

### 111. A Note on the Extension of Semigroups with Operators

By Takayuki TAMURA and Donald G. BURNELL

University of California, Davis, California, U.S.A.

(Comm. by K. SHODA, Oct. 12, 1962)

In this note we shall report some theorems concerning the theory of extension of semigroups with operators, without detailed proof. By a mono-endomorphism of a semigroup we mean a one-to-one endomorphism of a semigroup. Let  $S$  be a semigroup which is not necessarily commutative and suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a commutative semigroup of some mono-endomorphisms  $\alpha$  of  $S$ , that is,  $\Gamma$  is not necessarily composed of all mono-endomorphisms of  $S$ . Let  $+$  denote the operation in  $S$  and  $\alpha x$  the image of an element  $x$  under  $\alpha$ .

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (1.1) \quad & \alpha(x+y) = \alpha x + \alpha y \\ (1.2) \quad & (\alpha\beta)x = (\beta\alpha)x \\ (1.3) \quad & \alpha x = \alpha y \text{ implies } x = y \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ for } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma; x, y \in S.$$

We shall call such an  $S$  a semigroup with  $\Gamma$  denoted by  $(s, \Gamma)$ .

Theorem 1. For  $(S, \Gamma)$ , there exists  $(\bar{S}, \bar{\Gamma})$  such that

- (2.1)  $S$  is embedded into  $\bar{S}$ ,
- (2.2)  $\Gamma$  and  $\bar{\Gamma}$  are isomorphic,
- (2.3) Each  $\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{\Gamma}$  is an extension of  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  to  $\bar{S}$ , and  $\bar{\alpha}$  is an automorphism of  $\bar{S}$ .
- (2.4)  $(\bar{S}, \bar{\Gamma})$  is the smallest extension of  $(S, \Gamma)$  in the following meaning: If  $(\bar{S}', \bar{\Gamma}')$  is any extension satisfying (2.1), (2.2), and (2.3), then  $\bar{S}$  is embedded into  $\bar{S}'$ .

Proof. Consider the set of all pairs  $(x, \alpha)$  of  $x \in S$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and we introduce a relation as  $(x, \alpha) \sim (y, \beta)$  iff  $\beta x = \alpha y$ . Then it is an equivalence relation. Let  $\overline{(x, \alpha)}$  denote an equivalence class containing  $(x, \alpha)$  and let  $\bar{S}$  be the set of all equivalence classes. We define an operation in  $\bar{S}$  as follows:

$$\overline{(x, \alpha)} + \overline{(y, \beta)} = \overline{(\beta x + \alpha y, \alpha\beta)}.$$

It is shown that this operation is single valued on  $\bar{S}$ , and  $\bar{S}$  is a semigroup into which  $S$  is embedded under the mapping  $\Sigma: S \ni x \rightarrow \overline{(\alpha x, \alpha)} \in \bar{S}$  where  $\overline{(\alpha x, \alpha)}$  is independent of the choice of  $\alpha$ . For each  $\alpha$ , a mapping  $\bar{\alpha}$  of  $\bar{S}$  into  $\bar{S}$  is defined as follows:

$$\bar{\alpha}(\overline{(z, \gamma)}) = \overline{(\alpha z, \gamma)}.$$

We can see that this mapping is single-valued on  $\bar{S}$  and  $\bar{\alpha}$  is a mono-

endomorphism of  $\bar{S}$ . Clearly the mapping  $\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}$  gives an isomorphism of  $\Gamma$  to  $\bar{\Gamma}$ . It follows from the definition of  $\bar{\alpha}$  that  $\bar{\alpha}$  is an extension of  $\alpha$ . In the proof of automorphism, we must show that  $\bar{\alpha}$  is subjective. In fact, for any  $(\bar{x}, \bar{\alpha}) \in \bar{S}$  and any  $\bar{\gamma} \in \bar{\Gamma}$

$$\bar{\gamma}(\bar{x}, \bar{\gamma}\bar{\alpha}) = (\bar{\gamma}x, \bar{\gamma}\alpha) = (\bar{x}, \bar{\alpha}).$$

Finally, to prove (2.4), let  $\bar{\alpha}$  be an extension of  $\alpha$  to  $\bar{S}$ . If we define the mapping  $T$  of  $\bar{S}$  into  $\bar{S}$  as

$$T(\bar{x}, \bar{\alpha}) = y \quad \text{where } \bar{\alpha}y = \Sigma x, x \in S, y \in \bar{S},$$

then we can prove that  $T$  is an isomorphism of  $\bar{S}$  into  $\bar{S}$ .

By the way, if  $\bar{S}$  is cancellative, then  $\bar{S}$  is also; if  $S$  is a group, so is  $\bar{S}$ ; if  $S$  is commutative  $\bar{S}$  is also.

Since  $\bar{\Gamma}$  in Theorem 1 is commutative and cancellative, it is possible to embed  $\bar{\Gamma}$  into a group.

Theorem 2. For  $(S, \Gamma)$ , there exists  $(\bar{S}, \Gamma^*)$  such that

- (3.1)  $S$  is embedded into  $\bar{S}$ ,
- (3.2)  $\Gamma^*$  is the smallest commutative group into which  $\Gamma$  is embedded,
- (3.3) Each  $\beta \in \Gamma^*$  is an automorphism of  $\bar{S}$ . If  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  is mapped to  $\alpha^* \in \Gamma^*$  under the embedding of  $\Gamma$  into  $\Gamma^*$ , then each  $\alpha^*$  is an extension of  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  to  $\bar{S}$ .
- (3.4) If  $(\bar{S}, \Gamma^{**})$  is any extension satisfying (3.1), (3.2), and (3.3), then  $\bar{S}$  and  $\Gamma^*$  are embedded into  $\bar{S}$  and  $\Gamma^{**}$  respectively.

Proof. By Theorem 1, we have obtained an extension  $(\bar{S}, \bar{\Gamma})$  of  $(S, \Gamma)$ . Consider the set  $\Gamma^*$  of all pairs  $((\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}))$  of elements of  $\bar{\Gamma}$  with identifying  $((\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})) = ((\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\delta}))$  as  $\bar{\delta}\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}$ . To simplify the notations,  $((\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}))$  denotes again the equivalence class containing  $((\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}))$ . We define a mapping  $((\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}))$  of  $\bar{S}$  into itself as follows

$$((\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}))(\bar{z}, \bar{\gamma}) = (\bar{\alpha}z, \bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma})$$

clearly  $((\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}))((\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\delta})) = ((\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\beta}\bar{\delta})) = ((\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\beta}\bar{\delta}))$ .

It is shown that  $((\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}))$  is an automorphism of  $\bar{S}$  and  $\bar{\Gamma}$  is embedded into  $\Gamma^*$  with the correspondence

$$\bar{\alpha} \rightarrow ((\bar{\gamma}\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\gamma})) = \alpha^*$$

where  $\alpha^*$  is easily seen to be an extension of  $\alpha$  to  $\bar{S}$ . As is well known,  $\Gamma^*$  is the smallest group containing  $\Gamma$ .

Remark. Instead of  $\Gamma$ , consider  $\Gamma_0$  as follows: Suppose

- (4.1)  $\Gamma_0$  contains a zero-mapping  $\zeta$ , i.e., a mapping of all elements to a definite element.
- (4.2)  $\zeta$  is a two-sided zero of  $\Gamma_0$ .

(4.3)  $\Gamma_0$  contains no zero-divisor.

Then we get the similar theorems such as Theorems 1, 2.

Let  $S$  be a commutative semigroup. For every positive integer  $n$ , we consider an endomorphism  $\mathbf{n}$  of  $S$ :

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot x = \underbrace{x + \cdots + x}_n$$

The operator semigroup  $\Gamma$  of some endomorphisms of this kind is considered as a subsemigroup of the multiplicative semigroup of positive integers. A commutative semigroup  $T$  is said to be uniquely  $\Gamma$ -divisible if for any  $x \in T$  and for any  $\mathbf{n} \in \Gamma$ , there is exactly one  $y \in T$  such that  $\mathbf{n} \cdot y = x$ .  $S$  is said to be  $\Gamma$ -cancellative if  $\mathbf{n} \cdot x = \mathbf{n} \cdot y$  implies  $x = y$  for every  $\mathbf{n} \in \Gamma$ .

As an application of Theorem 1, we get immediately

**Theorem 3.** *If a commutative semigroup  $S$  is  $\Gamma$ -cancellative then  $S$  is embedded in the smallest uniquely  $\Gamma$ -divisible semigroup.*

We define a semiring  $R$  to be an algebraic system with two binary operations—addition and multiplication—such that for every  $x, y, z \in R$

(5.1)  $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$

(5.2)  $(xy)z = x(yz)$

(5.3)  $x(y + z) = xy + xz, \quad (y + z)x = yx + zx.$

**Theorem 4.** *If the multiplicative semigroup of a semiring  $R$  is commutative and if, for any non-zero element  $a$ ,*

$$ab = ac \text{ implies } b = c,$$

*then  $R$  is embedded into the smallest semiring  $R^*$  such that the multiplicative semigroup of  $R^*$  is commutative group or group with zero.*

Let  $S$  be a commutative semigroup and let  $\Gamma$  be a multiplicative semigroup of positive integers and suppose  $S$  is cancellative and  $\Gamma$ -cancellative, that is,

$$x + y = x + z \text{ implies } y = z$$

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot x = \mathbf{n} \cdot y \text{ implies } x = y \text{ for every } \mathbf{n} \in \Gamma.$$

$S^{\mathfrak{a}}$  denotes the smallest group containing  $S$  and  $S^{\mathfrak{b}}$  the smallest uniquely  $\Gamma$ -divisible semigroup containing  $S$ . Then we have

$$(S^{\mathfrak{a}})^{\mathfrak{b}} \cong (S^{\mathfrak{b}})^{\mathfrak{a}}, \quad (S^{\mathfrak{a}})^{\mathfrak{a}} \cong S^{\mathfrak{a}}, \quad (S^{\mathfrak{b}})^{\mathfrak{b}} \cong S^{\mathfrak{b}}$$

where  $\cong$  means “isomorphic”.

If we regard these results as  $\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^2 = \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{b}^2 = \mathfrak{b}$ , then it follows that  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  generate a semilattice of order 3. Further applying these operations to direct product, we have

$$(S_1 \times S_2)^{\mathfrak{a}} \cong S_1^{\mathfrak{a}} \times S_2^{\mathfrak{a}}, \quad (S_1 \times S_2)^{\mathfrak{b}} = S_1^{\mathfrak{b}} \times S_2^{\mathfrak{b}}$$

where both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are cancellative and  $\Gamma$ -cancellative.

Added note: We have not used associativity of  $S$  in the proof of Theorems 1, 2 except for the proof of associativity of  $\bar{S}$ . There-

fore the theorems are available for the extension  $(\bar{S}, \bar{I})$  or  $(\bar{S}, I^*)$  of a groupoid  $(S, I)$  with operators, where a groupoid is a system with a binary operation and the conditions concerning  $I$  are not changed.