

## 112. On an Isomorphism of Galois Cohomology Groups $H^m(G, O_K)$ of Integers in an Algebraic Number Field

By Hideo YOKOI

(Comm. by K. SHODA, Oct. 12, 1962)

**Introduction.** In my paper [1]<sup>1)</sup> we proved the following theorem:

*Let  $K$  be a normal extension over the rational number field  $\mathbf{Q}$ , and  $k$  be a subfield of  $K$  such that  $K/k$  is cyclic of prime degree  $p$  and that  $k/\mathbf{Q}$  is normal of degree  $n$ . Then, for every dimension  $m$  the Galois cohomology group  $H^m(G, O_K)$  ( $G = G(K/k)$ ) of  $O_K$  with respect to  $K/k$  is isomorphic to the  $ns/e$ -ple direct sum of cyclic group of order  $p$ :*

$$H^m(G, O_K) \cong \{ \overbrace{p, p, \dots, p}^{ns/e} \}.$$

There we proved this Theorem by showing that the 1-dimensional Galois cohomology group  $H^1(G, O_K)$  of  $O_K$  with respect to  $K/k$  is isomorphic to the 0-dimensional Galois cohomology group  $H^0(G, O_K)$  of  $O_K$  with respect to  $K/k$ :

$$H^1(G, O_K) \cong H^0(G, O_K).$$

In the present paper, we shall give another proof of this Theorem by showing that the 0-dimensional Galois cohomology group  $H^0(G, O_K)$  of  $O_K$  with respect to  $K/k$  is isomorphic to the  $-1$ -dimensional Galois cohomology group  $H^{-1}(G, O_K)$  of  $O_K$  with respect to  $K/k$ :

$$H^0(G, O_K) \cong H^{-1}(G, O_K).$$

**Theorem.** *Let  $K$  be a normal extension over the rational number field  $\mathbf{Q}$ , and  $k$  be a subfield of  $K$  such that  $K/k$  is cyclic of prime degree  $p$  and that  $k/\mathbf{Q}$  is normal of degree  $n$ . Denote by  $G$  the Galois group of  $K/k$ , by  $O_K$  and  $O_k$  the rings of all integers in  $K$  and  $k$  respectively. Further, let  $v$  be the common ramification number with respect to  $K/k$  of all the prime divisors  $\mathfrak{P}_i$  of  $p$  in  $K$ ,<sup>2)</sup> and  $e$  be the common ramification order with respect to  $k/\mathbf{Q}$  of all the prime divisors  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  of  $p$  in  $k$ . Put  $s = v - \left[ \frac{v}{p} \right] \geq 0$ , where  $[x]$  means Gaussian symbol.*

*Then the  $-1$ -dimensional Galois cohomology group  $H^{-1}(G, O_K)$  of  $O_K$  with respect to  $K/k$  is isomorphic to the  $ns/e$ -ple direct sum of cyclic group of order  $p$ :*

1) Cf. H. Yokoi [1], Theorem 3.

2) Here we understand the ramification number  $v$  in the same way as we understood in [1]. Cf. H. Yokoi [1], [Remark].

$$H^{-1}(G, O_K) \cong \overbrace{\{p, p, \dots, p\}}^{ns/e}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $O_K^*$  be the submodule of  $O_K$  which consists of all elements  $A$  in  $O_K$  such that  $S_{K/k}A=0$ ,  $\sigma$  be a generator of Galois group  $G$  of  $K/k$ , and  $Z[G]$  be the group ring of  $G$  over the rational integers. Set  $S=1+\sigma+\dots+\sigma^{p-1} \in Z[G]$ . Then we may regard  $O_K^*$  as a  $Z[G]/(S)$ -module, where  $(S)$  is the principal ideal of  $Z[G]$  generated by  $S$ . Since  $Z[G]/(S)$  is a Dedekindian ring, we have by Chevalley's lemma<sup>3)</sup> direct decompositions

$$(\#) \quad \begin{aligned} O_K^* &= \mathfrak{A}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{A}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{A}_n, \\ (\sigma-1)O_K &= \mathfrak{B}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{B}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{B}_n \end{aligned}$$

of  $Z[G]/(S)$ -modules  $O_K^*$  and  $(\sigma-1)O_K$  with  $\mathfrak{A}_i \supseteq \mathfrak{B}_i$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Since  $(\sigma-1)O_K^*$  is also a  $Z[G]/(S)$ -submodule of  $(\sigma-1)O_K$ , corresponding to the decompositions (#) we have the direct decomposition

$$(\sigma-1)O_K^* = (\sigma-1)\mathfrak{A}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus (\sigma-1)\mathfrak{A}_n$$

of  $(\sigma-1)O_K^*$  such that  $\mathfrak{A}_i \supseteq \mathfrak{B}_i \supseteq (\sigma-1)\mathfrak{A}_i$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Further, since the index of  $(\sigma-1)\mathfrak{A}_i$  in  $\mathfrak{A}_i$  is the prime number  $p$ , each factor  $\mathfrak{B}_i$  is equal to either  $\mathfrak{A}_i$  or  $(\sigma-1)\mathfrak{A}_i$ .

On the other hand, if we put  $t=ns/e$ , we may take the following basis of the ring  $O_K$ :<sup>4)</sup>

$$O_K = [\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n(p-1)}, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_t, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-t}]$$

with  $\xi_i = (\omega_{t+i} + \alpha_i)/p$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n-t$ ), where  $[\omega_j$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, n$ )] is a suitable basis of  $O_K$ , and  $\alpha_i, \beta_m$  are integers in  $K$  such that  $S_{K/k}\alpha_i = 0$ ,  $S_{K/k}\beta_m = 0$ , ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n-t$ ,  $m=1, 2, \dots, n(p-1)$ ). Then both  $(\sigma-1)O_K$  and  $(\sigma-1)O_K^*$  are submodules of  $O_K^*$  generated by  $(\sigma-1)\beta_1, \dots, (\sigma-1)\beta_{n(p-1)}$ ,  $(\sigma-1)\xi_1, \dots, (\sigma-1)\xi_{n-t}$  and  $(\sigma-1)\beta_1, \dots, (\sigma-1)\beta_{n(p-1)}$  respectively. Therefore,  $(\sigma-1)\xi_i = (\sigma-1)\alpha_i/p$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n-t$ ) generate the factor module  $F = (\sigma-1)O_K/(\sigma-1)O_K^*$  and moreover they form a basis of  $F$ . For, if we assume that  $\sum_i x_i(\sigma-1)\xi_i = (\sigma-1)\beta$  for some  $\beta$  in  $O_K^*$  and for rational integers  $x_i$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n-t$ ), then we have  $(\sigma-1)(\sum_i x_i \alpha_i/p - \beta) = 0$  and hence  $p\beta = \sum_i x_i \alpha_i$ . But since  $\alpha_i = p\xi_i - \omega_i$ , we have  $\sum_i x_i \omega_i = p(\beta - \sum_i x_i \xi_i)$ , which implies  $x_i \equiv 0 \pmod p$  for every  $i=1, 2, \dots, n-t$ .

This fact means, as we see by permuting the summands in (#), that  $\mathfrak{B}_1 = (\sigma-1)\mathfrak{A}_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}_2 = (\sigma-1)\mathfrak{A}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{B}_t = (\sigma-1)\mathfrak{A}_t$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_{t+1} = \mathfrak{A}_{t+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{B}_n = \mathfrak{A}_n$ . Consequently, we have the following direct decompositions:

$$\begin{aligned} F &= (\sigma-1)O_K/(\sigma-1)O_K^* \cong \mathfrak{A}_{t+1}/(\sigma-1)\mathfrak{A}_{t+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{A}_n/(\sigma-1)\mathfrak{A}_n, \\ O_K^*/(\sigma-1)O_K &\cong \mathfrak{A}_1/(\sigma-1)\mathfrak{A}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{A}_t/(\sigma-1)\mathfrak{A}_t. \end{aligned}$$

This implies our isomorphism

$$H^{-1}(G, O_K) \cong O_K^*/(\sigma-1)O_K \cong \overbrace{\{p, p, \dots, p\}}^t. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

From this Theorem and Proposition 6 in [1], we can prove the

3) Cf. C. Chevalley [3].  
 4) Cf. H. Yokoi [2], Theorem 2.

isomorphism between the  $-1$ -dimensional Galois cohomology group  $H^{-1}(G, O_K)$  of  $O_K$  with respect to  $K/k$  and the  $0$ -dimensional Galois cohomology group  $H^0(G, O_K)$  of  $O_K$  with respect to  $K/k$  in the same way as in [1] we proved the isomorphism between the  $1$ -dimensional Galois cohomology group  $H^1(G, O_K)$  of  $O_K$  with respect to  $K/k$  and the  $0$ -dimensional Galois cohomology group  $H^0(G, O_K)$  of  $O_K$  with respect to  $K/k$ . Consequently, we obtain the isomorphism of all dimensional Galois cohomology  $H^m(G, O_K)$  of  $O_K$  with respect to  $K/k$  again similarly.

### References

- [1] H. Yokoi: On the Galois cohomology group of the ring of integers in an algebraic number field, forthcoming in *Acta Arithmetica*.
- [2] —: On the ring of integers in an algebraic number field as a representation module of Galois group, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **16**, 83-90 (1960).
- [3] C. Chevalley: L'arithmétique dans les algèbres des matrices, *Actual. Sci. Ind.*, **323**.