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1. Definitions. $\mu_{n}$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n}=\int_{0}^{1} t^{n} d \chi(t) \quad(n=0,1,2, \cdots), * \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(t)$ is a real function of bounded variation in $(0,1)$, is called the moment constant of rank $n$ generated by the mass-function $\chi(t)$. If, further,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(1)=1, \chi(+0)=\chi(0)=0,^{+} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mu_{n}$ is said to be a regular moment constant.
The matrix $\lambda \equiv\left(H, \mu_{n}\right)$, defined by

$$
\lambda_{n k}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\binom{n}{k} \Delta^{n-k} \mu_{k} & (n \geq k)  \tag{1.3}\\
0 & (n<k)
\end{array}\right.
$$

is termed the Hausdorff-matrix corresponding to the sequence of moment constants $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$. The summability $\left(H, \mu_{n}\right)$ of a sequence $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ to the sum $s$ is defined as the convergence to a finite limit $s$ of its Hausdorff transform, or simply $\left(H, \mu_{n}\right)$ transform, $\sigma_{n}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{n k} s_{k} \quad(n=0,1,2, \cdots) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transpose of the Hausdorff matrix, that is, the matrix $\lambda^{*} \equiv\left(H^{*}, \mu_{n}\right)$, defined by

$$
\lambda_{n k}^{*}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
k \\
n \\
n
\end{array}\right) \Delta^{k-n} \mu_{n} & (n \leq k)  \tag{1.5}\\
0 & (n>k)
\end{array}\right.
$$

is termed the Quasi-Hausdorff matrix corresponding to the sequence of moment constants $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$.

The sequence-to-sequence Quasi-Hausdorff transform, or simply the $\left(H^{*}, \mu_{n}\right)$ transform, $\sigma_{n}{ }^{*}$ of a sequence $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n}{ }^{*}=\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\binom{k}{n} \Delta^{k-n} \mu_{n} s_{k} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mu_{n}$ is given by (1.1), we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n}{ }^{*}=\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} s_{k}\binom{k}{n} t^{n}(1-t)^{k-n} d \chi(t) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

* The function $t^{0}$ is defined at $t=0$ so as to be continuous; thus

$$
\mu_{0}=\int_{0}^{1} d x(t)
$$

[^0]The summability $\left(H^{*}, \mu_{n}\right)$ of a sequence $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ to the limit $s$ is defined as the convergence to a finite limit $s$ of its $\left(H^{*}, \mu_{n}\right)$ transform $\sigma_{n}{ }^{*}$.

It is well-known (see [2], Theorem 217, p. 217, p. 276) that the necessary and sufficient conditions that the ( $H, \mu_{n}$ ) transform be regular, that is, $\sigma_{n} \rightarrow s$ whenever $s_{n} \rightarrow s$, are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(1)=1, \chi(+0)=\chi(0)=0 . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also know that if $\mu_{n}$ is a regular moment constant generated by the mass-function $\chi(t)$, then the necessary and sufficient conditions that the $\left(H^{*}, \mu_{n}\right)$ transform be regular are (see [2], Theorem 219, p. 279; also notes on chapter XI, §11.20)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { (i ) } \quad \int_{0}^{1} \frac{|d \chi(t)|}{t} \leq k<\infty,  \tag{1.9}\\
\text { (ii) } \quad \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d \chi(t)}{t}=1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Borwein [1] has recently defined the following logarithmic method of summability, denoted by $L$.

A sequence $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ is said to be summable ( $L$ ) to $s$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{s}(x)=-\{\log (1-x)\}^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_{n}}{n+1} x^{n+1} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

tends to a finite limit $s$ as $x \rightarrow 1-0$ in the right open interval $(0,1)$.
2. Introduction. Let $A$ and $B$ be two summability methods for sequences $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$, and let us denote by $A B$ the iteration-product which associates with any given sequence the $A$-transform of its $B$-transform (of course, provided it is possible to define it).

The question of determining under what circumstances $A \subseteq A B$, that is, $A$-summability implies $A B$-summability, was raised by Szász [9] in 1952 at the suggestion of Prof. I. M. Sheffer. And Szász himself demostrated in a couple of papers ([9], [10]) the truth of a number of inclusion relations of this type by considering various pairs of summability methods. Subsequently, this line of study has been taken up by several workers like Rajagopal [8], Pati [5], Ramanujan [6], Jakimovski [3], Borwein [1] and Lal [4].

Borwein has established the inclusion relation:

$$
(L) \subseteq(L)\left(H, \mu_{n}\right)
$$

in the case in which $\left(H, \mu_{n}\right)$ is regular.
The object of the present paper is to establish an inclusion relation between summability ( $L$ ) and the product of summability ( $L$ ) and the Quasi-Hausdorff method of summability $\left(H^{*}, \mu_{n}\right)$, which corresponds to a mass-function, satisfying certain general conditions.
3. We shall write throughout

[^1]$$
\chi^{*}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{|d \chi(u)|}{u} .
$$

We establish the following theorem.
Theorem. If $\left(H^{*}, \mu_{n}\right)$ be a regular sequence-to-sequence QuasiHausdorff method and the mass-function $\chi(t)$ generating $\mu_{n}$ satisfies the conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{*}(\eta)=0(1) \text {, as } \eta \rightarrow 0 \text {, } \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{\log \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)}{t}|d \chi(t)|=O\left(\log \frac{1}{\eta}\right), \quad \text { as } \eta \rightarrow 0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
(L) \subseteq(L)\left(H^{*}, \mu_{n}\right)
$$

Proof of the theorem.
We assume that $L_{s}(x) \rightarrow s$, as $x \rightarrow 1-0$. We then have to prove that, under the hypotheses of the theorem,

$$
L_{\sigma^{*}}(x) \rightarrow s, \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow 1-0
$$

We have, by (1.7),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} & \frac{\sigma_{n}{ }^{*}}{n+1} x^{n+1} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} x^{n+1} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} s_{k}\binom{k}{n}(1-t)^{k-n} t^{n+1} \frac{d \chi(t)}{t} \\
& \left.=\int_{0}^{1}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_{k}}{k+1} x^{k+1} \sum_{n=0}^{n}{ }_{n+1}^{(k+1}\right)(1-t)^{k-n} t^{n+1} x^{n-k}\right\} \frac{d \chi(t)}{t} \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_{k}}{k+1} x^{k+1}\left[\left(\frac{1-t}{x}+t\right)^{k+1}-\left(\frac{1-t}{x}\right)^{k+1}\right]\right\} \frac{d \chi(t)}{t} \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_{k}}{k+1}\left[(1-t y)^{k+1}-(1-t)^{k+1}\right]\right\} \frac{d \chi(t)}{t},
\end{aligned}
$$

on writing $1-x=y$.
Set now

$$
g(t)=-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_{n}}{n+1}(1-t)^{n+1} ; f(t)=g(t) / \log t .
$$

We have,

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{n}{ }^{*}}{n+1} x^{n+1}=\int_{0}^{1} g(t) \frac{d \chi(t)}{t}-\int_{0}^{1} g(y t) \frac{p \chi(t)}{t}
$$

and therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{\log (1-x)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{n}^{*}}{n+1} x^{n+1} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\log y} \int_{0}^{1} g(y t) \frac{d x(t)}{t}-\frac{1}{\log y} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{g(t)}{t} d \chi(t) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\log y} \int_{0}^{1} f(y t) \log y t \frac{d \chi(t)}{t}-\frac{1}{\log y} \int_{0}^{1} f(t) \log t \frac{d \chi(t)}{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{\log y} \int_{0}^{1}[f(y t)-s] \log (y t) \frac{d \chi(t)}{t}-\frac{1}{\log y} \int_{0}^{1}[f(t)-s] \log t \frac{d \chi(t)}{t}+s \\
& =I_{1}(y)-I_{2}(y)+s,
\end{aligned}
$$

using the condition (1.9) (ii), which holds in view of the regularity of ( $H^{*}, \mu_{n}$ ). In view of the last step there occurs no loss of generality in assuming that $s=0$.

All the inversions involved in the foregoing steps are justified by virtue of the absolute convergence of the integrals $I_{1}(y)$ and $I_{2}(y)$, the proof of which fact is contained in that of (3.3).

We show below that, if $f(t)=0(1)$, as $\mathrm{t} \rightarrow 0$, then, with $s=0$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
I_{1}(y)  \tag{3.3}\\
I_{2}(y)
\end{array}\right\}=0(1), \quad \text { as } \quad y \rightarrow 0 .
$$

We have, with $s=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}(y) & \equiv \int_{0}^{1} f(y t) \frac{\log \frac{1}{y t}}{\log \frac{1}{y}} \frac{d \chi(t)}{t} \\
& =\int_{0}^{y} f(y t) \frac{\log \frac{1}{y t}}{\log \frac{1}{y}} \frac{d \chi(t)}{t}=\int_{y}^{1} f(y t) \frac{\log \frac{1}{y t}}{\log \frac{1}{y}} \frac{d \chi(t)}{t} \\
& =I_{11}(y)+I_{12}(y), \text { say. }
\end{aligned}
$$

We now introduce an additional notation.

$$
m(\tau) \equiv \max _{0 \lll \tau}|f(t)|,
$$

so that $m(\tau) \rightarrow 0$, as $\tau \rightarrow 0$. Also $m(\tau)$ is a non-decreasing function of $\tau$.
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{11}(y)\right| & \leq \int_{0}^{y}|f(y t)| \frac{|d \chi(t)|}{t}+\int_{0}^{y}|f(y t)| \frac{\log \frac{1}{t}}{\log \frac{1}{y}} \frac{|d \chi(t)|}{t} \\
& \leq m(y) \int_{0}^{y} \frac{|d \chi(t)|}{t}+m(y) \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\log \frac{1}{t} \frac{|d \chi(t)|}{\log \frac{1}{y}} \frac{t}{t}}{} \\
& =m(y)\left[I_{111}(y)+I_{112}(y)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Evidently

$$
m(y) I_{111}(y)=0(1), \text { as } y \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Also, by hypothesis,

$$
\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{y}} \int_{0}^{y} \log \frac{1}{t} \frac{|d \chi(t)|}{t}=O(1), \quad \text { as } y \rightarrow 0,
$$

and, therefore,

$$
m(y) I_{112}(y)=0(1)
$$

as $y \rightarrow 0$.
Hence

$$
I_{11}(y)=0(1), \quad \text { as } y \rightarrow 0
$$

Again, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{12}(y)\right| & \equiv\left|\int_{y}^{1} f(y t) \frac{\log \frac{1}{y t}}{\log \frac{1}{y}} \frac{d \chi(t)}{t}\right| \\
& \leq 2 \int_{y}^{1}|f(y t)| \frac{|d \chi(t)|}{t} \quad\left[\text { since } y^{2}<y t \leq y<1\right] \\
& \leq 2\left[\int_{y}^{1 /(y+1)}|f(y t)| \frac{|d \chi(t)|}{t}+\int_{1 /(y+1)}^{1} \frac{|d \chi(t)|}{t}\right] \\
& \leq 2\left[m\left(\frac{y}{1+y}\right) \int_{y}^{1 / y+1} \frac{|d \chi(t)|}{t}+m(y) \int_{1 / 1+y}^{1} \frac{|d \chi(t)|}{t}\right] \\
& =0(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $y \rightarrow 0$.
We now have only to show that, with $s=0$,

$$
I_{2}(y)=0(1), \quad \text { as } y \rightarrow 0
$$

$I_{2}(y) \equiv \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{y}} \int_{0}^{1} f(t) \log \frac{1}{t} \frac{d \chi(t)}{t}$
$=\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{y}} \int_{0}^{y} f(t) \log \frac{1}{t} \frac{d \chi(t)}{t}+\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{y}} \int_{y}^{1} f(t) \log \frac{1}{t} \frac{d \chi(t)}{t}$
$=I_{21}(y)+I_{22}(y)$, say.
Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{21}^{(y)}\right| & \leq \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{y}} \int_{0}^{y}|f(t)| \log \frac{1}{t} \frac{|d \chi(t)|}{t} \\
& \leq m(y) \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{y}} \int_{0}^{y} \log \frac{1}{t} \frac{|d \chi(t)|}{t} \\
& =0(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $y \rightarrow 0$, since we have assumed that

$$
\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{y}} \int_{0}^{y} \log \frac{1}{t} \frac{|d \chi(t)|}{t}=O(1)
$$

as $y \rightarrow 0$.
Now, integrating by parts,

$$
\left|I_{22}(y)\right| \leq k \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{y}} \int_{y}^{1} \log \frac{1}{t} \frac{|d \chi(t)|}{t}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq k \chi^{*}(y)+0\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{y}} \int_{y}^{1} \frac{d t}{t}\right) \\
& =0(1)+0(1)=0(1) \text {, as } y \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

by hypothesis (3.1).
We have thus demonstrated the truth of (3.3), so that the theorem is established.
4. Remarks. It may be observed that the conditions (3.1) and (3.2) on the mass-function $\chi(t)$ are obviously satisfied for the following well-known special cases:
(i) The 'Circle' method $(\gamma, a)$ (See Hardy [2], § 9.11 and $\S 11.21$, [1]) for which

$$
\chi(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { for } & 0 \leq t<a<1 ; \\
a & \text { for } & a \leq t<1
\end{array}\right.
$$

(ii) The methods defined by

$$
\chi(t)=l t^{l+1} /(l+1) \quad(l>0),
$$

which are all equivalent to each other and to $(C, 1)$ for different positive values of $l$. (See Hardy, [2], § 11.21, [3].)
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[^0]:    * The assumption $\chi(0)=0$ is not a substantial restriction.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4} K$ always denotes an absolute constant, not necessarily the same at each ocurence.

