

47. Some Properties of Completely Normal and Collectionwise Normal Spaces

By Jingoro SUZUKI

Nara Gakugei University

(Comm. by Kinjirō KUNUGI, M.J.A., April 12, 1963)

1. In our previous note [4] we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *If for any locally finite family $\{X_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$ of closed subsets of a topological space R there exists a locally finite covering $\{H_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$ of closed subsets of R satisfying one of the following equivalent conditions (A), (B), and (C), then R is completely normal and collectionwise normal:*

- (A) $H_\alpha \cap H_\beta \cap (X_\alpha \cup X_\beta) = X_\alpha \cap X_\beta, \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \Omega)$
- (B) $H_\alpha \cap H_\beta = X_\alpha \cap X_\beta, \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \Omega)$
- (C) $H_\alpha \cap (\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Omega} X_\gamma) = X_\alpha \quad (\alpha \in \Omega).$

In this paper, in connection with the above theorem we shall establish necessary and sufficient conditions for topological spaces to be completely normal and collectionwise normal (see Theorems 3 and 4).

2. We shall first prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let R be a completely normal space. If $\{X_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$ is a family of closed subsets of R and $\{U_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$ is a locally finite family of open subsets of R such that $X_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \Omega$, then there exists a locally finite closed covering $\{H_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$ of R satisfying one of the equivalent conditions (A), (B), and (C) of Theorem 1.*

Proof. If Ω is a finite set, this theorem has already been established (see [4], Theorem 1), so we assume that Ω is infinite. Now, we shall construct a family $\{H_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$ of closed subsets of R satisfying the condition (C) of Theorem 1 by transfinite induction.

Let η be a limit ordinal number such that $\Omega = \{\alpha | \alpha < \eta\}$ and let us put $U = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Omega} U_\alpha, U'_\mu = \bigcup_{\alpha > \mu} U_\alpha, X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Omega} X_\alpha, X'_\mu = \bigcup_{\alpha > \mu} X_\alpha$. Let ν be an ordinal number such that $\nu < \eta$. We assume that to every $\mu < \nu$ there exist two closed subsets F'_μ, F''_μ of U satisfying the following conditions:

$$(P_\mu) \quad \begin{cases} (1) & U_\gamma \supset F'_\gamma, \quad (\gamma \leq \mu); \quad U'_\mu \supset F'_\mu, \\ (2) & (\bigcup_{\gamma \leq \mu} F'_\gamma) \cap F'_\mu = U, \\ (3) & F'_\gamma \cap X = X_\gamma \quad (\gamma \leq \mu), \\ (4) & F'_\mu \cap X = X'_\mu. \end{cases}$$

Then we shall construct two closed subsets F'_ν, F''_ν of U satisfying the condition (P_ν) .

We shall first show that the relation $\bigcup_{\mu < \nu} \bigcup_{\alpha > \mu} U_\alpha = \bigcup_{\mu \geq \nu} U_\mu$ holds. It is evident that $\bigcup_{\mu < \nu} \bigcup_{\alpha > \mu} U_\alpha \supset \bigcup_{\mu \geq \nu} U_\mu$. So conversely, let $x \in U$ be any

point not contained in $\bigcup_{\mu \geq \nu} U_\mu$. Since $\{U_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$ is locally finite there exists the greatest index $\kappa(< \nu)$ such that U_κ contains x . Hence x is not contained in $\bigcup_{\alpha > \kappa} U_\alpha$. Consequently, x is not contained in $\bigcup_{\mu < \nu} \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu} U_\alpha$. Put $F''_\nu = \bigcup_{\mu < \nu} F''_\mu$. It is also shown similarly that $F''_\nu \cap X = \bigcup_{\mu \geq \nu} X_\mu$.

Next, we shall construct $\{F_\mu | \mu \leq \nu\}$ and F'_ν . Then by $\bigcup_{\mu < \nu} U'_\mu = \bigcup_{\mu \geq \nu} U_\mu$ we have $F''_\nu \subset \bigcup_{\mu \geq \nu} U_\mu$. By the assumption of (P_μ) , (4) we can see that X_ν and X'_ν are contained in F''_ν . Since $\{(U_\nu - U'_\nu) \smile (X_\nu - X'_\nu)\} \cap F''_\nu$ and $\{(U'_\nu - U_\nu) \smile (X'_\nu - X_\nu)\} \cap F''_\nu$ are separated subsets of completely normal space F''_ν , there exist two disjoint open subsets W, W' of F''_ν such that $W \supset \{(U_\nu - U'_\nu) \smile (X_\nu - X'_\nu)\} \cap F''_\nu$ and $W' \supset \{(U'_\nu - U_\nu) \smile (X'_\nu - X_\nu)\} \cap F''_\nu$. If we put $F_\nu = (F''_\nu - W') \smile X_\nu$ and $F'_\nu = (F''_\nu - W) \smile X'_\nu$, then F_ν and F'_ν are closed subsets of U .

We shall prove that $\{F_\mu | \mu \leq \nu\}$ and F'_ν satisfy the conditions of (P_ν) . Since $F''_\nu \subset U_\nu \smile U'_\nu$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F''_\nu - W' &\subset F''_\nu - \{(U'_\nu - U_\nu) \smile (X'_\nu - X_\nu)\} \cap F''_\nu \\ &\subset F''_\nu - (U'_\nu - U_\nu) \\ &\subset U_\nu. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption of the theorem we have $X_\nu \subset U_\nu$. Hence, $U_\nu \supset (F''_\nu - W') \smile X_\nu = F_\nu$ is concluded. Similarly, $U'_\nu \supset F'_\nu$ is obtained. Thus, (P_ν) , (1) is satisfied. We have (P_ν) , (2) by relations $(\bigcup_{\mu < \nu} F''_\mu) \smile F''_\nu = U$ and $F_\nu \smile F'_\nu = F''_\nu$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} F_\nu \cap X &= \{(F''_\nu - W') \cap X\} \smile (X_\nu \cap X) \\ &\subset [\{F''_\nu - (X'_\nu - X_\nu)\} \cap X] \smile X_\nu \\ &= \{F''_\nu \cap X - (X'_\nu - X_\nu) \cap X\} \smile X_\nu \\ &= \{ \bigcup_{\mu \geq \nu} X_\mu - (X'_\nu - X_\nu) \} \smile X_\nu \\ &= X_\nu \end{aligned}$$

and $F_\nu \cap X \supset X_\nu$, we have $F_\nu \cap X = X_\nu$, that is, (P_ν) , (3). Finally, we have (P_ν) , (4) using the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} F'_\nu \cap X &\subset \{(F''_\nu - W) \cap X\} \smile (X'_\nu \cap X) \\ &\subset \{F''_\nu \cap X - (X_\nu - X'_\nu) \cap X\} \smile X'_\nu \\ &= \{ \bigcup_{\mu \geq \nu} X_\mu - (X_\nu - X'_\nu) \} \smile X'_\nu \\ &= X'_\nu \end{aligned}$$

and $F'_\nu \cap X \supset X'_\nu$.

Since $|\Omega| \geq \aleph_0$ and $\{U_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$ is locally finite, $\{F_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$ is a covering of U . As X is a closed subset of the normal space R , there exists an open set G such that $X \subset G \subset \bar{G} \subset U$. If we put $H_1 = (F_1 \cap \bar{G}) \smile (R - G)$ and $H_\alpha = F_\alpha \cap \bar{G}$ ($1 < \alpha < \eta$), then $\{H_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$ is a locally finite closed covering of R satisfying the condition (C) of Theorem 1, q.e.d.

3. We shall now prove our main theorem.

Theorem 3. *In order that a topological space R be completely normal and collectionwise normal, it is necessary and sufficient that for any locally finite and order finite family $\{X_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$ of closed subsets of R there exists a locally finite closed covering $\{H_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$ of*

R satisfying one of the equivalent conditions (A), (B), and (C) of Theorem 1.

Theorem 4. *Let R be a topological space having the following property: For any locally finite collection $\{X_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$ of closed subsets of R there exists a locally finite open covering $\{W_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$ of $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Omega} X_\alpha$ such that $X_\alpha \subset W_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \Omega$. In order that R be a completely normal and collectionwise normal space it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a locally finite closed covering $\{H_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$ of R satisfying one of the equivalent conditions (A), (B), and (C) of Theorem 1.*

These theorems are easily proved by the above Theorems 1, 2, and the following lemma:

Lemma (Katětov [5]). *For a normal space R each of the following properties is equivalent to collectionwise normality:*

(a) *If $\{X_\alpha\}$ is a closed locally finite family in R such that for some positive integer n the intersection of any $n+1$ members of $\{X_\alpha\}$ is empty, then there exists an open locally finite family $\{U_\alpha\}$ such that $X_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$.*

(b) *For any closed subset X of R , if $\{X_\alpha\}$ [respectively, $\{W_\alpha\}$] is a closed [respectively, open] locally finite family for the relative topology of X such that $X_\alpha \subset W_\alpha$, then there exists an open locally finite family $\{U_\alpha\}$ in R such that $X_\alpha \subset U_\alpha \cap X \subset W_\alpha$.*

By a result of Katětov [5] and Theorem 2 we have the following:

Theorem 5. *Let R be countably paracompact, completely normal and collectionwise normal. Then for any locally finite family $\{X_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$ of closed subsets of R there exists a locally finite closed covering $\{H_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$ of R satisfying one of the equivalent conditions (A), (B), and (C) of Theorem 1.*

Remark 1. If R is perfectly normal and collectionwise normal, then the above theorem is also true by a result of Dowker [2].

Remark 2. In case R is a fully normal and completely normal space, the above theorem is easily deduced from the construction in the proof of Theorem 3 [3].

References

- [1] R. H. Bing: Metrization of topological spaces, *Can. J. Math.*, **3**, 175-186 (1951).
- [2] C. H. Dowker: On countably paracompact spaces, *Can. J. Math.*, **3**, 219-224 (1951).
- [3] T. Inokuma: On a characteristic property of completely normal spaces, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **31**, 56-59 (1955).
- [4] J. Suzuki: Some properties of completely normal spaces, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **33**, 19-24 (1957).
- [5] M. Katětov: Extension of locally finite coverings, *Colloq. Math.*, **6**, 141-151 (1958).