $R_{2n}$ -class to the  $R_n$ -class containing the  $R_{2n}$ -class, then it is uniformly continuous and we have the projective system [5]  $(S/R_{2^n}, f_{2^m, 2^n}; m, n=1, 2, \dots)$  of metric spaces and the projective limit  $S^* = \lim_{\leftarrow} S/R_{2^n}$  which contains  $S$  as a dense subspace by identifying  $x \in S$  with  $(\varphi_{2^n}(x))$  (Satz 1, [7]).

(8)  $S/R_n$  is fine [6] for every  $n$ .

In fact, let us suppose that  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots\}, u_i \in S/R_n$ , does not have any accumulation point. We take a point  $x_i \in u'_i = \varphi_n^{-1}(u_i)$  for every  $i$ , then  $\{x_i\}$  does not have any accumulation point in  $S$ . Therefore, the number of  $u'_i$  meeting the compact  $K_n$ , say  $u'_1, \dots, u'_r$ , is finite, so  $A = \bigcup_{i > r} u'_i$  is closed and disjoint from  $K_n$ . There is  $k$  such that  $V_{1/k}^\infty(x)$

$\subset V_{1/n}(x)$  for all  $x \in A$  (cf. Theorem 2 in [1] cited at the first part of this note), and so  $V_{1/k}(G_n(x)) = G_n(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ , i.e.  $V_{1/k}(u_i) = u_i$ ,  $i > r$ , and hence  $S/R_n$  is fine (Theorem 1, [4]).

(9)  $S$  is a uniform subspace of  $S^*$ .

In fact, let  $f_n$  be the canonical map of  $S^*$  to  $S/R_n$ , and put  $g_n = f_n \times f_n$ , then we have  $g_{5m}^{-1}(\{(u, v); u, v \in S/R_{5m}, \delta(u, v) < 1/5m\}) \cap (S \times S) \subset \{(x, y); x, y \in S, d(x, y) < 1/m\}$ , and  $\{(x, y); d(x, y) < 1/m\} \subset g_n^{-1}(\{(u, v); u, v \in S/R_n, \delta(u, v) < 1/m\}) \cap (S \times S)$  for any  $m$  and  $n$ .

Therefore, we have  $S^* = S$  by the completeness of  $S$ , and, from Corollaries 1, 2 in [2] and Theorem 1.4 in [6], which is an immediate consequence from Theorem<sup>1)</sup> in [2], we have

**Theorem** (Corson and Isbell [6]). *A metric space has a  $u$ -extension property if and only if its completion is a projective limit of fine metric spaces.*

**Remark.** The proof of Corollary 1 in [2] is not correct. Though we can readily prove it in the same direction, we shall here show a simple proof in another way.

Let  $\{A_n\}$  be a  $U$ -discrete sequence of subsets,  $\{a_n\}$  a sequence of natural numbers, and  $V^2 \subset U$ . There is a continuous real map  $f$  on  $S$  with the value  $a_n$  on  $A_n$  and 0 on  $S \setminus \bigcup V(A_n)$ . Since  $S$  is  $uc$ ,  $f$  is uniformly continuous, so  $S$  has a  $u$ -extension property by the lemma and by the theorem stated before the corollary.

## References

- [1] M. Atsugi: Uniform extension of uniformly continuous functions, Proc. Japan Acad., **37**, 10-13 (1961).
- [2] —: Uniform spaces with a  $u$ -extension property, Proc. Japan Acad., **37**, 204-206 (1961).
- [3] —: Remarks on metric spaces with  $u$ -extension properties, Proc. Japan Acad., **37**, 539-543 (1961).
- [4] —: Uniform continuity of continuous functions of metric spaces, Pacific J. Math., **8**, 11-16 (1958).
- [5] N. Bourbaki: Topologie Générale, Chap. I-II, Paris (1960).
- [6] H. H. Corson and J. R. Isbell: Some properties of strong uniformities, Quart. J. Math., **11**, 17-33 (1960).
- [7] J. Flachsmeier: Zur Spektralentwicklung topologischer Räume, Math. Ann., **144**, 253-274 (1961).

---

1) In the proof of the "if" part of the theorem in [2],  $f$  should read as non-negative (we may assume it without loss of generality); the same is true for  $n$ .